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P R O C E E D I N G S
JUDGE MELLOY: Good morning, everyone. We're here this morning in connection with State of Texas versus State of New Mexico, State of Colorado, and the United States as intervenor, United States Supreme Court Original No. 141. I -- I don't think this will take too long, but $I$ just wanted to have this discussion this morning for purposes of determining if there's any logistical issues we need to hammer out before the hearing next Monday, and I am going to ask the -- each of the parties to enter their appearance, but as you do so, one of the things we'd like to have some idea about is how many people plan to be here if we -- I think we have plenty of room in the court -- courtroom. But in case there's more than I'm anticipating, I'd like to get a head's up.

So as I go through, I'd like to start with you, Mr. Somach. Enter your appearances and also tell me how many people you think you'll have in the courtroom with you next week. So let's start with Texas.

MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. My name is Stuart Somach, counsel of record for the State of Texas. With me in terms of appearances are Theresa Barfield, Sarah Klahn, Francis Goldsberry, Robert

Hoffman, all from my office. Also on the phone is Priscilla Hubenak from the State Attorney General's Office and Bobby Skov, the Rio Grande Commissioner. In terms of how many people we will have, right now, it looks to me like we will have -- and let me just do a quick count here, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine at the most. That would be the maximum amount I'm anticipating. Ten, including myself. Sorry.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. And that includes any non attorneys who might be --

MR. SOMACH: Yes, it does.
JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right.
Mr. Wechsler?
MR. WECHSLER: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff Wechsler on behalf of the State of New Mexico. We have Cholla Khoury, the chief deputy for the New Mexico Attorney General's Office; Zach Ogaz from the Attorney General's Office; Lisa Thompson and Michael Kopp from Trout Raley; John Draper from Draper \& Draper; Corinne Atton from Draper \& Draper; the State Engineer and Rio Grande Compact Commissioner, Mike Hamman; Rolf Schmidt-Petersen, the Director of the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission; and Nat Chakeres, the general counsel for the Office of the State

Engineer.
I'll just alert you. We are intending to file a new certificate of service. You had asked about the new attorney general. We'll be filing that shortly. As to the number of people at the hearing, we anticipate nine, and that includes non-attorneys. In fact, I'd say the majority of those are non-attorneys who will not be at counsel table. I think there will be three to four attorneys.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then for Colorado, Mr. Wallace?

MR. WALLACE: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Chad Wallace for the State of Colorado. I'm joined this morning by Preston Hartman, also from our office at the Attorney General's Office. We also have joining, Kevin Rein, the State Engineer and Rio Grande Compact Commissioner, and Mike Sullivan, the Deputy State Engineer. Colorado plans on bringing two people to the hearing next week.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. For the United States, Mr. Leininger? I think you're muted. Mr. Leininger, we're not able to hear you, at least I'm not able to.

MR. LEININGER: Can you hear me now? JUDGE MELLOY: Yes.

MR. LEININGER: Okay. My apologies. We received new laptop computers, and we have to adjust the settings.

JUDGE MELLOY: I appreciate your pain. We got all -- our system was updated over the last week or so, so I was -- we had to -- we did two test sessions to see if $I$ could even get on this morning. So nothing like a software update to make your Monday morning go smoothly. Go ahead.

MR. LEININGER: Yes, Your Honor. This morning for the United States appearing, Lee Leininger, Judy Coleman, Department of Justice, Jennifer Najjar, Department of Justice, and Department of Interior, Chris Rich from the solicitor's office, Shelly Randel, solicitor's office, Michelle Estrada-Lopez, Bureau of Reclamation, and I believe Jennifer Faler, also with Reclamation.

And then next week, Your Honor, we anticipate five, between DOJ and Department of Interior.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Then the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority?

MR. BROCKMANN: Good morning, Your
Honor. This is Jim Brockmann for the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority, and I will
be in attendance next week, and I may be joined by one representative from the Water Authority. I'm not sure whether there will be one or two of us.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. City of El Paso?
MR. CAROOM: Good morning, Your Honor.
Doug Caroom and Susan Maxwell for the City of El Paso, and we anticipate only one person at the hearing next week.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. City of Las

## Cruces?

MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jay Stein for the City of Las Cruces. I'm joined by Adrienne Widmer, the executive director of Las Cruces Joint Utilities, Jocelyn Garrison, the acting city attorney, and Brad Douglas from the city attorney's office. I will be joined next week by Ms. Widmer, the executive director of Las Cruces Joint Utilities.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1, Ms. O'Brien?

MS. O'BRIEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1, and on today, as well, is Renea Hicks, counsel for EP1. We anticipate having three people at the hearing next week.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Elephant Butte
Irrigation District?
MS. BARNCASTLE: Good morning, Your
Honor. Samantha Barncastle for EBID, and at this time we're still trying to nail down travel plans so there will be anywhere from one to three of us next week.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Hudspeth County
Conservation Reclamation District?
MR. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor.
This is Drew Miller on behalf of the Hudspeth District, and I would like to observe by -- remotely, if possible.

JUDGE MELLOY: That's fine. We'll talk about that in a minute. All right. New Mexico pecan growers?

MS. DAVIDSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
Tessa Davidson on behalf of pecan growers, and I will be the only one attending next week.

JUDGE MELLOY: New Mexico State
University?
MR. UTTON: Good morning, Your Honor.
This is John Utton on behalf of University. Also joining us today on -- on this meeting is Scott Field from the general counsel's office, and Scott Eschenbrenner, special assistant to the president --
from the president's office. Next week, I will be the only one attending in person, although $I$ know there are a number of people that do wish to observe remotely.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And then Southern Rio Grande Diversified Crop Farmers Association?

MR. OLSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. A.J. Olsen on behalf of the crop farmers. I'll be -due to family medical issue, I will not be able to attend, Your Honor. Ms. Davidson -- I would like to attend virtually, if possible, but Ms. Davidson will present -- make any presentations also on behalf of the crop farmers.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right. So if my count is correct here, we're not likely to have more than 40 or so people in attendance, and that should be no problem. We may have problems getting all the attorneys at counsel tables. We're going to set up tables to get as many people at the table as possible, we may have to have some chairs along the rail, and I anticipate that we'll be putting some of the lawyers in the jury box who -- so anyway, we'll -we'll figure it out, but we should have plenty of room for everybody.

Couple of just quick logistical issues,
the only party that's indicated that they're going to be using any kind of evidence presentation equipment is the State of Texas, which indicates they're going to be using a PowerPoint presentation. Is -Mr. Leininger, are you going to be using anything or is -- or is it all going to be just an oral presentation?

MR. LEININGER: Just an oral presentation, Your Honor, although if Colorado is going to be having some sort of demonstrative, we would appreciate having that available prior to the hearing for our review.

JUDGE MELLOY: I was going to -- I was going to talk about that in a second. I -- I don't -if I said Colorado, I misspoke. I thought it's Texas that was going to be with the PowerPoint, but you're not doing anything separately, are you, Mr. Wallace?

MR. WALLACE: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE MELLOY: So --
MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, let me clarify. We're not certain that we're going to do a PowerPoint. We know that if we are going to do a PowerPoint, we will need to be there at 8:00 early to make sure everything is set up and works properly. The PowerPoint, if we use it, will be on behalf of the

Compacting states, not just Texas, and, you know, we're finishing up our briefing now. We are talking about how we want to do the oral argument. The PowerPoint would be an aid of the oral argument. I don't actually think there will be any evidence, so to speak, demonstrative or otherwise, in the PowerPoint, but certainly if we -- if we have that type of evidence, we'll provide it to the United States in advance. But I -- I don't want to leave the impression that we've finally decided that we're going to use a PowerPoint because the three states are still working trying to put together a organized and cohesive and comprehensive oral presentation. We're not -- not entirely certain at this point in time what that will look like.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, just -- just so we're clear, though, if you do use one, you'll get it to the United States by no later than Friday?

MR. SOMACH: We -- we will endeavor to --

JUDGE MELLOY: Or over the weekend?
MR. SOMACH: We -- you know, we're finalizing the brief, and -- and it'll be done, thank goodness. I looked at the -- the next draft, and, you know, it's in good shape. But given what we've got to
do between now and travel, we will try our hardest. We certainly won't surprise anybody and -- and I don't think anything we would present would be of any particular problem because it would just simply reflect or mirror what we're going to verbally say, but we'll -- we'll endeavor to get it to the United States just as quickly as we can.

JUDGE MELLOY: And you mentioned --
well, just to follow up on -- close the loop on that, so as of now, looks like the only presentation is a possible PowerPoint by the Compacting states; no one else is planning to use any type of demonstrative or evidence presentations; am I correct?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: All right. And you had mentioned being here by 8:00. Actually, they're going to open up the security at 7:30, in part because of the large number of people coming for this hearing, and unfortunately apparently the district court has a pretty big jury trial starting Monday, as well, so we're going to be intermingled with a very large pool of jurors, so -- so they're going to open up the security half an hour earlier. So if you want to get here before 8:00, it's going to be open at 7:30. I don't think it's really necessary if it's just going
to be an oral presentation. The only thing I would say, again, is if you do want to do a PowerPoint, be here certainly no later than 8:00 to make sure that it's -- we can get the technologies working.

Let me ask from the amici standpoint, who is -- who's going to speak for the amici? Has there been any decision made about that yet?

MR. STEIN: Your Honor, may I make -this is Jay Stein. May I ask one question with respect to the amici participation?

JUDGE MELLOY: Sure.
MR. STEIN: Your Honor has reserved one hour for the amici to address Your Honor. A half hour will be used by those who are opposing the consent decree. That leaves a half hour for six of us who are in favor of it, and that's not a sufficient amount of time, Your Honor, so the amici who are in favor of the -- of the consent decree would request that we be given 45 minutes.

JUDGE MELLOY: How many of you are going to speak? Are all six of you going to speak?

MR. STEIN: I'm speaking for the City of
Las Cruces.
JUDGE MELLOY: Is -- you're going to have a pretty much -- I don't want to have six people
saying the same thing over and over again. Is it -is it possible to have some type of joint presentation by the proponents?

MR. STEIN: Your Honor, let me make one point. Three of the -- the three states are in favor of the consent decree, also the three cities, although there is a caveat on the part of the City of El Paso that Mr. Caroom can address better than $I$, but their -- their position is not exactly the same as the irrigators that are in favor of the consent decree.

JUDGE MELLOY: So who -- who -- you said there's six parties on the side of the proponents, so that's El Paso -- well, El Paso with a caveat, Las Cruces, Albuquerque, and who else?

MR. STEIN: Pecan growers, diverse croppers, and New Mexico State University.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Do all six of you want to speak, I guess, is the question?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, this is Tessa Davidson. A.J. will not be attending, so I'll be speaking for both pecan growers and diverse crop farmers.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, when we have appellate arguments, we always say that dividing time is not always the most efficient thing, but I -- I
guess five people dividing up 45 minutes, you're not going to have a lot of time, but -- but I don't have a problem with that. I'll give you 45 minutes. I'll give Ms. Barncastle and Ms. O'Brien the same amount of time then, but I -- that's fine. I'll give you an extra 15 minutes, but I really hope we don't keep plowing the same ground over and over again is the only concern.

MR. STEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE MELLOY: Then let's talk a minute about the remote access. What I would suggest we do in that regard -- is Pete on from Worldwide?

THE REPORTER: Your Honor, Pete is not on this morning. It's just me, Heather, the court reporter.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right. Well, I should have asked Pete to be here, but that's -that's fine. What -- what I would suggest we do is essentially the same as we did for the -- for the trial, that is anybody who has registered with -- with Worldwide will be given access. We can start with the list that we had previously, and if you want to add anybody to it, certainly advise Worldwide, but we'll have Pete let people in as they come on board, and, of course, they will be -- they'll be let in on the basis
that they can observe and listen only. They cannot -the camera will not be active, and they will be muted so they cannot ask questions or present evidence or -or argument or anything. So -- but I will -- we'll talk to Pete this afternoon and get something out to make sure that there's no misunderstanding about that. But anybody who previously registered for the trial, I think we can just go ahead, assuming -- I assume they still have that list, and you don't have to reregister, unless you have to update an e-mail address or something like that. If there's anybody new or additional, we'll have to get them registered. I expect there will be at least a couple members of the media, which $I$ don't have any problem with, but, again, they'll also have to register. Is there any --

MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry for
interrupting. I just want to make sure. I had a number of constituents ask if they can view that have not been previously involved in the case before. They just have an interest. I just want to confirm with you that it's all right to give out this link and register members of the public who approach me and want to view the trial -- or the hearing.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, $I$ wasn't going to open it up to the general public because if $I$ open it
up to your folks, then I have to do it for everybody else, and I -- I would just as soon not. If it's a party, if it's an expert, if it's a party representative, I don't have a problem with that, but I'm not -- I don't think $I$ want to open it up to the general public at this point.

MR. WALLACE: That's fine. That's why I asked, just to make sure what your opinion was. Thank you.

JUDGE MELLOY: Anybody else have any questions about that or any concerns?
(No response.)
JUDGE MELLOY: All right.
MR. LEININGER: Your Honor?
JUDGE MELLOY: Yes.
MR. LEININGER: Mr. Leininger. One
response to Mr. Somach's statement about the PowerPoint, and generally the reply briefing, which is due this Friday, so it is two days before our 9:00 start on Monday morning for oral argument so we'll be traveling -- actually, counsel will be traveling -counsel and Interior personnel will be traveling from three different states on Saturday, so we request that the Compacting states submit -- file their replies no later than noon Eastern time on Friday, which would
give us an opportunity to review -- adequate opportunity, I suppose, to review their replies. This is assuming we're not going to receive additional declaration attachments, but we are going to need sufficient time to prepare it.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, actually, that was a point $I$ had on my list here, Mr. Leininger. Would that be -- could you do that, Mr. Somach? Can you -can you do a noon filing?

MR. SOMACH: Well, we -- we can try.
Remember, noon is unfortunately a relative time. The State of Texas is in the process and will be compiling and filing the final draft, but we're on the west coast, so noon is actually 3:00 east coast time. It does put us under a bind. I mean, we'll try the hardest we can to get it in as early as we can, but $I$ -- I -- you know, it's -- that -- they -- their brief was large and extensive. In addition to that, EP No. 1 and -- and EBID filed fairly lengthy briefs, also, and we have to respond to all of that and so we're trying very hard to do that. We will do our best to get it to -- to folks by noon, maybe noon California time as opposed to noon Washington DC time, but that's -- I mean, you know, that's the best we can do in light of what we receive from the United States and
amici and when we receive it.
JUDGE MELLOY: All right.
MR. LEININGER: Your Honor, we -- Your
Honor, I'm sorry, but we -- we have to take these to our clients, to the SG's office, discuss these replies. I don't think it's unreasonable to ask that the replies be submitted by noon Eastern time on Friday.

MR. SOMACH: That's 9:00 California
time. That -- you know, that's -- that's just not reasonable given the fact that we, in theory, have no time limit on Friday. We'll try to accommodate and get it in as early as possible, but 9:00 is -- is -you know, that's -- that's cutting a whole day out of our ability to respond.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, I'm not going to -- all I'm going to do is -- is ask that you get it in as soon as possible. I'm not going to set a deadline. Get it in as early as possible.

Is any -- from what I understood,
Mr. Leininger, from what you said earlier, nobody from the SG's office is going to be here, right?

MR. LEININGER: There may be remote attendants, but no, not in the courtroom, no.

JUDGE MELLOY: And I guess I started --
we started to talk a little bit about the amici presentation, and so as I understand it from the side of the proponents of the settlement, we may have as many as five people speaking, is that what you're saying, Mr. Stein?

MR. STEIN: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE MELLOY: So you divide 45 minutes between five people, you know, that's eight or nine minutes each. I -- I would encourage you to try to coordinate, to some extent, to avoid duplication, but in any event, that's fine. What about -- what about the opponents? Ms. Barncastle and Ms. O'Brien, are both of you going to speak?

MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead. Are you both going to speak?

MS. BARNCASTLE: Yes, Your Honor. We coordinated fairly well on the issues raised by the irrigation districts. Our briefs are different. They touch on different issues both equally important.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Is -- all right.
Let me see. I think --
MR. LEININGER: Your Honor, could I -I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could I ask for one more point
of clarification? Just confirmation from the states that we will not be seeing additional declarations?

MR. SOMACH: No, that -- there will be additional declarations in response to the declarations that you filed.

MR. LEININGER: Well, Your Honor, this -- this puts us at a significant disadvantage if we're going to be looking, not only at additional -- at their reply arguments, but also additional
declarations. You know, again, Your Honor, if --
JUDGE MELLOY: Well, let me say this,
Mr. Leininger: If something comes up in the declarations that you feel is a surprise or that you need to file a response to, I'll certainly be open to keeping the record open for some short period of time after the hearing if you need to file a counter declaration. We don't have to close -- we don't have to close it at 5:00 Monday afternoon. I could keep -if -- if there's something you need to respond to.

MR. LEININGER: Your Honor, we raised this at the last status conference, but it was a possibility of having this hearing on the week of the 13th, and in light of these -- what apparently is going to be extensive replies with declarations, would the Court be interested in reconsidering an additional
one week for the start of this oral argument?
JUDGE MELLOY: Well, it -- it doesn't make a lot of difference to me, but what -- what's the response -- how do the other parties feel about that, to have an extra week to get ready?

MR. SOMACH: I think -- I think we expressed our view the last time the United States made this point. You know, this is the normal briefing. We've known this schedule for months now. All we get from the United States is more and more delay, more obfuscation of trying to get to this hearing. At this point, we've made all of our hotel arrangements. We've made all of our travel arrangements. We have coordinated to meet the schedule that the Court set. We absolutely oppose any movement of this to the 13th. We need to have this hearing on the 6th. I think you've been generous in leaving the record open, so there's no prejudice whatsoever to the United States.

MR. LEININGER: Your Honor, $I$ only bring this up because I'm surprised to hear that we're now going to have new declarations that we may have to respond to substantively and may have to do -- in fact, have countervailing declarations, so -- or some other type of certified request, and it just doesn't
give us enough time.
JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I understand that, Mr. Leininger, but we -- part of the problem was you had asked for additional time for briefing initially, and I gave it to you, and it ended up being this schedule. So we're just going to leave it the way it is, and I can tell you, if you think there's something that you need to respond to, I'll leave the record open, and I'll give you time to do it. But beyond that, I think -- I assume everybody has pretty much made all their arrangements travel wise and other professional commitments to be available next week, so I'm going to -- we're going to leave the hearing scheduled as it is.

The only other thing $I$ want to mention is -- it doesn't have anything to do directly with the hearing next week, but my judicial assistant told me that she went to Box.com, and none of the exhibits are on Box.com, or at least we don't have access to them. Does anybody know what happened to all of the exhibits and why -- why they're not there? And, also, it's my understanding that at the end of the last proceeding at the trial, all the admitted exhibits were to be transferred from the exhibits that were originally filed on Box.com into a separate file that was for
admitted exhibits only, and for the most part, that's been done or was done, but now, it's gone. And as I understand it, all Texas' have been transferred over, all the United States' have been transferred over, all the New Mexico exhibits, with the exception of your demonstrative exhibits, Mr. Wechsler, were transferred over, but none of the Colorado exhibits were, which I think there's only a couple of them. But apparently if -- if you go on Box.com, they're not there now, and so I -- I bring that up to ask that you take a look at it and try to figure out what happened to it and what -- what we need to do to make sure that we have a complete record for transmittal to the Supreme Court. I don't know if anybody has any response to that or if anybody has looked at -- and maybe it's our access. I don't know if anybody -- has anybody looked at Box.com in the last few months?

MR. WECHSLER: We have not, Your Honor. We will look into it, and we'll get our demonstratives moved over, as well.

JUDGE MELLOY: Yeah. So -- but anyway, and maybe it has something to do with our access to it, as well, but in any event, you need to make sure that those are all there.

That pretty much covers the list of
things $I$ have. Anything else that we need to talk about before next -- next Monday?

MR. SOMACH: I don't have anything, Your Honor.

JUDGE MELLOY: Very good. Well, thank you, everyone, and we'll see you in a week. Thank you.
(The proceedings adjourned at 11:31 a.m.)
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| 28:3 29:1 | 6:3,8 | provide 16:8 | 21:7,12 | 20:16 21:21 |
| participation | PowerPoint | public 21:22,25 | Registration | 22:13 24:2,16 |
| 18:10 | 15:4,16,21,22 | 22:6 | 31:23 | 24:22 25:22 |
| particular 17:4 | 15:25 16:4,6 | purposes 8:8 | regular 31:10,12 | Rio 6:17 9:3,22 |
| parties 8:11 | 16:11 17:11 | put 16:12 23:15 | Rein 10:16 | 10:16 14:6 |
| 19:12 27:4 | 18:2 22:18 | puts 26:7 | relative 23:11 | Road 6:3 |


| Robert 2:4 8:25 | shape 16:25 | ssomach@so... | 4:3,17 5:7,18 | theory 24:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROEHL 4:22 | Shelly 4:12 | 2:7 | 6:14 7:3 31:24 | Theresa 2:4 |
| Rolf 9:23 | 11:15 | standpoint 18:5 | Sullivan 10:17 | 8:24 |
| room 8:14 14:23 | shelly.randel... | start 8:17,20 | suppose 23:2 | thing 18:1 19:1 |
| Roswell 6:20 | 4:14 | 20:21 22:20 | Supreme 1:5 8:6 | 19:25 28:15 |
| RPR 31:19 | short 26:15 | 27:1 | 29:13 | things 8:12 30:1 |
|  | Shorthand 3 | started 24:25 | sure 12:2 15:23 | think 8:6,14,19 |
| S | shortly 10:5 | 25: | 18:3,11 21:6 | 10:9,21 16:5 |
| S 2:1 5:18 6:13 | side 19:12 25:2 | starting 17:20 | 21:17 22:8 | 17:3,25 21:8 |
| 8:1 | significant 26:7 | State 1:8,10,10 | 29:12,23 | 22:5 24:6 |
| Sacramento 2:6 | SIMMONS 2:5 | 2:2,16,19 3:16 | surprise 17:2 | 25:23 27:6,6 |
| Salt 4:18 | 2:11 | 4:17 6:6 8:3,4 | 26:13 | 27:17 28:7,10 |
| Samantha 5 | simply 17:4 | 8:4,23 9:2,16 | surprised 27:21 | 29:8 |
| 13:4 | SISK 4:22 | 9:21,25 10:13 | Susan 5:17 12:6 | Thompson 3:1 |
| samantha@h2... | six 9:6 18:15,21 | 10:16,18 13:19 | system 11:5 | 9:19 |
| 5:8 | 18:25 19:12,17 | 15:3 19:16 |  | thought 15:15 |
| Santa 2:22 3:8 | sklahn@soma... | 23:12 31:4 | T | three 9:6 10:9 |
| 3:13 5:13,23 | 2:13 | stated 31:5 | T 2:1 6:2 | 12:24 13:6 |
| 6:9 | Skov 9:3 | statement 22:17 | table 10:8 14:19 | 16:11 19:5,5,6 |
| Sarah 2:11 8:25 | SMITH 6:13 | states 1:5,14 4:1 | tables 14:18,19 | 22:23 |
| Saturday 22:23 | smoothly 11:9 | 8:5,5 10:21 | take 8:7 24:4 | time 13:4 16:14 |
| saying 19:1 25:5 | software 11:8 | 11:11 16:1,8 | 29:10 | 18:17 19:24 |
| schedule 27:9,15 | solicitor's 11:14 | 16:11,18 17:7 | taken 31:11 | 20:2,5 22:25 |
| 28:6 | 11:15 | 17:11 19:5 | talk 13:13 15:14 | 23:5,11,14,23 |
| scheduled 28:14 | Somach 2:3,5,11 | 22:23,24 23:25 | 20:10 21:5 | 23:23 24:7,10 |
| Schmidt-Peter... | 8:18,22,23 | 26:1 27:7,10 | 25:1 30:1 | 24:12 26:15 |
| 9:23 | 9:12 15:20 | 27:19 | talking 16:2 | 27:7 28:1,4,9 |
| Scott 13:23,24 | 16:19,22 23:8 | States' 29:4 | tbarfield@so | 31:8 |
| SE 1:15 | 23:10 24:9 | status 26:21 | 2:8 | today 12:23 |
| SEAL 31:16 | 26:3 27:6 30:3 | 31:8,11 | technologies | 13:23 |
| second 15:14 | Somach's 22:17 | Stein 5:12,22,22 | 18:4 | told 28:17 |
| security 17:17 | Soon 22:2 24:18 | 12:11,12 18:8 | tell 8:19 28:7 | touch 25:21 |
| 17:23 | sorry 9:9 21:16 | 18:9,12,22 | Ten 9:8 | transcript 1:13 |
| see 11:7 25:23 | 24:4 25:15,25 | 19:4,15 20:9 | terms 8:24 9:4 | 31:7 |
| 30:6 | sort 15:10 | 25:5,6 | Tessa 6:2 13:17 | transferred |
| seeing 26:2 | South 4:17 5:7 | Stream 9:24 | 19:19 | 28:24 29:3,4,6 |
| separate 28:25 | Southern 6:17 | Street 2:12 3:2 | test 11:6 | transmittal |
| separately 15:17 | 14:5 | 4:3,13,17,22 | Texas 1:8 2:2,16 | 29:13 |
| service 10:3 | speak 16:6 18:6 | Stuart 2:3 8:23 | 2:17 5:2,18 | travel 13:5 17:1 |
| sessions 11:7 | 18:21,21 19:18 | submit 22:24 | 6:14 7:3 8:4,21 | 27:13 28:11 |
| set 14:19 15:24 | 25:13,17 | submitted 24:7 | 8:24 15:3,15 | traveling 22:21 |
| 24:18 27:15 | speaking 18:22 | substantively | 16:1 23:12 | 22:21,22 |
| settings 11:3 | 19:21 25:4 | 27:23 | 31:4 | trial 17:20 20:20 |
| settlement 25:3 | special 1:14 | sufficient 18:16 | Texas' 29:3 | 21:7,23 28:23 |
| seven 9:7 | 13:25 | 23:5 | thank 16:23 | Trout 3:2 9:20 |
| SEVENTH 1:14 | SPERLING | suggest 20:11,18 | 20:9 22:8 30:5 | true 31:6,7 |
| SG's 24:5,22 | 4:22 | Suite 2:6,12 3:2 | 30:6 | try 17:1 $23: 10$ |


| 23:15 24:12 | V | 11:6,18 12:1,8 | 12621 7:3 31:24 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:9 29:11 | v 1:9 3:18 | 12:16,25 13:6 | 1300 3:19 | 5:00 26:18 |
| trying 13:5 | verbally 17:5 | 13:18 14:1 | 13th 26:23 27:16 | 500 2:6 4:22 |
| 16:12 23:21 | versus 8:4 | 26:22 27:1,5 | 141 1:2 8:6 | 505 2:22 3:9,14 |
| 27:11 | view 21:18,23 | 28:12,17 30:6 | 15 20:6 | 4:23 5:13,24 |
| ttd@tessadavi... | 27:7 | weekend 16:21 | 1508 3:13 | 6:4,9 |
| 6:5 | virtually 14:11 | went $28: 18$ | 1600 3:2 | 508-6281 3:20 |
| two 9:6 10:18 |  | west 23:13 | 1849 4:13 | 512 2:17 5:3,19 |
| 11:6 12:3 | W | whatsoever | 18th 4:3 31:17 | 6:15 |
| 22:19 | W 6:7 | 27:19 Widmer 12.13 | 2 | 514-3553 4:9 |
| TX 31:24 | Wallace 3:17 | Widmer 12:13 |  | 524-5677 4:18 |
| type 16:7 17:12 | 10:11,12,13 | 12:17 | 20 5:7 | $524011: 15$ |
| 19:2 27:25 | 15:17,18 21:16 | wise $28: 11$ | 20044 4:8 | 570-45913:9 |
| U | 22:7 | wish 14:3 | $2023 \text { 1:13 31:17 }$ | 575 5:8 6:20 |
| U.S 4:2,7,12,17 | 17:23 18:2,25 | 18:4 | 20240 4:13 | 6 |
| understand 25:2 | 19:18 20:22 | works 15:24 | 2067 5:12,23 | 604 6:19 |
| 28:2 29:3 | 21:17,20,23 | Worldwide 7:2 | 208-5432 4:14 | 6201 4:17 |
| understanding | 22:5 28:15 | 20:12,21,23 | 223 31:23 | 624-2463 6:20 |
| 28:22 | wanted 8:7 | 31:23 | 2240 6:3 | 636-2377 5:8 |
| understood | Washington 4:8 |  | 2386 6:8 | 699-1445 6:9 |
| 24:20 | 4:13 23:23 | X | 2701 2:12 | 6th 27:17 |
| unfortunately | wasn't 21:24 | Y | 279-7868 2:13 |  |
| $17: 19$ United 1-5,11 | Water 4:20 5:10 |  | 290 7:3 31:24 | 7 |
| United 1:5,14 | 11:22,25 12:2 | Yeah 29:21 | 3 | 7:30 17:17,24 |
| 4:1 8:5,5 10:20 | 12:20,22 | Z | 00 23: | 720 2:13 3:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11: 1116: 8,18 \\ & 17: 623: 25 \end{aligned}$ | way $28: 6$ | Zach 9:18 | 30 1:13 | 745-1101 7:4 |
| 27:7,10,19 | we'll 10:4 13 | Zachary 3:12 | 300 5:18 | 770347:3 |
| 29:4 | 16:8 17:6,6 | zogaz@ nmag.... | 303 3:3 4:4 | 78701 6:14 |
| University 6:6 | 20:23 21:4,12 | 3:15 | 303187 5:2 | 78703 5:2 |
| 13:20,22 19:16 | 22:20 23:15 | 0 | 320-5466 6:15 | 78711 2:17 |
| unreasonable | 24:12 29:19 | 04-30-24 31:20 | $3252: 213: 8$ | 78746 5:18 |
| 24:6 | 30:6 | 04-30-24 31:20 | 329-4672 3:14 | 792-3636 6:4 |
| update 11:8 | we're 8:3 10:22 | 1 | 4:3 | 7th 3:19 |
| 21:10 | 13:5 14:15,18 | 1 4:20 12:20,23 | 3711 5:18 | 8 |
| updated 11:5 | 15:21,21 16:2 | 23:19 | 4 | $0015: 23 \text { 17:16 }$ |
| use 15:25 16:11 | 16:10,13,17,22 | $10002: 6$ | 40 14:16 | 17:24 18:3 |
| 16:17 17:12 | 17:5,21 23:3 | 11:00 1:15 | 4206 6:3 | 800 7:4 |
| Utah 4 | 23:13,20 26:7 | 11:31 30:8 | 446-7979 2:7 | 800-745-1101 |
| Utilities 12:14 $12 \cdot 18$ | 27:21 28:6,13 | 1100 5:7 | 45 18:19 20:1,3 | 31:25 |
| 12:18 Utility 5:10 | we've 16:10,25 | $1111: 14$ | 25:7 | $801 \text { 4:18 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Utility 5:10 } \\ 11: 22,25 \end{array}$ | 27:9,12,13 | 11203:2 | 463-2012 2:17 | 80202 4:3 |
| Utton 6:7,8 | Wechsler 2:20 | 113 2:12 | 472-8021 5:19 | 80203 3:3,19 |
| 13:21,22 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 14,15 \\ & 29: 6,18 \end{aligned}$ | $17$ | 480-8231 5:3 | 80205 2:12 |
|  | week 8:20 10:19 | 1260 6:14 |  | 816 6:14 |
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