## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

JCP No. 08-19-90013

In re Complaint of John Doe<sup>1</sup>

This is a judicial complaint by a pro se inmate against the district judge who dismissed the inmate's § 1983 lawsuit for failure to pay the statutory filing fee and ordered the clerk's office "to return any document submitted by [the inmate], instead of filing it for docketing, until [the inmate] has paid the full statutory filing fee." The judicial complaint alleges that the district judge's prevention of the clerk's office from filing any of the inmate's submitted documents constitutes judicial misconduct.

The allegation is "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling" and is not cognizable in a judicial complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (J.C.U.S.) Rules 3(h)(3)(A), 11(c)(1)(B). Furthermore, the allegation that the district judge engaged in judicial misconduct is "frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); accord J.C.U.S. Rule 11(c)(1)(C)-(D). Accordingly, the allegation must be dismissed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

The complaint is dismissed.

March ///, 2019

Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit