EXHIBIT C.7









Page 4

| 1 | REMOTE APPEARANCES |
|-------|---|
| 2 FOR | THE STATE OF TEXAS: |
| 3 | Mr. Stuart L. Somach |
| | Ms. Theresa C. Barfield |
| 4 | SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN |
| | . 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 |
| 5 | Sacramento, California 95814 |
| | (916) 446-7979 |
| 6 | ssomach@somachlaw.com |
| | tbarfield@somachlaw.com |
| 7 | |
| | -and- |
| 8 | |
| | Ms. Priscilla M. Hubenak |
| 9 | STATE OF TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE |
| | Post Office Box 12548 |
| 10 | Austin, Texas 78711 |
| | (512) 463-2012 |
| 11 | priscilla.hubenak@oag.texas.gov |
| 12 | -and- |
| 13 | Mr. Bobby Salehi |
| | TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY |
| 14 | 12100 Park 35 Circle |
| | Austin, Texas 78753 |
| 15 | (512) 239-5930 |
| 16 | -and- |
| 17 | Ms. Brooke Paup |
| | TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD |
| 18 | 1700 North Congress, 6th Floor |
| | Austin, Texas 78711 |
| 19 | |
| | THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO: |
| 21 | |
| | Mr. Luis Robles |
| 22 | ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C. |
| | . 500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700 |
| 23 | Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 |
| | (505) 242-2228 |
| 24 | luis@roblesrael.com |
| 25 | |

Page 5

| 1 | Ms. Lisa M. Thompson |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| | TROUT RALEY |
| 2 | 1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600 |
| | Denver, Colorado 80203 |
| 3 | (303) 861-1962 |
| | lthompson@troutlaw.com |
| 4 | |
| | FOR THE STATE OF COLORADO: |
| 5 | |
| | Ms. Emily Halvorsen |
| 6 | COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW |
| | . 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor |
| 7 | Denver, Colorado 80203 |
| | (720) 508-6281 |
| 8 | |
| | FOR THE UNITED STATES: |
| 9 | |
| | Mr. James J. DuBois |
| 10 | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |
| | . 999 18th Street, Suite 370 |
| 11 | |
| | (303) 844-1375 |
| 12 | james.dubois@usdoj.gov |
| | -and- |
| 13 | Mr. John P. Tustin |
| | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |
| 14 | Post Office Box 7611 |
| | Washington, DC 20044 |
| 15 | (202) 305-3022 |
| | john.tustin@usdoj.gov |
| 16 | |
| 17 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 18 | Bonita Dewitt, Paralegal |
| | Robles, Rael & Anaya |
| 19 | |
| | Jesus Reyes |
| 20 | EPCWID |
| 21 | Jordan Brown, Videographer |
| 22 | |
| | THE COURT REPORTER: |
| 23 | |
| 20 | Karen L. D. Schoeve |
| 24 | Certified Realtime Reporter |
| <u> </u> | Registered Diplomate Reporter |
| 25 | Realtime Systems Administrator |
| 25 | Realerine bystems Administrator |





Page 8 1 quotes, sat in on the deposition of your fellow Texas Water Development Board Director, Larry . 2 good Lord. I'm forgetting his name already. 3 Larry French. 4 Α. 5 Q. French, yes. I did. 6 Α. 7 So you have a sense for how this Ο. 8 particular process goes? 9 Α. Yes. Is that fair? 10 Ο. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, I may ask questions that are Q. 13 difficult to understand or just simply don't make 14 any sense, and if I do that, will you tell me? Yes, I will. 15 Α. 16 Q. And if anytime you want to take a break, 17 consult with your attorney, have a convenience 18 break, will you let me know as well? 19 Yes. Α. 20 And if at any time during this deposition, Q. 21 you're uncertain about the direction it's headed or 22 you have other concerns that may not be apparent to 23 me but are apparent to you, will you let me know? 24 Α. Yes. I'd like to begin by asking you about your 25 Ο.

New Mexico

Designations



25 A. I was a manager of a geochemical

| | Page 10 |
|----------------------------|--|
| | 1 laboratory at the University of Montana. Prior to |
| | 2 that, I worked at Texas Commission of Environmental |
| | 3 Quality, but I did air quality work, not water. And |
| | 4 then, I worked for an environmental consulting firm |
| | 5 right out of my undergraduate degree. |
| | 6 Q. So let me go over that. Did you state |
| | 7 those particular positions in the order in which you |
| | 8 held them? |
| | 9 A. Yeah, in reverse from present. |
| New Mexico Designations | 10 Q. Okay. So after obtaining your master's |
| 5 | 11 degree, you worked as a consultant with a |
| | 12 consulting firm? |
| | 13 A. I'm sorry. So I'll restate that |
| | 14 chronologically. So after I received my bachelor's |
| | 15 degree, I worked for an environmental consulting |
| | 16 firm called EnecoTech. |
| | 17 After a couple of years with them, I |
| | 18 worked for what is now the Texas Commission on |
| | 19 Environmental Quality. And then I got my master's |
| | 20 degree. |
| | 21 And after I received my master's |
| | 22 degree, I came to work for the Water Development |
| | 23 Board. |
| | 24 Q. Now, you had also mentioned working as a |
| | 25 manager of a geochemistry lab at University of |
| • | |





| Q. To what extent did it involve conducting 2 groundwater testing, that particular job you had 3 with EnecoTech? 4 A. It involved monitor well installations, 5 the well development, sample collection of 6 groundwater. 7 Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? 25 A. A research specialist. | | |
|--|-----|--|
| 3 with EnecoTech? A. It involved monitor well installations, 5 the well development, sample collection of 6 groundwater. 7 Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 1 | Q. To what extent did it involve conducting |
| A. It involved monitor well installations, 5 the well development, sample collection of 6 groundwater. 7 Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 2 | groundwater testing, that particular job you had |
| 5 the well development, sample collection of 6 groundwater. 7 Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 3 | with EnecoTech? |
| 6 groundwater. 7 Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 4 | A. It involved monitor well installations, |
| Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water | 5 | the well development, sample collection of |
| 8 that you worked for the Texas Commission on 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 6 | groundwater. |
| 9 Environmental Quality? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 7 | Q. After working at EnecoTech, I understand |
| 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 8 | that you worked for the Texas Commission on |
| 11 Q. And anytime that you worked for that 12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 9 | Environmental Quality? |
| <pre>12 particular agency, did you do any work in either 13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001?</pre> | 10 | A. Yes. |
| <pre>13 surface water or groundwater? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001?</pre> | 11 | Q. And anytime that you worked for that |
| 14 A. No. 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 12 | particular agency, did you do any work in either |
| 15 Q. You worked on your master's degree and 16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 13 | surface water or groundwater? |
| <pre>16 then you went to work for the Texas Water 17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001?</pre> | 14 | A. No. |
| <pre>17 Development Board; is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001?</pre> | 15 | Q. You worked on your master's degree and |
| 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 16 | then you went to work for the Texas Water |
| Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas Water Development Board? A. In 2001. Q. In what position did you obtain what was your entry position at the Texas Water Development Board in 2001? | 17 | Development Board; is that right? |
| 20 Water Development Board? 21 A. In 2001. 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| A. In 2001. Q. In what position did you obtain what 3 was your entry position at the Texas Water 4 Development Board in 2001? | 19 | Q. When did you begin your work at the Texas |
| 22 Q. In what position did you obtain what 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 20 | Water Development Board? |
| 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 21 | A. In 2001. |
| 23 was your entry position at the Texas Water 24 Development Board in 2001? | 22 | Q. In what position did you obtain what |
| 24 Development Board in 2001? | 23 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | _ • | |

| | Page | 1: |
|---|------|----|
| 1 Q. What is the job description of a research | 1 | |
| 2 specialist at the Texas Water Development Board? | | |
| 3 A. The description of the job that I had was | 3 | |
| 4 to assist in the Office of Planning, for the | | |
| 5 director of the Office of Planning, that was | | |
| 6 primarily charged with developing the State water | | |
| 7 plan. So any project he directed me towards, I was | 3 | |
| 8 to work on. | | |
| 9 Q. I understand that you are now in surface | 1 | |
| 10 water? | | |
| 11 A. No, I'm in planning. | | |
| 12 Q. Oh, you're in planning. I'm sorry. I'm | 1 | |
| 13 sorry. 14 A. Right. | | |
| 15 Q. After your work as a, I guess, a research | | |
| 16 I want to say, specialist; is that right? | - 7 | |
| 17 A. Yes. | | |
| 18 Q. What was your next position at the Texas | | |
| 19 Water Development Board? | | |
| 20 A. Regional water planner. | | |
| 21 Q. What is a regional water planner? | | |
| 22 A. It's a staff person that works directly | | |
| 23 with regional water planning groups in the state. | | |
| 24 So job responsibilities include management of the | | |
| 25 grant contract that supports technical work for the | it | |
| | | |

Γ



| | | Page | 15 |
|----|--|------|----|
| 1 | in the state. And then the third group is charged | | |
| 2 | with developing population and water demand | | |
| 3 | estimates and projections. | | |
| 4 | Q. What is the name of that I guess is it | | |
| 5 | a department or a group? | | |
| 6 | A. There's my division, so Water Use | | |
| 7 | Projections and Planning. And the three departments | 5 | |
| 8 | under that division. There's the Water Use and | | |
| 9 | Planning Data Department. There's the Regional | | |
| 10 | Water Planning Department, and there's the Economic | | |
| 11 | and Demographic Analysis Department. | | |
| 12 | Q. Now, you went through them and I guess | | |
| 13 | maybe I should hold on a second. I apologize for | | |
| 14 | making you wait. | | |
| 15 | (Examined realtime screen.) | | |
| 16 | What is the so what is your role in | 1 | |
| 17 | supervising those three departments that you | | |
| 18 | referenced in your testimony? | | |
| 19 | A. I'm responsible for the oversight of their | | |
| 20 | work and any identifying program initiatives, | | |
| 21 | keeping executive management informed of the | | |
| 22 | department's work. | | |
| 23 | Q. What is the what is the what are the | 9 | |
| 24 | objectives, the goals of the regional water planning | J | |
| 25 | department I'm sorry. | | |



| | - | |
|--|----|--|
| | | Page 17 |
| | 1 | staff that are involved with the planning process or |
| | 2 | legislative increase. Anybody that has an interest |
| | 3 | in regional water planning, State water planning. |
| | 4 | Q. How would you define the term "just a |
| | 5 | stakeholder"? |
| | 6 | A. I'm confused. |
| | 7 | Q. I'm sorry, I should ask a better question. |
| | 8 | I asked you to define "external |
| | 9 | stakeholder." |
| | 10 | A. Um-hum. |
| | 11 | Q. How does "external stakeholder" differ |
| | 12 | from "just a stakeholder"? |
| | 13 | A. Oh. I'm sorry. I differentiate between |
| | 14 | internal and external parties that are interested. |
| | 15 | So there's other areas of our agency that we |
| | 16 | interface with for planning information. So I |
| | 17 | should clarify, I guess all stakeholders in that |
| | 18 | case would be external parties. |
| New Mexico Designations | 19 | Q. So internal stakeholder are the other |
| J. J | 20 | divisions, departments within the Texas Water |
| | 21 | Development Board; does that sound right? |
| | 22 | A. Right. They might ask our division for |
| | 23 | data requests or data that we collect to support |
| | 24 | their program efforts. |
| | 25 | Q. There is the third department. I believe |
| | | |

| Page I | Ы |
|--------|---|

| | | | Pag |
|---|----|--|-----|
| Ľ | 1 | it is the economic and demographic analysis | |
| | 2 | department; is that right? | |
| | 3 | A. Yes. | |
| | 4 | Q. What is the economic and demographic | |
| | 5 | analysis department's objectives and goals? | |
| | 6 | A. They are responsible for developing annual | |
| | 7 | population estimates and annual water use estimates, | |
| | 8 | gallons per capita per day. | |
| | 9 | They're responsible for every five | |
| | 10 | years projecting the population and water demands | |
| | 11 | utilized in the regional water planning process. | |
| | 12 | They're also responsible for the | |
| | 13 | development of the socioeconomic impact analysis | |
| | 14 | that's utilized by the regional water planning | |
| | 15 | groups and included in the State water plan. | |
| | 16 | Q. You used the term "socioeconomic"; is that | |
| | 17 | correct? | |
| | 18 | A. Correct. | |
| | 19 | Q. What do you mean by that term? | |
| | 20 | A. The State water plan is required to look | |
| | 21 | at the socioeconomic impacts of not meeting water | |
| | 22 | needs. So that is an analysis that's typically | |
| | 23 | conducted by our group and provided to the regional | |
| | 24 | water planning groups to include in their plans. | |
| | 25 | Q. Now there is the term in the name of the | |
| | | | |

1 department "economic" and demographic analysis
2 department. What economic analysis, aside from the
3 socioeconomic analysis, is conducted by the economic
4 and demographic analysis department?

5 A. That's primarily the extent of their work 6 that's involved with an economic analysis.

Q. I just want to be clear. Is it correct to 8 say that the extent of the economic -- of the 9 economic and demographic analysis department's group 10 view of the effect of the lack of water on a given 11 population, that's the extent to which there is an 12 economic analysis?

13 A. Correct.

Q. So -- and help me understand this. In using the term "economic," it sounds as if there is an analysis, a cost-benefit analysis, or simply an analysis on the cost of the different ways in which water policy might affect the community.

A. It is not a cost-benefit analysis. It's an analysis of potential economic impact to a community that does not have its water supply needs 22 met.

Q. In your experience, if you could just give an example of what you mean. I should say, based on your experience as a director, would you please





| | Page 22 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | A. The quantified elements and the regional | | | |
| 2 | water plans as they're developed. So it would be | | | |
| 3 | water availability, water supplies, and then the | | | |
| 4 | estimated volumes and costs of water management | | | |
| 5 | strategies that are recommended by each water | | | |
| 6 | 6 planning group. | | | |
| 7 | Q. Let me just | | | |
| 8 | You used the term "the estimated | | | |
| 9 | volumes and cost of water management strategies"; is | | | |
| 10 | that right? | | | |
| 11 | A. Yes. | | | |
| 12 | Q. What does that mean? | | | |
| 13 | A. A water management strategy is what a | | | |
| 14 | planning group recommends as a way to address | | | |
| 15 | identified water supply needs that they find in | | | |
| 16 | their planning efforts. | | | |
| 17 | Q. Is that particular statement, is it | | | |
| 18 | applicable to all to all you know, to the | | | |
| 19 | entire State of Texas or is it specific to any | | | |
| 20 | particular area within Texas? | | | |
| 21 | A. It's applicable to the whole state. There | | | |
| 22 | are 16 planning groups statewide, and they all are | | | |
| 23 | held to the same rules and guidance as one another. | | | |
| 24 | Q. Is it correct to say that your department | | | |
| 25 | administers regional and state water planning | | | |





| | Pa |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. The technical consultants that are hired |
| 2 | by each regional water planning group are granted |
| 3 | access to this database to enter the data they are |
| 4 | developing as they produce their plan. |
| 5 | Q. So maybe I should have asked this |
| 6 | question: Who collects the data that goes into the |
| 7 | regional water planning data? |
| 8 | A. Well, each regional water planning group |
| 9 | has contracted technical consulting teams that |
| 10 | assists them with the development of their plan, and |
| 11 | those technical consultants are the ones that |
| 12 | develop the data and enter it into the database that |
| 13 | our agency administers and oversees. |
| 14 | Q. That would be true for Region E as well? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Do you know who collects the data the |
| 17 | regional water base planning data for Region E? |
| 18 | A. The name of the consulting firm, is that |
| 19 | what you're asking? |
| 20 | Q. Yes. |
| 21 | A. The primary consultant on that region is |
| 22 | WSP. |
| 23 | Q. And that's an acronym, would that be |
| 24 | correct to say? |
| 25 | A. Correct. |
| | |

| | | Page 26 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Q. What does that acronym st | and for? |
| | A. I don't know, off the top | of my head. |
| | Q. Fair enough. | |
| Texas Counter De | What, if anything, do | es the Texas |
| | Water Development Board do to deter | mine whether the |
| | data it's receiving from the contra | ctors from the |
| | various regions is accurate and rel | iable? |
| | A. There are the water de | emands data and |
| | population projections, those are o | leveloped by our |
| | economic and demographic analysis g | roup and then |
| | provided to the planning groups for | review and |
| | revision. And then upon approval b | y our agencies, |
| | we upload that data into the databa | ise. |
| | Water availability is | determined by |
| | the desired feature conditions proc | ess, and those |
| | numbers are developed by the Water | Development |
| | Board. So we know directly from th | e source that |
| | we're receiving that availability i | .nformation. |
| | And then we have vari | ous checks in the |
| | database for strategy data that mak | es sure that |
| | volumes of strategies don't over-al | locate sources of |
| | supply. Other water availabilities | are determined |
| | by the technical consultants and ar | e based upon |
| | existing water rights and contracts | that exist in |
| | the planning area in which they're | developing the |
| | | |

| | | | | Page | 27 |
|---------------------|---|----|--|------|----|
| | | 1 | plan. | | |
| | | 2 | Q. Maybe it's the way that I asked the | | |
| | | 3 | question, so forgive me. But I was hoping to find | | |
| | | 4 | out what particular types of testing sample | | |
| | | 5 | testing, spot testing that the Texas Water | | |
| | | 6 | Development Board does in order to ensure that the | | |
| | | 7 | data that it's receiving from the various | | |
| | | 8 | contractors for the region is, in fact, accurate and | 1 | |
| | | 9 | reliable. | | |
| | | 10 | A. I guess, can you give me an example of | | |
| | | 11 | spot testing that you're asking about? | | |
| Texas Counter De | Г | 12 | Q. If you received, for example, that there | | |
| | | 13 | is a certain level of water in a groundwater | | |
| | | 14 | reservoir in a particular region. What efforts are | | |
| | | 15 | made and you learned that from the contractor | | |
| | | 16 | who's providing you with that data. And then over | | |
| | | 17 | the course of a particular period of time, that that | | |
| | | 18 | level has changed, to a certain degree. | | |
| | | 19 | What efforts are made to determine | | |
| | | 20 | that the data that was received from that contractor | | |
| | | 21 | is, in fact, accurate? | | |
| | | 22 | A. So the groundwater availability data | | |
| | | 23 | that's reflected, it's a quantified amount as | | |
| | | 24 | established through the joint planning process, the | | |
| | | 25 | DFC process. So that's a modeled number. That's a | | |

Texas Counter Designa...

Г

| | Page | 28 |
|----|--|----|
| 1 | separate process that occurs every five years, and | |
| 2 | the results of that separate process inform the | |
| 3 | regional water planning process. | |
| 4 | Q. So one thing is the question about the | |
| 5 | validity and reliability of a model, which is not | |
| 6 | what I'm asking. But, how do you determine that the | |
| 7 | data that's actually placed in the model that you | |
| 8 | obtained from contractors, is in to what extent | |
| 9 | do you do anything to verify its accuracy? | |
| 10 | A. That's not conducted in my department. | |
| 11 | Q. Do you know of any department in the Texas | |
| 12 | Water Development Board that determine that | |
| 13 | checks the accuracy of the data that's being | |
| 14 | received from the various contractors in the regions | |
| 15 | that provide your department with information? | |
| 16 | A. Oh, I thought you were asking me about | |
| 17 | verifying models. | |
| 18 | Q. No-no-no. I'm not asking you about | |
| 19 | models. I'm asking you about data that goes into | |
| 20 | the models. | |
| 21 | A. Data that goes into models? Well, for | |
| 22 | surface water availability, the planning groups have | |
| 23 | to use the water availability models that are | |
| 24 | administered by TCEQ. | |
| 25 | The groundwater availability models, | |
| | | |

1 those are developed by the Groundwater Division of 2 the Water Development Board. So those two groups 3 would be in charge of checking data going into model 4 development. Now, I wanted to be sure about a term you 5 Q. 6 had mentioned to me. So that, you know, that when I 7 mention it, you and I are talking about the same 8 thing. And that is "Region E." Now, Region E is a regional water 9 10 planning area; is that correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Ο. So when I say "Region E," is it okay with 13 you that you and I both understand that we're 14 talking about a regional water planning area, the 15 Far West Texas area that you had mentioned 16 previously? 17 Α. Yes. Well, how is sociodemographic data 18 Q. 19 analyzed by your department? 20 Α. We are conducting a socioeconomic impact 21 analysis. We build out -- and plan model with 22 various sets of data collected and apply it to each 23 regional water planning area, based upon the water 24 supply needs they've identified -- that group has 25 identified.

| | | Page | 30 |
|----------------------------|----|--|----|
| | 1 | Q. So, again, my simple way of looking at | |
| | 2 | things. Is it correct to say that the socio- | |
| | 3 | demographic analysis takes into account a population | |
| | 4 | and its growth or decline and determines the water | |
| | 5 | needs of that population over time? | |
| | 6 | A. No, the model itself just looks at water | |
| | 7 | supply needs that aren't met. We in our | |
| | 8 | projections, that's for population and growth. | |
| | 9 | That's different models are used for population | |
| | 10 | projections and growth and decline estimates | |
| | 11 | independent of the economic model I had previously | |
| | 12 | referenced. | |
| New Mexico Designations | 13 | Q. What is a Water Use Survey Program? | |
| | 14 | A. Our annual Water Use Survey Program | |
| | 15 | is collects it surveys the active community | |
| | 16 | public water systems in the state and industrial | |
| | 17 | facilities across the state. | |
| | 18 | Q. How is that data used that's collected? | |
| | 19 | A. That data produces historic water use | |
| | 20 | estimates that are utilized in our development of | |
| | 21 | our water demand projections. | |
| | 22 | It also collects groundwater pumpage | |
| | 23 | data that's utilized in the development of the | |
| | 24 | groundwater availability model. It's utilized by | |
| | 25 | technical consultants of planning groups to inform | |
| | | | |

| Page 31 |
|--|
| 1 their supplies for the entities that they're |
| 2 planning for. |
| 3 Q. Does the Texas Water Development Board |
| 4 reach conclusions that it provides to the regions, |
| 5 or do the regions take the data that's collected |
| 6 and come up with a plan which the Texas Water |
| 7 Development Board approves? |
| 8 A. The regions develop a plan for the Texas |
| 9 Water Development Board to approve. |
| 10 Q. What is the name of that plan? |
| 11 A. The Regional Water Plan. |
| Texas 12 Q. What is the Texas Water Development |
| 13 Board's, I guess what does the what is the |
| 14 role of the Texas Water Development Board in |
| 15 approving or declining to approve a Regional Water |
| 16 Plan? |
| 17 A. So each planning cycle, there's a point at |
| 18 which a draft Regional Water Plan is submitted for |
| 19 review. |
| 20 That plan is also referred to as an |
| 21 "initially prepared plan." My staff or we |
| 22 have our executive administrator has 120 days |
| 23 following submittal of that draft plan to submit |
| 24 review comments to the planning group that must be |
| 25 addressed before their final adopted Regional Water |
| |

Page 32

1 Plan is due. And then once the final adopted plan 3 is submitted to the Water Development Board, we 4 again review that plan to make sure that the 5 executive administrator's comments were sufficiently 6 addressed in order to take that plan to our board 7 for approval. What is the nature of the group you Ο. 9 conducted by your department of the regional water 10 plans? Is it procedural, that is, you're looking 11 for different things to sort of check the boxes, or 12 is it substantive; that is, to determine whether it 13 meets the goals of the Texas Water Board? It's -- it's a bit of both. 14 Α. There are 15 administrative review aspects to our review. We 16 also conduct quantitative reviews. We have to make 17 sure that no supply source utilized in the plan 18 development is over-allocated by utilization of 19 current supplies and recommended future supplies. 20 Q. Is one of the purposes of developing a 21 Regional Water Plan, is it to conserve water 22 resources? 23 Α. That is one aspect. Planning groups 24 definitely recommend conservation water management 25 strategy, yes.

| Page 3. | 3 |
|---------|---|
| | |

| | Pa |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. If your review of a plan reveals that |
| 2 | there is the missed allocation, the waste of water |
| 3 | resources, what, if anything, does the Texas Water |
| 4 | Development Board do to prevent that particular plan |
| 5 | from going forward? |
| 6 | A. We don't specifically review for waste of |
| 7 | water resources. You asked about a missed |
| 8 | allocation. We review quantitatively to make sure |
| 9 | that no source of supply is over-allocated, meaning |
| 10 | you can't have current supplies and anticipated |
| 11 | future supplies from the same source that exceeds |
| 12 | the availability of that source. |
| 13 | Q. So if, for example, the available |
| 14 | groundwater in a particular region is declining at a |
| 15 | rate that will no longer allow for the allocated use |
| 16 | of that resource, do you have the region change the |
| 17 | plan? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. In what way would you have the region |
| 20 | change the plan in the scenario that I just |
| 21 | described? |
| 22 | A. Our database would check quantitatively if |
| 23 | they're relying upon water that is not there. And |
| 24 | they would either have to develop an alternative |
| 25 | recommended strategy to address that need or they |
| | |

| | Page 34 |
|---------------------|---|
| | 1 would have an unmet water supply need. We cannot |
| | 2 show that they're meeting that need with a source of |
| | 3 supply by over-allocating it. |
| | 4 Q. When a plan reveals upon analysis, if a |
| | 5 plan reveals that there is an unmet need, what steps |
| | 6 do you take to ensure the plan accurately will |
| | 7 follow the amount of water that will be available in |
| | 8 the future? |
| | 9 A. Can you restate that question? |
| Texas Counter De | 10 Q. When your department comes across a plan |
| | 11 that reveals that there is a that there is water |
| | 12 being allocated and it simply won't be that water in |
| | 13 the future, what do you do to ensure that the plan |
| | 14 is revised to ensure that it will only, you know, |
| | 15 essentially plan for water that will actually be |
| | 16 there? |
| | 17 A. We notify the consultants developing the |
| | 18 plan at the time they enter that information into |
| | 19 the database, and it's flagged as an over-allocation |
| | 20 that they're given notice. That's an issue at that |
| | 21 point in time, so they're able to correct it before |
| | 22 their draft plan is due. |
| | 23 If it still remains that way when the |
| | 24 draft plan is due, then we give them a comment that |
| | 25 they have an over-allocated source that must be |
| | |

Texas Counter Designa...

```
Page 35
```

1 corrected, but the expectation that that be 2 addressed before their final plan. If the Regional Water Plan is presented to 3 Q. 4 you and your staff, which reveals that adequate 5 steps are not being taken to conserve water 6 resources, what steps, if any, will the Texas Water 7 Development Board take to reform or modify the 8 Regional Water Plan? Our governing statute requires the 9 Α. 10 conservation must be considered for all identifying 11 water needs. And we issue a comments to the 12 planning group that they submit a draft plan to us 13 that does not have a recommended conservation 14 strategy, yet has an identified water need for that 15 specific entity. 16 0. What are the sanctions that -- and, again, 17 I'll say that word broadly -- what sanctions, if 18 any, does the Texas Water Development Board have if 19 a region is unwilling to change their water -- the 20 Regional Water Plan to conform with the comments and 21 recommendations of the Texas Water Development 22 Board? 23 Α. There's certain comments that must be 24 addressed for an approvable plan, and if they're not 25 addressed, then our board would not approve


| | Pa | .ge 37 |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| | 1 That's up to local level and utilities to develop | |
| | 2 projects that they identify and recommend. | |
| | 3 Q. What steps or efforts are undertaken by | |
| | 4 the Texas Water Development Board to ensure that a | |
| | 5 region is following its approved Regional Water | |
| | 6 Board? | |
| | 7 MS. BARFIELD: I'm sorry. The | |
| | 8 question lacks foundation based on her prior | |
| | 9 response. Go ahead. | |
| | 0 A. You mean "implementing" when you say | |
| | 1 following? | |
| | 2 Q. (BY MR. ROBLES) I'm fine with the term | |
| | 3 that you're using, and maybe I should restate it. | |
| | 4 A. Okay. | |
| New Mexico Designations | 5 Q. If a region fails to implement the | |
| 2 Solghations | 6 approved Regional Water Plan, what steps or efforts | |
| | 7 does the Texas Water Development Board take to find | |
| | 8 out if that's happened? | |
| | 9 A. Each regional water planning group, as | |
| | 0 part of their plan development, they're required to | |
| | 1 assess implementation progress from the previous | |
| | 2 plan, so with their implementation survey that's | |
| | 3 conducted with each plan. So that's how we assess | |
| | 4 implementation progress. | |
| | 5 Q. So it's correct to say that the way in | |
| | | |

1 which the Texas Water Development Board determines 2 whether there is compliance by a region with its 3 Regional Water Plan is self-reporting? Correct, plus any implementation our Α. 5 agency is aware of by entities that come to our 6 agency for funding of projects. We provide that 7 information to planning groups so they're aware. So I want to take an example. If -- and, 8 Ο. 9 again, this is, I guess, real world stuff. If there is a very severe drought and 10 11 there needs to be conservation measures taken as a 12 result of it, and those conservation measures are 13 memorialized in the Regional Water Plan. But the 14 Region decides to continue with a water use that 15 exceeds the amount that -- or that exceeds the 16 amount that would be advisable under drought 17 conditions. Who, if anyone, can take action to 18 enforce the Regional Water Plan? Conservation strategies and the Regional 19 Α. 20 Water Plan are informed by required conservation 21 plans that must be submitted to the TCEQ and the 22 Water Development Board. But the Water Development 23 Board does not enforce implementation of any 24 conservation programs. The TCEQ may, but I don't 25 know that.

```
Page 39
                         In your experience, in your work in both
             1
                    Ο.
             2 state agencies, the TCEQ and Texas Water Development
             3 Board, have you ever seen a situation in which
             4 enforcement action was necessary as a result of a
             5 region not following its Regional Water Plan?
                             MS. BARFIELD:
                                            The question is outside
             6
               of this witness's defined testimony and lacks
             7
             8 foundation.
             9
                    Α.
                         No, I have not.
            10
                             MR. ROBLES:
                                           I see that we've almost
            11 gone about an hour.
                                    Good time to take about a
            12 ten-minute break?
            13
                             MS. BARFIELD: Sure.
                             MR. ROBLES: So we'll join you at 1:30
            14
            15 Central Time.
                              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:21.
            16
            17 We're off the record.
            18
                              (A recess was taken from 12:21 p.m. to
            19
                              12:33 p.m.)
            20
                              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
            21 12:33 p.m.
                           We're on the record.
New Mexico
            22
                          (BY MR. ROBLES)
                    Ο.
                                           To what extent, if any,
Designations
            23 does the Texas Water Development Board's planning
            24
              process address the issue of water salinity?
            25
                    Α.
                         Regional plans must discuss water quality
```



| | | Page 41 |
|---------------------|----|--|
| | 1 | groundwater as a future source of supply. |
| | 2 | Q. (BY MR. ROBLES) Aside from recommending |
| | 3 | the desalination of groundwater for future supply, |
| | 4 | is there any other way in which the planning process |
| | 5 | of the Texas Water Development Board addresses the |
| | 6 | issue of water salinity? |
| | 7 | MS. BARFIELD: Again, lacks foundation |
| | 8 | and goes outside the scope of the testimony that |
| | 9 | this witness is designated to provide. |
| | 10 | A. There are other regional plans across the |
| | 11 | state, not Region E, but they have seawater |
| | 12 | desalination recommended. At least one other |
| | 13 | regional plan has a chloride control project |
| | 14 | recommendation recommended strategy. |
| Texas Counter De | 15 | Q. (BY MR. ROBLES) What do you know about |
| | 16 | Region E and the issue of water salinity? |
| | 17 | MS. BARFIELD: Lacks foundation, goes |
| | 18 | outside the scope of the testimony this witness is |
| | 19 | designated to provide. |
| Texas Counter De | 20 | A. I know that they have recommended |
| | 21 | strategies of brackish groundwater desalination. |
| | 22 | Q. (BY MR. ROBLES) Aside from desalination |
| | 23 | of brackish groundwater, have you run across any |
| | 24 | other issue regarding water salinity in Region E? |
| | 25 | MS. BARFIELD: Same objections. |
| | | |

I

1 Overbroad, vague, ambiguous.

2 A. No, I have not.

Q. (BY MR. ROBLES) To what extent, if any, 4 has your department made an economic assessment, a 5 socioeconomic assessment about the impact of water 6 salinity in Region E?

7 A. None that I'm aware of.

8 Q. What does the Texas Water Development 9 Board do to ensure that its regional water plans 10 provide for the efficient use of water?

11 A. Other than conservation strategies that I 12 previously discussed, we don't assess the efficiency 13 of water use.

Q. What does the Texas Water Development Board do in its planning process to control and prevent waste in its review and approval of regional water plans?

A. We don't specifically review for control
and prevention of waste in the regional water plan.
Q. And that would be a correct statement -A. I guess -- can I correct that statement?
Q. Oh, sure.

A. Each planning group has to take into
24 consideration information from water loss audits
25 that our agency also -- the program they oversee.

1 So our review would be an administrative check that 2 that information was considered. What is a water loss audit? 3 Ο. Α. That is a program administered by a 5 different division, but broadly it is that utilities 6 report to our agency the degree of water loss in 7 their system. When you say "water loss," are you 8 Ο. 9 referring to water that's unaccounted for or that 10 there is a difference from projected water and what 11 water is actually available? 12 I'm referring to water in their Α. 13 distribution system that they determined to be lost 14 in their system. 15 So when you say "lost in their system," Q. 16 that means leaks in pipes. Is that what you mean? Yes, generally. There may be more to it 17 Α. 18 than that. It's not a program I administer. Okay. Fair enough. 19 Ο. How does the planning department use 20 21 water loss audit information in its planning 22 processes? 23 It's information that's provided to the Α. 24 planning groups to consider if they feel that it is 25 appropriate to recommend a strategy to address water Page 43

and possible with the infrastructure they have, yes,
 it is possible.

I notice that in the manner in which you Ο. 3 4 answered that question, you seemed a bit taken back 5 by what I had asked. Is that something that 6 actually happens, or am I just posing a hypothetical 7 that you had not seen in your experience? I just wanted to make sure I understood 8 Α. 9 your question. I don't know, off the top of my 10 head, what Region E, what their volumes of reused 11 water are projected to be. So I just wanted to make 12 sure I understood what you were asking me, if it was 13 possible or they were -- what they were claiming. Ι 14 can't tell you off the top of my head the quantified

15 amounts of projected reuse they have in their plan.
16 Q. Because the Texas Water Development Board

17 is responsible, not just for Region E but for all 18 the regions in the Rio Grande -- along the Rio 19 Grande Basin, isn't there a concern that if reused 20 water allows for a higher-projected use in one 21 region, that the downstream region would have less 22 available water of its own?

MS. BARFIELD: Argumentative as
Potentially lacks foundation and goes
outside the scope of this witness's testimony. Go

Page 46

1 ahead.

2 If more water is reused in a system, it is Α. 3 potentially possible that less water would be 4 discharged into the river. (BY MR. ROBLES) How does -- in such a 5 0. 6 scenario, how would the Texas Water Development 7 Board address such a situation? That's not a programmatic issue that we're 8 Α. 9 charged with administering. That's a water rights 10 issue. 11 Q. And who would address that water rights 12 issue? 13 TCEO. Α. 14 We've talked about -- and I guess you use Ο. 15 the term "reused water" or "reuse water"; is that 16 right? 17 Α. Yes, reclaimed. Reclaimed. Why is the term "reclaimed" 18 Ο. 19 not appropriate and "reuse" is, just for my 20 edification? 21 A. I'm just using it -- I'm sure they're 22 interchangeable. Okay. Aside from the various issues that 23 Q. 24 we've discussed about reused water, is there any 25 other way in which the Texas Water Development Board

Page 47 1 Planning Division addresses reused water? We collect it through our annual water 2 Α. 3 use survey. We apply that information in our 4 water demand -- in our water use estimates and 5 projections, and we provide the data collected to 6 planning groups for consideration in their plan development. 7 And if there's a dispute between an 8 Ο. 9 upstream and a downstream region, that's not 10 something in which the Texas Water Development Board 11 embroils itself in? 12 What do you mean by a "dispute"? Α. 13 Q. If the downstream region is claiming that 14 the upstream region is using -- is using or reusing 15 water and not making it available for the downstream 16 region, that's a situation in which the Texas Water 17 Development Board does not involve itself in? In their planning efforts, if two regions 18 Α. 19 are claiming the same source of water and can't 20 resolve that issue amongst themselves, they may 21 potentially have an interregional conflict. Which 22 if regions can't resolve that themselves, our board 23 is charged with resolving it for them. 24 Ο. Do you know of any consulting group, Counter De... 25 university, or other academic who has peer-reviewed

Texas

```
Page 48
```

```
1 the planning process undertaken by the Texas Water
 2 Development Board?
            We've had a consulting group review
 3
       Α.
 4 portions of our process, but specifically our
 5 projections methodology and our -- give us input on
 6 our socioeconomic impact analysis methodology.
            No?
       0.
 7
            Well, so holistically our entire process,
       Α.
9 I guess, no. Only specific portions of it.
10
       Ο.
            What steps has the Texas Water Development
11 Board taken internally to assess the validity and
12 reliability of the various analysis that it
13 undertakes in the planning process?
             So as I just mentioned, we hired a
14
       Α.
15 consulting group to review our water demand
16 projections methodologies for certain categories of
17 use.
18
                 We have contracted to collect data, I
19 guess that was with the University -- the Bureau of
20 Economic Geology through a grant from the United
21 States Geological Survey to get better handle on
22 water use in the mining sector.
23
                 We -- and fundamentally, our process,
24 any information we provide to the planning groups,
25 there is an opportunity within the guidelines we
```

Page 49 1 give them to provide us with better locally 2 available data to supplement our revised information 3 produced by our agency with the data that we have 4 available. What is the name of the consulting group 0. 6 to which you refer? Α. CDM Smith reviewed our projections 8 methodologies at the beginning of this planning 9 round. BBC Consulting reviewed our 10 11 socioeconomic impact analysis methodology. 12 And then the Bureau of Economic 13 Geology, that's a -- for lack of a better word, a 14 division of the University of Texas at Austin. Thev 15 are -- they've conducted a study in the past and 16 will be embarking on a study in the near future for 17 better water use data in the mining sector. 18 What is the name of the CDM report? Q. 19 Α. The name of the report. I don't know off 20 the top of my head, but I believe it's available on 21 our website. 22 Where would I look for it? Ο. 23 Α. I think you can find it under -- on our 24 agency's website, there's a water planning tab and 25 then -- I'm speculating without navigating on our

| | | Page | Э |
|---------------------|----|--|---|
| | 1 | web page directly. Should I just provide it to you | |
| | 2 | following my deposition? | |
| | 3 | Q. Fair enough. | |
| | 4 | A. Okay. | |
| | 5 | Q. What is the name of the report prepared by | |
| | 6 | BBC? | |
| | 7 | A. Same thing. I don't know the name of the | |
| | 8 | report off the top of my head. | |
| | 9 | Q. Where can I find BBC's report? | |
| | 10 | A. It would be in our regional water planning | |
| | 11 | web page, I believe. But I would have to give you | |
| | 12 | that exact address after I look at it myself. | |
| | 13 | Q. With regard to that I believe it's the | |
| | 14 | Bureau of Economic Geology; is that correct? | |
| | 15 | A. Correct. | |
| | 16 | Q. What is the name of the report that this | |
| | 17 | entity prepared? | |
| | 18 | A. Again, I don't know the exact name of that | |
| | 19 | report. I can provide it. | |
| | 20 | Q. Where would I find that report? | |
| | 21 | A. It would be on the same page where you | |
| | 22 | could find the CDM Smith report, I believe. So I | |
| | 23 | can provide that to you. | |
| Texas Counter De | 24 | Q. Have you ever presented to a group, | |
| | 25 | whether it's government citizens or college | |
| | | | |

50

Γ

```
Page 51
```

```
1 students, a presentation in which you explained the
             2 planning process to them?
             3
                    Α.
                         Yes.
                         Where would that be?
                    Ο.
                         That would be on our regional water
             5
                    Α.
             6 planning page.
                               We have some educational resources.
             7 But I've given several presentations, in my
             8 experience, so specific presentations would be in my
             9 files.
            10
                    0.
                         Are any of those presentations publicly
            11 available?
            12
                    Α.
                         Yes.
                               The ones on our regional water
            13 planning website are.
                                      We have several presentations
            14 for components and requirements of the regional
            15 water planning process.
                         Now, I've asked this question indirectly,
            16
                    Ο.
            17 so I want to ask this question much more directly,
            18 changing subjects.
            19
                             What is the authority of the Texas
            20 Water Development Board over the regions in the
            21 State of Texas?
Texas
            22
                         Our authority is to provide the regions
                    Α.
Counter De...
            23 legislatively appropriated funds through grant
            24 contracts. So to fund their planning efforts in the
            25 development of their regional water plans.
```

Page 52 Ο. Aside from the allocation of grant 1 2 funding, is there any other authority which the 3 Texas Water Development Board has over its 16 4 regions? Just to assist those groups in developing Α. 5 their regional water plans by statutory deadlines. 6 7 MR. ROBLES: So, Theresa if you gave 8 me five minutes, I think I may be done. 9 MS. BARFIELD: Yes, that's fine. 10 MR. ROBLES: We'll come back -- it 11 would be 1:00 Mountain Time. 2:00 Central Time. 12 MS. BARFIELD: Great. MR. ROBLES: Thanks. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 14 15 12:55 p.m. We're off the record. 16 (A recess was taken from 12:55 p.m. to 17 1:03 p.m.) 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 19 1:03 p.m. We're on the record. 20 MR. ROBLES: Miss McKinnon, those are 21 all the questions I have for you. 22 Other attorneys may have questions for 23 you, but those are the questions I have. 24 Thank you, once again, for sitting for 25 this deposition.

Page 53 THE WITNESS: Sure. Thank you. MS. BARFIELD: Does anyone else have 3 questions? MR. DuBOIS: None from the U.S. MS. HALVORSEN: None from Colorado. MS. BARFIELD: Okay. We're all done. 7 Thank you. MR. ROBLES: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10 1:03 p.m. We're off the record. (Remote deposition concluded at 1:03 p.m., August 31, 2020.)

| | rage |
|----|---|
| 1 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES |
| - | BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL MASTER |
| 2 | HON. MICHAEL J. MELLOY |
| 3 | STATE OF TEXAS, § |
| | S S |
| 4 | Plaintiff, § |
| | S. |
| 5 | vs. § ORIGINAL ACTION |
| | § CASE NO.: 220141 |
| 6 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO, § (ORIGINAL 141) |
| | and STATE OF COLORADO, § |
| 7 | § |
| | Defendants. § |
| 8 | |
| 9 | * |
| 10 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 11 | REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF |
| 12 | TEMPLE MCKINNON |
| 13 | AUGUST 31, 2020 |
| 14 | * |
| 15 | I, Karen L. D. Schoeve, Registered Diplomate |
| 16 | Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Realtime |
| 17 | Systems Administrator, residing in the State of |
| 18 | Texas, do hereby certify that the foregoing |
| 19 | proceedings were reported by me and that the |
| 20 | foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true, and |
| 21 | correct transcription of my stenographic notes, to |
| 22 | the best of my ability and hereby certify to the |
| 23 | following: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |

÷

| Subscribed and sworn to on this the 8th day of |
|--|
| September, 2020. |
| HOTCA |
| |
| Janen J. J. Schoeve - |
| Karen L.D. Schoeve, CSR, RDR, CRR |
| Realtime Systems Administrator |
| Texas CSR No. 3354, Exp.: 10-31-2021 |
| NCRA Exp. Date: 09-30-21 |
| Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc. |
| Firm Certification No. 223 |
| 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235 |
| Houston, Texas 77027 |
| (713) 572-2000 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Job No. 65192 |

.

T.