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JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Should we get started, everyone? This is a status conference in Original No. 141 -- Supreme Court Original No. 141, Texas versus New Mexico, Colorado, and the United States. Let me start by asking who we have on the call or on the Zoom call. Who's on for Texas?

MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. This is Stuart Somach for the State of Texas, and with me are Theresa Barfield, Sarah Klahn, Rich Deitchman, Francis Goldsberry, and Robert Hoffman, all of my office. Also on the phone from the Attorney General's Office are Grant Dorfman and Priscilla Hubenak, and I believe Bobby Skov, the Rio Grande Commissioner for Texas, and the Engineer Advisor to the Rio Grande Commissioner, Suzy Valentine. If they're not on, they will be on.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. And for New Mexico, who do we have on? Mr. Wechsler, are you there? Who do we have -- anybody on for New Mexico?

MS. KHOURY: Your Honor, this is Cholla Khoury for New Mexico with the AG's office. I see that Mr . Wechsler appears to be having technical difficulties with his sound. It doesn't seem like he could hear us or we can hear him. So for New Mexico, I'm going to give it a shot. I apologize if I leave
someone off, but this is Cholla Khoury from the New Mexico Attorney General's Office. With me, I have Jeff Wechsler from Montgomery \& Andrews, Corinne Atton with New Mexico -- I'm going down the list -- Chris Shaw from the ISC. Excuse me. This is not as I would have it. John D'Antonio, the former state engineer; Estevan Lopez; Michael Kopp; Zach Ogaz from the Attorney General's Office. I'm sorry. I'm probably butchering it. That's who I have at a quick glance. JUDGE MELLOY: Was there anybody from New Mexico that was not identified other than Mr. Wechsler?

MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, this is John Draper for the State of New Mexico.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right.
MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
Lisa Thompson for the State of New Mexico.
MR. LONGWORTH: Good morning, Your
Honor. This is John Longworth, State of New Mexico.
MR. SCHMIDT-PETERSEN: And, Your Honor, this is Rolf Schmidt-Petersen, ISC Director for the State of New Mexico.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Okay.
MR. WECHSLER: I apologize for that,
Your Honor. Are you able to hear me now?

JUDGE MELLOY: I can. All right.
MR. WECHSLER: Good. Thank you. JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Do we have
everybody from your side, Mr. Wechsler? Did we miss anybody?

MS. PEGRAM: This is Page Pegram,
Engineer Advisor for New Mexico.
JUDGE MELLOY: Thank you.
MR. SULLIVAN: And Greg Sullivan with
Spronk Water Engineers.
JUDGE MELLOY: Thank you. Anyone else?
MR. ARMSTRONG: Jonas Armstrong with New
Mexico.
JUDGE MELLOY: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that last name. Jonas?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Armstrong.
JUDGE MELLOY: Jonas Armstrong. Thank you.

For Colorado, Mr. Wallace, are you on?
MR. WALLACE: Yes, I am. Good morning, Your Honor. This is Chad Wallace for the State of Colorado, joined also this morning by Preston Hartman.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Then for the
United States, I saw Mr. Leininger earlier. Who else do you have on, Mr. Leininger?

MR. LEININGER: Good morning, Your
Honor. For the United States Department of Justice, we have Judith Coleman and Jennifer Najjar and Seth Allison. From the Department of Interior Solicitor's Office, we have Chris Rich, Shelly Randel; and from the Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation, Ian Ferguson, Michelle Estrada-Lopez.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority?

MR. BROCKMANN: Good morning, Your
Honor. This is Jim Brockmann for the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority.

JUDGE MELLOY: City of El Paso?
MR. CAROOM: Good morning, Your Honor. Doug Caroom and Susan Maxwell for the City of El Paso.

JUDGE MELLOY: City of Las Cruces?
MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jay Stein for the City of Las Cruces. Joining me is Delila Walsh, the director of Las Cruces Utilities; Adrienne Widmer, the assistant director; and Robert Caballo from the City Attorney's Office.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. El Paso
County Water Improvement District No. 1?
MS. O'BRIEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water Improvement
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District No. 1. With me this morning is Renea Hicks; the general manager for the district, Jesus Reyes; and the district engineer, Dr. Al Blair.

JUDGE MELLOY: Elephant Butte Irrigation
District?
MS. BARNCASTLE: Good morning, Your
Honor. Samantha Barncastle for the Elephant Butte Irrigation District, and with me this morning is our treasury manager, Gary Esslinger, and our district engineer, Dr. Phil King.

JUDGE MELLOY: Thank you. Hudspeth
County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1?
Anybody on for Hudspeth County?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then New
Mexico pecan growers?
MS . DAVIDSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
Tessa Davidson for New Mexico pecan growers.
JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Anybody on
for New Mexico State University?
MR. UTTON: Yes, Your Honor. This is
John Utton. Good morning.
JUDGE MELLOY: Southern Rio Grande
Diversified Crop Farmers Association?
MR. OLSEN: Good morning, Your Honor,
A.J. Olsen.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. I assume nobody is on for state of Kansas.

All right. Oh, I should add, I did see our -- our mediator, Judge Boylan, on so $I$ know he's on, as well. Did I miss anybody?

All right. If not, I did receive a short e-mail from Judge Boylan over the weekend indicating that you had three days of mediation. You're progressing, but I guess not there yet would be the way to put it. Where do you think you are, Mr. Somach?

MR. SOMACH: Well, we've spent quite a few -- quite a bit of time now, we've had, I think, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 -- roughly two weeks straight of face-to-face meetings. In addition to that, we've had significant meetings that took place among technical folks separate and apart from the meetings that we've had where they've reported back to the -- to the principals in terms of moving forward with the -- with the mediation. State of Texas is optimistic that we will be able to find a path forward. Optimism isn't certainty, of course, but -- but we are optimistic. We certainly believe that all the parties are working toward a resolution -- settlement resolution in good
faith.
You know, the significant issue we have is we have a trial date coming up shortly. We have a lot of work to do, technical as well as sitting down and -- and moving through the mediation, and so one of the things that we have discussed is the question of whether or not we need more time. This goes to the question that got raised last time about a potential stay, and I -- I believe, but I'll let the other parties and Judge Boylan address this also, but from a State of Texas perspective, we believe that a continuance or stay of the trial date would facilitate our being able to -- to obtain a settlement, if a settlement is obtainable. We have, right now, scheduled technical meetings with report backs in early February, February 4th. We have meetings that we've scheduled among the principals, four meetings, two the week of the -- for the 7th -- I'm going to forget the dates, but two in the second week of -- of -- of February in Denver, and two the 23 rd and 24 th, I think, also in Denver, Denver being selected because most parties can get there easily with one -- with no -- no layover anywhere. But we are -- we are moving diligently so we've got a schedule in mind in terms of moving forward.

I'm not sure if that entirely responds to your question, but -- but at least that, from the State of Texas' perspective, is our view of where we're at right now.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I do have a couple questions, but before $I$ turn to those, let me ask the principals what their position is on both the issue of where they think you are in terms of settlement and, also, Mr. Somach's suggestion that the trial be continued and the case stayed while the negotiations proceed. Mr. Wechsler, what's New Mexico's position?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Your Honor. We agree with much of what Mr. Somach just said. We appreciate the efforts of all of the parties and of Judge Boylan. We also are very encouraged by the progress that are being made, but as you know, these are -- it's a case with a number of complex and nuanced issues. We think that settlement has the potential for a more creative and flexible solution than litigation does, and so we -- we certainly think that continued talks and working towards a mutual agreement makes sense. We also agree that a settlement can't be reached in the next, say, several weeks, and, therefore, it's important to be able to devote our resources in the next two/three months to
seeing if we can't come to an agreement, and then only at that point if it's not possible to reach an agreement, turning to -- back to the litigation.

And so we think it's a good idea to stay the March 14 th date but just set a firm date. I understand given your schedule, we're probably looking at August of this year, and I also think it would be important to ask the parties to provide status reports to you with a final status report probably at the end of May as to whether or not we want to hold that August trial date, if that's still possible.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Mr. Wallace, what's your position?

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor. Colorado agrees with the other parties that we're optimistic about the talks and think that the parties are making progress and agree with the requests that they're making of you this morning.

JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Leininger, what's your position?

MR. LEININGER: We -- we concur with other counsel's statements, Your Honor. It was an intense three days of negotiations last week, and we're encouraged by the results. We're committing quite a bit of resources from our Reclamation
engineers toward this effort. We think there is a path -- potential path to settle this case and so we could concur with the request for stay of the current March 14 th restarted trial and allow the parties to continue their settlement efforts.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I think I said this back in the spring of 2020 when -- when our original mediator was selected and we started talking about the possibility of mediation and $I$ think I said that if we got to a point where the parties were asking for a stay to allow settlement to go forward, then $I$ would be guided to a large extent by the views of the mediator so I'm glad Judge Boylan is here. I guess I'd ask for his views on where he thinks we are and whether a stay is appropriate at this time, given the fact that probably means we won't start the trial for a few months.

Where do you think we are, Judge?
JUDGE BOYLAN: Good morning, Judge, and thank you. I would confirm each of the comments made by the other attorneys and believe that it's appropriate to have the Court consider a continuance. It's very complex. Everyone has been working very hard, and $I$ believe that if we get a trial date in March, it would not allow the parties to really
adequately and fully address their settlement efforts, and I'm hoping that the Court is open to the request that it be continued to a later date.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, let me ask this before I make any final decision: Do any of the amici want to be heard on this issue?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: I assume that silence is acquiescence at this point.

MS. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, I would just like to briefly comment. Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. I think we would support what was articulated to the extent that we have been directly involved with discussions and understand there has been progress made, and we think the parties should be allowed an opportunity to pursue a possible path forward to settlement and would articulate that we think that the District's involvement in a potential successful settlement is -is critical, and we stand ready to be involved and assist as appropriate in that effort.

JUDGE MELLOY: Have you been involved in any of the discussions, Ms. O'Brien?

MS. O'BRIEN: I would say indirectly, we have been. The issues are -- I think wouldn't
surprise Your Honor -- directly impacting issues that are unique to the districts and Reclamation in terms of trying to find a path forward. So, yes, we have been involved. I would say it's been more indirect at this point in terms of communications through and with United States. A little bit more this last three days, but I think as we move forward, that involvement will need to increase, frankly, in terms of this to allow for efficient and effective movement forward. JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I'm not sure -- I don't know if it's Mr. Wechsler or maybe Judge Boylan, but somebody had mentioned they thought two to three months for negotiations. What -- what do you -- what do the parties think is a realistic time frame to get this either done or know it's not going to settle? Mr. Somach, do you have any thoughts on that?

MR. SOMACH: We did talk about the fact that, you know, we were aware of the fact that in terms of a trial date, it would probably be August. In that context, we talked about internal -- you know, some internal within that period of time, time limit. I think the two to three months that Mr. Wechsler mentioned is probably appropriate because we will need time, if we reach agreement, to develop the actual
materials and documents. I envision that we'll need an evidentiary hearing before you because I think all the parties agree that at least some of what we're talking about, we -- we may want to imbed in a decree, which would require a recommendation from you. There's a lot of technical materials that would back up what we would propose. And so I think it'll just take some time, two to three months, for us to pull some of that or all of that together, and then, you know, if we're not successful, then, of course, we've got to get ready for trial, and that -- there will need to be some advance time, and so I think the two to three months is a good target for us to -- to -- to decide whether we've settled or whether we have to go to trial. That gives us enough time to do the work towards settlement and also should provide enough time should we not reach settlement for us to get ready for -- for trial. So I think that May date that -- you know, that final status report to you some time toward the end of May that Mr. Wechsler suggested is probably appropriate, at least from the Texas perspective.

JUDGE MELLOY: And you agree,
Mr. Wechsler?
MR. WECHSLER: I do, Your Honor. As
Judge Boylan mentioned, assuming that you allow the
stay of the litigation, I think all of the parties are intending to devote very significant resources now, as Mr. Leininger mentioned, to the various components of the settlement evaluating, understanding, working with the other parties, the technical folks working together. I think by the end of May, we should know at that point whether or not we've at least reached an agreement in principle or at least we'd be in a position to tell you, unfortunately, we weren't able to get to the finish line, and we need to reengage the -- with litigation in the August time frame.

JUDGE MELLOY: Do you see -- at this point, is there any constituency within either of the states or the federal government, I guess, but mainly within the states that -- that will be seriously opposed to the direction that you're headed in at this point?

MR. WECHSLER: I don't -- I'm not aware of any, Your Honor, and I think all of the parties have been -- done a very good job of trying to keep everyone informed and involved. Many of the -- as Ms. O'Brien alluded to, many of the amici have been indirectly involved. Some have had the opportunity to be presenting reports to Judge Boylan and the parties, and one of the reasons that $I$ think at least New

Mexico is encouraged is that everyone is moving in the same direction and so when we say there's progress, we don't see what the -- the obstacles right now that may present themselves. We do see a number of relatively difficult issues that still need to be worked through so I don't want to give any impression that there's a complete agreement on things, but everyone is moving in the same direction.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, does anybody else have anything they want to say?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: All right. If not, then I'll -- I'll grant the request. We'll stay the case, take it off the trial calendar for March 4th. I'm going to ask, before $I$ set a firm -- a new trial date, I'm going to wait probably a couple or maybe even a month to do that, but we're basically looking at August or early September so people should assume that a good part of their fall -- early fall is going to be taken up with the trial if it doesn't settle and should plan accordingly. I'm going to ask that by the end of February -- I don't have my calendar in front of me. Let me just take a quick look here. But I'd like a written status report, and let me give you a date on that. Let's see. Let's -- let's say by March

1st, I'd like a written status report as to where you are in terms of -- of the discussions and the -whether more negotiations are progressing, whether you still are reasonably optimistic it could be -- it could be settled, and just -- I don't think you necessarily need to get everybody together on a call like this unless -- unless the parties think there's a reason to. If there's -- of course, if you think there is a reason to get everybody on the -- on a Zoom, that's -- we can certainly do that easy enough. But -- but let's do a written status report March 1st, and then I'll decide at that point whether to set a firm trial date, but, again, $I$ think we all know that it's probably going to be late summer and well into the early fall to -- to try this case.

Anything else?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: All right. If not, then
I appreciate everybody's time, and hopefully -- good luck. Keep working. We'll be back in -- I guess, back to see you in a few weeks. Thank you, everybody.
(The proceedings adjourned at 10:23 a.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:25 9:21 10:2 | 17:19 18:7 | 16:13,18,19,19 | 9:24,25 10:1 | 16:8,13 |
| 10:11,14,17,24 | IOWA 1:16 | 17:4,8,22 | 15:19,21 20:3 | meetings 12:16 |
| 11:7,17,21,25 | Irrigation 5:5 | 18:10,11 19:22 | let's 21:25,25,25 | 12:17,18 13:15 |
| 14:12 15:14,22 | 11:4,8 | 19:25 20:12,24 | 22:11 | 13:16,17 |
| 17:10 18:1 | ISC 8:5,21 | 21:9,12 22:18 | limit 18:22 | MELLOY 1:15 |
| 19:24 20:19 | issue 13:2 14:7 | Judith 4:6 10:3 | Lincoln 3:3 | 7:2,17 8:10,15 |
| HONORABLE | 17:6 | judith.colema... | line 20:10 | 8:23 9:1,3,8,11 |
| 1:15 | issues 14:18 | 4:9 | Lisa 3:2 8:17 | 9:14,17,23 |
| hopefully 22:19 | 17:25 18:1 | Justice 4:2,7 | list 8:4 | 10:8,13,16,22 |
| hoping 17:2 | 21:5 | 10:2 | litigation 14:20 | 11:4,11,15,19 |
| Houston 6:19 | it'll 19:7 | jwechsler@m... | 15:3 20:1,11 | 11:23 12:2 |
| 23:24 |  | 2:24 | little 18:6 | 14:5 15:12,19 |
| Hubenak 2:16 | J |  | LLC 3:8 5:6 6:2 | 16:6 17:4,8,22 |
| 7:13 | James 5:11 | K | LLP 5:17 | 18:10 19:22 |
| Hudspeth 11:11 | JANUARY 1:14 | Kansas 12:3 | Longworth 8:18 | 20:12 21:9,12 |
| 11:13 | Jay 5:22 10:18 | keep 20:20 | 8:19 | 22:18 |
|  | jcbrockmann. | 22:20 | look 2 | mentioned |
| I |  | KERY 6:8 | looking 15:6 | 18:12,24 19:25 |
| Ian 10:6 | Jeff 8:3 | Khoury 3:12 | 21:17 | 20:3 |
| idea 15:4 | Jeffrey 2:22 | 7:20,21 8:1 | Lopez 8:7 | Mexico 1:11 |
| identified 8:1 | Jennifer 4:7 | kin 23:13 | lot 13:4 19:6 | 2:21,23 3:9,13 |
| II 2:5 | 10:3 | King 11:10 | lthompson@t... | 3:14 4:23 5:7 |
| imbed 19:4 | jennifer.naj | Klahn 2:12 7:10 | 3:5 | 5:13,23 6:1,4,6 |
| impacting 18:1 | 4:10 | know 12:5 13:2 | luck 22:20 | 6:9,12,14 7:5 |
| important 14:24 | Jesus 11:2 | 14:16 18:11,15 |  | 7:18,19,21,24 |
| 15:8 | jfstein@newm... | 18:19,21 19:10 | M | 8:2,4,11,14,17 |
| impression 21:6 | 5:24 | 19:19 20:6 | M 2:1,16 3:2 | 8:19,22 9:7,13 |
| Improvement | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { J i m }} 10: 11$ | 22:13 | Main 5:7 | 11:16,18,20 |
| 4:20 10:23,25 | job 20:20 | Kopp 3:2 8:7 | making 15:17,18 | 21:1 |
| 17:12 | John 3:7 6:7 8:6 | L | Mall 2:6 | Mexico's 14:11 |
| increase 18:8 | 8:13,19 11:22 |  | manager 11:2,9 | mgoldsberry. |
| indicating 12:9 indirect 18:4 | john.draper@ ... | L 2:3 6:18 23:3 23:19 | March 15:5 16:4 16:25 21:14,25 | 2:9 |
| indirect 18:4 indirectly 17:24 | 3:10 | Lake 4:18 | 16:25 21:14,25 22:11 | Michael 1:15 |
| 20:23 | 6:10 | large 16:12 | Maria 4:21 | elle |
| informed 20:21 | joined 9:22 | Las 5:7,10,21 | 10:25 17:11 | mind 13:24 |
| intending 20:2 | Joining 10:18 | 10:16,18,19 | MASTER 1:15 | mkopp@trout... |
| intense 15:23 | Jonas 9:12,15,17 | late 22:14 | materials 19:1,6 | 3:5 |
| interested 23:13 | Judge 1:15 7:2 | LAW 3:19 5:1,6 | MAX 5:1 | mobrien@mo... |
| Interior 4:12,17 | 7:17 8:10,15 | 6:2 | Maxwell 5:17 | $4: 24$ |
| 10:4,6 | 8:23 9:1,3,8,11 | Lawrence 2:13 | 10:15 | MODRALL |
| internal 18:21 | 9:14,17,23 | layover 13:23 | MCREA 6:13 | 4:22 |
| 18:22 | 10:8,13,16,22 | leave 7:25 | means 16:16 | Montgomery |
| involved 17:14 | 11:4,11,15,19 | Lee 4:2 | mediation 12:9 | 2:22 8:3 |
| 17:20,22 18:4 | 11:23 12:2,5,8 | lee.leininger@... | 12:21 13:5 | month 21:17 |
| 20:21,23 | 13:10 14:5,15 | 4:4 | 16:9 | months 14:25 |
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| 16:17 18:13,23 | O 2:17:1 | 23:10,14 | priscilla.hube... | reason 22:8,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:8,13 | O'Brien 4:21 | Paseo 2:23 3:9 | 2:19 | reasonably $22: 4$ |
| MoPac 5:18 | 10:24,25 17:10 | Paso 4:20 5:15 | probably 8:8 | reasons 20:25 |
| morning 8:16,18 | 17:11,23,24 | 10:13,15,22,25 | 15:6,9 16:16 | receive 12:7 |
| 9:20,22 10:1 | 20:22 | 17:11 | 18:20,24 19:20 | Reclamation |
| 10:10,14,17,24 | obstacles 21:3 | path 12:22 16:2 | 21:16 22:14 | 10:6 11:12 |
| 11:1,6,8,17,22 | obtain 13:13 | 16:2 17:17 | proceed 14:11 | 15:25 18:2 |
| 11:25 15:18 | obtainable | 18:3 | proceedings | recommendati... |
| 16:19 | 13:14 | pecan 6:1,12 | 22:22 23:8 | 19:5 |
| move 18:7 | office 2:17,18 | 11:16,18 | progress 14:16 | reengage 20:10 |
| movement 18:9 | 3:13,14 4:8 5:1 | Pegram 9:6,6 | 15:17 17:15 | Registration |
| moving 12:20 | 5:2,12,23 6:3,8 | people 21:18 | 21:2 | 23:23 |
| 13:5,23,25 | 7:11,12,21 8:2 | Peralta 2:23 3:9 | progressing | regular 23:10,12 |
| 21:1,7 | 8:8 10:5,21 | period 18:22 | 12:10 22:3 | relatively $21: 4$ |
| mutual 14:21 | 23:16 | perspective | propose 19:7 | REMOTE 1:14 |
| N | Ogaz 3:13 8:7 | 13:11 14:3 | provide 15:8 | Renea 5:1,1 11:1 |
|  | Oh | 9:21 | 9:16 | rep |
| $17: 1$ | Okay 8:23 9:3 | Phil 11:10 | pull 19:8 | 15:9 19:19 |
| N.W 4:22 | 9:23 15:12 | phone 7:12 | pursue 17:16 | 21:24 22:1,11 |
| Najjar 4:7 10:3 | 17:4 | place 12:17 | put 12:11 | reported 12:19 |
| name 9:15 | Olsen 6:13,13 | plan 21:21 |  | Reporter 6:17 |
| necessarily 22:6 | 11:25 12:1 | point 15:2 16:10 | Q | 23:4 |
| need 13:7 18:8 | open 17:2 | 17:9 18:5 20:7 | question 1 | Reporters 6:18 |
| 18:24 19:1,12 | opportunity | 20:13,17 22:12 | 14:2 | 23:23 |
| 20:10 21:5 | 17:16 20:23 | position 14:7,11 | questions 14:6 | reports 15:8 |
| 22:6 | opposed 20:16 | 15:13,20 20:9 | quick 8:9 21:23 | 20:24 |
| negotiations | Optimism 12:22 | possibility 16:9 | quite 12:13,14 | request 16:3 |
| 14:10 15:23 | optimistic 12:21 | possible 15:2,11 | 15:25 | 17:2 21:13 |
| 18:13 22:3 | 12:23 15:16 | 17:17 | R | requests 15:17 |
| new 1:11 2:21 | 22:4 | Post 2:18 3:14 |  | require 19:5 |
| 2:23 3:9,13,14 | original 1:3 7:4 | 4:8 5:2,12,23 | R 2:1, $14: 25$ | resolution 12:25 |
| 4:23 5:7,13,23 | 7:4 16:7 | 6:3,8 | raised 13.8 | 12:25 |
| 6:1,4,6,9,12,14 |  | potential 13:8 | raised 13:8 <br> RALEY 3.3 | resources 14:25 |
| 7:5,17,19,21 | P | 14:19 16:2 | RALEY 3:3 | 15:25 20:2 |
| 7:24 8:1,4,11 | P 2:1,1 7:1 | :19 | Randel 4:12 | responds 14:1 |
| 8:14,17,19,22 | P.A 4:22 5:12,22 | present 21:4 | $10: 5$ | response 11:14 |
| 9:7,12 11:15 | 6:8 | presenting | RAPIDS 1:16 | 17:7 21:11 |
| 11:18,20 14:11 | Page 9:6 | 20:24 | rdeitchman@... | 22:17 |
| 20:25 21:15 | pages 23:6 | Preston 3:19 | 2:10 | restarted |
| North 6:14 | part 21:19 | 9:22 | reach 15:2 18:25 | results 15:24 |
| November 23:17 | parties 12:24 | preston.hartm... | 19:17 | Reyes 11:2 |
| nuanced 14:18 | 13:10,22 14:14 | 3:22 | reached 14:23 | rhicks@ renea |
| number 14:17 | 15:8,15,16 | principals 12:20 | 20:7 | 5:3 |
| 21:4 | 16:4,10,25 | 13:17 14:7 | ready 17:2 | rhoffman@so... |
| NW 4:13 | 17:16 18:14 | principle 20:8 |  | 2:9 |
| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 320: 1,5,19 \\ & 20: 2422: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Priscilla 2:16 } \\ & 7: 13 \end{aligned}$ | realistic 18:14 really 16:25 | $\operatorname{Rich} 4: 167: 10$ |
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| Richard 2:5 | sense 14:22 | South 4:17 5:7 | suggestion 14:9 | 16:20 22:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Richardson 6:14 | separate 12:18 | Southern 6:11 | Suite 2:6,13 3:3 | Theresa 2:4 7:10 |
| right 7:2,17 8:15 | September | 11:23 | 4:3,17 5:7,18 | things 13:6 21:7 |
| 8:23 9:1 10:8 | 21:18 | SPECIAL 1:15 | 6:19 23:24 | think 12:11,14 |
| 10:22 11:15,19 | seriously 20:15 | spent 12:13 | Sullivan 9:9,9 | 13:21 14:8,18 |
| 12:2,4,7 13:14 | set 15:5 21:15 | SPERLING | summer 22:14 | 14:20 15:4,7 |
| 14:4 21:3,12 | 22:12 | 4:22 | support 17:13 | 15:16 16:1,6,9 |
| 22:18 | Seth 10:3 | spring 16:7 | Supreme 1:6 7:4 | 16:18 17:12,15 |
| Rio 6:11 7:14,15 | settle 16:2 18:15 | Spronk 9:10 | sure 14:1 18:10 | 17:18,25 18:7 |
| 11:23 | 21:20 | ssomach @ So... | surprise 18:1 | 18:14,23 19:2 |
| Road 6:3 | settled 19:14 | 2:8 | Susan 5:17 | 19:7,12,18 |
| Robert 2:4 7:11 | 22:5 | stand 17:20 | 10:15 | 20:1,6,19,25 |
| 10:21 | settlement 12:25 | start 7:6 16:16 | Suzy 7:16 | 22:5,7,8,13 |
| ROEHL 4:22 | 13:13,14 14:8 | started 7:3 16:8 | T | thinks 16:14 |
| Rolf 8:21 | 14:18,23 16:5 | state 1:9,11,11 | T | Thompson 3:2 |
| Roswell 6:14 | 16:11 17:1,17 | 2:2,17,21 3:17 | T 2:1 6:2 | 8:16,17 |
| roughly 12:15 | 17:19 19:16,17 | 4:17 6:6 7:9 | take 19:8 21:14 | thought 18:12 |
| RPR 23:19 | 20:4 | 8:6,14,17,19 | 21:23 | thoughts 18:16 |
|  | SEVENTH 1:15 | 8:22 9:21 | taken 21:20 | three 12:9 15:23 |
| S | Shaw 8:5 | 11:20 12:3,21 | 23:11 | 18:6,12,23 |
| S 2:1,5 5:18 7:1 | Shelly 4:12 10:5 | 13:11 14:3 | talk 18:18 | 19:8,13 |
| Sacramento 2:7 | shelly.randel... | 23:4 | talked 18:21 | time 12:14 13:7 |
| Salt 4:18 | 4:14 | stated 23:5 | talking 16:8 | 13:8 16:15 |
| Samantha 5:6 | short 12:8 | statements | 19:4 | 18:14,22,22,25 |
| 11:7 | Shorthand 23:4 | 15:22 | talks 14:2 | 19:8,12,15,16 |
| samantha@h... | shortly 13:3 | states 1:6,15 4:1 | 15:16 | 19:19 20:11 |
| 5:8 | shot 7:25 | 7:6 9:24 10:2 | target 19:13 | 22:19 23:8 |
| Santa 2:23 3:9 | side 9:4 | 18:6 20:14,15 | tbarfield@so | transcript 1:14 |
| 3:14 5:13,23 | significant 12:17 | status 7:3 15:8,9 | 2:8 | 23:7 |
| 6:9 | 13:2 20:2 | 19:19 21:24 | technical 7:22 | treasury 11:9 |
| Sarah 2:12 | silence 17:8 | 22:1,11 23:8 | 12:17 13:4,15 | trial 13:3,12 |
| saw 9:24 | SIMMONS 2:6 | 23:11 | 19:6 20:5 | 14:9 15:11 |
| schedule 13:24 | 2:12 | stay 13:9,12 | tell 20:9 | 16:4,16,24 |
| 15:6 | SISK 4:22 | 15:4 16:3,11 | terms 12:20 | 18:20 19:11,15 |
| scheduled 13:15 | sitting 13:4 | 16:15 20:1 | 13:24 14:8 | 19:18 21:14,15 |
| 13:17 | sklahn@soma... | 21:13 | 18:2,5,8,20 | 21:20 22:13 |
| Schmidt-Peter | 2:14 | stayed 14:10 | 22:2 | TROUT 3:3 |
| 8:20,21 | Skov 7:14 | Stein 5:12,22,22 | Tessa 6:2 11:18 | true 23:6,7 |
| SE 1:16 | Solicitor's 10: | 10:17,18 | Texas 1:9 2:2,17 | try $22: 15$ |
| SEAL 23:16 | solution 14:19 | straight 12:15 | 2:18 5:2,18 | trying 18:3 |
| second 13:19 | Somach 2:3,6,12 | Street 2:13 3:3 | 6:19 7:5,7,9,14 | 20:20 |
| see 7:21 12:4 | 7:8,9 12:12,13 | 4:3,13,17,22 | 12:21 13:11 | ttd@tessadavi... |
| 20:12 21:3,4 | 14:13 18:16,18 | 6:19 | 19:21 23:4 | 6:5 |
| 21:25 22:21 | Somach's 14:9 | Stuart 2:3 7:9 | Texas' 14:3 | ur |
| seeing 15:1 | somebody 18:12 | successful 17:19 | thank 9:2,8,11 | turning 15:3 |
| selected 13:21 | sorry 8:8 9:14 | 19:10 | 9:17 11:11 | two 12:15 13:18 |
| 16:8 | sound 7:23 | suggested 19:20 | 14:12 15:14 | 13:19,20 18:12 |
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| 18:23 19:8,12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { way } 12: 11 \\ & \text { we'll } 19: 121: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 3 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 792-3636 6:4 } \\ \text { 7th 3:20 13:18 } \\ \text { 23:17 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| two/three 14:25 |  | 04-30-24 23:20 | 300 5:18 |  |
| TX 23:24 | we're 14:4 15:6 |  | 3000 6:19 23:24 |  |
| U |  | 1 | 303 3:4 4:4 | 8 |
| U.S 4:2,7,12,17 |  | 14:20 10:23 | 303187 5:2 | 812:14 |
| understand 15:6 | we've 12:13,14 | $1012: 15$ | 32:23 3:9 | 800 6:20 |
| 17:15 | 12:16,18 13:17 | 10:23 22:22 | 329-4672 $\mathbf{3 7 0 4}$-3 | 800-745-1101 |
| understanding | 13:24 19:10,14 | 1000 2:6 | 3711 5:18 | 23:25 |
| 20:4 | 20:7 | 11 12:15 | 37115.18 | 801 4:18 |
| unfortunately | Wechsler 2:22 | 11:00 1:16 | 4 | 80202 4:3 |
| 20:9 | 7:18,22 8:3,12 | 1100 5:7 | 4206 6:3 | 80203 3:4,20 |
| unique 18:2 | 8:24 9:2,4 | 111 1:15 | 446-7979 2:7 | 80205 2:13 |
| United 1:6,15 | 14:11,12 18:11 | 1120 3:3 | 463-2012 2:19 | 8262 23:20 |
| 4:17:5 9:24 | 18:23 19:20,23 | 113 2:13 | 472-8021 5:19 | 84138 4:18 |
| 10:2 18:6 | 19:24 20:18 | 12 12:15 | 480-8231 5:3 | 844-1375 4:4 |
| University 6:6 | week 13:18,19 | 125 4:17 | 4th 13:16 21:14 | 848-1800 4:23 |
| 11:20 | 15:23 | 12548 2:18 |  | 861-1963 3:4 |
| Utah 4:18 | weekend 12:8 | 13 12:15 | 5 | 87048 6:4 |
| Utilities 10:20 | weeks 12:15 | 1300 3:20 | 500 2:6 4:22 | 87103 4:23 |
| Utility 5:10 10:9 | 14:24 22:21 | 141 1:3 7:4,4 | 505 2:24 3:10,15 | 87501 2:23 3:9 |
| 10:12 | weren't 20:9 | 14th 15:5 16:4 | 4:23 5:13,24 | 3:14 |
| Utton 6:7,8 | Weslayan 6:19 | 1508 3:14 | 6:4,9 | 87504 5:13,23 |
| 11:21,22 | 23:24 | 1600 3:3 | 508-6281 3:21 | 6:9 |
| V | Widmer 10:20 | 1849 4:13 | $5122: 19$ 5:3,19 | 88005 5:7 |
|  | work 13:4 19:15 | 18th 4:3 | 514-3553 4:9 | 88202 6:14 |
| v 1:10 3:19 <br> Valentine 7:16 | worked 21:5 | 1st 22:1,11 | 524-5677 4:18 | 9 |
| various 20:3 | working 12:2 | 2 | 570-4591 3:10 | $912: 15$ |
| versus 7:5 | $20: 4,5 \quad 22: 20$ | 20 5:7 | 575 5:8 6:15 | $9162: 7$ |
| view 14:3 | Worldwide 6:18 | 20044 4:8 |  | $958142: 7$ |
| views 16:12,14 | :23 | 202 4:9,14 | 6 | 983-3880 5:13 |
| W | wouldn't 17:25 | 2020 16:7 | 604 6:14 | 5:24 |
| W 6:7 | written 21:24 | 2022 1:14 23:17 | 6201 4:17 | 986-2637 2:24 |
| wait 21:16 | 22:1,11 | 20240 4:13 | 624-2463 6:1 | 999 4:3 |
| Wallace 3:18 | X | 2067 5:12,23 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 3 6 - 2 3 7 7} 5: 8 \\ & \mathbf{6 9 9 - 1 4 4 5} 6: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 9:19,20,21 |  | 208-5432 4:14 | 698-1445 |  |
| 15:12,14 | Y |  | 7 |  |
| Walsh 10:19 | year 15:7 | 235 6:19 23:24 | 720 2:14 3:21 |  |
| want 15:10 17:6 |  | $2386 \text { 6:8 }$ | 745-1101 6:20 |  |
| 19:4 21:6,10 | Z | $\begin{aligned} & 2386 \text { 6:8 } \\ & \text { 23rd 13:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 7611 4:8 |  |
| Washington 4:8 | Zach 8:7 | $24 \text { 1:14 }$ | 77027 6:19 |  |
| 4:13 | Zachary 3:13 | $\text { 24th } 13: 2$ | 23:24 |  |
| Water 4:20 5:10 | zogaz@nmag... | $2701 \text { 2:13 }$ | 78703 5:2 |  |
| 9:10 10:9,12 | 3:16 | 279-7868 2:14 | 78711 2:18 |  |
| 10:23,25 17:12 | Zoom 7:7 22:10 | 279-7868 2.14 | 78746 5:18 |  |
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