

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NO. 141, ORIGINAL

STATE OF TEXAS,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 VS.) VOLUME XII
)
 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
 AND STATE OF COLORADO,)
)
 Defendants.)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

The above-entitled matter came on for HEARING before HONORABLE MICHAEL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL MASTER, held REMOTELY via Zoom, on NOVEMBER 1, 2021, commencing at 11:00 a.m.;

Proceedings reported by Certified Shorthand Reporter and Machine Shorthand/Computer-Aided Transcription.

R E M O T E A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF STATE OF TEXAS:

Ms. Sarah A. Klahn
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
2701 Lawrence Street, Suite 113
Denver, Colorado 80205
(720) 279-7868
sklahn@somachlaw.com

-and-

Mr. Robert B. Hoffman
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 446-7979
rhoffman@somachlaw.com

FOR THE DEFENDANT STATE OF NEW MEXICO:

Mr. Jeffrey Wechsler
MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS
325 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 986-2637
jwechsler@montand.com

-and-

Ms. Corinne E. Atton
DRAPER & DRAPER, LLC
325 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 570-4591
corinne.atton@draperllc.com

FOR THE DEFENDANT STATE OF COLORADO:

Mr. Chad Wallace
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203
(720) 508-6281
chad.wallace@coag.gov

1 FOR THE UNITED STATES:

2 Mr. R. Lee Leininger
3 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
4 999 18th Street, Suite 370
5 Denver, Colorado 80202
6 (303) 844-1364
7 lee.leininger@usdoj.gov

8 -and-

9 Ms. Judith E. Coleman
10 U.S. Department of Justice
11 Post Office Box 7611
12 Washington, DC 20044
13 (202) 514-3553
14 judith.coleman@usdoj.gov
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

	Page
ROLF SCHMIDT-PETERSEN	
Further Cross-Examination by Ms. Klahn	6
Cross-Examination by Mr. Leininger	64
Redirect Examination by Mr. Wechsler	116
Recross Examination by Ms. Klahn	125
JENNIFER STEVENS	
Further Cross-Examination by Ms. Coleman	128
Redirect Examination by Ms. Atton	194
Recross Examination by Ms. Coleman	195
DAVID SALOPEK	
Direct Examination by Mr. Wechsler	198
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	215

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** This is in Original
2 Action Texas versus New Mexico and Colorado with
3 United States as intervenor. Let me ask the parties
4 who are going to be appearing this morning to enter
5 their appearances. For Texas, Ms. Klahn?

6 **MS. KLAHN:** Good morning, Your Honor.
7 Sarah Klahn.

8 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And for New Mexico?

9 **MR. WECHSLER:** Good morning. Jeff
10 Wechsler.

11 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Colorado?

12 **MR. WALLACE:** Good morning, Your Honor.
13 Chad Wallace.

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And for the United
15 States?

16 **MR. LEININGER:** Good morning, Your
17 Honor. Lee Leininger for the United States.

18 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Well, I think
19 we left off when we broke the other day with
20 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, and I believe you were doing the
21 examination at the time, Mr. Leininger; is that
22 correct?

23 **MS. KLAHN:** Actually, it was Ms. Klahn.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'm sorry. Ms. Klahn.
25 Ms. Klahn, are you ready to proceed?

1 **MS. KLAHN:** Yes, sir.

2 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may proceed. Oh, let
3 me first -- before you do that, let me just remind the
4 witness that you still are under oath and that the
5 same admonitions about documents being available to
6 you or any electronic transmissions still apply to
7 this continued proceeding. Do you understand, sir?

8 **THE WITNESS:** I do, Your Honor.

9 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Then you may
10 proceed, Ms. Klahn.

11 **MS. KLAHN:** Thank you.

12 ROLF SCHMIDT-PETERSEN,
13 having been previously duly sworn, testified further
14 as follows:

15 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. KLAHN:

17 **Q.** Good morning, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen.

18 **A.** Good morning.

19 **Q.** When we were together on October 21st, do you
20 recall telling me that counsel had asked you to
21 prepare for testimony in five -- on five items?

22 **A.** I don't recall you making that request. I do
23 recall Mr. Wechsler asking me that.

24 **Q.** And was one of the items that you were asked
25 to prepare on Stream System 104, the offer of judgment

1 **for the United States?**

2 A. I was designated to talk about New Mexico's
3 involvement in the Rio Grande Project and our
4 understanding of that, and I believe in coordination
5 with Texas and United States.

6 **Q. And do you recall in your testimony -- or in**
7 **your deposition, rather, that you -- well, let me take**
8 **a step back. So do you also recall during your**
9 **testimony last time we were together, you testified**
10 **that you were familiar with the offer of judgment that**
11 **New Mexico made in Stream System 104?**

12 A. Maybe I can ask for a clarification. Are you
13 talking about -- I was involved in the discussions
14 with the United States over the U.S. right, which is
15 104, the --

16 **Q. Correct.**

17 A. -- would have been ultimately through the
18 lawyers and so I -- I'm not sure about that piece
19 specifically.

20 **Q. However, you did testify on Page 90 of the**
21 **draft, I believe, about your understanding of the**
22 **Project operations that led to the state making the**
23 **offer it did in Stream System 104?**

24 A. Yes. I believe I testified that I had been
25 involved in that and had some experience in that

1 regard, yes.

2 Q. My reason for asking you that is that your --
3 this was the lead up to being -- you being asked that
4 whether or not you knew how the Project would have
5 been protected from impairment or interference in New
6 Mexico. Do you recall that question from
7 Mr. Wechsler?

8 A. I do -- I do recall that from Mr. Wechsler,
9 yes.

10 Q. Okay. But during your deposition, you also
11 had some exchange with Mr. Leininger about the
12 historical background of the Project operations
13 leading to the offer of judgment in Stream System 104,
14 and your testimony in your deposition was that you
15 didn't have any recollection of the historical
16 materials related to that. Do you recall that?

17 A. No. We could look through the deposition. I
18 do know that it had a number of exchanges with
19 Mr. Leininger in regard to that issue.

20 Q. Let's -- let's play, if we could --

21 MS. KLAHN: Your Honor, I'd like to
22 impeach the witness on his deposition testimony using
23 his deposition testimony, and we'd be looking at
24 Volume 1, Page 142, Line 19, through 144, Line 8.

25 And rather than having me read all that,

1 Mr. Berns, could you put up the -- the video, please?

2 (The video was played.)

3 **MR. WECHSLER:** So, Your Honor, I'm going
4 to object as improper impeachment. I didn't hear
5 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen give any answer that was in any
6 way inconsistent with that. We just listened to about
7 two minutes of testimony that seemed entirely
8 consistent with Mr. Schmidt-Petersen's testimony --
9 live testimony in the trial, and -- and you're not
10 allowed to just read in sections of a deposition.
11 It's hearsay unless it's specifically to directly
12 impeach a specific statement made by the witness, and
13 it has to be directly contrary, and none of that was.

14 **MS. KLAHN:** Your Honor --

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Go ahead, Ms. Klahn.

16 **MS. KLAHN:** My position is that we spent
17 two long days trying to get Mr. Schmidt-Petersen to
18 answer questions during the deposition. He reminded
19 us an numerous occasions that he had a day job. He
20 wasn't much involved in the litigation, that he had
21 looked at some project documents. He couldn't
22 remember what they were. He talked to some Project
23 operations people from the Bureau. He couldn't
24 remember very much about those conversations, and he
25 did mention at one point this offer of judgment when

1 Mr. Leininger asked him what offer of judgment, what
2 are you talking about. He said, Well, I don't really
3 remember. Did you review it for the deposition? No,
4 I did not. The point here is Mr. Schmidt-Petersen
5 showed up at his testimony having been asked to
6 prepare in areas where he was unprepared during his
7 deposition, and he's a fact witness. And the whole
8 point of a deposition is to find out what a witness
9 knows. So if after the deposition, he had more
10 preparation, we weren't informed of that, and for him
11 to show up here with basically an opinion about what
12 the offer of judgment, based on his review of the
13 offer of judgment to the United States and the
14 adjudication means as far as what the United States
15 project should do to protect itself from an
16 impairment, that's something we should have been able
17 to inquire into during the deposition.

18 **MR. WECHSLER:** So, Your Honor, if I may
19 respond, the -- Ms. Klahn is mistaken.
20 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen was not designated to talk about
21 Stream System 104. That was Mr. Longworth, who was
22 designated as part of the 30(b)(6) to testify to that
23 subject. What we just heard was background about, you
24 know, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, do you have background
25 about the project, and he answered as he did last week

1 that, yes, in fact, he has extensive background on
2 those operations. The -- the -- this question about
3 104 was purely a lead up to show some foundation, but
4 he has ample foundation for the testimony that he
5 gave. And, again, the rule is quite clear on
6 impeachment. It must be directly impeaching live
7 testimony that he gives today, so if Ms. Klahn wants
8 to ask Mr. Schmidt-Petersen questions and he says
9 something inconsistent, then she's welcome to read a
10 portion of the deposition that is inconsistent, but
11 that's not what I just heard.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I'm going to -- I'm
13 going to overrule the objection, but I give it
14 whatever weight I think is appropriate. I guess I'm
15 not surprised that a witness would continue their
16 preparation for testimony particularly when it's
17 highlighted what the areas are going to be, so I guess
18 I'm not overly shocked by the fact this witness may
19 have done some further preparation and that his state
20 of knowledge did not remain static from the time of
21 his deposition to his trial testimony, but -- but I'll
22 -- go ahead, Ms. Klahn. You may continue your exam.

23 **MS. KLAHN:** Thank you.

24 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN)** So, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen,
25 **let's switch gears. You've worked for the ISC for**

1 almost 21 years; is that correct?

2 A. Ms. Klahn, it's actually 22 years.

3 Q. Oh, okay. And then until 2017, your work
4 exclusively involved the Rio Grande Basin; is that
5 correct?

6 A. Not exclusively the Rio Grande Basin, but
7 primarily, yes.

8 Q. Okay. And since 2017, you've either been
9 involved with other river basins such as when you were
10 Colorado river coordinator or you've been the ISC
11 director and basically responsible for all the river
12 basins in the state; is that right?

13 A. Yes. I believe that is correct, as a general
14 framework, yeah.

15 Q. In -- so in 1999, let's go back to the year
16 you started when you were hired by Norm Gaume to be
17 the Rio Grande Basin Coordinator. I think that's what
18 you told us; is that right?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And your testimony on October 21st was that
21 you were hired as Rio Grande Basin Coordinator to do
22 projects assigned by the engineer advisor and my
23 manager; do you recall that?

24 A. I recall that general testimony, yes.

25 Q. Okay. And then in 2003, you got into the

1 position of being the Rio Grande Basin Manager,
2 correct?

3 A. That's correct. In that time period, we
4 moved from relatively small group of people and -- and
5 essentially hired more staff and a new office and so I
6 moved up in classifications through that process.

7 Q. And I understand that -- I understand there's
8 more to my questions in terms of what you might like
9 to say, but if I ask you a yes-or-no question, it's
10 okay to say yes or no. I'm not -- really just trying
11 to set the stage for some questions that are coming,
12 so it'll move things along a little bit, I think, if
13 you just -- if you hear a yes-or-no question, if you
14 can answer it that way. Okay?

15 So is -- during the time that you were the --
16 after 2003, when you were the Rio Grande Basin
17 Manager, I think you testified that that was when you
18 started to understand how -- or become familiar with
19 how the accounting was done with the Compact
20 commission; is that correct?

21 A. I believe I indicated I became more involved
22 in the accounting. I'd been involved prior to that.

23 Q. How -- and that was because you were
24 assisting the engineer advisor, correct?

25 A. Correct. All the way through that time

1 period. Just a different engineer advisor in 2003.

2 Q. I'm focusing now on the accounting piece of
3 this. Accounting is a primary role of all of the
4 engineer advisors in the Compact Commission, isn't it?

5 A. Drafting and putting the data together for
6 accounting and providing numbers to the Compact
7 commission, yes.

8 Q. And when you say account drafting and putting
9 the data together," I mean, a lot of that data
10 actually comes from the Bureau of Reclamation, isn't
11 that right?

12 A. The data comes from multiple different
13 sources. All -- all the states, USGS, Bureau of
14 Reclamation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, a number of
15 different parties.

16 Q. Okay. And you've come to understand during
17 your many, many years working with the Rio Grande
18 Compact Commission in one way or another, that the
19 annual meeting of the Rio Grande Compact Commission
20 occurs once a year, correct?

21 A. The regular meeting occurs once a year, yes.

22 Q. Usually in March or April, correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And it's typical in the minutes of -- or the
25 transcript, of course, that would be reflected but

1 certainly in the minutes, it's typical for individuals
2 who are involved in the Rio Grande Compact Commission
3 to be identified, correct?

4 A. I'm not sure I understand that question. Is
5 it that the -- the court reporter notes individuals
6 who are present?

7 Q. Uh-huh. Yes.

8 A. Yes, that's correct.

9 Q. Yes. And the individuals that are formally
10 involved in the Rio Grande Compact Commission for New
11 Mexico are the engineer advisor and the Compact
12 Commissioner, correct?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. There's also a legal advisor that would be at
16 the meeting with each Compact commissioner.

17 Q. Thank you. Now, prior to becoming the
18 engineer advisor, which I think you did in 2009; is
19 that right? Was it 2009?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. You often attended Rio Grande Compact
22 Commission meetings; isn't that right?

23 A. I would have attended many of them. May not
24 have attended ones that were out of state, depending
25 on travel restrictions.

1 Q. Okay. And I think you also testified with
2 Mr. Wechsler on direct that the contents, now, not the
3 substance, but the contents of commission reports are
4 typically the same every year, correct? In other
5 words, the types of information that's included in the
6 report, it doesn't vary very much from year to year,
7 correct?

8 A. I would say not entirely. The general
9 categories of -- of information are very similar in
10 most years. The specifics can be different, depending
11 on the conditions.

12 Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
13 When you became engineer advisor in 2009, would you
14 describe your role as an advisor to the commissioner?

15 A. So as the engineer advisor, you have more
16 specific engagements with each of the other engineer
17 advisors and you do provide recommendations to your
18 commissioner specifically. I think those are some of
19 the more specific additional duties that occur.

20 Q. And I think you testified on direct that
21 engineering advisors have a number of significant
22 responsibilities with Rio Grande Compact accounting
23 and administration. Do you recall that?

24 A. I'm not sure I recall it, but, yes, that's
25 true.

1 Q. And in your role as engineer advisor, it was
2 important to understand the accounting for the Rio
3 Grande Compact and for the San Juan-Chama Project,
4 correct?

5 A. Yes. Very much so.

6 Q. So I want to ask you about some of your
7 statements during your direct testimony related to all
8 of this experience. You testified on Page 107 of the
9 rough draft that in answer -- the question that you
10 were asked is, "Do the federal agencies report to the
11 engineer advisors every year?" Do you recall that
12 question?

13 A. I do, and I believe I said yes.

14 Q. Well, your answer was a little unclear to me.
15 It seemed to suggest to me that the Compact requires
16 the federal agencies to report to the engineer
17 advisors, but that's not what you meant, was it?

18 A. I don't have that language directly in front
19 of me, but in my experience, the Bureau of Reclamation
20 and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and
21 Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Indian Affairs have
22 provided reports in every engineer advisor meeting
23 that I've been at, and IBWC and a couple others have
24 -- have provided reports at different times.

25 Q. Right. And I'm not suggesting that your

1 memories are inconsistent with the facts. What I'm
2 more getting at is the idea that the Compact engineer
3 advisors, isn't the language that's used in the annual
4 reports the engineer's advisors request and receive
5 the participation of the federal agencies? Do you
6 recall that language?

7 A. I recall that language generally from letters
8 that we put together and get sent to each of the
9 federal agencies that we're making a request of.

10 Q. Well, let's go ahead and put up Joint Exhibit
11 391.

12 MS. KLAHN: And, Your Honor, this one's
13 already admitted.

14 And, Justin, I believe -- I don't know
15 why I'm getting an echo. Are other people hearing
16 that?

17 JUDGE MELLOY: Yes.

18 MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

19 MS. KLAHN: Should we try it again?
20 There. Could we go to Page 5, Justin?

21 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) And at the bottom, I'll direct
22 your attention, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, to the left-hand
23 column to the last sentence. "The engineers Advisors
24 met in Santa Fe from February 20th through February
25 22nd to prepare the 2001 Compact water accounting and

1 to discuss continuing and new issues in preparation
2 for the 2002 meeting of the Rio Grande Compact
3 Commission." And then the language I asked you
4 about, "The engineer advisors requested and received
5 the participation of" --

6 (Conversation off record due to audio
7 interruption.)

8 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, the
9 second sentence there has the language I was asking
10 you about, "The engineer advisors requested and
11 received the participation of," and then it lists the
12 federal agencies that were at the meeting in 2002 to
13 prepare the 2001 Compact water accounting. Does that
14 refresh your recollection about this language showing
15 up in the annual reports?

16 A. I see that language there. I think that's
17 pretty consistent with the process that we've used
18 while I've been involved.

19 Q. Okay. Thank you. I think you mentioned
20 this, also, and it's clear from this --

21 MS. KLAHN: Justin, you can take 391
22 down. Thanks.

23 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) It's clear from the discussion
24 we've had that the engineer advisors do the accounting
25 the year after, and they do it once, correct? So it's

1 once a year, but it's a year late; is that right?

2 A. If I understand your question correctly, we
3 do the accounting for the previous calendar year in
4 the then-current calendar year.

5 Q. Okay. Okay. And it's true, isn't it, that
6 there's no Compact accounting below Elephant Butte?

7 A. No. That's not quite correct. There --
8 there is the table that has the Project storage
9 calculations in it, and that includes Caballo
10 Reservoir and the Caballo release.

11 Q. Okay. So below Caballo, there's no Compact
12 accounting, correct?

13 A. Below Caballo, there is no specific gage in
14 that regard, so it's not reported and accounted.

15 Q. Okay. Now, I think you testified during
16 direct that you had experience administering other
17 interstate Compacts. Do you recall that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. But as interstate stream -- as ISC director,
20 you don't have any experience administering the Rio
21 Grande Compact because the interstate stream
22 commission director is not involved with any of the
23 administrative tasks related to the Rio Grande
24 Compact, correct?

25 A. No. That's incorrect. The Page Pogram

1 reports to me, so we do have discussions or reports
2 actually now handed to me so if there are issues that
3 are going on in the Rio Grande, I will be involved
4 with them. Ultimately, however, the decision or the
5 information goes to the New Mexico Compact
6 Commissioner. It's not that there's just one pathway.

7 Q. All right. Now, you also testified on direct
8 that the Rio Grande Project, quote, provides water to
9 New Mexico citizens, and I just wanted to clarify.
10 Isn't it true that the Rio Grande Project only
11 provides water to New Mexico citizens who own property
12 in EBID?

13 A. I would say it's correct that the Rio Grande
14 Project delivers to EBID farmers by New Mexico
15 citizens. I -- I think in part of that, wasn't I
16 talking about the aspect of the ISC responsibility to
17 protect New Mexico's waters and our citizens? That's
18 at least what I was thinking.

19 Q. On Page 82 of the rough draft, you were asked
20 by Mr. Wechsler whether the Rio Grande Compact has
21 ever been administered in a way that is consistent
22 with, quote, the 1938 condition. Do you recall that?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. And I think your answer included a lot of
25 details about conditions that have changed below

1 Elephant Butte and Caballo. Do you recall that? Just
2 yes or no, do you recall that?

3 A. Yes, I recall that testimony.

4 Q. Now, as far as changed conditions, isn't it
5 also true that one of the conditions that has changed
6 in New Mexico below Elephant Butte and Caballo is the
7 adjudication court's 2011 determination that
8 authorized farmers to divert up to 5.5 acre-feet per
9 acre from a combination of groundwater and surface
10 water?

11 A. I would agree that's one of the documentation
12 issues of -- of change. I don't know that that's a
13 change from, you know, that was due at that point in
14 time or a historical one, but it's certainly a change
15 in the system that's reflected with numbers.

16 Q. Let's talk a little bit about your testimony
17 related to other Compacts. Now, you noted in your
18 direct testimony with respect to other New Mexico
19 interstate Compacts that you're involved with that
20 each Compact is different, correct?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Now, one of the Compacts you touched on was
23 the La Plata River Compact, and in that testimony, you
24 -- you describe -- you testified about an e-mail
25 exchange with Colorado officials letting them know

1 when New Mexico water users want La Plata River water
2 to be delivered and when New Mexico water users are
3 through with it, and I believe your testimony was that
4 you do this to avoid, quote, waste of water. Do you
5 recall that?

6 A. I remember that as being part of my
7 testimony, yes.

8 Q. Now, isn't it true that the La Plata River
9 Compact has a specific provision in it that limits
10 delivery to New Mexico in this fashion, quote, "The
11 State of New Mexico shall not at any time be entitled
12 to receive nor shall the State of Colorado be required
13 to deliver any water not then necessary for beneficial
14 use in the state of New Mexico"?

15 A. Are you quite quoting from a specific element
16 there of the La Plata River Compact?

17 Q. Article 2, Section 3.

18 A. So there's a couple of different parts of the
19 articles that are there, and, yes, go to the aspect --

20 Q. Is that -- and is that as a provision that
21 limits delivery to New Mexico, would you agree?

22 A. One of the aspects that actually limits
23 delivery to New Mexico.

24 Q. The La Plata River Compact -- let's talk
25 about some differences. The La Plata River Compact

1 does not involve releases from a Bureau of Reclamation
2 Project, correct?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. In fact, with the -- with regard to the Rio
5 Grande Compact, water in Elephant Butte and Caballo
6 with the exception of San Juan-Chama water and debit
7 and credit water, the entire contents of Elephant
8 Butte are available for allocation to the districts;
9 isn't that right?

10 A. Can you ask that question again? Do you mean
11 that usable water in project storage is available for
12 release?

13 Q. That's the Compact language. I wasn't asking
14 exactly that question, but that is the Compact
15 language.

16 A. My apologies.

17 Q. The entire contents of Elephant Butte
18 reservoir, with the exception of San Juan-Chama water
19 and debit and credit water, are available for
20 allocation to the districts, correct?

21 A. No. That's incorrect. There's no debit
22 water storage in Elephant Butte reservoir.

23 Q. Okay. Ask it again without the debit water.

24 A. Yeah. So at that point in time, it's usable
25 water available for release from the reservoir.

1 Q. And it's all available for allocation to the
2 **districts, correct?**

3 A. It's -- yes, for the two districts for
4 delivery to the farmers, yes.

5 Q. And EP1, in fact, the district in Texas and
6 **EBID, the district in New Mexico, actually let the**
7 **Bureau of Reclamation know when they need water,**
8 **correct?**

9 A. I believe that's correct. I don't believe I
10 testified to that. I believe I testified that we are
11 not privy to the orders.

12 Q. And EP1, the district, and EBID, the New
13 **Mexico district, let the Bureau of Reclamation know**
14 **when they need water through the water ordering**
15 **process, correct?**

16 A. During the irrigation season for the
17 allotment that they have received, yes -- for the
18 allocation they've received.

19 Q. And as the Special Master found in his
20 **summary judgment order, to distribute water below**
21 **Elephant Butte, the Rio Grande Compact relies on the**
22 **programmatic activities of the Bureau of Reclamation,**
23 **correct?**

24 A. Could you say that one more time? I don't
25 think I got all of that.

1 Q. As the Special Master found in his summary
2 judgment order, to distribute water below Elephant
3 Butte, the Rio Grande Compact relies on the
4 programmatic activities of the Bureau of Reclamation,
5 correct?

6 A. I don't know that I remember all the pieces
7 of the Special Master's ruling, but, yes, my
8 understanding is we rely on the Bureau of Reclamation
9 for the distribution of that water to the districts,
10 and the districts then to their farmers.

11 Q. And the release of usable water is based on
12 irrigation demands. I think you just testified to
13 that, correct?

14 A. That is what it's intended to be, yes.

15 Q. And that release is made both to EBID and to
16 EP1, correct?

17 A. To both of those parties, plus the Republic
18 of Mexico through IBWC.

19 Q. If water is flowing out of EP1 and being used
20 by the Hudspeth District consistent with their Warren
21 Act contract, that is not a waste of water, correct?

22 A. I don't know I would agree with that.

23 Q. All right. Let's move on and talk about the
24 Pecos River Compact. The delivery obligation to New
25 Mexico in the Pecos Compact is through the state line,

1 correct?

2 A. Yes. At the Red Bluff gage.

3 Q. The New Mexico delivery obligation in the Rio
4 Grande Compact is to Elephant Butte Reservoir,
5 correct?

6 A. As modified by the 1948 resolution, that's
7 correct.

8 Q. I don't think that modified the Compact, did
9 it? It modified the measuring point.

10 A. With the -- my understanding of that is that
11 it modified the delivery point, and prior to 1948, the
12 delivery point was described in the Compact as San
13 Marcial.

14 Q. So the two Compacts, the Pecos and the Rio
15 Grande Compact, are different in that regard in terms
16 of where the delivery obligation is, correct?

17 A. They are.

18 Q. All right. So you also testified that as New
19 Mexico ISC director, you are responsible for ensuring
20 that New Mexico complies with its Compacts, correct?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. As ISC director, is it your understanding
23 that the New Mexico obligation in the Rio Grande
24 Compact to deliver to Elephant Butte includes a duty
25 to avoid interfering with Project delivery of Texas'

1 **apportionment?**

2 A. Well, isn't that the whole reason we're in
3 this trial, right, is what is the baseline in that
4 regard and -- and then how do we measure that?

5 **Q. So is it your understanding that there is a**
6 **duty, but the duty is undefined at this point?**

7 A. My understanding from the Special Master is,
8 I believe, almost exactly that, that New Mexico has a
9 Compact duty below Elephant Butte and -- and that's
10 what is being determined in this trial.

11 **Q. As ISC director, you agree, don't you, that**
12 **the New Mexico duty to, quote, deliver to Elephant**
13 **Butte necessarily carried with it a duty to relinquish**
14 **control and dominion over the water so delivered?**

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** Object to form. That's
16 calling for a legal conclusion.

17 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'll sustain that.

18 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) Do you agree as ISC director**
19 **that the term deliver requires New Mexico to avoid**
20 **interference with Project operations and to --**

21 **MR. WECHSLER:** Same objection.

22 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) -- on New Mexico's laws to**
23 **protect Texas' Compact apportionment?**

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Just a second. Would you
25 restate the question, Ms. Klahn, because I -- I missed

1 part of it, and then wait until she's done,
2 Mr. Wechsler.

3 **MR. WECHSLER:** Understood.

4 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN)** You agree as ISC director that
5 the term deliver, as used in the Compact, requires New
6 Mexico to avoid interference with Project operations
7 and to apply New Mexico laws to protect Texas' Compact
8 apportionment?

9 **MR. WECHSLER:** Objection; calls for a
10 legal conclusion.

11 **MS. KLAHN:** Your Honor, Mr. --
12 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen gave extensive testimony about
13 his understanding of the Compact, and he testified at
14 the start of this series of questions that it's his
15 responsibility to ensure that New Mexico complies with
16 its Compacts. Well --

17 **JUDGE MELLOY:** That's okay. I'm going
18 to overrule. I do think he has testified quite a bit
19 about his understanding of the Compact administration
20 from New Mexico's perspective, so go ahead.

21 **A.** I would need you to repeat the question. I
22 apologize.

23 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN)** We'll go To round 3. You
24 agree as ISC director that the term deliver requires
25 New Mexico to avoid interference with Project

1 **operations and to apply New Mexico's laws to protect**
2 **Texas' Compact apportionment?**

3 A. Well, in this instance, I think we're -- when
4 we're delivering to Elephant Butte, we're delivering
5 also to New Mexico for New Mexico's portion of the
6 apportionment under the Rio Grande Compact, and so I
7 don't think at that point in time, there's just a
8 simple, you know, handoff that occurs.

9 **Q. That's not really what I asked you. I asked**
10 **a question about the delivery -- whether the term**
11 **deliver requires New Mexico to avoid interference with**
12 **Project operations and to apply New Mexico's laws to**
13 **protect Texas' apportionment.**

14 A. Well, under that in this particular instance,
15 I would say there's definitely a Compact-related
16 responsibility for New Mexico, and it's, again, one
17 that we're in disagreement on amongst the states and
18 why we're in this litigation. But ultimately, you
19 know, it's kind of hard to -- to basically say what
20 that might be in the vacuum that currently exists,
21 because there's a -- a very significant difference in
22 opinion about what that New Mexico apportionment is
23 below the reservoir and what Texas' is. So I would
24 say at that point in time, no, it depends.

25 **Q. So as I understand your answer, you agree**

1 that there's a Compact-related responsibility, but
2 we're in disagreement about what the contours of that
3 responsibility are; is that fair?

4 A. I believe that's fair.

5 Q. Now, as the ISC director, do you believe that
6 the indirect or direct capture of Project water not
7 authorized by Reclamation to an extent that
8 substantially interferes with project operations would
9 be in violation of the Compact?

10 A. Do you mean not having a contract with
11 Reclamation?

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. I don't believe it's necessary for all
14 parties downstream of Elephant Butte who use water to
15 have a contract with the United States.

16 Q. Okay. Let's move on to talking a little bit
17 about some of your testimony related to waste. Now,
18 during your direct testimony, you testified about two
19 events you described as waste, one in 1996, and one in
20 2002 were -- there may have been a third one in 1992.
21 But those -- those were events that you asserted were
22 evidence of waste out of the bottom of EP1. Do you
23 recall that?

24 A. Ms. Klahn, I would say specifically for 2002,
25 absolutely yes. For the 1996, I was looking at

1 Compact documents or similar types of issues arising
2 amongst the Compact Commission, and that that claim
3 was made at that time by the State of Colorado.

4 **Q. So in your investigation of that 1996 doc --**
5 **1996 event, did you look at New Mexico 2362?**

6 A. I would have to find it.

7 **MS. KLAHN:** Could we have that put up,
8 please, Justin?

9 A. 22?

10 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) New Mexico 2362.**

11 A. This is the letter -- oh, this is Garry
12 Rowe's response letter in 1996. Yes, I remember this.
13 Yeah.

14 **Q. Okay. Let's -- you reviewed that. Did that**
15 **-- did you take a look at Page 4? Let's go to Page 4.**
16 **And I'm looking at the next-to-the-last bullet point**
17 **there.**

18 **MS. KLAHN:** If you could pull that out,
19 Justin. Thanks.

20 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) First of all, if you reviewed**
21 **this, would you agree with me that this is a**
22 **compilation of documents that Commissioner Salazar**
23 **requested regarding the 1996 --**

24 A. I have to look at all of the pieces of it. I
25 think this -- these have the letter and the

1 resolution. I don't think it has -- oh, maybe it has
2 everything. I'm not quite sure. But it would -- I
3 remember Commissioner Salazar asked for Reclamation to
4 --

5 Q. Correct. So this second -- this
6 next-to-the-last bullet point on Page 4 describes the
7 Colorado state engineer's concerns, which you
8 identified in your testimony on October 21st, correct?

9 A. Yeah.

10 MS. KLAHN: And I'd like you to
11 highlight the last two sentences there, Justin?

12 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) "Reclamation responded that
13 the releases were for district irrigation of early
14 winter crops. Reclamation also stated that there were
15 no restrictions within the Rio Grande Project
16 authorizing legislation or existing district contracts
17 which either prohibit winter irrigation releases or
18 specify irrigation season dates." Is this statement
19 one of the reasons why your testimony now, just now,
20 was that you're not sure if the 1996 event was waste?

21 A. No. I mean, this is clearly a -- what
22 Reclamation reported as to the response to the state
23 engineer, but I think, you know, I would refer you to
24 Page 3 with a summary of events that -- where EP1
25 conserve release for irrigation would begin January 3

1 deliveries to crops into the City of El Paso public
2 service board water treatment plant, and -- and it
3 also goes onto say in here, if I remember, that the
4 overall efficiency of delivery was something like 20
5 percent, so I -- I would -- I would tell you --

6 Q. Let me stop you there. I appreciate that you
7 have more to say. Mr. Wechsler is very capable. I'm
8 sure he can ask you about it. The -- you made a
9 comment about the level of efficiency in the district.
10 There's no efficiency requirement stated in the
11 Compact, correct?

12 A. That's correct. The Compact itself does not
13 include that language.

14 Q. Okay. So let's go on and take a look at the
15 2002 incident, and with regard to that incident, you
16 testified that Steve Vandiver had come to you and Norm
17 Gaume regarding operation of the Rio Grande Compact.
18 Do you recall your testimony on that point?

19 A. You said the Rio Grande Compact? The Rio
20 Grande Project.

21 Q. I'm sorry. Rio Grande Project. Thank you.

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. Okay. And you referred, I think, to New
24 Mexico 685, which was a letter from Mr. Turney, who
25 was the state engineer at the time of New Mexico, I

1 think, regarding excess amounts of operational waste
2 running out EP1. Do you recall that?

3 A. I recall that as being one of the letters,
4 yes.

5 Q. Okay. You also testified about a draft
6 agenda that you and Mr. Vandiver sent to the Bureau of
7 Reclamation, and that was New Mexico 2314. Do you
8 recall that?

9 A. I don't have that in front of me, but yes.

10 Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to -- I'd like to put up
11 Colorado 220, please. This is another compilation of
12 documents, but let's take a look first on Page 10.

13 A. Colorado what?

14 Q. 220. I'm sorry. I'll give you a chance to
15 pull it.

16 A. Thank you.

17 Q. Okay. I'm on Page 10.

18 A. I have to look at where you're at.

19 Q. Oh, you're looking at the screen to see the
20 --

21 A. I'm just trying to find it. I can't find it
22 in -- this is a really long set of letters apparently.

23 Q. Should be on Page 10 of Colorado 220,
24 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. I've got it --

25 A. Okay. That's at the bottom? Oh, there it

1 is.

2 Q. Yeah. If you look at -- it should be New
3 Mexico -- it's NM-2 -- sorry. Let me find it again.

4 A. No, I found it.

5 Q. Did you find it? Okay.

6 A. Yeah. I just wasn't sure if -- sorry --
7 where the -- there's all kinds of page numbers on
8 here.

9 Q. There are all kinds of page numbers on there.
10 I agree with that. So if we're on Page 10, what we're
11 looking at here is a letter from Mr. Bert Cortez. He
12 -- he was a previous employee of the Bureau of
13 Reclamation, correct?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And if you look at the first paragraph, he's
16 referencing a meeting on Friday, August 16th, 2002,
17 which was the same date as the draft agenda that you
18 talked about during your direct testimony. Do you
19 recall that? And we can absolutely put up that draft
20 agenda if it would help refresh your recollection.

21 A. The only issue that I have there, there was a
22 lot of different meetings that were going on. I know
23 that -- that Steve and I had requested a meeting, and
24 I just wasn't sure if this was the same meeting or
25 not.

1 Q. Well, I think we can get to that a little bit
2 more directly here in a minute. Let's turn to Page --
3 first, let's turn to Page 22 in here, and just -- if
4 you could put up 22 and 23 together. This, I believe,
5 is exactly the same letter as New Mexico 685. Just
6 take a look at it and see if it --

7 A. It appears to be. I -- I don't know that I
8 know this document overall, but that is in reference
9 to Tom Turney's letter to Ken Maxey.

10 Q. Okay. And then if we go back to Pages 1
11 through 5, let's maybe put up 1 and 2 together. So
12 this is the transmittal letter for, as I understand
13 it, all of the enclosures back to Mr. Simpson
14 regarding the incident that you reported on in your
15 direct testimony, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. And I'll draw
16 your attention to the first paragraph of the letter
17 where it says, "Please note that the data for the
18 period June 19th and 20th, 2002, was observing flow
19 conditions at the Hudspeth County line." I believe
20 that's when you testified you went to the Hudspeth and
21 lower El Paso area with Mr. Vandiver, correct?

22 A. So, again, I would say that I don't know that
23 I've ever seen this entire compilation, but I believe
24 this is the response that Mr. Cortez provided to Hal
25 Simpson.

1 **Q. Okay.**

2 A. I don't know the entire document, but that --
3 that letter piece.

4 **Q. Well, let's go to Page 5.**

5 **MS. KLAHN:** Where's the CCs, Justin? Is
6 that Page 3? Let's go to Page 3. There we go.

7 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So you were copied on this,**
8 **Mr. Schmidt-Petersen?**

9 A. I would say I was copied on the specific
10 letter that you're talking about here. I'm not sure
11 about the entire document that we've been going
12 through. I don't --

13 **Q. Well, it says -- it says, "W slash ENCL, with**
14 **enclosures." So my read of that would be that this is**
15 **a document that you received including all of the**
16 **attachments?**

17 A. I don't know.

18 **Q. You did not consider this during your**
19 **evaluation of the 2002 event, correct?**

20 A. My 2002 event, I would have definitely looked
21 at all of the letters that were there, but I can tell
22 you that my eyes-on-the-ground experience were
23 different from what was reported here.

24 **Q. That's not what I asked you. When you -- to**
25 **prepare for your testimony that you gave on October**

1 21st about the 2002 event, this was not one of the
2 documents you reviewed, correct?

3 A. This entire document, I don't think so. I
4 know I looked at a number of the letters that you just
5 talked about, but the overall document, I don't know.

6 MS. KLAHN: Your Honor, this exhibit has
7 not yet been admitted, and we would offer it at this
8 time.

9 MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, we don't mind
10 parts of it being offered, the parts that
11 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen can testify to. I would point
12 the Court to, and perhaps the technician can go to
13 Page 1, and this is in regards to the -- the
14 enclosure. You can see in the fourth line there, it
15 says, "Please find enclosed a one-page table." So
16 when Mr. Schmidt-Petersen is testifying here that he's
17 never seen this compilation of documents, our
18 understanding as to where this came from was the
19 United States based on the Bates numbers. We don't
20 know why these documents were compiled the way they
21 were or if it's a complete set. If there are parts of
22 the -- the record, certainly Mr. Schmidt-Petersen has
23 testified he's seen the first letter. We don't have a
24 problem with that being broken out and admitted, and
25 perhaps there are other parts, but the entire thing

1 seems inappropriate, and we object on foundation
2 grounds.

3 **MS. KLAHN:** Your Honor, may I be heard?

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may.

5 **MS. KLAHN:** The issue of EP1's
6 operations has been important in this case, and for
7 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen to give testimony based on review
8 of one document and a draft agenda is one thing, but
9 when there are documents on which he's been copied and
10 there are exhibits in the record or exhibits available
11 for review -- and we can -- I can go ahead and lay a
12 little bit more foundation. There are other letters
13 in here that he was copied on. In fact, he was copied
14 on almost every single item in this compilation, and
15 I'm happy to do that if that's what you -- if you --
16 if that's what you prefer. We believe this is a
17 business record because it's got to be something that
18 was kept in the regular course of business by the
19 Interstate Stream Commission. That was his -- he was
20 the engineer advisor -- well, he's listed as engineer
21 advisor, at least. I don't know that he was in 2002.

22 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And, again, this was
23 Exhibit No.?

24 **MS. KLAHN:** Colorado 220.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Colorado 220. Okay.

1 **MR. WECHSLER:** I'm certain if we ask
2 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen parts of these letters were
3 likely kept by the Interstate Stream Commission in the
4 normal course of business, but, of course,
5 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen is not the custodian for U.S.
6 documents, and that's the problem that we have with
7 this document is it appears to be some sort of
8 compilation, which is not kept by the ISC, and we
9 don't have any way of knowing what it was or why it
10 was kept that way. But we -- we certainly acknowledge
11 parts of it can and should be admitted.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I think based on
13 the foundation that's been laid so far, all I can have
14 admitted is the letter itself and maybe the first page
15 of the table. I think the rest -- the rest of it has
16 not been authenticated.

17 **MS. KLAHN:** Maybe I could go through and
18 do it more systematically, Your Honor. I thought
19 maybe this was something Mr. Schmidt-Petersen would
20 have seen. So if you would like me to do that, then I
21 can -- then we can create the clean record for which
22 pages have a foundation related to
23 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen's being copied.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right.

25 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen,**

1 let's look through this. So the letter, which is
2 Pages 1, 2, and 3, and the -- and according to your
3 counsel, the attachment, which is the table on Page 5,
4 take a look at Page 3 of the letter and see that your
5 name is listed there as one of the CCs. Do you see
6 that?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. Okay. And as the supervisor, as you're
9 stated, as your title is there for the Interstate
10 Stream Commission, would a letter from Mr. Cortez
11 regarding an incident that you've been involved in
12 investigating normally be kept in the ordinary course
13 of business at the Interstate Stream Commission?

14 A. This letter, yes.

15 Q. Okay. Then let's go onto the Page 9 of this,
16 and let's put up the draft agenda which you testified
17 about last time, which was New Mexico 2314, I believe.

18 A. I think the exhibit you said was different
19 from this. They may be the same, though.

20 Q. Let's go to the second page. They are not
21 the same. This is -- appears to be your request for
22 presentations by the Bureau of Reclamation staff, and
23 what I'm seeing on the left appears to be Mr. Cortez's
24 agenda that he was prepared to provide for the group
25 at the meeting on August 16th.

1 A. I have definitely seen the -- the request
2 presentations by USBR staff. I'm not sure about Bert
3 Cortez's, but I would -- does it have a CC from me on
4 it?

5 Q. **It's an attachment to a -- appears to be an**
6 **attachment to the letter sent by the Texas Compact**
7 **Commissioner on Page 6. Did you attend that meeting**
8 **on August 16th, 2002?**

9 A. I'm sure I attended a meeting with Bert
10 Cortez and Ken Maxey. I can't tell you if they're
11 exactly the same.

12 Q. **Okay. So at this point, you can't say**
13 **whether that -- whether the agenda on the left is the**
14 **actual agenda related to the draft agenda on the**
15 **right; is that fair?**

16 A. Yes. That's fair.

17 Q. **Okay. Let's go onto Pages 10, 11, and 12.**

18 A. Did you want to skip 6 and 7 or ...

19 Q. **Well, 6 and 7 were letters to -- a letter**
20 **from the Texas commissioner to Mr. Simpson and**
21 **Mr. Turney, and you are not copied on that so I'm**
22 **going with the --**

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. **-- I'm going to assume that you didn't see**
25 **that because you weren't copied on it.**

1 A. Yeah, I don't know.

2 Q. Okay. Let's look at Pages 10, 11, and 12.
3 **This is another letter from the -- from Bert Cortez.**
4 **You are copied on it, if you look on bottom of Page**
5 **11.**

6 A. Yes. I see my name. Doesn't this also
7 include his agenda that's on Page 10 or no? Maybe
8 not. No, yeah, the attached agenda with details of
9 the presentation. I believe that would be Page 9.

10 Q. Okay. So Page 9 goes with Pages 10, 11, and
11 **12, and you were copied on that?**

12 A. That is my understanding from looking at it.

13 Q. Okay. So then -- then we would look at Pages
14 **13, 14, and 15, which is another letter from**
15 **Mr. Cortez related to the alleged incident, and you're**
16 **copied on Page 15 of that letter?**

17 A. I am.

18 Q. Okay. And then we can go to Pages 17, 18,
19 **19, 20, and 21. This is another letter from Bert**
20 **Cortez to Mr. Simpson. If you'll look at Page 21,**
21 **you're also copied on that.**

22 A. I see that.

23 Q. And then we get to Pages 22 through Page 25,
24 **and that is the letter that you testified about on**
25 **direct, which was --**

1 A. That's correct.

2 **Q. New Mexico Exhibit 685. It's the same**
3 **letter, right?**

4 A. That's my understanding -- well, none of the
5 versions here are very legible, but yes.

6 **Q. Yes. Okay. And then Pages 26 and 27 is a**
7 **letter from Mr. Turney to Mr. Maxey, and you're copied**
8 **on that letter?**

9 A. I am.

10 **Q. And I believe the rest of the pages -- so**
11 **that means that the only pages we wouldn't admit would**
12 **be 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32, as you are not copied on**
13 **any of those. Would you agree with that?**

14 A. That and the -- there was a section -- a
15 letter in the middle.

16 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Pages 6, 7, and 8, I
17 don't think were authenticated.

18 **MS. KLAHN:** That's right. So with that
19 foundation laid, we would offer -- and I can list all
20 of it, Pages -- Colorado 220 Pages 1 through 5 being a
21 letter from Mr. Cortez to Mr. Simpson on September
22 20th, 2002, Pages 9 through 12 being a letter and
23 attachment from Mr. Cortez to the commissioners
24 copying Mr. Schmidt-Petersen dated August 7th, 2002,
25 Pages 13 through 15 being a letter from Mr. Cortez to

1 Mr. Turney dated August 5th, 2002, a letter from
2 Mr. Cortez to Mr. Simpson copying Mr. Schmidt-Petersen
3 dated July 27th, 2002, a letter from Mr. --

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Just a second. Just for
5 the record, I want to make sure the record is correct.
6 That's Pages 17 through 21, as I understand it.

7 **MS. KLAHN:** Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you,
8 Your Honor. Yes. Pages 22 through 25 being a July
9 21st, 2002, letter from Mr. Turney to Mr. Maxey. The
10 same letter was as -- which is already in the record
11 as New Mexico 685. That also copied
12 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen.

13 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Any objection,
14 Mr. Wechsler?

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** I have no objection to
16 those letters being admitted, and in the future, Your
17 Honor, we'll try and work with the other parties to
18 break these out into separate exhibits so we don't
19 have to use trial time on this task.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Those
21 exhibits are admitted. Go ahead with your
22 examination, Ms. Klahn.

23 **MS. KLAHN:** Thank you.

24 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN)** Let's go back to Exhibit 391,
25 **please.**

1 A. This is a report of the Compact Commission in
2 2001?

3 Q. Correct.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. Would you turn to Page 12, please? The
6 right-hand side, this is the memorandum of
7 understanding that you talked about with Mr. Wechsler,
8 correct?

9 A. The -- okay. The first resolution that is
10 the -- oh, excuse me, the memorandum of understanding
11 that is on Page 18 of the report, but Page 12 of the
12 exhibit.

13 Q. Correct.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. Yeah. And we're trying to use the exhibit
16 references just to be clear.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. That's why I referred to it as Page 12.
19 Okay. So because this is kind of small and the copy
20 isn't very good, I'd like to switch over to Texas 543?

21 MS. KLAHN: Justin, could you put up
22 Texas 543 just so we can see it's the same document?
23 543.

24 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) Okay. Mr. Schmidt-Petersen,
25 I'd like to ask you about this memorandum of

1 understanding, but I'd like to use the Texas 543
2 version because it's a little easier to read. Are you
3 comfortable that we're looking at the same memorandum
4 of understanding?

5 A. Well, at least for the first page of it, yes,
6 but I wouldn't see why they weren't the same.

7 Q. Okay. Thank you.

8 MS. KLAHN: So let's take down 391 then,
9 Justin, and let's go to Page 4 of Texas 543. And
10 could you highlight the first bullet point there?

11 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So this memorandum of
12 understanding involves an agreement with the United
13 States Bureau of Reclamation and the Compact
14 Commission regarding various accounting and
15 documentation issues, correct?

16 A. Yes. This is a documentation that is duties,
17 roles, and responsibilities of each agency for
18 accounting, reporting, and documentation of the waters
19 of the Rio Grande Basin.

20 Q. Okay. And in the version we were looking at
21 in 391, it did not include Table 1, so I wanted to
22 just draw your attention to Table 1.

23 MS. KLAHN: Could we pop over to Table
24 1, please, Justin? That's on Pages 7 and 8 of this
25 exhibit.

1 A. Yes, I see it.

2 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) Okay. And are you familiar
3 with this table?

4 A. Well, with the resolution and the documents
5 here, the table looks to be the -- the gages and --
6 and its reservoirs that are looked at specifically for
7 Rio Grande Compact accounting.

8 Q. And if we look at the table, you see the left
9 column is the raw data to be collected, correct?

10 A. I do.

11 Q. In the memo column is the type of data,
12 whether it's discharge or reservoir stage or whatever,
13 correct?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And then the responsible agency is on the
16 right?

17 A. That's right.

18 Q. Is the -- it's true, isn't it, that the New
19 Mexico Office of the State Engineer is not among the
20 entities that's responsible for any of these
21 measurements, correct?

22 A. Correct that we are not listed on here, but
23 for many USGS gages in particular, we are for that
24 gaging through cooperative agreement with USGS.

25 Q. This bureau -- this MOU relates to what

1 **agency is responsible for collecting the data,**
2 **however, correct?**

3 A. This is the agency responsible for providing
4 it in context with the rules and regulations of the
5 Rio Grande Compact, so I think if you track back to
6 those rules, you'll see that this -- all these
7 different gages are responsibilities of different
8 states and -- and then there's a responsible party for
9 collecting that or providing that data.

10 **Q. Now, you testified that this MOU relates to**
11 **the entire Rio Grande Basin. Do you recall that**
12 **testimony?**

13 A. I believe I -- I -- I testified that this had
14 to do with the Compact.

15 **Q. Could you take a look at the second bullet**
16 **point back on Page 4, please?**

17 A. Yes. Are you -- yeah, this is the roles and
18 responsibilities of the Bureau of Reclamation as
19 related to the Compact accounting and so which one are
20 you -- oh.

21 **Q. So the second bullet point is what I'm**
22 **focusing on here.**

23 A. Oh, sorry. Gotcha. I'm tracking you now?

24 **Q. So the roles and responsibilities of the U.S.**
25 **Bureau of Reclamation, according to the second bullet**

1 point are to prepare the annual water accounting
2 report for the engineer advisors to the Commission
3 that provides details on water accounting for the San
4 Juan-Chama Project, the San Luis Valley Project and
5 information on the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations
6 Model, correct?

7 A. That's what it says, yes.

8 Q. Okay. Let's go back to Joint 391. Let's go
9 to Page 15 of Joint 391. Thank you.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. All right. So you talked with Mr. Wechsler
12 about the resolution on Page 15 of Joint 391, and I
13 think you testified that the states generally agreed
14 that the federal agencies should follow state law and
15 operations of their projects. Do you recall that
16 testimony?

17 A. I do recall, yes.

18 Q. Isn't it true the Rio Grande Compact is also
19 state law?

20 A. The Rio Grande Compact is state law and
21 federal law.

22 Q. Let's take a look at Page 16. Pop out the
23 joint resolution on the left hand side of the page.
24 So this resolution, if you go down to the, "Now
25 therefore," see what the commissioners were requesting

1 of the Bureau. "Compact commission hereby requests
2 that the Bureau of Reclamation work cooperatively with
3 the engineer advisors to develop procedures for
4 determining the annual allotments of water supply in
5 accordance with the Rio Grande Compact." Do you see
6 that?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. I think you testified that this resolution
9 was to provide -- quote, to provide our water users
10 sufficient notice about the water they might actually
11 get so that they could better plan for that. Do you
12 recall that testimony?

13 A. No, not specifically. So this is the third
14 resolution that was there, right, so this is the one
15 that has to do with operations of the project.

16 JUDGE MELLOY: Excuse me a second,
17 Ms. Klahn.

18 MS. KLAHN: Yes.

19 JUDGE MELLOY: Heather, I'm not getting
20 the realtime. Is anyone else having trouble with
21 that?

22 MS. KLAHN: Yeah, I am, too.

23 MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor.

24 (Discussion off the record.)

25 JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead, Ms. Klahn.

1 MS. KLAHN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, this is
3 a March 21st, 2002, resolution that appears to be a
4 request by the Compact Commission to develop
5 procedures for determining the annual allotments of
6 water supply in accordance with the Rio Grande
7 Compact. Would you agree?

8 A. Yes, I would.

9 Q. Okay. And your testimony in direct was that
10 this resolution was in part to provide, and it was --
11 this is a quote from Page 120 of the rough, "Our water
12 users sufficient notice about the water they actually
13 might get so they could better plan for that." You
14 don't recall that testimony, as you sit here?

15 A. Not specifically, but if that's what's
16 written there.

17 Q. Okay. And in that understanding that you
18 don't necessarily remember it, when you use the
19 phrase "our water users" in that sentence, you were
20 referring to EBID, correct?

21 A. In this case, it would be EBID and its
22 farmers, yes.

23 Q. And given your understanding of Project
24 operations, isn't it true that the State of New Mexico
25 has never provided notice to EBID regarding the water

1 **that was available to them from the Project?**

2 A. I'm not sure that I can say never. This
3 information is reported at, you know, each Compact
4 meeting that occurs, and we get that information from
5 the Bureau of Reclamation. Our job is looking at the
6 overall 57 percent of Project supply.

7 **Q. So this request that the Bureau work with the**
8 **engineer advisors to develop procedures for**
9 **determining annual allotments was superseded by the**
10 **adoption of the 2008 operating agreement, correct?**

11 A. Excuse me. Could you say that one more time?

12 **Q. Sure. Looks like realtime is back up. So**
13 **this request that the Bureau work with the engineer**
14 **advisors -- this being the resolution that we were**
15 **just looking at in Joint 391 -- to develop procedures**
16 **for determining annual allotments was superseded by**
17 **the adoption of the 2008 operating agreement, correct?**

18 A. I would not agree with that. I think it's
19 clear that Reclamation and the Districts entered into
20 that agreement, but I don't know that that relates
21 directly to this resolution. There were a lot of
22 things going on at that time period. I think there's
23 actually -- there's a couple more resolutions in there
24 that related to the Compact Commission getting a
25 better handle of the entire basin. I think there's

1 also an URGWOM resolution, and I don't think that was
2 ended by the 2008 operating agreement.

3 Q. That wasn't what I asked you. I asked you
4 whether this request reflected in the March 21st,
5 2002, resolution was superseded by the adoption of the
6 2008 operating agreement?

7 A. I would tell you that I believe the request
8 stands to this date.

9 Q. And the -- you're familiar with the fact that
10 EBID, EP1, the El Paso Water Utility, and Hudspeth all
11 get their water from the Rio Grande Project under a
12 federal contract, correct?

13 A. I understand that EP1 and the -- and EBID can
14 request water and make calls and that Hudspeth has a
15 Warren Act contract for operational waste.

16 Q. EBID, EP1, and El Paso Water Utility, and
17 Hudspeth all have different types of contracts with
18 the Bureau of Reclamation for Project water or
19 operational waste, correct?

20 A. I believe that's correct.

21 Q. And this is part of the programmatic
22 distribution of apportioned water in -- from Elephant
23 Butte and Caballo; isn't that right?

24 A. Well, isn't that the subject of this lawsuit?

25 Q. So it's not your understanding that the

1 contracts would be part of the way that the Bureau
2 programmatically manages water below Caballo?

3 A. I believe the Bureau of Reclamation
4 distributes water to those districts for the two
5 districts as per those, you know, formational
6 contracts, 1938 contracts, and the operational waste,
7 the Warren Act contract. This aspect of how future
8 contracts get put in place and interpreted, I think,
9 are really part of this litigation so I don't have a
10 better answer for that.

11 Q. Let's turn to the Compact rules. Let's look
12 at Joint Exhibit 401. This is previously admitted, I
13 believe, and we are looking at Page 108. So you
14 recall your testimony with Mr. Wechsler about the
15 Compact rules?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Let's pop out that first paragraph. Let's
18 highlight the first five lines. So the sentence there
19 says, "A Compact, known as the Rio Grande Compact,
20 between the States of Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas,
21 having become effective on May 31st, 1939, by consent
22 of the Congress of the United States, which equitably
23 apportions the waters of the Rio Grande above Fort
24 Quitman and permits each State to develop its water
25 resources at will, subject only to its obligations to

1 deliver water in accordance with the schedules set
2 forth in the Compact." Now, I want to ask you about
3 that sentence. As a former engineer advisor, isn't it
4 fair to say that the Compact rules regarding the
5 ability of a state to, quote, develop its waters, as
6 that term is used in that sentence, are qualified by
7 the New Mexico obligation to deliver water in
8 accordance with the schedules set forth in Article 4
9 of the Rio Grande Compact?

10 (Technical difficulties.)

11 JUDGE MELLOY: Heather, are you on?

12 THE REPORTER: Yes. Can y'all hear me
13 now?

14 JUDGE MELLOY: Are you back on?

15 THE REPORTER: Yes. Can you hear me?

16 JUDGE MELLOY: Yes. Did you drop off at
17 one point?

18 THE REPORTER: I did. I just had
19 another little blip. I seem to be having some power
20 issues this morning.

21 JUDGE MELLOY: Did you get the testimony
22 that was just given?

23 THE REPORTER: It looks like the last
24 thing that I heard was the end of Ms. Klahn's
25 question, "As a former engineer advisor, isn't it fair

1 to say that the Compact rules regarding the ability of
2 a state to, quote, develop its waters, as that term is
3 used in that sentence, are qualified by the New Mexico
4 obligation to deliver water in accordance with the
5 schedules set forth in Article 4 of the Rio Grande
6 Compact?"

7 That's the last thing I heard.

8 **JUDGE MELLOY:** So the witness will have
9 to restate his answer.

10 A. I believe my answer there was our delivery
11 into Elephant Butte Reservoir under the 1948
12 Resolution is subject to the Article 4, as modified by
13 Article 6 for accounting purposes and for accrued
14 credits and debits. I didn't say that last part.

15 **Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So the Compact provides and**
16 **limits the scope and intent of the rules, correct?**

17 A. I don't know that I understand your question.
18 The way I understand this section of the rules and
19 regulations is that subject to our Article 4 delivery
20 schedule as modified by Article 6, we are able to
21 develop our water at will, and the same with Colorado.

22 **Q. My question was the Compact is the**
23 **overarching document? That's the one that controls**
24 **how you would interpret this in your role as an**
25 **engineer advisor or as a Compact commissioner,**

1 correct?

2 A. The individual articles of the Compact, yes.

3 Q. And the rules are actually for governing the
4 commission proceedings; isn't that right?

5 A. No. These are more than that. These are the
6 -- you know, talks about gaging. It talks about new
7 depletions. It talks about other ways of dealing
8 with, I think, reservoir evaporations. So it goes to
9 the -- the pieces of all the elements of work that are
10 necessary functionally for the engineer advisors to
11 both pull together accounting documentation to
12 administration or provide that information to their
13 commissioner. So how do you tie all these pieces of
14 the Compact together to get to both the accounting
15 that you do once a year and the administration that
16 could happen any time during the year.

17 Q. Let's go to the last section here.

18 MS. KLAHN: I'd ask you to pull up
19 Exhibit 616, please, Justin.

20 Q. (BY MS. KLAHN) So this is -- maybe give us the
21 first page, also, just so we can see what it is. This
22 is the 2017 Lower Rio Grande Regional Water Plan
23 report that you talked about with Mr. Wechsler. Do
24 you recall that?

25 A. I do.

1 Q. All right. So let's go to Page 105 where you
2 testified about some hydrographs. Okay. I'm not sure
3 the -- I'm not sure it's clear from your testimony on
4 direct so I just want to ask you, the horizontal axis
5 on these hydrographs is the date, correct?

6 A. I can't see that one right there, but that's
7 what normally would be.

8 Q. Does that help?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. And then the numbers on the left are
11 the elevations?

12 A. That would be the water elevation or the
13 piezometric surface.

14 Q. Of the inside the well, correct?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. From land surface.

18 Q. Okay. From land surface. Okay. So the --
19 in each hydrograph, if you could pop that back and
20 just have us on 105 again, each hydrograph is for a
21 different period of record, isn't it?

22 A. I'm not sure that every one here is a
23 different period of record, but I do know that many
24 wells, you know, in this basin, they all have
25 different periods of record.

1 Q. So -- and if we could pull up the lower left
2 one, which is the one you talked about with
3 Mr. Wechsler, I think.

4 A. Wasn't this the one that was objected about?

5 Q. Yeah. You testified anyway, so I'm asking
6 you about it now.

7 A. Okay. Sure.

8 Q. So the date on the -- the date of the last
9 measurement for this hydrograph appears to be 2012,
10 would you agree?

11 A. It looks like it's somewhere between '12 and
12 '13.

13 Q. Okay. And so you gave some testimony about
14 trends that you had noted over the last ten years, I
15 believe, so if it's 2012 or '13, the last ten years
16 that you would be talking about would be back to about
17 2000 or 2002?

18 A. That's correct. For these graphics, yes.

19 Q. So during that time frame, that ten-year time
20 frame, you noted a drop in the hydrograph from around
21 -- from the water level around late '90s, early 2000s,
22 to 2012 or '13, correct?

23 A. I don't know that we got that far into it,
24 but, yes, I -- I think I noted that there was a
25 difference in character of -- of these changes in

1 water level elevation from that time period up to
2 previous time periods when there were essentially
3 different available supplies in the Project.

4 **Q. And a lower drop than what you testified**
5 **about in the 2011 and '12/'13 period occurred in the**
6 **1950s, correct?**

7 A. In the -- well, yeah, but they're different
8 here, right. So that 1950s drought was, and for all
9 that time period that you see in deep part, there was
10 almost no surface water supply available to the
11 Project. The -- in 1958/'59, it pops up, there's
12 really big waters that occurred, and you can see that
13 the water level elevation comes up very quickly. The
14 same doesn't happen in 2008 and 2009 that were
15 otherwise full supply years on the Project. I think
16 that's the main difference that we noted.

17 **Q. Also during that ten-year time period that**
18 **you've testified about was the time frame in which the**
19 **adjudication court entered its -- or authorized**
20 **farmers in EBID to divert up to 5.5 acre-feet per acre**
21 **of surface water and groundwater combined, correct?**

22 A. I know that that -- that decision was made,
23 but my understanding, it's a reflection of what they
24 were already doing.

25 **Q. And that was also during the time period when**

1 the pecan growers rejected the state's offer of 4.0
2 acre-feet per acre surface and groundwater combined,
3 correct?

4 A. I don't know if -- if I recall that. I would
5 defer that to somebody like John Longworth or -- or
6 even Ryan Serrano.

7 Q. So I only have one more question for you,
8 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, and I'm going to ask you to pull
9 up New Mexico 805. So this was the handwritten notes
10 that we had all the --

11 A. Oh, yeah.

12 Q. -- discussion about. My question is that
13 it's your testimony, isn't it, that these are Mr. Pat
14 Gordon's notes?

15 A. I don't believe that's the case. I believe
16 my testimony was that when we came into the Pat
17 Gordon's conference room in El Paso, that these blue
18 notes were on an easel and --

19 Q. So --

20 A. -- and said that they were Texas' position.

21 Q. So the -- so the fact that they were in
22 Mr. Gordon's conference room means that Mr. Gordon
23 would be a good person to talk to about these,
24 wouldn't you agree?

25 A. Mr. Gordon, Hermann Settemeyer, Carlos

1 Rubinstein, they were there.

2 **MS. KLAHN:** Very good. That's all the
3 questions I have at this time.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Leininger, do you
5 have any questions?

6 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes, I do, Your Honor.
7 Thank you.

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. LEININGER:

10 **Q.** Good morning, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen.

11 **A.** Good morning, Mr. Leininger.

12 **Q.** Before we begin our questioning, I just want
13 to circle back to during your testimony, we, as far as
14 a question with regard to 2011 credit water releases,
15 and the ruling from the Court was that this is not the
16 time and place to go into that testimony, but your
17 counsel, Mr. Wechsler, made offers of proof.

18 **MR. LEININGER:** I would like to, just
19 for completeness of the record, Your Honor, add two
20 more documents to that offer.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Go ahead.

22 **MR. LEININGER:** The first one is New
23 Mexico 2162. We can pull that up on the screen,
24 please. This is a letter from the reclamation area
25 manager to the state engineer Mr. D'Antonio regarding

1 this issue, and I would just like to make this as an
2 offer of proof.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Any objection,
4 Mr. Wechsler?

5 **MR. WECHSLER:** I have no objection.

6 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. He can
7 consider it as part of the offer.

8 **MR. LEININGER:** We'd also like to pull
9 up New Mexico 2254. This is a letter from -- a 2018
10 letter from Pat Gordon, the Texas commissioner, to the
11 Rio Grande commissioner, and this is also with regard
12 to the issue of credit water, and we would like to add
13 this to the offer of proof, too.

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Any objection?

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** This one, I object to,
16 Your Honor. We can see here, this is Commissioner
17 Gordon attempting to rescind an agreement and
18 resolution of the Compact Commission. We know from
19 previous testimony that that's actually not possible.
20 The Commission acts unanimously. I don't see the
21 relevance of this document, and if it is admitted,
22 then I think there needs to be actual testimony on it
23 so that we can understand it in context.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, it's part of the
25 offer of proof. I'll accept it as really being

1 admitted, so I'll accept -- I'll accept as part of the
2 offer of proof. Go ahead.

3 MR. LEININGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Mr. Rolf --
5 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, sorry, Rolf, let's start with --
6 I'm beginning to get the echo -- New Mexico 616. And
7 this is the lower Rio Grande regional water plan dated
8 March 2017 that you had testified to earlier.

9 MR. LEININGER: This has been admitted,
10 Your Honor.

11 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Let's go to PDF 13 of this
12 document. You can see at the bottom it's labeled
13 NM-616-0013.

14 MR. LEININGER: For brevity, Your Honor,
15 I'll just mention the last few digits on these
16 documents.

17 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) So we're on Page 13 here.
18 Let's pop out the first bullet point about key water
19 issues. And it states here in the first line, "The
20 Rio Grande stream system is fully appropriated."
21 Would you agree that the surface water and the
22 groundwater that's connected to the surface water is
23 fully appropriated in the Rio Grande?

24 A. I would say the entire stream system is fully
25 appropriated, that includes surface and groundwater.

1 Q. Okay. So let's go to Page 160 of this
2 document and go to the third bullet point. This
3 confirms, I think, what you just said, which
4 is, "There are considerable legal limitations on the
5 development of new surface and groundwater resources,
6 given that the surface and surface-connected
7 groundwater supplies are fully appropriated, which
8 affects the ability of the region to prepare for
9 shortages by developing new supplies." So that
10 confirms your testimony, right?

11 A. Yeah, I see that.

12 Q. Let's go to Page 162. We looked at -- sorry.
13 We're having a bit of technical computer problems
14 freezing up on us. So we want to go to Page 162,
15 which is Table 7.1. There we are. Here, the -- the
16 water plan shows a table, which is titled as, "Water
17 Use and Estimated Availability in the Lower Rio Grande
18 Water Planning Region." The top part of the table are
19 closed basins, right, non-stream connected basins in
20 the lower Rio Grande?

21 A. That's my understanding. And this region,
22 which encompasses more than just the valley bottom of
23 the Rio Grande, there are --

24 Q. That's fine.

25 A. Yeah.

1 Q. That's fine. You've answered my question.
2 Thank you. At the bottom there, we have
3 stream-connected basins, and these are Rincon and
4 Mesilla Basins?

5 A. In looking at this, I -- I'm not sure if
6 there is any more within that area, but those would be
7 the larger ones, Rincon and Mesilla.

8 Q. The water used from groundwater connected to
9 the Rio Grande, and this is dated 2010, so let's make
10 sure we have the proper dates on these estimates. The
11 water used from groundwater connected to the Rio
12 Grande in 2010 is estimated here, right?

13 A. That's correct. That would be the water that
14 was documented as being pumped.

15 Q. And if you total the stream-connected use of
16 water, the 155,510 acre-feet from groundwater, plus
17 the surface water, 271,717, approximately 60 percent
18 of the stream-connected water used is coming from the
19 groundwater in 2010, right?

20 A. In that year, that would be the number the
21 Office of the State Engineer has, yes.

22 Q. Let's go to PDF 13. We're going to pop out
23 the first bullet point, please. And we looked at this
24 particular bullet point. The last sentence
25 states, "No mechanism is presently in place to allow

1 transfers of Rio Grande Project water from Elephant
2 Butte Irrigation District to non-agricultural uses."
3 Is -- is this referring to municipal and industrial
4 uses as non-agricultural uses?

5 A. So I didn't write it so I'm not sure what the
6 -- the steering committee was meaning entirely with
7 that beyond what they just said. Beyond that, I mean,
8 I think this relates to the state engineer's
9 declaration of the basin for groundwater in 1982, and
10 the requirement for any new using of groundwater to
11 basically come to the state engineer's office and
12 apply for a permit.

13 Q. The reference here to non-agricultural uses,
14 that would be municipal and industrial uses?

15 A. That would be municipal and industrial
16 purposes. You know, could be also domestics in some
17 instances, depending on the basin.

18 Q. Okay. This report includes an estimate of
19 growth in the population of the lower Rio Grande and
20 New Mexico. Let's go there. Page 14, PDF 14. We
21 look at the third bullet point, states that the
22 population of the Lower Rio Grande planning region is
23 expected to expand from approximately 209,000 in 2010
24 to almost 350,000 in 2060. I'm not able to hand you a
25 calculator, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, but that's almost a

1 70 percent increase in population?

2 A. It would be -- well, it would be 140,000
3 people under that estimate, yes.

4 Q. Let's go to PDF 15 and look at the second
5 bullet point. Here it says, "Given the growing
6 population in the region, there is likely to be an
7 increased municipal and commercial market for water
8 rights. Transfer of irrigation water rights
9 associated with the Rio Grande Project into
10 non-irrigation uses will involve coordination with
11 USBR and EBID and development of a transfer mechanism
12 and set of rules for such transfers." And I'll just
13 stop there. Is this a reference to transfer
14 agreements between Reclamation, the districts, and
15 municipal users?

16 A. I didn't write it, but the way I interpret
17 this is it was this aspect that in order for new uses
18 to go forward, they're going to need an offset, and in
19 order to do an offset using your agricultural water,
20 they'll have to not just follow the process but
21 develop one.

22 Q. All right. So under federal law, if you're
23 going to make these coordination deals with
24 Reclamation and EBID, that's accomplished under the
25 1920 Miscellaneous Purposes Act; is that your

1 **understanding?**

2 **MR. WECHSLER:** Object to form; calls for
3 a legal conclusion.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, he's testified
5 extensively about his understanding of how the project
6 is administered, so I'm going to let him answer.

7 A. Well, I think under one specific instance,
8 the answer would be yes, right, and I know that the
9 City of Las Cruces attempted to do that, but then with
10 the operating agreement, they couldn't get the bonding
11 in place because of a risk for the water, but that
12 would have been, as I understood it, through a
13 Miscellaneous Purposes Agreement. I'm not sure that
14 that's the only way that you could effectuate an
15 offset.

16 **Q. (BY MR. LEININGER)** So your understanding is
17 that you don't -- you don't know of any other
18 mechanisms that would involve Project irrigation water
19 converting to M&I uses in the 1920 Act; is that
20 correct?

21 A. I don't know of any other ones that have been
22 employed, but I do know of ones that have been
23 discussed.

24 **Q. Okay.** So the City of El Paso has a number of
25 these 1920 Act contracts, right, to convert Project

1 water to M&I purposes, correct?

2 A. That's my understanding, yes.

3 Q. As you just testified, the City of Las Cruces
4 has no 1920 Act contracts, correct?

5 A. That's my understanding, also.

6 Q. Did the City ever attempt to negotiate a 1920
7 Act contract with EBID and Reclamation?

8 A. I would -- I don't know. I would ask you to
9 ask them.

10 Q. So you didn't attend any sessions in which
11 discussions of a 1920 Act conversion contracts were
12 made?

13 A. I don't know if I did or not. I know that
14 that subject came up on a number of occasions with
15 something called a special water users district, and
16 that that was one element of those discussions, but I
17 don't know that I was in specific meetings with Las
18 Cruces and the Bureau of Reclamation in that regard or
19 EBID.

20 Q. All right. And to the best of your
21 knowledge, the fact that there is no city of Las
22 Cruces 1920 Act contract is due to the operating
23 agreement; is that what I understand from your
24 testimony?

25 A. No, I don't believe I said that. I said that

1 the -- I believe that the City of Las Cruces indicated
2 to us that they had purchased lands within Las Cruces
3 or that EBID, with water rights associated with them,
4 and they were looking to do a surface water type
5 project like El Paso, but with the operating agreement
6 going in place, they weren't able to do that.

7 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next Page 16 of this
8 water plan, and if we pop out paragraph that
9 begins "Strategies to Meet Water Demand," and we see
10 on this page of the 2017 plan is the reference back to
11 the last regional water plan from 2003, and certain
12 recommendations and strategies were put forth in 2003,
13 and this is just a recitation of those
14 recommendations. You're familiar with that, right?

15 A. I'm familiar with each regional plan having
16 the strategies, and these look to be the ones from the
17 -- I don't have any reason to think they're not the
18 one from the Lower Rio Grande Plan for -- that was put
19 into the 2017 plan.

20 Q. So in 2003, there was a strategy to purchase,
21 if you look at the bottom, purchase water rights and
22 water rights leasing and transfers, and then we also
23 see in the middle, "Las Cruces sustainable water
24 project." Do you see what I'm referring to?

25 A. I see the highlighted pieces, yes.

1 Q. Then on the next page, 17, we see the center
2 of that page, "The steering committee has reviewed" --
3 there we go. "The steering committee has reviewed
4 each of the strategies and indicated that most are
5 still relevant though some are being refocused." So
6 if we look at the 2003 strategies and compare them to
7 what was suggested in 2017, and let's see if we can
8 pull up those pages. These are Pages 17, 18, and 19
9 from this particular document. And we look at -- and
10 I think we can enlarge these. And we look at those
11 which fall below for 2016 purposes -- this is Page 17.

12 A. Let me just -- I have to pull this up.
13 Sorry. I can't read that.

14 Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. I don't understand why
15 it's not focused a little better.

16 A. Can you tell me again the number of this one
17 because there's just a lot of documents.

18 Q. Yes. These are Pages 17. In the upper right
19 is Page 18, and in the lower right is Page 19 of the
20 document?

21 A. Right in front of me.

22 Q. Correct. So you have those pages in front of
23 you?

24 A. I'm pulling them up now. I do. 16 and 17,
25 correct?

1 Q. Correct.

2 A. Yeah.

3 Q. So strategies in 2017 don't include
4 purchasing of water rights and water rights leasing
5 and transfers, that doesn't make them under the 2016
6 list, correct?

7 A. I'm not seeing where you're at.

8 Q. If you look at the entire list below where
9 the steering committee reviewed each of the strategies
10 and indicated what are still relevant at the bottom of
11 Page 17 and then continue on to Page --

12 A. I think they're different --

13 Q. There you are, continuing onto Page 18 and
14 19, none of these strategies include purchase water
15 rights and water rights leasing and transfers,
16 correct?

17 A. So I would just say they're -- they're not
18 apples to apples type of issues with regards to the
19 2003 plan and the 2017, so the strategies that are
20 here were a major focus of that 2003 planning piece of
21 it. The specific -- one of the specific requests of
22 the Interstate Stream Commission to each of the
23 planning regions was to look at specific projects that
24 might be implemented really from a state-funding
25 process and so on. So you see that, you know, the

1 process here some of the water projects were
2 identified --

3 Q. Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. I'm sorry to interrupt
4 you, but the question is did purchase water rights for
5 water rights leasing and transfers, was that included
6 as one of the strategies in the 2016 letters?

7 MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, he's
8 attempting to answer so if Mr. Leininger wants to ask
9 a question, he's got to be allowed to answer the
10 question.

11 JUDGE MELLOY: I think he can answer if
12 it's on the list yes or no.

13 A. They're not listed as projects for 2017 plan.
14 Different concepts of things that were being
15 requested.

16 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Okay. So the answer is
17 no?

18 A. Somebody to do that purchasing piece, that
19 would be a city or others, and that might be the
20 difference here.

21 Q. Okay. But the answer to my question is no;
22 is that correct?

23 A. As I understand your question, there -- well,
24 there are -- these items on the list are projects, not
25 strategies, which is different from 2003. That's what

1 I was trying to --

2 Q. I see. And projects that don't make it onto
3 this list include purchasing of water rights, water
4 rights leasing and transfers, right?

5 A. That's things the steering committee did not
6 recommend that in here.

7 Q. And what was the -- from the 2003 list, what
8 was the Las Cruces sustainable water project in 2003?

9 A. Mr. Leininger, I believe -- and this is more
10 recall. I'm not sure it's quite the same, but I think
11 that's the project that I was talking about previously
12 where the City of Las Cruces have purchased lands
13 within EBID and was seeking to build a surface water
14 diversion project.

15 Q. And there were -- and it included a treatment
16 plan for surface water treatment to water standards?

17 A. It did, but I'm not sure I can recall the
18 details.

19 Q. Okay. But the Las Cruces sustainable water
20 project didn't make it on to the 2016 list either, did
21 it?

22 A. It didn't make it on to the list of projects,
23 correct.

24 Q. Okay. And that's because City of Las Cruces
25 just wants to continue to pump the aquifer and not

1 acquire additional surface water rights, correct?

2 MR. WECHSLER: Objection; foundation.

3 JUDGE MELLOY: I'll sustain that.

4 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Let's go to the next
5 questioning. You had testified with regard to the
6 1939 Compact Commission report that stated rules and
7 regulations for administration of the Rio Grande
8 Compact. You recall that testimony, Ms. Klahn was
9 asking you some questions about this document?

10 A. Mr. Leininger, what I have up on my page is
11 the 2011 report of the Compact Commission. Are you
12 talking the rules and regulations pieces within that?

13 Q. That's right. Let's go to that page, and
14 that is Page 108. Let's pop out the first 16 lines.
15 I think Ms. Klahn had already asked you questions
16 about this, so my questioning will be a little more
17 limited, but this is the rules and regulations
18 referencing a 1939 rules and regulations in which it's
19 stated here that each state equitably apportions --
20 sorry -- "The Compact equitably apportions waters of
21 the Rio Grande above Fort Quitman and permits each
22 state to develop its water resources at will." I'll
23 just stop there. So I believe you had answered
24 Mr. Wechsler a couple weeks ago and Ms. Klahn is that
25 you understand this language to mean that to the

1 extent New Mexico is able to meet its obligations
2 under Article 4 and as modified by Article 6, New
3 Mexico could essentially develop its waters at will in
4 that area; do you recall that testimony?

5 A. I do recall that testimony, yes.

6 Q. And by Article 4 obligations, any delivery of
7 Rio Grande water into Elephant Butte, based on the
8 scheduling, right, based on the stream river indices?

9 A. Mr. Leininger, in this regard, I believe
10 that's what this section refers to is the place in the
11 Compact where we have schedules.

12 Q. And by -- by your testimony of in that area,
13 you mean above Elephant Butte Reservoir?

14 A. Well, this relates, I believe, to the
15 specific schedules that are there, and I think it
16 refers to the Compact in its entirety, but then those
17 specific inset schedules.

18 Q. I'm sorry. My question was more about the
19 part of this paragraph, which states that each states
20 develop its water resources at will, and I believe
21 your testimony was that New Mexico could essentially
22 develop its waters at will in that area, and I'm
23 trying to understand what you mean by "in that area."
24 So is that in that area, you mean above Elephant Butte
25 Reservoir?

1 A. In this specific piece to those schedules,
2 yes, but I don't think that that means there's not a
3 limit in the lower Rio Grande as we've kind of
4 discussed already. There are limits that apply.
5 There's just no schedule as set out so far. That's
6 what we're -- that's what we're here to try to figure
7 out what the baseline is, right?

8 **Q. So permitting each state to develop its water**
9 **resources at will below Elephant Butte Reservoir is**
10 **subject to limitations?**

11 A. Yes. I believe it is.

12 **Q. All right. Let's move on to your testimony**

13 --

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Leininger, we've been
15 going for quite a while. If we're going to switch to
16 a different exhibit, why don't we break until 1:15.
17 All right?

18 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes. Thank you, Your
19 Honor.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. Thank you.

21 (Recess.)

22 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I think we're just about
23 ready to go.

24 **MR. LEININGER:** I'm sorry, Your Honor.
25 I may have misheard. Are we ready to go?

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Just about. We don't
2 have Mr. Wallace back yet, I don't think.

3 **MR. LEININGER:** Oh, I'm sorry.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. Now, we're ready
5 to go. Before we start, though, let me just mention,
6 you offered New Mexico 2254 as part of an offer of
7 proof, Mr. Leininger. That -- actually, that exhibit
8 is already in evidence, so it doesn't need to be part
9 of an offer of proof.

10 **MR. LEININGER:** Thank you, Your Honor.
11 Thank you for that correction.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. You may
13 proceed.

14 **MR. LEININGER:** Thank you.

15 **Q. (BY MR. LEININGER)** So, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen,
16 you testified to New Mexico's understanding of Project
17 supply. Do you recall that testimony?

18 **A.** I recall that I -- I talked about our -- our
19 understanding of Rio Grande Project allocations in
20 coordination with Reclamation, yes.

21 **Q.** My question specifically about your testimony
22 on Project supply, you identified it, you defined it,
23 and I'll just read from your -- from your testimony --
24 your prior testimony. I think we can pull that up if
25 necessary, but you said, "The Project supply in that

1 regard is usable water that's released from Caballo
2 Reservoir, return flows to the river system, and
3 irrigation infrastructure and then tributary inflows
4 to the river all the way down to Fabens, Texas." Does
5 that sound familiar?

6 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

7 Q. So groundwater that returns to the river
8 system is project supply?

9 A. If water makes it back to the river in any
10 form, I believe it's Project supply.

11 Q. Okay. And that includes groundwater?

12 A. Seepage from groundwater, yes. If it's
13 making it in through a drain it's on, yes.

14 Q. Is intercepting those return flows taking of
15 Project supply?

16 A. Well, I don't believe it is. I think it was
17 a common understanding. If you go back to even, what
18 was it, Jesse Gilmer from the Texas commissioner
19 talking about the impact of groundwater pumping, I
20 think, in the Rincon and its effect on the surface
21 water system, a common understanding that that was
22 going on, and it was not considered to be a problem.

23 Q. So the testimony you gave about the states
24 developing water resources at will, that's got to be
25 limited to impacts, by impacts to Project supply,

1 wouldn't you agree?

2 A. I -- well, I believe -- I think the issue at
3 will for New Mexico in the Lower Rio Grande is the
4 declaration of -- the declarations of the groundwater
5 basins in the early 1980s accomplished that.

6 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to understand your
7 testimony with regard to Project supply, including
8 return flows to the river system in the ground and
9 what the state -- what New Mexico can do with regard
10 to developing water resources at will. I think you
11 testified --

12 MR. WECHSLER: I'm going to --

13 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) If I could summarize your
14 testimony, you said there are limits to that ability
15 to develop those water resources, correct?

16 MR. WECHSLER: I do want to object
17 without letting it go to the part of the question
18 mischaracterized Mr. Schmidt-Petersen's testimony, but
19 I understand the question as actually posed is
20 different.

21 JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead. You may
22 answer.

23 A. So, I guess, where I was going with that
24 about the limits piece of that, from New Mexico's
25 standpoint, the D1/D2 relationship as developed from

1 1951 to 1978 essentially grandfathers in groundwater
2 pumping throughout the Project area all the way to
3 Fabens and that by declaring the groundwater basin in
4 the early 1980s and requiring any new person trying to
5 put a new well in, the -- the state engineer at that
6 time effectively limited new uses of groundwater
7 within the New Mexico portion of the Project, and
8 that's the limit.

9 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Okay. So post 1978
10 groundwater pumping that exceeded the groundwater
11 pumping in that period from '51 to '78, you would
12 agree that the states have to be limited in their
13 development of that water resource; is that right?

14 A. I would -- I would say that from the
15 relationship of 1951 to '78 and with the declaration
16 of the basin, that if we found that in either state,
17 there were issues with regards to increased depletions
18 related to that pumping, that those should be charged
19 to either state as appropriate.

20 Q. Okay. And recall that you were also asked
21 about New Mexico's historic understanding of how much
22 of the Project supply its citizens were entitled to.
23 Do you recall that testimony? I think you replied
24 that it's 57 percent of the Project supply on an
25 annual basis?

1 A. I believe I replied that. I think it was
2 equal delivery to farmland.

3 Q. Right. And I was just trying to understand
4 your reference to the 57 percent. I believe that New
5 Mexico is entitled to 57 percent of the Project
6 supply; is that correct?

7 A. Yeah, that -- that's been my understanding
8 since, you know, I took the job for the Rio Grande
9 Project, yeah.

10 Q. So New Mexico's entitled to 57 -- 57 percent
11 of the Project surface water released from Caballo and
12 57 percent of the released water that percolates into
13 the ground becomes return flows?

14 A. I don't know that I said that. I think it
15 comes down, again, to how we define what that baseline
16 is, and that's part of the reason that we're in this
17 litigation, right?

18 Q. Right. I'm just trying to understand the
19 boundaries the -- your explanation of the 57 percent
20 of the Project supply. You had testified as to the
21 surface water, and you had testified to the return
22 flows and the fact the return flows include release of
23 water that's percolated into the ground, and I'll
24 limit my question to just that water. So Project
25 supply that New Mexico's entitled to is 57 percent of

1 the release water that percolates into the ground and
2 becomes return flows; is that your testimony?

3 MR. WECHSLER: I'm going to object again
4 as mischaracterizing his testimony, and also a
5 compound question.

6 JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I'm not sure it
7 mischaracterizes. I think he can answer that. But it
8 is a little convoluted. Why don't you try to break it
9 up a little bit?

10 MR. LEININGER: Sure, Your Honor.

11 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) And I apologize,
12 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. The direct question is: Is New
13 Mexico entitled to 57 percent of the released water
14 that percolates into the ground and becomes return
15 flows; is that your understanding?

16 A. So I don't believe -- well, so I think
17 there's an integration piece there that occurs within
18 the D1/D2 time period that -- that ties in some of
19 those pieces, but under New Mexico law, once water
20 seeps into the ground and makes it to groundwater,
21 that it is no longer within the dominion and control
22 of that project and so that water is available for
23 appropriation. The control on that is the declaration
24 of the basin, and that's not part of Project supply.
25 The seepage is not part of Project supply. It's water

1 that backs into the river.

2 Q. So the 57 percent, it sounds like what you're
3 saying, does not apply to the groundwater?

4 A. That's correct. Groundwater is not a part of
5 the Project right, it's my understanding, in either
6 New Mexico or Texas, and I would refer you back to, it
7 was Jesse Gilmer from Texas Commissioner Jack Hammond,
8 and even Joe G. Hansen where it talked about the Texas
9 part of that.

10 Q. Okay. Let's move on. I want to talk now a
11 little bit more about the Rio Grande Compact. You'd
12 agree that the authority of the Compact Commission is
13 limited to the terms of the Compact, correct?

14 A. I would -- yeah, to the -- the articles of
15 the Compact, yes.

16 Q. Right. And you discussed Article 1, certain
17 definitions tied to the Project. You pointed out that
18 Article 1L defines usable water as Project water,
19 which is available for release in accord with
20 irrigation demands; do you recall that testimony?

21 A. That sounds correct, excluding obviously
22 credit water, but I don't have it right in front of
23 me.

24 Q. I don't think that's in Sub L of Article 1,
25 but --

1 A. I don't have it.

2 **Q. Okay. Well, I'm just trying to understand**
3 **your testimony on the -- on the scope of the**
4 **Commission's Compact authority. Ms. Klahn asked you a**
5 **few of these questions. I -- I'm -- I'm going to try**
6 **not to be redundant in my questioning, but can -- can**
7 **the Commission order usable water not be released from**
8 **storage?**

9 A. I believe the Compact Commission could make
10 an order relative to overall operations of the Project
11 if they thought they were wasteful and that includes
12 usable water releases, yes.

13 **Q. Let me put it this way: Can the Commission**
14 **order usable water be released from storage to meet**
15 **irrigation demands?**

16 A. I know the Compact commissioners individually
17 can release credit water, but I don't know specific
18 article in the Compact that says you have any one
19 particular commissioner or the Compact commission can
20 direct the release of water from any place actually.

21 **Q. Right. So the -- so the commission powers do**
22 **not include determination of Project allocations?**

23 A. Yes in part, and no in part. The issue that
24 would be there with credit water is that, you know, if
25 we -- through the accounting, if there's a

1 determination that there's credit water in the
2 reservoir, once the Compact Commission makes that
3 decision about credit water and that's communicated to
4 Reclamation, that then changes their usable water
5 calculation potentially, and they're bound by that.

6 **Q. But the specific question with regard to**
7 **allocation, the Commission doesn't determine Project**
8 **allocations, right? That's -- that is a power that is**
9 **reserved for the districts and Reclamation?**

10 A. Well, I don't think the Compact says that.
11 It doesn't say that the Compact Commission has a role
12 in Project operations either.

13 **Q. Right. So the -- the Commission powers do**
14 **not include deliveries or diversions by or to either**
15 **districts, right?**

16 A. Within the Compact, there are no terms that
17 relate to Project operations nor is there any required
18 gaging under the Rio Grande Compact, except for those
19 gages Elephant Butte and below it and Caballo
20 Reservoir and below that. The last Compact gage is
21 the below Caballo Reservoir gage.

22 **Q. And the Commission doesn't monitor water --**
23 **Project water orders or releases or diversions or**
24 **deliveries or drain flows or metering of the canals,**
25 **right?**

1 **MR. WECHSLER:** Objection; compound
2 question.

3 **MR. LEININGER:** I can take these one at
4 a time, but I'm just trying to move along here.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** If the witness can
6 answer, go ahead.

7 A. Yes, sir. So if you go back to the
8 resolution from 2002, the issues about usable water, I
9 would tell you that in my experience, the Commission
10 has sought to be involved at a high level, as I
11 indicated before, looking at issues like waste and
12 looking broadly at the -- you know, the distribution
13 to the farmers or to EBID farmers and EP No. 1 farmers
14 at that level, and with various levels of success. In
15 my experience, in part because Reclamation has reduced
16 the amount of available data and the districts now
17 collect data and nobody -- nobody knows anything about
18 that data. We don't see it.

19 **Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, the**
20 **Commission produces annual reports, right?**

21 A. That's correct.

22 **Q. And they do annual accounting, correct?**

23 A. We do annual Rio Grande Compact accounting,
24 correct.

25 **Q. The Commission does not monitor the Project**

1 **water orders, right?**

2 A. The Commission monitors allocations, but we
3 do not have information about orders at all.

4 **Q. Right. And you also don't monitor**
5 **diversions?**

6 A. As the Compact Commission, that's correct.

7 **Q. And you also don't monitor Project**
8 **deliveries?**

9 A. During the year, we would look at the overall
10 system that includes deliveries and make some
11 assessments. I would argue that those issues that we
12 were identifying from 2002 and so on go to what was
13 happening within the district and below it.

14 **Q. Does the Commission monitor return flows?**

15 A. The Commission does not have available data
16 on return flows.

17 **Q. Does the Commission -- and let me preface**
18 **this question with: Did you watch Dr. King's**
19 **testimony?**

20 A. Not really. I think I might have stepped in
21 for a minute, but I did not see the majority of his
22 testimony.

23 **Q. Okay. Well, you're -- you're familiar with**
24 **metering that occurs by EBID and EP No. 1 along the**
25 **river, correct?**

1 A. I have some familiarity with EBID's
2 monitoring system because we helped them with funding
3 for that. Less so with EP1.

4 **Q. The Commission doesn't monitor those**
5 **meterings on the river or the canals?**

6 A. That goes back to the resolution issue. That
7 discussion came up and went all the way up to
8 assistant secretary for water and science that, you
9 know, we didn't have transparency and data for the Rio
10 Grande Project, and we were unsuccessful in getting
11 that resolved.

12 **Q. So let me understand, after the 2008**
13 **operating agreement, for instance, the Commission**
14 **feels like it is not receiving data on Project**
15 **operations; is that what you're saying?**

16 A. I'm not sure it was that. Even before that
17 to that 2002 resolution of the Rio Grande Compact
18 Commission that continued -- I think if we -- if you
19 look through the engineer advisor reports, you'd find
20 a number of references there to data availability and
21 transparency, and we had issues, and we still --
22 there's still issues with the below Caballo gage, for
23 example, the Compact states paid, I think, \$80,000 for
24 acoustic Doppler velocity meter to be installed below
25 Caballo, which would be probably the highest-quality

1 data similar to what Reclamation did on the Colorado,
2 and to date that still hasn't been installed. And
3 that's the Compact gage, right. The issue that came
4 out was --

5 Q. Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, I'm sorry, I have to
6 interrupt you, but you're getting afar from the
7 question that's being asked. The question was with
8 regard to Commission monitoring of the metering on the
9 river and the canals, and as I understand it, your --
10 your answer is no, the Commission does not do that?

11 A. I would qualify that a little and say it
12 doesn't do it and doesn't have available data to do
13 it.

14 Q. Okay. And I don't know if you saw this part
15 of Dr. King's testimony, but he presented allocation
16 sheets. There are allocation sheets generated by the
17 Project every month, updated allocation sheets. Does
18 the Commission receive copies of those?

19 A. I -- again, I didn't see Dr. King's
20 presentation, so I'm not sure what you're referring
21 to.

22 Q. Okay. Let's just summarize this. The
23 Commission is not responsible for Project accounting,
24 right?

25 A. The Commission has responsibility for

1 accounting for the release from Caballo Reservoir,
2 which would be -- and the usable water in Project
3 storage, so it has a portion of it, yes.

4 Q. Is Reclamation -- Reclamation is not
5 responsible for Compact accounting? Maybe that's the
6 better question.

7 A. That's correct. Reclamation is not
8 responsible for Compact accounting.

9 Q. Right. Because Reclamation does not author
10 the annual reports of the Rio Grande Compact
11 Commission, right?

12 A. It does not.

13 Q. And Reclamation doesn't have a vote on the
14 Commission's approval of the annual Compact
15 accounting?

16 A. It does not.

17 Q. Let's -- let's go to Texas Exhibit 543. I
18 think Ms. Klahn asked you some questions about this.
19 This is the Texas version, which as she had explained,
20 include -- included a table. So you recognize this,
21 this is the memorandum of understanding?

22 A. I do. This is the memorandum of
23 understanding from that -- that meeting that had those
24 other resolutions in it, yeah.

25 Q. And you testified to this -- this document or

1 the New Mexico version of this document and Compact
2 Commission resolutions, right?

3 A. I did.

4 Q. Memorandums of understanding are different
5 from Compact resolutions. We'll get to the Compact
6 resolutions in a minute, but they're different because
7 MOUs are agreements with the federal agency, right?

8 A. Well, I don't know that I -- I understand the
9 -- the legal differences. Well, I -- one is more of a
10 legal agreement with the federal agency through a
11 memorandum of understanding. There are probably are
12 other legal ways to do that. A resolution is just a
13 request or direction from a Compact Commission.

14 Q. Right. And unlike a Compact resolution, MOU
15 is signed by a federal agency, right?

16 A. That -- that's correct. And I'm not sure on
17 the resolutions if the federal chair assigns them or
18 not. I don't think so.

19 Q. Right. So how many other MOUs are there that
20 were entered by Reclamation and the Commission?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Well, this is the only one regarding Compact
23 accounting, right?

24 A. To the -- no. There's -- there's another
25 agreement, but I'm not sure if it was the Rio Grande

1 Compact Commission or resolution. It had to do with
2 issues associated with the Upper Rio Grande Water
3 Operations Model. I can't remember if that was a
4 memorandum of agreement or not. It was an acceptance
5 of that. So there's other pieces that were going on
6 at this time.

7 **Q. In the upper Rio Grande?**

8 A. The Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Model
9 now incorporates the entire upper Rio Grande basin is
10 my understanding, but in 2002, it was just being
11 developed.

12 **Q. Let's stick to this MOU. Let's go to Page 2.**
13 **We are going to pop out the "therefore" clause.**

14 **Basically here, "The states agreed -- the states and**
15 **Reclamation, excuse me, agreed to clarify and formally**
16 **articulate the details of the duties, roles and**
17 **responsibilities of each party for water accounting,**
18 **reporting, and documentation." And I think Ms. Klahn**
19 **asked you a few questions about this, so I'm just**
20 **going to skip to just a few more additional questions.**
21 **If we go to PDF 4, and that's Section 3.1 that Ms.**
22 **Klahn already presented to you. It talks about U.S.**
23 **Bureau of Reclamation roles and responsibilities.**

24 A. Yes.

25 **Q. As related to Compact accounting and**

1 Commission. If we -- if we go to the second bullet
2 point, and you were asked about this, but I just want
3 to confirm that the preparation of annual water
4 accounting that the Commission is going to provide
5 details on, this doesn't specifically list the Lower
6 Rio Grande, does it?

7 A. Not specifically.

8 Q. And it doesn't list the Rio Grande Project?

9 A. Not specifically.

10 Q. And if we go to the fourth bullet point, here
11 again we have agreement by Reclamation to disseminate
12 to the Commission and all interested parties,
13 professional San Luis Valley Projects and Juan Chama
14 Project accounting throughout the year, again, not the
15 Rio Grande Project, correct?

16 A. Yeah. I think that Rio Grande Project was
17 associated with that other resolution.

18 Q. Okay. Let's look at the first bullet point
19 again, and we'll just get our bearings. So this talks
20 about the Table 1 that Ms. Klahn had referenced, and
21 if we go to Table 1. I think that's on PDF 7. Just a
22 little more specifically, what we have here under
23 stream flow at the bottom is that -- and I'm sorry.
24 If we could pop this back in for one second. Let's
25 just give reference to this table. It's a list of raw

1 Compact data and responsible agencies, and it includes
2 federal agencies. So we go to stream flow in New
3 Mexico, and there you've got an agreement that
4 Reclamation will provide the raw data on the Rio
5 Grande below Elephant Butte Reservoir and the Rio
6 Grande below Caballo Dam. And then if we go to PDF 8
7 of this table, under, "Reservoir Storage in New
8 Mexico," you see that Reclamation has agreed to
9 provide raw data on Elephant Butte Reservoir and
10 Caballo Reservoir, and then I think there was one more
11 in agreement, and this is with regard to reservoir
12 evaporation. Reclamation to provide reservoir
13 evaporation data for Elephant Butte Reservoir and
14 Caballo Reservoir, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. My question is: Reclamation's role under the
17 MOU is to provide limited amount of raw data and the
18 commission engineer advisor's role is to do the annual
19 Compact accounting, correct?

20 A. I would modify that slightly. I mean, the
21 role in here for Reclamation and the others, this is
22 all of the data sets that are required for annual Rio
23 Grande Compact accounting so it's not limited in that
24 way. These are the ones that are specifically called
25 out through Article 2 or otherwise necessary, you

1 know, due to transmountain diversions and so on for
2 specific Compact accounting.

3 **Q. And there's nothing specific in here**
4 **requiring or agreeing or requesting data on releases**
5 **from Elephant Butte and Caballo?**

6 A. That's correct. Not in this memorandum.

7 **Q. And there's nothing agreeing or requesting**
8 **data on the allocation to the districts?**

9 A. I guess it's the same answer, yeah.

10 **Q. Nothing on deliveries to the districts?**

11 A. That's correct.

12 **Q. And nothing on diversions by the districts?**

13 A. Yeah. Beyond the aspects of Rio Grande
14 Compact accounting, as described in the Compact, those
15 aren't in there.

16 **Q. Right. So under the MOU, the Compact**
17 **Commission has no say on how water is used for**
18 **irrigation by either district, right?**

19 A. I wouldn't say that. This was a memorandum
20 of understanding to talk about the interconnectedness
21 of a whole bunch of different parties for specific Rio
22 Grande Compact accounting, and Compact accounting only
23 includes those gages down to the gage below Caballo
24 Reservoir.

25 **Q. Okay. And the Commission's authority derives**

1 from the Compact, right?

2 A. It does.

3 Q. And the Commission cannot exceed that
4 authority, correct?

5 A. Within the bounds of the articles of the
6 Compact, yes.

7 Q. Okay. Let -- let's move on to the Compact
8 itself. You pointed out in your earlier testimony,
9 the Commission makes resolutions. Let's look at that
10 authority. If we pull up the Compact, this is the
11 Joint 428.

12 MR. LEININGER: It's previously been
13 admitted, Your Honor.

14 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) This is a -- I guess a
15 recitation of the Compact that's in that joint
16 exhibit, but if we go to Article 12, which is PDF Page
17 8 of this exhibit, and if we look at Article 12, let's
18 go to the third paragraph. In this sentence -- it's a
19 very long sentence, but it basically defines the
20 jurisdiction of the Commission; is that correct?

21 A. That's my understanding, yeah.

22 Q. And it states in here that the Commission
23 would make recommendations connected with the
24 administration of the Compact to the states, not to
25 Reclamation, correct?

1 A. In that sentence, yes.

2 Q. If we go to the last sentence here, "The
3 Commission may unanimously adopt rules and regulations
4 to govern Commission proceedings." And then if we go
5 to the very last sentence of this article, it states
6 that, finally, "The Commission shall not be conclusive
7 in any court or tribunal which may be called upon to
8 interpret or enforce this Compact." So the Commission
9 recommendations are not binding as -- as a matter of
10 law, correct?

11 A. "The findings of the Compact Commission"--
12 I'll just read this -- "shall not be conclusive in any
13 court."

14 Q. You discussed a couple of these non-binding
15 resolutions. Let's go to Joint 391, which has been
16 previously admitted. And if we go to -- this is from
17 your testimony on this particular exhibit from the
18 Compact Commission report of 2001. If we go to -- I
19 think this is Page 15, and we pop out the right side
20 -- actually, if we could pop out the entire page.
21 We'll just note that here's an example of resolution,
22 and it's not signed by Reclamation, correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. If we get rid of the signatures, I think we
25 might be able to read this a little bit better. Let's

1 try again. So you recall your discussion with your
2 counsel in this resolution? It's the resolution
3 regarding the need for federal agencies to apply for
4 state permits?

5 A. I remember testifying on it, yes.

6 Q. And you asked whether the states generally
7 agreed that the federal agencies should follow state
8 law in operations?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. And you pointed to the "now,
11 therefore" clause. This resolves that the Commission
12 requests federal agencies comply with state law by
13 obtaining permits for any water-related actions that
14 would result in new or additional water depletions.
15 Let's look at how this resolution has been interpreted
16 by the Commission. I want to go to Joint Exhibit 395.

17 MR. LEININGER: And, Your Honor, this is
18 also -- this is admitted, and it was, I believe, in --
19 in Mr. Schmidt-Petersen's earlier testimony.

20 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) This is the 2005 Compact
21 Commission Report. If we go to PDF 14, Page 14 on
22 this, and we pop out the right side, it's
23 entitled, "Compliance by Federal Agencies With State
24 Law, Water Law, and regulations." Do you see that?
25 This 2005 report references the state permit

1 resolution. It talks about habitat restoration,
2 correct?

3 A. Yeah. In this specific instance, yes.

4 Q. Right. And so under the 2002 resolution to
5 comply with state permitting, the Army Corps of
6 Engineers here agrees to offset depletions to habitat
7 restoration and the IBWC, the third paragraph, agreed
8 to work with EBID on habitat restoration, and then the
9 last paragraph, the only action involving the New
10 Mexico Interstate Stream Commission has an agreement
11 that the ISC does not need a permit or a statement
12 that the ISC does not need a permit for offset of
13 habitat restoration within the active channel of the
14 Rio Grande in the Albuquerque area. Did I cover that
15 -- this section correctly?

16 A. For 2005, this is what was in the engineer
17 advisor report. In that regard, yes.

18 Q. Right. The 2002 resolution was never invoked
19 for the purposes of the operations of the Rio Grande
20 Project, correct?

21 A. I believe there's a permit for the Rio Grande
22 Project.

23 Q. Sorry. I didn't understand your answer.

24 A. Well, I think in this regard, there is a
25 state engineer permit for the Rio Grande Project.

1 **Q. Right. It was -- it was never intended for**
2 **the purpose of operations of the Rio Grande Project;**
3 **it was always related to ESA concerns, right?**

4 A. I would say no. It was more general than
5 that, the discussion. Certainly in 2005 in the Middle
6 Rio Grande, the issues were habitat restoration
7 projects. In the Lower Rio Grande with IBWC, it was
8 -- in this year, it was habitat restoration projects.
9 In other times, it was issues about acquiring water
10 for levee construction projects and so on. So, yes,
11 you're right that in this specific report, it
12 primarily talks about habitat restoration projects.

13 **Q. Okay.**

14 A. But the evolution is more general than that.

15 **Q. So besides levee restoration and habitat**
16 **restoration, can you point to any subsequent document**
17 **after 2002 in which the Commission made a proclamation**
18 **with regard to acquiring permits under state water law**
19 **for operations of the projects?**

20 A. I'm not sure about the Commission. I mean,
21 it's a standing resolution and a standing report piece
22 in most engineer advisor reports. I do know of one
23 other related item where the Bureau did get a permit.
24 That was for a portion of the middle Rio Grande
25 Project that was not specifically called out in the

1 previous designs, and that was pump stations to
2 supplement the river.

3 Q. Okay. Let's move on to the other
4 resolutions, Commission resolutions, that you talked
5 about. And you brought up two Commission resolutions
6 on Project accounting. There's one in 2002, 2004. I
7 want to go to Joint 460, if we can go to the first
8 page. Oh, here it is. I'm sorry. Just taking a
9 while to pop up here. So you -- you provided some
10 testimony with regard to this one concerning federal
11 agency operations and their water-related facilities
12 on the Rio Grande and Compact accounting. Do you
13 recall that testimony?

14 A. I know it was a bunch of resolutions, but I'm
15 kind of getting lost here. If we went through, I
16 could check it out again.

17 Q. Okay. Well, let's go to the second page in
18 this, and we'll just go right to the "therefore"
19 clause. That should help. So the 2004 resolution
20 asks federal agencies that operate projects in the Rio
21 Grande to advise the Commission prior to changing
22 operations, correct?

23 A. That's right.

24 Q. You testified that you were aware of the
25 history of Project operations, right?

1 A. Yeah. I mean, from my time period working on
2 the Rio Grande, yes, and the research, yeah.

3 **Q. Was the Rio Grande Compact Commission with**
4 **the New Mexico ISC kept apprised of the necessary**
5 **changes to Project operations in what became the 2008**
6 **operating agreement?**

7 A. I would say we were apprised of a number of
8 pieces, but we're very surprised when that stealth
9 FONSI was dropped by Reclamation, you know, for the --
10 what became the 2008 operating agreement. I think
11 that was in 2007, 2006, something like that.

12 **Q. But before that, you and Ms. Barroll attended**
13 **informational meetings on the 2008 Operating Agreement**
14 **proposal, right, where the concepts of the Operating**
15 **Agreement were discussed?**

16 A. We attended numerous meetings amongst
17 multiple parties about various elements that were
18 being proposed, but we weren't part of the
19 negotiations.

20 **Q. Did the Compact Commission resolve that it**
21 **was necessary that Reclamation seek its unanimous**
22 **consent before executing the 2008 operating agreement?**

23 A. I don't believe the Compact Commission
24 weighed in after this with a new resolution.

25 **Q. Right. And, in fact, the Commission would**

1 **not have that authority to do so, correct?**

2 A. I believe the Compact Commission could weigh
3 in and say if they thought this was in conformance
4 with the apportionments under the Compact or not, but
5 it never -- Reclamation never brought it to the
6 Compact Commission. I think, actually, it was the
7 Elephant Butte Irrigation District and Pat Gordon that
8 brought the agreement to the Compact Commission after
9 it had been signed.

10 **Q. The Compact Commission does not have the**
11 **authority to require Reclamation to seek its unanimous**
12 **consent before executing the 2008 operating agreement,**
13 **correct?**

14 A. I would say there's nothing in the Compact
15 that directs that.

16 **Q. Let's go to Exhibit 438. And this is a --**
17 **let's see. I think we may have to enlarge that a**
18 **little bit if we go back to the first page. Sorry.**
19 **And just pop out the transmittal sheet. This is from**
20 **the State of New Mexico Office of the Governor from**
21 **Mr. Richardson, Governor Richardson, to Pat Gordon.**
22 **Pat Gordon was the Texas commissioner at this time; is**
23 **that correct?**

24 A. That's my understanding.

25 **Q. And this is dated, looks like it's April 8th**

1 of 2008. If we go to the second page on this. The
2 governor was writing to the governor of Texas
3 congratulating him on the successful negotiations that
4 resulted in the 2008 operating agreement, correct?

5 A. Well, I don't know that I've ever seen the
6 letter before, but I've heard about it.

7 Q. Okay. This is not in the ISC records?

8 A. I don't know if I'm CC'd there, but I don't
9 know if we have that or not. Looks like John
10 D'Antonio would have it.

11 Q. Right. It's John D'Antonio. So are you
12 familiar with this document?

13 A. I don't know that I've seen it. I've heard
14 about it.

15 Q. Okay. Well, we'll bring this document back
16 so I won't move for its admission at this time.

17 Let's move on. You mentioned -- I think
18 Mr. Wechsler had asked you about a concern that IBWC
19 raised about the water budget. Do you recall that
20 testimony?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. I believe you stated that the IBWC
23 commissioner, Mr. Drusina, informed the Rio Grande
24 Commission, the Compact Commission at meetings that
25 the IBWC was conducting a study regarding releases and

1 the water arriving at the International Dam. Did I
2 get that right?

3 A. Yeah. I'm not sure exactly on my testimony
4 piece there, but Ed Drusina certainly brought that up
5 and made specific requests of the Compact Commission
6 and Reclamation and others to -- to join with them and
7 came up in engineer advisor meetings.

8 Q. And you said in your testimony the study
9 related to groundwater pumping. Do you recall that?

10 A. Yes. It was a water budget study in the
11 lower Rio Grande, and the issue was there was
12 groundwater pumping, you know, affecting the
13 deliveries to Mexico.

14 Q. Right. I think you stated in your testimony
15 that the outcome of the study showed that there wasn't
16 an issue with that delivery to Mexico?

17 A. I believe that's correct, that there was no
18 additional action that was necessary that they felt.

19 Q. You didn't identify the study that the IBWC,
20 you said it conducted, but let's go -- let's pull up
21 what's marked as New Mexico 2410. And this is a
22 transmittal page. I think if we just go to the next
23 page, which has the actual document. Yes. This is
24 the -- dated December 6, 2013, "Preliminary Analysis
25 of Channel Seepage and Water Budget Components along

1 the Rio Grande Canalization Project." It's a report
2 from Tetra Tech. I'm sorry. If we go back to the
3 first page, we'll see who it was generated for. So
4 this is the IBWC document informing Reclamation that
5 this study has now been conducted, and the result --
6 the report was attached by Tetra Tech. Is this the
7 study you were referring to?

8 A. I'm not sure if this is the actual final
9 piece of it. It kind of -- I don't know. Is this
10 CC'd to me?

11 Q. It was not. This is a letter from IBWC to
12 Reclamation, but your reference to the IBWC study
13 didn't identify a document, and this is the only
14 document -- if we go to the next page, this is the
15 only document that I'm aware of that discussed what
16 you were testifying to. So is this the document that
17 you were familiar with?

18 A. I'm not sure if this is actually the end
19 point of that investigation. It sounds like -- looks
20 like it's a final report from Tetra Tech, but it's a
21 preliminary analysis, so I can't remember if this is
22 the final -- final with those in that regard or not.

23 Q. Right. And we're -- I'm trying to get to
24 your testimony. This report addressed channel loss
25 along the Rio Grande. It discusses groundwater

1 pumping. Let's go to PDF 63. Your testimony was with
2 regard to a study by IBWC showing the groundwater
3 pumping was not an issue for purposes of delivery to
4 the International Dam, and if we look at 12.2
5 here, "Best Water Management Practices for Future
6 Years," first sentence states that, "Based on the
7 results from this study, the channel seepage component
8 of the water budget study appears to be a significant
9 variable in the water budget." And if we go down to
10 the first sentence in the second full paragraph, it
11 states that, "Considering the effects that the 2011
12 pumping had on the aquifer and the associated high
13 degree of seepage that occurred during the beginning
14 of the 2012 release, significant pumping from the
15 aquifer similar to that which occurred in 2011 is not
16 recommended." So, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, this would
17 suggest the groundwater pumping above International
18 Dam is a concern to the IBWC, right?

19 MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, at this
20 point, can I inquire whether this is an admitted
21 exhibit? I don't think it is. I was trying to give a
22 little leeway in case what we were doing was laying
23 foundation, but the question Mr. Leininger just asked
24 is a substantive question, and we've already heard
25 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen say he wasn't sure if this is the

1 final document or if -- you know, in what way this
2 report relates.

3 **MR. LEININGER:** If I may respond, Your
4 Honor.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may.

6 **MR. LEININGER:** Mr. Schmidt-Petersen
7 gave a substantive answer with regard to the IBWC
8 study and seemed to indicate that this study relates
9 to that question in the substance of his answer. So I
10 think for purposes of having him address the question
11 of impacts of groundwater pumping, this is an
12 appropriate document, and it should be admitted.

13 **MR. WECHSLER:** Well, we don't have
14 foundation, Your Honor. We have someone who's saying
15 he's not sure if this is the final document or if --
16 in what way this relates. Certainly, we wouldn't want
17 to have a preliminary document, as it's -- as it's
18 titled.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I'll let you answer
20 the question subject to it being struck if we can't
21 eventually get the document into evidence. Subject to
22 later admissibility of the document, I'll let you ask
23 him about it.

24 **MR. LEININGER:** Okay.

25 And if we could go to the second page

1 again because I think there's some confusion that this
2 is not a preliminary report, this is a final report.
3 I think Mr. Schmidt-Petersen had testified that he had
4 seen preliminary reporting. So this particular
5 document is the final report generated by -- by the
6 contractor.

7 **MR. WECHSLER:** It's a final report of
8 the preliminary analysis, I suppose?

9 **Q. (BY MR. LEININGER)** My question,
10 **Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, is what we had just seen on Page**
11 **63 -- go back to that -- suggests the groundwater**
12 **pumping above International Dam is a concern to the**
13 **IBWC, correct?**

14 **A.** I would say -- well, number one, I don't know
15 that I've seen this document in reporting. I was
16 referring, I think, primarily to our conversations
17 with the Commissioner of IBWC and that, you know, a
18 number of reports had been generated. So I don't know
19 where this one sits in that overall process. I'd have
20 to go look back at it. I think what this says is that
21 some additional study is necessary because there's
22 pumping of the aquifer and reductions to irrigation
23 releases that were in place.

24 **Q.** Did you identify what document you were
25 referring to when you said there was a report by IBWC

1 that confirmed that groundwater pumping in New Mexico
2 was not a concern about deliveries at International
3 Dam?

4 A. I believe what my comment there was, was
5 reports to us and to the Compact Commission.

6 Q. But you didn't reference a specific report or
7 you just don't know?

8 A. I know that a number of reports were
9 generated.

10 Q. But you did not identify a specific report,
11 correct?

12 A. I believe that's correct.

13 Q. Let's go to your demonstrative slide, and
14 this is Demonstrative 22. And if we go to PDF 8 of
15 your demonstrative, you have a list of ISC water and
16 infrastructure works downstream of Elephant Butte,
17 but, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, the amount of money the New
18 Mexico ISC budgets for the lower Rio Grande is much
19 less than ISC expenditures upstream of Elephant Butte;
20 wouldn't you agree?

21 A. In part, yes; in part, no. I can explain.
22 In part, yes. Our annual budget for work downstream,
23 you know, includes these types of items, but for
24 technical work, it's less than other places. The
25 biggest expenses that we have are related to channel

1 construction activities, and those occur primarily
2 upstream of Elephant Butte because downstream, they're
3 IBWC's responsibility and not ours.

4 Q. Are you familiar with the annual ISC work
5 plans, right?

6 A. Oh, absolutely, yeah.

7 Q. And you were present when New Mexico ISC
8 approved the work plan for the Lower Rio Grande for
9 fiscal year 2019?

10 A. Yes, for '19, as well as the litigation
11 budget.

12 Q. Okay. Let's pull up this next document. And
13 I'm using this -- I'm not going to attempt to enter
14 this document. It is not an exhibit, but I am going
15 to use it for impeachment purposes. Can we pop out
16 the executive summary here?

17 MR. WECHSLER: Well, if it's being used
18 for impeachment purposes, I suppose he's going to have
19 to say something inconsistent with it; is that right?

20 JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead. Go ahead and
21 ask your question.

22 MR. LEININGER: Okay.

23 Q. (BY MR. LEININGER) There's a list of three
24 tasks here, correct, and the budget states that should
25 not exceed \$100,000 in fiscal year 2019. Am I reading

1 that correctly?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And then you're familiar with the fiscal year
4 2019 middle Rio Grande work plan, too, right?

5 A. I -- I am.

6 Q. And if we pull that up, we'll see the middle
7 Rio Grande work plan, and if we go to Page 18 of this,
8 we see the 2019 budget for the middle Rio Grande, and
9 you have about ten here that are related to the river,
10 and the budget is over \$1 million. Am I reading that
11 correctly?

12 A. I would say the overall middle Rio Grande
13 budget significantly more than that. These are just
14 primarily river maintenance or specific
15 Compact-related activities.

16 MR. LEININGER: Thank you,
17 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. I have no further questions.

18 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Mr. Wechsler,
19 do you have some redirect?

20 MR. WECHSLER: A little bit, Your Honor.

21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. WECHSLER:

23 Q. Just to clarify a couple of issues, starting,
24 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, going chronologically and
25 starting with a question Ms. Klahn asked you about the

1 La Plata Compact and whether that notice -- principles
2 of notice apply. I think you offered some direct
3 testimony about that, as well. In your view given
4 your role in administering Compacts, does the
5 principal of notice apply below Elephant Butte
6 Reservoir in the Rio Grande Compact?

7 A. I think that applies, yes.

8 Q. And why is that?

9 A. It goes to a point that they were making
10 before. We don't know, and we really don't have a way
11 of knowing what issues might arise in the Lower Rio
12 Grande because we don't have access to that data or
13 information, particularly the Texas piece of that, and
14 so by providing notice, we can evaluate that, ask
15 questions, and try to gather that information.

16 Q. Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, moving then to another
17 question that you were asked by Ms. Klahn, you were
18 asked about Exhibit New Mexico 2362. Do you recall
19 that?

20 A. Is this the -- it's the -- is this this long
21 --

22 Q. That's right. If you -- if you scroll down a
23 couple pages, it gets to the summary, and you had a
24 discussion with Ms. Klahn, and you were --

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. -- you were cut off in the middle of your
2 answer. I'd just like to get you to go ahead and
3 please finish what -- the point you were making about
4 --

5 A. My point was that the -- this summary to
6 Federal Commissioner Salazar was Reclamation's
7 summation of the activities and background related to
8 the -- really, the Colorado request and concern about
9 January and February, 1996, releases for the Project,
10 and -- and I just wanted to say that from my
11 perspective in looking at this, the end point of the
12 memo was that the -- the water was being released in
13 January and February, in large part to supply some
14 water to the City of El Paso, potentially for, you
15 know, for moving water and water quality concerns, but
16 then the -- the aspect of a 20 percent efficiency in
17 Project operations means to me, similar to what I saw
18 in 2002, that there was a lot of water just passing
19 through the system and not actually being delivered to
20 farms. So in the end, I saw this as water being
21 released from the reservoir where it was likely being
22 released through demands and that a lot of water
23 wasn't making it to the farms and going out the
24 bottom.

25 Q. Moving then to the -- you had a discussion

1 with -- with both Ms. Klahn and Mr. Leininger about
2 the Compact rules. We don't need to look at them, but
3 I want to make sure your testimony is clear. They
4 both pointed you to this ability of the states to
5 develop their water resources subject only to the
6 Article 4 deliveries. You recall that?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. And to be clear, is it your understanding
9 that that provision of the ability to develop water
10 resources also applies to water resources below
11 Elephant Butte Reservoir?

12 A. It does, anywhere in the basin above Fort
13 Quitman.

14 Q. During the testimony with Ms. Klahn, she
15 showed you some of the hydrographs from wells in the
16 lower Rio Grande that were part of the lower Rio
17 Grande regional plan. Do you recall that?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 Q. And -- and I think when you testified on
20 direct, you used that as just one example. Do you
21 regularly review similar types of hydrographs of wells
22 in the lower Rio Grande?

23 A. My office certainly does.

24 Q. And does that include hydrographs all the way
25 up through to the present?

1 A. It would include hydrographs up at least
2 within one or two years of now, yes.

3 Q. And what -- remind us what those trends show.

4 A. For the valley bottom within New Mexico, it
5 continues to climb in water levels or some
6 stabilization in some areas but no recoveries.

7 Q. Moving next to a discussion you had with
8 Mr. Leininger about the 57 percent of supply that you
9 testified New Mexico's entitled to, and there was some
10 confusing questions about return flows. Is it your
11 understanding that return flows that make it to the
12 bed of the river form part of that 57 percent that --
13 that -- or form part of overall Project supply?

14 A. Yes, it is.

15 Q. And so then New Mexico would be entitled to
16 57 percent of that?

17 A. That's correct. Water that's in the river.

18 Q. And I think your testimony was ultimately
19 that has to do with an equal amount of that Project
20 supply arriving at each acre of land; is that right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And then you had, again, sort of a lengthy
23 discussion both with Ms. Klahn and Mr. Leininger about
24 this MOU. I wasn't quite clear where that was going,
25 but let me just ask you directly: I mean, has New

1 Mexico regularly monitored the allocations, the
2 Project allocations, that are made?

3 A. Yes, we have.

4 Q. And is that because it makes up part of New
5 Mexico's Compact apportionment?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And I think you testified on direct that the
8 Compact Commission and the engineer advisors have
9 regularly reviewed, considered, evaluated those
10 Project allocations as part of Compact matters. Do I
11 have that right?

12 A. I would say that to the extent that
13 information is available to us, yes, but that has been
14 sporadic and sometimes delayed.

15 Q. Do you consider that lack of information to
16 be a problem?

17 A. I do.

18 MR. WECHSLER: No further questions,
19 Your Honor.

20 JUDGE MELLOY: Ms. Klahn, anything
21 further?

22 MS. KLAHN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

23 JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Leininger?

24 MR. LEININGER: No, Your Honor. Thank
25 you.

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Did you have anything,
2 Mr. Wallace? I guess I didn't give you a chance.

3 **MR. WALLACE:** No, Your Honor. Thank
4 you.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'm still confused about
6 what's project supply. You said it's water in the --
7 that's released, return flows, accretions, but you
8 also said, as I understand it, that if the water seeps
9 into the ground, the groundwater is not part of any
10 Project water; is that my understanding?

11 **THE WITNESS:** That's -- that's correct.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** What if the groundwater
13 pumping totally deletes return flows?

14 **THE WITNESS:** So, Special Master, I
15 would say that that comes to the aspect of looking at
16 the D1/D2 relationship, and there is a limit to that,
17 right. So during that '51 to '78 time period, there
18 was substantial pumping that was going on throughout
19 the system, resulted in the relationship that -- that
20 we now have today, and within that, didn't get to that
21 point. And so for New Mexico, with the declaration of
22 the basin in 1980 and '82, there should be no
23 additional groundwater pumping to -- to cause a
24 different situation on the river.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** So basically, pumping is

1 frozen as of 1978; is that what you're saying?

2 THE WITNESS: I believe, yes. From that
3 time period -- actually, it would be declaration of
4 the basin, and I'm not sure if it would be a whole lot
5 of difference in wells there, right, between '78 and
6 '80 or '82, but with those declarations, anybody new
7 coming in would basically have to go through the
8 permit process and bring offsets as required. Now,
9 farmers and others had wells prior to this and so
10 that's -- that -- the question there is how much of
11 that pumping, you know, really occurred in what was
12 grandfathered, I think.

13 JUDGE MELLOY: And I was a little bit
14 confused about two numbers. You had said in response
15 to a question that there had been an adjudication that
16 gave the farmers 5.5 acre-feet combined surface and
17 groundwater pumping, yet as I understood from some
18 prior testimony, is the state engineer limited that to
19 4.5? The number 4.5 has also been thrown around.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. So within New
21 Mexico and the adjudication, and I'm not the -- this
22 is just me from my memories of that, within the
23 adjudication, the farmers were adjudicated a right,
24 and that was generally 4.5 feet -- acre-feet per acre
25 combined surface water and ground with the opportunity

1 to prove up that they had actually historically
2 consumed or utilized more than that. So I don't think
3 -- I think the general number applies, and I don't
4 remember, you know, what the status is for these
5 individuals that made separate applications to prove a
6 higher duty.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Does anybody
8 want to follow up on any of those questions?

9 **MR. WECHSLER:** Briefly, Your Honor.

10 **Q. (BY MR. WECHSLER)** Just a couple
11 clarifications, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen. So you're
12 talking about with the Special Master, the closure of
13 the basin, and that closure of the basin occurred in
14 New Mexico; is that right?

15 A. That's correct.

16 **Q. In 1980 and 1982?**

17 A. That's right.

18 **Q. Was there a closure of the groundwater basin**
19 **in Texas to your knowledge?**

20 A. I don't believe. Texas has a similar process
21 and that no such closures occurred.

22 **Q. And then you mentioned Stream System Issue**
23 **101 in your discussion with the Special Master. Was**
24 **that intended to quantify the historic beneficial use?**

25 A. Of the farmers, yes.

1 **Q.** And are you aware that it's Mr. Longworth who
2 will be testifying to that issue for the State of New
3 Mexico?

4 **A.** I wasn't aware that it's Mr. Longworth, but I
5 knew somebody else was doing it.

6 **Q.** I'll represent that it is.

7 **MR. WECHSLER:** Thank you. No further
8 questions.

9 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Ms. Klahn or
10 Mr. Leininger, do you have anything?

11 **MS. KLAHN:** I have one question of
12 clarification related to the basin closure.

13 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Go ahead.

14 REXCROSS EXAMINATION

15 **BY MS. KLAHN:**

16 **Q.** Mr. Schmidt-Petersen, you testified about the
17 basin closures in 1980 and '82 as being administrative
18 actions that prevented new wells, correct?

19 **A.** I think I believe anybody that -- at that
20 point in time, anybody that wanted to drill a new well
21 needed to come to the state engineer's office and make
22 application.

23 **Q.** So if you had an existing well in 1980 or
24 '82, and you were pumping it at a particular level,
25 let's call it 1 acre-foot per acre, you weren't

1 limited to that 1 acre-foot per acre of pumping in
2 1982, were you?

3 A. I don't know that there would be data
4 associated with that, but I know that a lot of farmers
5 were pumping wells prior to that time period, yes.

6 Q. Right. But my point was administratively,
7 the declaration of the basin was limited to, before
8 and after, existing wells, new wells, but it didn't
9 control or -- or limit the existing wells pumping in
10 any way, correct?

11 A. As I understand it, at that time, it was
12 simply a declaration saying that you needed to come
13 forward. The thing that comes to my mind in that time
14 period is actually the remark by Texas Commissioner
15 Gilmer that, you know --

16 Q. Can I just get you to answer my question,
17 which doesn't have anything to do with Texas
18 Commissioner Gilmer?

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. It simply was: Administratively, the basin
21 closure orders didn't control or limit in any way the
22 pumping in wells that were existing in 1980 and '82,
23 correct?

24 A. Anything prior to the closure of the basin.

25 MS. KLAHN: Thank you. That's all I

1 have.

2 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. If there's
3 nothing further, then the witness is excused. I
4 understand we're going to take up Dr. Stevens next.
5 Should we take five minutes to get chairs changed and
6 --

7 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yes, please.

8 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay.

9 (Recess.)

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. I think we're
11 ready to get started here. Just to make sure the
12 record is clear, could I ask the parties who have --
13 will be examining this witness to enter their
14 appearance, please? For Texas, we have Ms. Atton; is
15 that correct? Excuse me. You're for New Mexico. I'm
16 sorry. Ms. Coleman -- Mr. Hoffman for Texas, right?

17 **MR. HOFFMAN:** Yes, Your Honor. Robert
18 Hoffman appearing on behalf of Texas.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And for New Mexico, Ms.
20 Atton?

21 **MS. ATTON:** That's correct, Your Honor.

22 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And Ms. Coleman for the
23 United States?

24 **MS. COLEMAN:** Yes. Good afternoon.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And Mr. Wallace, again,

1 for the State of Colorado.

2 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, Your Honor.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. I believe
4 when we left off, you were cross-examining, Ms.
5 Coleman; is that correct?

6 **MS. COLEMAN:** That's correct.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. And I do want
8 to advise the witness, again, welcome back,
9 Dr. Stevens, that you are still under oath and the
10 same admonitions about documents and having access to
11 communication devices applies. Do you understand is
12 that.

13 **THE WITNESS:** I do, Your Honor. Thank
14 you.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Ms. Coleman,
16 you may proceed.

17 **MS. COLEMAN:** Thank you.

18 **JENNIFER STEVENS,**
19 having been previously duly sworn, testified further
20 as follows:

21 **FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION**

22 **BY MS. COLEMAN:**

23 **Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Stevens. We're picking**
24 **up from where we left off on October 20th since then,**
25 **since October 20th, have you done anything to prepare**

1 **for your testimony today?**

2 A. I have, just sort of to recollect, make sure
3 that the things that I was remembering were correct,
4 I've gone back and looked at a few of the documents
5 that I had in my head from last time, but other than
6 that, nothing specific.

7 **Q. Did you review the transcripts from your**
8 **testimony on the 19th and 20th?**

9 A. I did, yes.

10 **Q. And since October 20th, have you met**
11 **virtually or otherwise with any attorneys for the**
12 **State of New Mexico?**

13 A. Yes.

14 **Q. How many times?**

15 A. Just yesterday in New Mexico.

16 **Q. For about how long?**

17 A. Five hours -- well, we were -- we were in the
18 same building for five hours. We weren't meeting the
19 whole time.

20 **Q. Okay. How many attorneys were there?**

21 A. Just one. Sorry. Mr. Draper was there, as
22 well.

23 **THE REPORTER:** I apologize for
24 interrupting but mister who was there?

25 **THE WITNESS:** Mr. Draper.

1 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Did you speak to any other
2 New Mexico witnesses?

3 A. No. Just in the hallway as
4 Mr. Schmidt-Petersen was leaving, but otherwise no.

5 Q. Did you watch Mr. Schmidt-Petersen's
6 testimony on October 21st?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you review the transcript of his
9 testimony on October 21st?

10 A. No.

11 Q. And you watched his testimony today, though,
12 right?

13 A. I watched some of it. I didn't watch the
14 whole thing.

15 Q. Okay. So let's go back to where you were
16 when we were finishing up last time, which is Rebuttal
17 Opinion 2 on Page 15 of your rebuttal report, which is
18 New Mexico Exhibit 2098. And in the second sentence,
19 you say, "The Bureau of Reclamation and other parties'
20 conduct in the post-Compact era indicates that no
21 contemporary actor believed that the Compact
22 prohibited groundwater pumping." You remember our
23 discussion about that last time, right?

24 A. Yes. Can you tell me again -- oh, never
25 mind. I found it.

1 **Q.** So in that category of other parties'
2 conduct, we talked about EBID requesting the USGS
3 study in 1946, the USGS conducting and issuing a press
4 release about that study, and some Reclamation water
5 announcements. Is that a fair summary of the conduct
6 that you're talking about in this opinion?

7 A. And the actual farmers who dug the wells and
8 installed them.

9 **Q.** Right. Was there any conduct by New Mexico
10 officials that you considered in Rebuttal Opinion 2?

11 A. Well, I looked at, you know, the Project
12 histories and many other documents in the post-Compact
13 era up to the 1960s and so New Mexico was, of course,
14 represented in those documents. There's nothing that
15 leaps to mind specifically that relates to this exact
16 sentence, but I -- I did review to the degree that it
17 was in the materials that I looked at, New Mexico --
18 what was happening in New Mexico during this time
19 period.

20 **Q.** But you haven't cited any conduct by a New
21 Mexico state official encouraging pumping, right?

22 A. I would have to look back through my whole
23 report to see if I've done that. That's -- I can't
24 think of any off the top of my head, but that doesn't
25 mean that I didn't. I don't know.

1 Q. Okay. And in preparing your reports, did you
2 develop an understanding of how groundwater was
3 administered under New Mexico law during the '40s and
4 '50s?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay. But you do mention Steve Reynolds, the
7 New Mexico state engineer, in your reports, right?

8 A. I think I do.

9 Q. Okay. Let's go to Page 94 of your first
10 report, which is New Mexico Exhibit 2097. We look
11 towards the bottom there -- here we go -- we see the
12 last sentence of this paragraph. "But the appointment
13 of Steve Reynolds to state engineer in 1955, a post he
14 would hold for more than 30 years, altered the
15 groundwater system in New Mexico with his focus on
16 groundwater basin declarations and regulations." Do
17 you see that?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. So did you develop an understanding of
20 groundwater basin declarations and regulations in
21 preparing your reports?

22 A. Not specifically, no.

23 Q. So is there a documentary basis for this
24 statement at the end on the bottom of Page 94?

25 A. I've done a lot of work in New Mexico, and I

1 just happen to know that he -- and, of course, have
2 heard in this case that he declared groundwater
3 basins, but I don't have any specific information
4 about the role that he played here. So I'm not
5 referring to any specific document; I'm just stating
6 what I happen to know was one of the focuses that he
7 had during his administration or his tenure.

8 **Q. Is that from your work on the Pecos?**

9 A. It's my work here, as well as on the Pecos,
10 and just reading a lot of New Mexico water
11 documentation over the years.

12 **Q. Okay. So who was Steve Reynolds'**
13 **predecessor?**

14 A. The state engineer -- I'd have to look back
15 at the -- I can't remember specifically off the top of
16 my head who preceded him immediately.

17 **Q. Okay. Let's look at the next -- so staying**
18 **with your first report here, we'd go to Page 95.**
19 **That's the next page here, which is your conclusions**
20 **page, and there's the big second paragraph. In the**
21 **middle there, we've highlighted the sentence for you.**
22 **So we've highlighted the sentence that**
23 **says, "Furthermore, the absence in the historical**
24 **record of any water users objecting to these studies**
25 **or to actual groundwater pumping between the 1930s and**

1 the 1950s suggests that no one contemporarily believed
2 that the Project owned a prior right to these
3 supplies." Do you see that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And "supplies" there is referring to
6 groundwater supplies?

7 A. I believe so, yes.

8 Q. Sorry. I was trying to save time by not
9 reading through each prior sentence. Okay. So let's
10 -- last time we were looking at EBID board minutes
11 from October, 1947. Do you remember that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And that was New Mexico Exhibit 1605.
14 Just as a point of clarification for the record, I
15 stated that some of the -- that the highlighting on
16 this document was attributed to you in the native
17 Adobe PDF, but for the record, I'll just say that
18 there's highlighting at the top of Page 9 of New
19 Mexico 1605 on the section marked "pumping" that
20 cannot be so attributed. So I just wanted to clarify
21 that for the record.

22 Now, when we spoke last time, you said you
23 had access to all of the EBID minutes. Do you
24 remember that?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Did you review all of them, at least
2 from the 1940s and 1950s?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So let's take a look at New Mexico -- New
5 Mexico Exhibit 176, which is previously admitted.
6 This is a giant set of EBID meeting minutes from the
7 1950s. We'll go to Page 33, and we see the heading at
8 the top there, these are minutes from a meeting held
9 August 8th, 1950, and so then we'll skip two pages
10 ahead to Page 35 and look at the bottom of that page.
11 We see in the margin, "Drilling of wells and use of
12 groundwater in district." Do you see that?

13 A. I see what's on the screen, yes.

14 Q. Yes. Okay. And so on the last line of that
15 page, we see, "Judge Mechem was requested to furnish
16 information on the following topics." We discussed
17 Judge Mechem last time. Do you remember that?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. He was one of Texas' legal advisors in the
20 Compact negotiations, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So let's go to the next page, 36, to the
23 continuation. And so we see there at the top, there's
24 a numbered list, and so these are the other topics
25 Judge Mechem was asked to furnish information on. So

1 we see an analysis and explanation of New Mexico
2 groundwater law, the question about the operation of
3 wells and whether it can be controlled by the state
4 engineer or by anyone else, and then whether or not
5 there would be any basis for the Bureau of
6 Reclamation, or the District, to claim control over
7 groundwater. Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So let's just look at that --

10 JUDGE MELLOY: Excuse me a second.

11 Ms. Coleman, could you possibly get just a little
12 closer to the mic? I'm hearing you but not super --
13 super well.

14 MS. COLEMAN: Actually, let me turn my
15 microphone settings up. Hopefully that helps.

16 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Okay. So --

17 JUDGE MELLOY: That's better. Thank
18 you.

19 MS. COLEMAN: Is that better? Thank
20 you.

21 JUDGE MELLOY: Thank you.

22 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) So a summary of Judge
23 Mechem's statement follows below, and we see that
24 first paragraph we're highlighting. "It was the
25 opinion of Judge Mechem that a groundwater District

1 could not be created in and adjacent to the Elephant
2 Butte Irrigation District unless it was definitely
3 established that groundwater was derived from some
4 source other than the river." Do you see that?

5 A. I do.

6 Q. Do you know what a groundwater District
7 means?

8 A. I don't specifically, no.

9 Q. You don't. Okay. All right. So you don't
10 -- you don't know if it has to do with groundwater
11 basins and declarations?

12 A. I don't.

13 Q. Okay. So then we see in the next paragraph,
14 we'll go partway through, there's a sentence
15 beginning, "In his opinion, groundwater derived from
16 the river, arroyo inflow, and rainfall is not subject
17 to appropriation under New Mexico state law, nor to
18 control by means of a groundwater district; but
19 groundwater derived from other sources is subject to
20 appropriation under New Mexico state law and to
21 control by means of a groundwater district."

22 So, Dr. Stevens, as a historian specializing
23 in western water issues, you're familiar with the
24 doctrine of prior appropriation, right?

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q.** Generally, not asking you for any opinion
2 about New Mexico state law, what does an appropriation
3 refer to?

4 A. It refers to --

5 **MS. ATTON:** Objection, Your Honor, I'm
6 sorry, to the extent it calls for a legal opinion.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Overruled. As a
8 historian, she can answer the question. Go ahead.

9 A. An appropriation is a claim for water, and
10 depending on where you are, there are various
11 requirements and such, but it's basically a claim to
12 water.

13 **Q.** **(BY MS. COLEMAN)** And you agree that the
14 surface waters of the Rio Grande were fully
15 appropriated by the time of the Compact, right?

16 **MS. ATTON:** Same objection; calls for a
17 legal opinion.

18 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'll sustain that.
19 Go ahead.

20 **Q.** **(BY MS. COLEMAN)** Okay.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, let me just go back
22 a second. Is that in her report?

23 **MS. COLEMAN:** It's in her deposition.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I think it's in the
25 report so I'm going to overrule the objection. You

1 may answer that question.

2 A. I will -- I think you asked if the surface
3 water supply was fully appropriated at the time of the
4 Compact; is that what you asked?

5 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Yes.

6 A. Okay. And I would say that the 1908 filing
7 by the Rio Grande Project appropriated all of the
8 surface water in the Rio Grande, yes, that had not
9 been appropriated.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you. So let's continue down
11 Page 36 to the letter that's reproduced there, Mechem
12 & Mechem, Attorneys at Law, dated 11 August, 1950.
13 Have you looked at this letter before?

14 A. Yes, but not for quite some time.

15 Q. Okay. So just focusing in on the fourth
16 paragraph in the letter, can you read that clearly on
17 your screen?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. So he says there, "In my opinion, any
20 pumping which diminishes the Project water supply can
21 be stopped," right?

22 A. That's what the letter -- that's what this
23 particular line says that you have highlighted, yes.

24 Q. So -- let's see. So when you said in your
25 conclusion paragraph in your first report that no one

1 contemporarily believed that the Project owned a prior
2 right to the supplies, meaning groundwater supplies,
3 doesn't this show that Judge Mechem believed that the
4 Project owned a prior right to the supplies that
5 affect the Project surface water supply?

6 MS. ATTON: Objection; mischaracterizes
7 the witness' testimony and her report.

8 JUDGE MELLOY: I think it's --

9 MS. COLEMAN: Her report --

10 JUDGE MELLOY: I think that's fair
11 cross-examination. Go ahead.

12 A. So I'm just going to take a second to read
13 this whole letter because I haven't read it in several
14 years at this point and so I'm just going to read it
15 and then read my -- my conclusion and then try to
16 answer your question, so give me just one second. I
17 don't actually think they're involved with each other.
18 No. I mean, if you read the entirety of Mr. Mechem's
19 letter here, he says at the very -- the last two
20 paragraphs, he states it would be difficult to
21 basically stop anybody from pumping because it says --
22 he says it would be difficult, if not impossible, to
23 prove that the District is being injured. So, I mean,
24 I think if you take the entirety of that letter, it
25 does not stand in contrast to what I say in this

1 conclusion, no.

2 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Now, again, as an expert
3 specializing in western water issues and with -- with
4 knowledge of a prior appropriation doctrine, have you
5 heard the term priority call?

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 Q. And what -- what do you understand that term
8 to mean?

9 MS. ATTON: Objection to the extent it
10 calls for a legal conclusion.

11 JUDGE MELLOY: You may answer.

12 A. My understanding is that a priority call is
13 when you cut off more junior users in order to compel
14 water to be delivered to more senior users who have
15 better or more senior rights.

16 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) And, again, not asking for a
17 legal conclusion, but what is your understanding of
18 what priority is?

19 A. Priority is the way that historians -- I
20 mean, many in the record historically have referred to
21 it as first in time first in right, so priority who
22 has the first right, oldest right, that's been proven
23 and used. The water has been put to beneficial use.
24 That's what priority is, older.

25 Q. Now that you've read this entire letter, you

1 would agree that it doesn't talk about priority, does
2 it?

3 A. No, I don't think that it does.

4 Q. Okay. And within the historical records that
5 you reviewed, are you aware of any document where the
6 Compact negotiators discussed the protection of the
7 Project water supply by priority call?

8 A. Can you -- can you just read that back to me
9 or repeat it? Any time during Compact negotiations
10 where they talked about priority calls? Is that what
11 you asked?

12 Q. Whether the Compact -- the Compact
13 negotiators discussed the protection of the Project
14 water supply by priority call?

15 A. I don't -- I don't recall. I don't think so.
16 I don't recall, though.

17 Q. Okay. Let's go, now, to Page 142 of New
18 Mexico Exhibit 176. These are the minutes of a
19 meeting held on July 11th, 1952, and we're going to
20 Page 143, which is -- sorry -- that's the following
21 page, and there is a large block paragraph under the
22 table there in the middle. We are not going to read
23 it all. So we see the first section -- sorry. Do you
24 know if you reviewed these minutes specifically?

25 A. Yes. As I've stated, I reviewed all the

1 minutes up through the '60s, so I did at some point,
2 but it's been a while.

3 Q. So you read a lot about gopher bounties, I
4 imagine?

5 A. Yeah. Like, 42,000 gophers in a year.

6 Q. Okay. So let's see. In this section here
7 that we've put up on the screen, it says, "The summary
8 section of a Bureau of Reclamation report dated July
9 1st, 1952, and entitled River Loss Caballo Dam to El
10 Paso and Irrigation Wells, and a letter of transmittal
11 were read to the Board." Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you know which report that's referring to?

14 A. Yes. I'm -- I believe I do.

15 Q. Okay. And then we -- a couple sentences
16 down, we highlighted there. It says, "In connection
17 with this matter, the attention of the Board was
18 called to Section 11 of a form issued by the Bureau
19 and entitled, Temporary License for Conveyance of
20 Water Pumped from Drains or from Farm Wells through
21 Project Canals and Laterals." Do you see that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Do you know what form that's referring to?

24 A. Not specifically, no.

25 Q. Okay. And so did you address either the

1 river loss report or this form in your expert reports
2 that you know of?

3 A. I would have to go back and scour it to -- to
4 see if I did, but I -- I don't think that I did.

5 Q. Okay. Do you recall if either the river loss
6 report or the license form were considered by you in
7 reaching your opinions?

8 A. Well, I considered, I mean, obviously,
9 thousands of documents so I did consider it, I'm sure,
10 but I -- if I didn't cite it in my report, then I
11 didn't cite it in my report.

12 Q. If you didn't cite it in your report, then is
13 it fair to assume that you didn't find it significant?

14 A. No. I wouldn't say that. I think that what
15 I do when I'm writing historical report is I rely upon
16 the preponderance -- and I don't mean that in a legal
17 sense, but the largest body of records, and history
18 can be messy and sometimes doesn't always -- not all
19 things point in the same direction. So if I didn't
20 look at it -- if I didn't cite it, that doesn't mean I
21 don't think it's significant, but I just -- there were
22 -- like I said, I looked at thousands and thousands of
23 documents and so I just happened to not cite this one.

24 Q. If you came across something that pointed in
25 a different direction from your opinion, would you

1 **address it typically?**

2 A. You know, I would say every situation is
3 different. That happens all the time because like I
4 said, history is messy, and so I try to look at the
5 entire record and draw my conclusions based on what
6 the majority of the evidence is pointing to, and
7 that's how I draw my conclusions and that's how I
8 write my reports.

9 **Q. Okay.**

10 A. So I certainly don't, you know, intentionally
11 overlook things or not -- you know, I mean, I think
12 it's my job make sure that my clients know all the
13 documents that are out there. So, no, I wouldn't say
14 that I didn't think it was significant. I'd just say
15 that's how I do my reports. I look at the entirety of
16 the evidence.

17 **Q. Okay. All right. So we're going to just**
18 **skip ahead quickly to Page 380 in New Mexico Exhibit**
19 **176. These are the minutes from a meeting on**
20 **September 21st, 1956. We see here in the one of the**
21 **first entries, it says, "A Bureau of Reclamation**
22 **report entitled Reconnaissance Report on Water**
23 **Conservation Plans for Rio Grande Project, New**
24 **Mexico/Texas, dated July 1956, was presented to the**
25 **Board for consideration." Do you know which report**

1 that's referring to?

2 A. I do.

3 Q. And that's the 1956 report that you discussed
4 with Ms. Atton, right?

5 A. I believe so, yes.

6 Q. Okay. So, now, by 1956, Conover's 1954
7 report had been published, of course, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. But prior to 1954, some version of that -- of
10 his final report was circulating, right?

11 A. I think that's fair, yes.

12 Q. Okay. So in between his 1947 preliminary
13 memorandum and the publication in 1954, some more
14 preliminary version of -- of the Conover report was
15 being circulated?

16 A. The record indicates that that's the case,
17 yes.

18 Q. Okay. So, now, let's take a look at the
19 Conover report. We have it as -- as Texas Exhibit
20 698. I believe it may have been admitted as -- a
21 second time as New Mexico 499, but we're going to look
22 at Texas 698, and we're going to look at Page 127.

23 Okay. So we're going to -- we see that
24 heading, "Supplemental pumping of groundwater in a
25 drought period," and then two paragraphs below that,

1 can you -- can you read this clearly? Okay. Well,
2 now, you can. So we see this sentence, "Pumping of
3 groundwater for supplemental use does not represent an
4 additional supply or new source of water, but rather a
5 change in method, time and place of diversion of
6 available supplies." Do you see that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. So just to paraphrase, is it fair to
9 say Conover was saying that pumping could be seen as a
10 different method of diverting Project supply? Is that
11 a fair summary of this statement?

12 A. No.

13 Q. How would -- what was wrong in how I
14 paraphrased it?

15 A. Well, I think what he's saying is that -- and
16 I think this is consistent with all of the historical
17 records that I talked about in my direct, that this is
18 a supplemental supply during times of drought, and I
19 think if you read Conover's report in its entirety,
20 that -- that's what he's saying. And to my
21 recollection, he doesn't talk about Project supply at
22 all in this report, if I'm not mistaken. I could be
23 wrong about that, but I don't remember seeing that
24 terminology used. I think what he's doing is -- well,
25 I've already said what he's doing so, that it's a

1 supplemental supply during times of drought.

2 **Q. So you would define supplemental supply to**
3 **mean something other than additional supply or new**
4 **source of water?**

5 A. You know, I don't know. That's a good
6 question. Supplemental, the way I've seen it used
7 historically throughout all the records that I've
8 looked at, is that when the surface supply runs dry,
9 they always looked to groundwater as a way to
10 supplement that -- the fact that the surface is not
11 running, right, that they can't just divert that
12 surface supply in the canals. And I -- and I know
13 that this document, you know, states that it's not a
14 new source of water, but, again, the term -- and he
15 uses the term supplemental here, too. I think it's
16 consistent with what the historical record says, which
17 is, you know, this is where you go when the surface
18 supply runs -- surface supplies run dry. So I don't
19 know. I'm probably getting tangled up in -- in the
20 question that you asked. Maybe you could restate it,
21 but I don't think that this is inconsistent with the
22 historical record in terms of how the Project and the
23 Districts looked at the potential of groundwater prior
24 to the drought.

25 **Q. In your opinion, the historical record shows**

1 that the districts and the Project looked at the
2 groundwater as a different source of supply from the
3 Project surface water?

4 A. So I didn't say different source of supply.
5 I said supplemental supply. Again, I think those are
6 the words that are in the documents that I have
7 presented during my testimony.

8 Q. So something could be the same supply and yet
9 supplemental?

10 MS. ATTON: Your Honor, I object.
11 Dr. Stevens is a historian, not a hydrologist.

12 MS. COLEMAN: She spent a good bit of
13 time in her report talking about Conover.

14 JUDGE MELLOY: I agree. I'll let the
15 witness answer.

16 A. So I -- could you repeat it? Could you have
17 the realtime that you could just read it back to me?
18 Sorry.

19 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) So in talking about supplies
20 of water and how you're using the term supplemental,
21 so some part of water -- some part of a water supply
22 could be -- well, now, I'm tangled up in my own
23 question. I'll just ask it again.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. Something could be the same supply and yet

1 **also be a supplemental supply?**

2 **MS. ATTON:** Your Honor, this is
3 hypothetical.

4 **MS. COLEMAN:** I'm trying to understand
5 her terminology.

6 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. You can answer.

7 A. So I think if you go back to my report, and
8 that's where I have to go back to and -- and think
9 about the opinions I offer in my reports, in my
10 declarations. What I argue and what I state that the
11 historical record explains is that the understanding
12 between the relationship between the groundwater in
13 this basin and the surface water supply was an
14 evolving understanding and so whether it's the same or
15 supplemental, I don't think -- I think you have to ask
16 me that for a moment in time because I don't think
17 that their understanding of that, A, at a specific
18 moment in time is the same as, B, another moment in
19 time, and then in addition to that, I think that it
20 depends on where in the basin you were. So the --
21 what was true up in -- above Elephant Butte,
22 specifically up in the closed basin and then also in
23 the middle Rio Grande, was much better understood than
24 Mesilla Valley, because of that data below Elephant
25 Butte dam as it related to that relationship between

1 groundwater and surface water, which, of course, is
2 exactly why Conover had been contracted with EBID. He
3 needed -- they needed to have a better understanding
4 of that. So I don't think I can give you a blanket
5 answer as to whether or not water supply can be the
6 same and supplemental because it -- it depended on the
7 specifics of the things I've already mentioned, the
8 moment in time and the location that you were at.

9 **Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) But didn't we just read**
10 **Judge Mechem distinguishing between groundwater**
11 **supplies that come from the river and groundwater**
12 **supplies that come from the adjoining mesas?**

13 A. Well, that was in the letter. We -- I don't
14 think we read that in. But, again, so that's a
15 particular moment in time, right. That's 1950, and
16 that's downstream of Elephant Butte Dam. So it's -- I
17 mean, the specifics of what the context within which
18 we're talking matters.

19 **Q. And the context of this lawsuit is the water**
20 **below Elephant Butte Dam, right?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. Okay. So I don't know if we have the ability**
23 **to stay on this same page -- well, you know what,**
24 **forget that. We'll just move on. Let's go to Page**
25 **139 of Texas Exhibit 698, and we'll take a look at**

1 Paragraph 6 there at the bottom. So this says, "If an
2 increased portion of releases from the reservoir were
3 made up to the lower district as compensation for the
4 reduction in flow of the drains, caused by pumping in
5 the Rincon and Mesilla Valleys, a corresponding
6 reduction in the diversions to the Elephant Butte
7 Irrigation District would be necessary." Did I read
8 that correctly?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So here, Conover is discussing the Project
11 supply, right?

12 A. He's talking about releases from the
13 reservoir specifically.

14 Q. What's released from the reservoir?

15 A. Water that's stored behind the dam.

16 Q. That's part of Project supply, right?

17 A. Part of Project supply.

18 Q. And the diversions to the Elephant Butte
19 Irrigation District are also Project supply, correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Now, in your opinion, and I'm sure
22 you'll correct me if I'm wrong, Reclamation ignored
23 what Conover had to say at the time, right?

24 A. I probably wouldn't quite connect it that
25 way, no. I don't think that I would say that they

1 ignored him.

2 Q. Well, let's go to Page 94 of your first
3 report, New Mexico Exhibit 2097, and we'll look there
4 at the -- back to almost where we were before. So a
5 few lines above the bottom, it says, "By this time
6 with the drought extending to years, Conover's
7 connections between ground and surface water and his
8 encouragement to limit the amount of pumping seemed
9 long forgotten and there was nothing to limit the use
10 of the water of the Rio Grande that laid beneath the
11 surface." Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So I'll ask again. I'll change my words. In
14 your opinion, Reclamation forgot what Conover had to
15 say; is that right?

16 A. No. I think that -- I don't know that I have
17 opined at all about whether or not Reclamation
18 commented specifically on Conover. I think what we
19 know is that Reclamation, knowing that the Project was
20 in jeopardy with the drought, encouraged the users
21 beneath -- underneath the -- within the Project
22 boundaries to pump water as a way to save their crops.

23 Q. And that's what you mean when you say "seemed
24 long forgotten"?

25 A. Well, I think what I mean is that, you know,

1 what they -- what Reclamation actually did was
2 different than, you know, didn't -- didn't take
3 Conover's conclusions to that next step, which is, you
4 know, we've got to figure out how -- well, I'll leave
5 it at that.

6 Q. Okay. We're actually going to skip ahead now
7 to New Mexico Exhibit 619. And we'll go to Page 3 of
8 this document. We'll zoom in at the top there. We'll
9 see it says, "Temporary License for Conveyance of
10 Water Pumped from Drains or from Farm Wells through
11 Project Canals and Laterals." And it says, "This
12 agreement made the 1st day of April, 1952." Do you
13 see that?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. Do you recall if you reviewed this document
16 when formulating your opinions?

17 A. I do not.

18 Q. So let's go to Page 4 of this Exhibit 619,
19 and we'll look at Paragraph 11. That says, "Inasmuch
20 as water pumped from the farm well described in
21 licensee's application dated April 1st, 1952, may
22 constitute a part of the Rio Grande Project water
23 supply, nothing herein contained shall be construed as
24 an admission on the part of the United States that
25 said water is the property of the licensee or, as a

1 waiver of any right or claim to such water as a part
2 of the Rio Grande Project supply." Do you see that?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. So did you take this statement into account
5 in considering what contemporary actors thought about
6 Project supply?

7 A. Well, as I already stated, I don't remember
8 looking at this document, so I -- I don't know if I
9 did or not.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. I don't know. I don't recall.

12 Q. So in this document, which says, "Inasmuch as
13 water pumped from the farm well may constitute a part
14 of the Project water supply," would you agree that
15 that points against your opinion that water supply
16 didn't include groundwater?

17 A. Where do I say the water supply -- where do I
18 -- can you point to what you're talking about in my
19 report, where specifically -- what sentence you want
20 me to compare that to?

21 Q. Okay. Well, we will -- we will certainly get
22 to that part of your report very soon. So let's just
23 put a pin in that one. So let's -- we will now go to
24 the 1956 reconnaissance report. That's U.S. Exhibit
25 656. So we talked about this one last time. Let's

1 look at the title. Actually, it's okay. It
2 says, "Reconnaissance Report on Water Conservation
3 Plans." Do you agree that this is the report
4 mentioned in the EBID minutes, right?

5 A. It makes -- yeah, I think it is.

6 Q. Okay. So let's see here. Let's go to Page
7 20 of U.S. Exhibit 656, and we see that
8 heading, "Pumping of Groundwater." And you went over
9 this section a bit with Ms. Atton. Do you remember
10 that?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. So looking at the table there, we see
13 -- so this is by the end of 1955, which doesn't say it
14 here, but in this 1956 report, it shows under wells
15 serving Project lands, in the Rincon Valley, 324, and
16 in the Mesilla Valley, 790 NM for New Mexico. Do you
17 see that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Do you know if the New Mexico state engineer
20 was aware of how many wells there were?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Do you know if these wells would have been
23 recorded in some way with the state engineer?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Let's go to Page 66 of US-656. We'll look at

1 that first full paragraph. Okay. So we've
2 highlighted this to save time. So at the end of the
3 paragraph, it says, again, "The water obtained by
4 pumping does not represent an additional supply or new
5 source of water for project lands -- for the project
6 lands, but is water that is normally intercepted and
7 reused. Therefore, pumping represents only a change
8 in the method, location and time of diversion of
9 supplies already available." Do you see that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Does that last line sound familiar to you?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And how does it sound familiar to you?

14 A. Comes from Conover's report.

15 Q. Okay. So as you testified earlier, you would
16 agree that Reclamation had not forgotten Conover
17 during the 1950s drought, right?

18 A. No, I don't -- I don't agree with that
19 assessment. I mean, I think if you look at the
20 entirety of the 1956 reconnaissance report, there's a
21 lot of things in here that indicate that maybe that
22 they didn't forget Conover, but that they were well
23 aware of the pumping and they knew what was going on.
24 So, I mean, in the sense that that's what I meant by
25 the word forgotten, which perhaps I used a little

1 loosely in my report, you know, I -- I still stand by
2 the intent behind that statement.

3 **Q. Okay. Fair enough.**

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Ms. Coleman, we've been
5 going for quite a while, although we did take a little
6 break about 45 minutes ago. Why don't we take about
7 15 minutes at this point, and we'll come back. Do you
8 think you can finish up in the next half an hour or
9 so?

10 **MS. COLEMAN:** Sadly, no.

11 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. Well, can we
12 finish up today?

13 **MS. COLEMAN:** Yes. Yes.

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. All right. Okay.
15 We'll be back in 15 minutes. Thank you, everybody.

16 (Recess.)

17 **JUDGE MELLOY:** We'll get going as soon
18 as Mr. Wallace gets on. All right. There we go. All
19 right. You may proceed, Ms. Coleman.

20 **Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Okay. We're going to move**
21 **onto another topic so let's go to your Opinion No. 5**
22 **on Page 11 of your 2019 report, New Mexico Exhibit**
23 **2097. "The United States Rio Grande Project water**
24 **filings ." Do you see that?**

25 **A. Yes.**

1 Q. You say, "The water filings did not include
2 nor were they intended to include the upper Rio Grande
3 basin's groundwater." See that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Now, when you say water filings here, you're
6 referring to the letters from 1906 and 1908 that you
7 discussed with Ms. Atton, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Can you tell me why you referred to those as
10 filings?

11 A. Not specifically, no. That's just sort of
12 how I refer to them generally. I mean, they're -- no,
13 I can't really.

14 Q. So are you offering any opinion in this case
15 about the priority of the Project's water rights under
16 state law?

17 A. No.

18 Q. In your work on western water issues, have
19 you encountered the concept of releasing back?

20 A. It sounds familiar, but I don't know what it
21 is.

22 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the New Mexico
23 adjudication court has ruled that the Rio Grande
24 Project is a priority of 1903 based on the relation
25 back doctrine?

1 A. I heard that it has a 1903 -- that the Court
2 said 1903, but I didn't know anything about why.

3 Q. Okay. So your Opinion No. 5 is based on the
4 content of the 1906 and 1908 filings, the
5 understanding of groundwater in the 1900s, and a
6 comparison with some other Reclamation projects; is
7 that right?

8 A. And the many other documents and things that
9 I looked at that are cited in my reports, yes.

10 Q. Okay. Well, as a historian, we do expect
11 that we'll know which documents we're talking about
12 and not just referring to a whole list of cited
13 references, so I do want to be specific about the
14 documents here. So let's -- let's -- let's pull up
15 Texas Exhibit 513, and as we go through this
16 discussion, I want to distinguish between what you say
17 the notice -- the notion of what the notices included
18 on the one hand and what they were intended to include
19 on the other hand. So starting with what the notices
20 included, so we looked on Page 1 here of Texas Exhibit
21 513, this is the 1906 letter from B.M. Hall to David
22 White, right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. You discussed this with Ms. Atton. Do you
25 remember that?

1 A. I do.

2 Q. Okay. And in your discussion with Ms. Atton,
3 you read that block quotation that's in the middle of
4 the page there, and that's quoting a New Mexico
5 territorial law from 1905, right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. You then said, and I'm quoting from
8 the transcript, "This is, as I read it, a surface
9 water law that the Project filed for its water rights
10 under." Do you remember that?

11 A. Not specifically, but I'll take your word for
12 it that I said that, yes.

13 Q. Okay. What is your basis as a historian for
14 characterizing this 1905 law as a surface water law?

15 A. Well, I mean, basically at this time,
16 generally in American Western Water History, that's
17 what these laws were. They were specific to surface
18 water. So if you do contrast that with a couple of
19 the other projects that were in the works at this
20 time, they specify pumping, and this one didn't, and
21 New Mexico, of course, was territory at the time, and
22 the law itself was understood to be surface water
23 generally in the time period that we're talking about
24 here. To my knowledge, there were no other -- there
25 were no groundwater laws in any of the western states

1 at this time to my knowledge.

2 Q. So you're saying that the filings for other
3 Reclamation projects specified pumping?

4 A. Yeah. That's my recollection.

5 Q. Okay. So let's go to Page 6 of Texas Exhibit
6 513. So this is the letter from Louis Hill to Vernon
7 Sullivan, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And it's dated -- we see at the top there,
10 it's dated April, 1908, from Phoenix, Arizona. Do you
11 see that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. Okay. And you testified to Ms. Atton that
14 this was a letter filed to, quote, "Comply with a new
15 law that was passed by the state -- I'm sorry -- by
16 the territory of New Mexico in 1908." Do you remember
17 that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. Let's look at the third paragraph on
20 this page. So we see here, it says, "Section 40 of
21 Chapter 49 of the laws enacted in 1907." Do you see
22 that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So your testimony that the law was passed in
25 1908 was a mistake, right?

1 A. Apparently so, yes.

2 **Q. Did you consider any differences between the**
3 **1905 and 1907 territorial laws when you came up with**
4 **Opinion No. 5?**

5 A. You know, I don't recall specifically. I
6 know that I've read them both, but I don't remember to
7 the -- the degree to which that played into the
8 language that I used in writing that opinion.

9 **Q. Okay. So when -- okay. So your opinion that**
10 **these filings don't include groundwater is based on**
11 **your general understanding that the laws of this time**
12 **were surface water laws and the fact that the word**
13 **groundwater or pumping doesn't appear in the text of**
14 **the document; is that a fair summary?**

15 A. No, not -- not really. I mean, if you look
16 at the entirety of the materials -- not the materials,
17 the -- the documents that I do cite in my report that
18 relate to all of the history that predated these
19 filings, as well as the creation of the act, the Rio
20 Grande Project Act, all of that together makes it very
21 clear this was a surface water project. I mean,
22 there's no doubt about it. There was no mention of
23 groundwater anywhere in any of those discussions and,
24 of course, these date back to the 1890s when there
25 were fights with Mexico and the treaty and all the

1 various things that we've talked about. There was
2 nothing in any of that material that relates to
3 groundwater so, no, it wasn't just the things that you
4 just said. It was also all of the many, many
5 documents that I looked at that predated these
6 filings, the context of them.

7 **Q. Okay. So the basic -- so is the answer to**
8 **all of my questions going to be if you look at the**
9 **entirety of the record, it supports my opinion?**

10 A. So I don't think that's what I just said. I
11 think I said if you look at the materials that I cited
12 in my report, that I looked at that relate to
13 everything up to the Rio Grande Project Act, all of
14 those documents relate to -- none of them say anything
15 about groundwater. They all specify surface water. I
16 think that's what I said.

17 **Q. Okay. What is the Rio Grande Project Act, by**
18 **the way?**

19 A. What is the Rio Grande Project Act?

20 **Q. Correct. What is the Rio Grande Project Act?**

21 A. It was the law that was passed by Congress
22 that created the Rio Grande Project.

23 **Q. And was it called the Rio Grande Project Act?**

24 A. I don't believe it was originally. I think
25 it made -- I can't recall without the document right

1 in front of me.

2 Q. Okay. All right. So let's look at -- let's
3 talk about the question of what the notices were
4 intended to include. So when you say what the notices
5 were -- well, in fact, what they were not intended to
6 include, you're talking about the intent of B.M. Hall
7 in 1906 and the intent of Louis Hill in 1908; is that
8 right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And it's your opinion that Hall and Hill had
11 only a nascent understanding of surface water and
12 groundwater connections; is that right?

13 A. If you compare that to later understandings
14 of those connections throughout the basin, as I
15 describe in my report, in the '20s, '30s, '40s, '50s,
16 yes, I believe that compared to that, it was nascent.

17 Q. Okay. So when we -- let's focus on B.M. Hall
18 for a minute. So he was the supervising engineer for
19 the Rio Grande Project for a time, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And he was the one who commissioned Slichter
22 to study the groundwater in the Mesilla; is that
23 right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. So you watched Dr. Miltenberger's

1 testimony, right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. Including his cross-examination by
4 Mr. Draper?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. Now, during -- it's now been 11 days
7 so I'll understand if you don't remember this
8 specifically, but during the cross-examination of
9 Dr. Miltenberger, Mr. Draper showed an excerpt from
10 the proceedings of the 1904 Irrigation Congress where
11 some of Slichter's findings were reported. Do you
12 remember that?

13 A. I don't recall that, no.

14 Q. It was with the heading of, "Pumping in the
15 Mesilla"?

16 A. I -- I don't recall specifically the
17 exchange.

18 Q. Okay. Let's see if we can --

19 MS. COLEMAN: Can you bring up Joint
20 Exhibit 432? It's actually -- can we do the
21 transcript instead?

22 Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) Okay. We're just looking at
23 -- in lieu of bringing up the document, we're just
24 going to look at the transcript quickly here to see if
25 it refreshes your recollection. So we see here at the

1 top, that's by Mr. Draper referring to Joint Exhibit
2 432, which is the proceedings of the Irrigation
3 Congress, and if we look at -- Page 227, this is also
4 part of the report from Engineer Benjamin Hall in that
5 document, and we highlighted a statement by Hall with
6 respect to Source of Mesilla Wells. Do you see that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. Is this -- are you remembering this
9 part of the testimony yet?

10 A. No.

11 Q. No. Okay. So -- well, we might have to
12 bring up the joint exhibit later, but let's see.
13 Mr. Draper read through this discussion of wells in
14 the Mesilla Valley, and he said that this discussion,
15 quote, "Indicates that even at this early date, there
16 was a significant appreciation for the relationship of
17 groundwater and surface water, particularly the effect
18 that pumping can have on nearby surface waters
19 potentially." So you don't remember this part, right?

20 A. Can you point me where you are? I haven't
21 found your --

22 Q. Yes. So we've pulled up Page 58 from the
23 October 19th transcript there.

24 A. I see it now. Thank you.

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. Sorry. It just took me a second to catch up
2 with you.

3 Q. Sure.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. So -- so I will put that question to you
6 then. Do you agree that even in 1904, there was a
7 significant appreciation for the relationship of
8 groundwater and surface water?

9 A. Yes. I don't think that is at odds with what
10 I said just a moment ago about the understanding being
11 nascent so, yes, I do agree with this.

12 Q. Okay. And, again, this is Hall's
13 presentation, right, so he has -- he has a significant
14 appreciation that is yet still nascent compared to the
15 '30s and '40s; is that what you're saying?

16 A. As well as compared to different parts of the
17 basin that were studied in greater depth and in more
18 detail, technical detail, at other times, yes.

19 Q. Okay. So now, Dr. Stevens, one of the
20 Reclamation projects you've compared to the Rio Grande
21 Project is the Salt River Project in Arizona, right.

22 A. I don't think I made that comparison. I
23 think it's from the 1956 reconnaissance report. I
24 think that's where Reclamation said that just like the
25 Salt River Project, the Rio Grande Project had become

1 reliance on pumping even though it was originally a
2 surface water project.

3 Q. Let's go to your rebuttal report at Page 8.
4 This is New Mexico Exhibit 2098. The first full
5 paragraph saying, "It was shortly after the
6 publication of Slichter's 1905 study." Do you see
7 that paragraph?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And then you say, "Unlike other Reclamation
10 service projects, such as the Salt River Project,
11 parenthesis 1903 filing, and the Garden City Project,
12 1905 filing, on which the federal agency reported well
13 water," and then it continues. Do you see that?

14 A. I do. I had forgotten about this. Thank
15 you.

16 Q. So going back, you have some prior experience
17 doing research for the Salt River Project, right?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. Okay. And that was -- that was in the '90s?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. And were -- are you aware -- strike
22 that.

23 Do you know who -- are you aware of Louis
24 Hill having any role with respect to the Salt River
25 Project?

1 A. No, not specifically. My role when I was
2 looking at the Salt River Project was related to the
3 history of the navigability of the river just to give
4 you some context on that, so I wasn't necessarily
5 looking at the history of -- so, anyway, no, I don't
6 know about Louis Hill's specific role there.

7 **Q. Okay. Let's -- actually, let's pull up the**
8 **report. So this is a document, "Assessment of Salt**
9 **River navigability prior to and on the date of**
10 **Arizona's statehood February 14, 18912, by Douglas**
11 **Littlefield." Do you see that?**

12 A. Yes.

13 **MS. ATTON:** Your Honor, objection. I
14 don't think this has been identified for Dr. Stevens
15 in either his direct or cross-examination.

16 **MS. COLEMAN:** I'm refreshing her
17 recollection of the work on this project.

18 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Go ahead.

19 **MS. COLEMAN:** In particular, Louis Hill.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Go ahead.

21 **Q. (BY MS. COLEMAN) So this is the report that**
22 **you worked on, right?**

23 A. Well, I was Dr. Littlefield's research
24 assistant at the time, so I assisted with the
25 research.

1 Q. Let's go to -- it's PDF Page -- sorry. We'll
2 go to the next one. So it's internal Page 134 of this
3 document. Okay. So a few lines down from the bottom,
4 we see, "In the report, Reclamation service
5 supervising engineer Louis C. Hill observed that," and
6 there's a quote. Do you see where I'm referring to?

7 A. I do, yes.

8 Q. Okay. So does this refresh your recollection
9 about whether Louis Hill was involved in the Salt
10 River Project?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. There's not enough context here. I can't
14 tell from this sentence what his role on this project
15 was. No, I'm sorry. It's not enough. I'd have to go
16 back and reread this report. I can't recall it. It's
17 a long time ago.

18 Q. Okay. Well, I'll just -- I'll just say for
19 the record then, and this is a Joint Exhibit 454 at
20 78, which isn't in the record yet, that Louis C. Hill
21 was an engineer on the Salt River Project starting
22 August 24th, 1903. We saw -- if we go back to Texas
23 Exhibit 513 and go to Page 6, we see that he -- this
24 letter is dated from Phoenix, Arizona, right?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. So going back to your rebuttal report
2 that we were just showing on Page 8, New Mexico
3 Exhibit 2098, so here you are referring to the Salt
4 River Project with its 1903 filing and the Garden City
5 Project with the 1905 filing. So did you look at the
6 1903 filing for the Salt River Project?

7 A. No. What I cite here -- I'm sure I did at
8 some point, not for this project, I don't think, but
9 what I cite here is the annual report of the
10 Reclamation service.

11 Q. Do you know for a fact that there is a 1903
12 filing for the Salt River Project?

13 A. If you could pull up the document that I cite
14 there, I'm sure I'd be able to respond to that, but
15 I'm not prepared to answer that question right --
16 without looking at that document.

17 Q. So there's nothing cited for this sentence,
18 in fact?

19 A. The citation comes after the -- in the middle
20 of the next sentence. It's Footnote 21.

21 Q. And Footnote 21 is to an annual report to
22 Congress, right?

23 A. It's the 10th annual report of the
24 Reclamation Service, that's right.

25 Q. It's not to the filing itself, right?

1 A. But my -- without that document in front of
2 me, my sense is my -- knowing my work, I would imagine
3 that that document says that there's a 1903 filing,
4 but, again, I'd like to see that document so I could
5 see what it says and whether or not it references a
6 1903 filing. The footnote does not specifically cite
7 the 1903 filing. It cites the Reclamation Service
8 Annual Report.

9 Q. Okay. And so we can check that document
10 ourselves to see if it references a 1903 filing,
11 right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. We looked and all we could find was a
14 1906 filing by Louis C. Hill, but I won't spend time
15 showing it. So when you were doing this comparison
16 here on Page 8 of your rebuttal report, you're
17 comparing the descriptions of the projects in, you
18 know, various reports to Congress, against each other
19 and not to the actual content of the filings, right?

20 A. I think that this paragraph, the two
21 citations are for the annual reports of the
22 Reclamation service.

23 Q. Okay. So when you've compared the filings
24 for the Rio Grande Project to the filings for the Salt
25 River Project and the Garden City Project, you're not

1 actually comparing the content of the files to each
2 other, right?

3 A. You're right. I'm taking them from the --
4 what the agency itself said the -- the projects were
5 comprised of in their own documents.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. Regarding the projects.

8 Q. Okay. So you didn't look at any territorial
9 laws for Arizona and whether those were surface water
10 laws, right?

11 A. Not recently, no.

12 Q. Okay. So let's -- so you can't tell us one
13 way or another whether the filings themselves actually
14 referenced pumping or groundwater then, right?

15 A. Not right now, no.

16 Q. And since those filings are not cited in your
17 materials, none of us can figure that out either,
18 right?

19 A. I'm sure that any of us could figure it out
20 if we did the research and found it, but I did not do
21 that. I cited the Reclamation reports, which I
22 already stated.

23 Q. Okay. So --

24 A. And which -- on their own projects.

25 Q. I'm sorry. I missed the last thing you said.

1 A. And which they're reporting on their own
2 projects.

3 Q. Okay. So looking back at this paragraph
4 here, when you -- the last sentence of this paragraph,
5 it says, "No reports on the Rio Grande Project water
6 filing included reference to subsurface flows obtained
7 through the drilling of wells, despite knowledge of
8 the existence of such wells." Do you see that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. Is there any reason that you, as a
11 historian, would focus on wells specifically in
12 reading these reports?

13 A. In reading which reports?

14 Q. The Reclamation service reports to Congress.

15 A. Yes. Because of the research questions that
16 I was investigating, which related to groundwater.

17 Q. But did they -- did the research questions
18 you were investigating specify that there had to be a
19 reference to wells?

20 A. I'm not sure I understand your question. I
21 mean, groundwater is obtained through drilling and --
22 and installing of wells, so it necessarily follows
23 that since that was part of my investigation, that I
24 was going to be looking for references and information
25 regarding wells.

1 Q. So wouldn't you agree that there were reports
2 to Congress that referenced seepage as part of the
3 Project water supply?

4 A. Seepage and -- seepage was -- was part of a
5 lot of the -- a lot of the documentation related to
6 this project, so can you be more specific about what
7 -- when you say reports to Congress, what do you mean?
8 Do you mean Reclamation reports?

9 Q. Let's go to -- well, you can be more
10 specific, and you were in your 2019 report, New Mexico
11 Exhibit 2097 at Page 21. And so we look in that top
12 paragraph. I'm sorry. Let me just back up. So -- so
13 in this paragraph, let's just look at the -- the
14 footnotes here so you know what we're talking about,
15 if we can. Do you recall a 1911 report to Congress on
16 the fund for the Reclamation of arid lands?

17 A. Not specifically off the top of my head, no.

18 Q. So you don't recall Dr. Miltenberger
19 testifying about -- this would be Texas Exhibit 669 --
20 so you don't recall any discussion of this report that
21 is cited in the first three footnotes on Page 21 of
22 your report?

23 A. You're going to have to refresh my memory.
24 I'm sorry. There are a lot of documents I looked at,
25 and this one is not top of my mind right now so, no,

1 not right now.

2 Q. Okay. Well, let's look at how you quote it
3 in that top paragraph. So speaking of this document,
4 which I'll represent is the 1911 Report on the Fund
5 for the Reclamation of Arid Lands, "However, the
6 system design also assumed that a portion of the water
7 diverted in the upper reaches of the Project would
8 return to the system for reuse further downstream."
9 Then you go, "Losses in transit... will be partly
10 offset by the return seepage in upper parts of the
11 valley, which will be available for diversion lower
12 down. It, therefore, appears that the available
13 supply accords with the demand." Do you have any
14 reason to believe that you misquoted that statement?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Okay. So here, we see return seepage
17 referenced, and then in the next sentence, you
18 say, "Nowhere did the documentation mention
19 groundwater." So here, can we infer that you don't
20 consider seepage to be groundwater?

21 A. The way that I read seepage and the way that
22 it's been referred to in many documents, including
23 this one, is it's water that was in the ground that
24 has arisen to the surface and is flowing back to the
25 stream and becomes part of the surface supply. When I

1 say it doesn't reference groundwater, I'm referring to
2 pumping groundwater out of the ground that hasn't
3 naturally arisen back to the surface water and flowed
4 back to the stream.

5 Q. Okay. So in this quote here, though, it's
6 saying that the losses in transit will partly -- will
7 be partly offset by the return seepage in upper parts
8 of the valley, which will be available for diversion
9 lower down. So this is contemplating that the return
10 seepage will be available, right?

11 A. The return -- the seepage, the groundwater
12 that returns naturally to the surface and returns to
13 the stream, yes.

14 Q. Okay. All right. Let's go back to your
15 statement of Opinion No. 5 on Page 11 of your 2019
16 report, which we're still in here, and we see the
17 second sentence. "Scientific understanding for
18 connections between groundwater and surface water was
19 to nascent in the first decades of the 20th century
20 for Reclamation to have intended such an overreach."
21 How, as a historian, do you define the term "overreach
22 "?

23 A. I'm not sure I have any special, like, expert
24 opinion on the word overreach as a historian. I just
25 would define it the way that it probably came -- comes

1 in the dictionary, which is just overstepping.

2 **Q. So here, if Reclamation had intended to**
3 **include groundwater, what would Reclamation be**
4 **overstepping?**

5 A. The knowledge and the general understanding
6 of the relationship between surface and groundwater at
7 the time.

8 **Q. So in your view, this is not a legal opinion?**

9 A. No. I think I -- I think I just said this,
10 like, historically, and I -- I think we talked about
11 it quite a bit that -- that that connection between
12 surface and groundwater, to your point about the
13 Slichter report and what Mr. Draper asked
14 Dr. Miltenberger, you know, there was an
15 understanding. There was some sort of -- some sort of
16 understanding about the relationship between the two,
17 and all I'm saying here is that -- that they -- it was
18 -- it was a small understanding compared to what we
19 got to later, but that more importantly, you know,
20 this was a surface water project, and if they had
21 intended to include groundwater, they would have
22 stated so and I don't believe that they did. I
23 believe that there -- I'll leave it at that.

24 **Q. Okay. So when you say "overreach," it means**
25 **stepping beyond the limits of its knowledge?**

1 A. I think that what I -- what I mean in this
2 sentence is that if they had intended to include
3 groundwater, they would have stated so, and to
4 interpret all the entire record that I've already
5 described leading up to this point of the filings as
6 including groundwater would be an overreach to say
7 that that's what Reclamation intended to do because
8 they didn't.

9 **Q. Okay. So it would be interpreting overreach**
10 **then?**

11 A. I think that's probably better, yeah.

12 **Q. Okay. Thank you. Okay. So we will -- let's**
13 **go to one more opinion here in your report, and that**
14 **is Opinion No. 2. So this Opinion No. 2 says, "The**
15 **success of the Rio Grande Project depends upon the**
16 **administration of the water to the lands within the**
17 **project boundary as a single unit, with an equal**
18 **allocation of water to each Project acre." So that**
19 **sentence is in present tense, right?**

20 A. It is written in present tense.

21 **Q. Is that a historical opinion?**

22 A. It is a historical opinion, yes. Intended to
23 be.

24 **Q. Okay. All right. So let's -- how as a**
25 **historian do you define success of a Reclamation**

1 **project?**

2 A. Well, again, I use the documents as they
3 speak for themselves. So many documents, during the
4 course of the history that I looked through, refers
5 specifically to administering this Project as a single
6 unit, and that it was necessary to treat the lands
7 within the entire project equally, and there's -- you
8 know, in the Project histories, in the water
9 announcements, in lots of documents, many of which are
10 cited in my report, there is specific reference to
11 this administration of the Project as a unit and that
12 they have to treat the lands equally in order for the
13 Project to succeed. So that's -- I mean, I'm
14 basically stating -- I'm stating what the records
15 themselves say from the history that I looked at.

16 **Q. So into a single unit is kind of interesting**
17 **because it's redundant, right? It's a single unit?**
18 **Are there any documents that you -- historical**
19 **documents that use the term single unit that you're**
20 **aware of?**

21 A. I'd have to go back and look. I'm not sure.

22 **Q. Okay. Is single unit a term that's familiar**
23 **to you from Reclamation projects?**

24 A. I don't recall.

25 **Q. Okay. And to you, does the term single unit**

1 **have any meaning other than equal allocation of water**
2 **to each project acre?**

3 A. Can you be more specific in I mean, I'm not
4 sure. I guess, I don't know. I don't know.

5 **Q. Okay. So let's just -- let's back up. What**
6 **do you mean by equal allocation per project acre?**

7 A. Well, again, exactly what the documents tell
8 me, right. So as I look through the project
9 histories, the project histories make clear that they
10 -- as they approach, especially during the drought in
11 the '40s and into the '50s, as they approach the
12 beginning of the irrigation season, they are
13 allocating water equally to each acre of land
14 throughout the Project. So I'm just stating here in
15 my own words what those documents say.

16 **Q. But you're not specifically aware of any**
17 **project history water announcements before the drought**
18 **in the '40s and '50s that refer to an equal allocation**
19 **of water to each project acre, correct?**

20 A. I can't come up with a document right off the
21 top of my head, but the entirety of the record up to
22 -- and, again, I mean, I know that you -- you're
23 frustrated by me saying that, but it's really true. I
24 mean, if you look at the evidence and you look at the
25 documents of the project histories, not just the

1 project history that were issued each year starting in
2 1912, but sort of all the documents taken together,
3 it's repeated over and over and over that each of
4 these project acres are treated equally. So, I mean,
5 I can't come up with another document for you right
6 this second. I just gave you some from the '40s and
7 '50s, but there are documents from earlier times, as
8 well, that do the same thing. I mean, this is a --
9 this is a common theme that goes on over and over and
10 over through the course of the history and through the
11 course of Reclamation documents that were collected
12 from the national archives in Denver from the Bureau
13 of Reclamation records. So --

14 **Q. Okay. So if we were to go through, which we**
15 **will not do, but if we were to go through the project**
16 **histories from prior to the '40s drought, we would**
17 **find an equal allocation of water to each project acre**
18 **occurring every year?**

19 A. Are you asking me if you would find that
20 exact language?

21 **Q. I'm saying are you telling us today that we**
22 **would find evidence of an equal allocation of water to**
23 **each project acre prior to the 1940s and '50s drought?**

24 A. I don't know if I can answer that. What I
25 think I can say is that if you look at the people who

1 -- the documents from the people who managed the
2 project and the engineers on the project, their
3 intent, and they repeat this in many, many documents,
4 that was to treat each project acre equally,
5 regardless of which district it was in, regardless of
6 which state it sat in, and that was sort of the basis
7 of the project. And you can see that consistently in
8 the documents prior to 1940. Whether or not it says
9 exactly the language you just said, I can't say on the
10 fly. I don't know. But I can tell you that the
11 evidence was very clear all the way through the
12 Project history and through the Reclamation documents
13 that that was the intent.

14 **Q. I am not frustrated by that answer, but I**
15 **think someone trying to write up the report with**
16 **citations would be frustrated by that answer. So you**
17 **are certain that there are documents prior to the**
18 **Compact that refer to an equal allocation per acre?**

19 A. I've already answered the question. The page
20 that you're on right now is just the summary of my
21 opinions, but there's an entire chapter devoted to
22 this in my report, Chapter 2, and so if you'd like, we
23 can -- we can go through each page of that and go
24 through where we state that, but, I mean, I think I've
25 answered the question to the best of my sitting here

1 without going through every single document again.

2 Q. So your role as an expert historian is to
3 assist the parties and the Court with identifying the
4 relevant documents in the record, correct?

5 A. Which I think I've done in my report and to
6 the best of my ability with -- I don't have instant
7 recall. Unfortunately, I've reviewed thousands and
8 thousands of documents. That is part of my job, and I
9 think I've fulfilled that job through submitting the
10 reports and the testimony I've given.

11 Q. Okay. Let's look at one part of your report
12 at least, Page 17 of your 2019 report. So we're still
13 in New Mexico Exhibit 2097. We'll look at the middle
14 paragraph of that page. Now -- so I'm sorry. I
15 should have pointed out. In your -- the summary of
16 your statement, you say -- actually, can we go back?
17 That second sentence there. "In fact, the compromise
18 of the 1904 Irrigation Congress in El Paso." This is
19 on Page 11 of New Mexico Exhibit 2097. "The
20 compromise of the 1904 Irrigation Congress in El Paso
21 depended upon this conceptual administration and
22 permitted the parties to finally agree to the Elephant
23 Butte Dam over the International Dam." Do you see
24 that?

25 A. I do.

1 Q. And this is discussed in Chapter 2 of your
2 report, right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. So let's go back to Page 17 of your
5 report. Here, you're talking about the National
6 Irrigation Congress of 1904 again, and you -- and the
7 comparison of two dam proposals, D-A-M, proposals, and
8 at the end there, you refer to, "Hall believed
9 Elephant Butte was a better site, and the compromise
10 that came out of the 1904 meeting paved the way for
11 its construction." So can you tell us briefly what
12 compromise you're referring to there?

13 A. Yes. There was proposal to build the dam
14 closer to El Paso, which would have flooded a great
15 deal of land in New Mexico and that was because there
16 was already a private filing for a dam at Elephant
17 Butte, which we've referred to in earlier parts of the
18 testimony. And so there were some people in -- at the
19 National Irrigation Congress and some people involved
20 in the entire negotiations, I guess I'll call it, of
21 the Rio Grande Project that believed that the dam
22 further down into El Paso was the better choice. They
23 were very concerned about what they called
24 international comity. They believed that the United
25 States owed Mexico for depleting some of its water.

1 So essentially what the agreement was to pursue the
2 Elephant Butte Dam further upstream was a compromise
3 amongst these various parties that they all agreed
4 jointly would serve the purposes of both the Mexico
5 depletions and making them whole with the 60,000
6 acre-feet, as well as preserving lands, irrigated
7 lands or irrigable lands in New Mexico, as well as the
8 lands in Texas. So that's the compromise.

9 Q. And in this discussion of the compromise in
10 your report, can you tell me where you show that this
11 compromise depended upon the conceptual administration
12 of equal allocation of water per acre?

13 A. Do you want me to find where in the report I
14 talk about that or you want me --

15 Q. Well, I don't -- I don't want to waste
16 everyone's time waiting for that, but off the top of
17 your head, you don't know where you talk about a
18 conceptual administration being -- that the compromise
19 of the 1904 Irrigation Congress depended upon this
20 conceptual administration?

21 A. Well, I can't point for the specific page
22 right off the top of my head. I 'd have to look
23 through the footnotes. There's a lot of footnotes
24 spanning the next couple of pages that relate to this,
25 and I -- again, I can't recall every document, but I

1 can tell you that, yes, conceptually, because that
2 original proposal to build the dam further downstream
3 would have flooded a great deal of land in New Mexico,
4 part of the idea behind the Elephant Butte site
5 instead was that it would serve lands in New Mexico,
6 the water behind that dam would serve lands in New
7 Mexico, as well as lands in Texas. So it was a
8 compromise that -- that looked at both of those sets
9 of irrigated lands between -- across the two lines --
10 across the state line. It wasn't a state line at the
11 time because New Mexico was still a territory, but
12 across that -- that boundary equally and -- and would
13 serve both sets of farmers equally.

14 **Q. Dr. Stevens, do you know who Estevan Lopez**
15 **is?**

16 A. I do.

17 **Q. Okay. Are you aware he was the director of**
18 **the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission at the**
19 **time you were retained?**

20 A. I know he was and did hold that position, but
21 I wasn't sure when.

22 **Q. Are you aware that he submitted expert**
23 **reports in connection with this litigation?**

24 A. I have heard that, yes.

25 **Q. Okay. Did you review Mr. Lopez's first**

1 report prior to submitting your October, 2019, report?

2 A. I don't believe I did, no.

3 Q. Okay. So we were talking about equal
4 allocation per acre, and you were referring to the
5 project history water announcements that referred to
6 allotments. Is that -- is that right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. So do you remember in -- in our discussion on
9 October 20th, when you were talking about conjunctive
10 management, that you spoke about the -- the transfer
11 of unused allotments within the project. Do you
12 remember that?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. So an allotment is, in your mind, the
15 same -- is the equal allocation per acre, right?

16 A. I'm aware that there's maybe some legal
17 difference, but, yes, I sort of see them as -- I mean,
18 when I'm saying that, I'm referring to the fact that
19 they're looking at each -- each acre equally, yes, as
20 it relates.

21 Q. Okay. So let's just go back to New Mexico
22 Exhibit 176. That's the EBID minutes from the 1950s.
23 We'll go to Page 133, which are minutes from
24 May 7th, 1952. We can -- all right. May 7th, 1952,
25 and then we'll -- we'll go down on to the page in the

1 margin note that says, "Permanent allotment and other
2 features" -- oh, I'm sorry. These are not May 7th,
3 1952. These are the December, 1952. We can work with
4 this. This is fine. So -- sorry. These are -- these
5 are minutes from later -- sorry. These are May 7th,
6 1952. Sorry. Anyway, we see next to this
7 entry, "Permanent allotment and other features of a
8 Project water conservation program." Do you see that?

9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. Okay. And in the first sentence there, it
11 says, "The matter of establishing a permanent
12 allotment system on the Project together with other
13 means of conserving the Project water supply came
14 before the Board for consideration." Do you see that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And then farther down it says, "It was moved
17 by Stringer, seconded by Smith and unanimously
18 carried, that a letter be addressed to the El Paso
19 District requesting a statement from that District as
20 to its attitude regarding the following matters,
21 numeral 1, the establishment of a permanent allotment
22 system on the Rio Grande Project, if feasible from a
23 legal standpoint." Do you see that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So here in 1952, they're talking about

1 establishing a permanent allotment system, right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. That would suggest there was not a
4 preexisting allotment -- permanent allotment system,
5 right?

6 A. Not in the same sense, no. But, I mean, if
7 you just look at, for instance, the 1938 downstream --
8 downstream contracts, which allot a particular amount
9 of water equally to the 155,000 acres, it's not called
10 a permanent allotment system, but it's the same idea.

11 Q. Except as we all know, it says in times of
12 shortage, right?

13 A. That the 1938 downstream contract does?

14 Q. Yes.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. So just a few concluding questions
17 here. Dr. Stevens, at your deposition, you testified
18 that the Compact protects the Rio Grande Project water
19 supply. Do you remember that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you still agree with that statement?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. In your direct testimony with Ms. Atton, you
24 testified that the Compact is, quote, a
25 forward-looking document, not a document intended to

1 freeze anything in time except for the acreage number.

2 Do you remember that testimony?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Is that still your opinion?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. So it's your opinion that there was no
7 particular set of project operations that the
8 Compacting states intended to impose on a permanent
9 basis, right?

10 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat it?

11 Q. In your opinion, there was no particular set
12 of Project operations that the Compacting states
13 intended to codify?

14 A. The normal release of 790,000 acre-feet,
15 which I think I -- I stated, and acreage.

16 Q. And the acreage number, right?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Okay. Okay. You might remember a few hours
19 ago, Mr. Schmidt-Petersen was asked some questions
20 about the Rio Grande Compact Commission rules and
21 regulations. Do you remember that?

22 A. I don't. I might not have been there for
23 that.

24 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the 1939 Rio
25 Grande Compact Commission rules and regulations

1 document?

2 A. I think I am, yes.

3 Q. And do you recall the preamble that
4 says, "The Compact permits each state to develop its
5 water resources at will"? Do you remember that line?

6 A. I do, yes.

7 Q. Okay. In your review of the historical
8 record, have you found any document after 1939 that
9 cites that language from the Commission rules and
10 regulations in relation to water development below
11 Elephant Butte?

12 A. Not that I can recall off the top of my head,
13 no.

14 Q. Okay.

15 MS. COLEMAN: That's all I have. Thank
16 you.

17 JUDGE MELLOY: Let me think. Is -- I
18 guess, Mr. Wallace, do you have anything?

19 MR. WALLACE: No questions. Thank you,
20 Your Honor.

21 JUDGE MELLOY: Ms. Atton, do you have
22 any redirect?

23 MS. ATTON: I have one question on
24 redirect if I may.

25 JUDGE MELLOY: You may.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1
2 BY MS. ATTON:

3 Q. So, Dr. Stevens, in your cross-examination
4 before the week break, counsel for the U.S. asked you,
5 setting aside the 1958 Bureau Reconnaissance Report,
6 was there any other statement by Reclamation
7 characterizing groundwater pumping as anything other
8 than an emergency measure. You answered that you saw
9 no reference in the historical record to the temporal
10 nature of any pumping. Is there anything else you'd
11 like to say in response to Counsel's question?

12 A. Yes. There were a couple additional things
13 indicating that it was not, in fact, intended to be
14 temporary. In the 1965 -- or at least there was a
15 recognition that it was a permanent or expected to be
16 a permanent part of the project. In 1965, for
17 instance, in one of the project histories -- or in the
18 project history for 1965, there's actually a letter
19 from Floyd Dominy, who was the head of Reclamation at
20 the time, reporting to the secretary of interior about
21 pumping as part of the project, and then, of course,
22 EP1's manager, Moseley, testified in 1971 to Congress,
23 as well, that pumping had become a permanent part of
24 the -- of the Project. So those are the only two
25 things I would probably add.

1 **MS. ATTON:** Thank you. I have no
2 further questions.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Hoffman, anything
4 further?

5 **MR. HOFFMAN:** No, Your Honor. Thank you
6 very much.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Ms. Coleman, anything?

8 **MS. COLEMAN:** One question.

9 RE CROSS EXAMINATION

10 BY MS. COLEMAN:

11 **Q. Is that Floyd Dominy letter cited in any of**
12 **your expert reports?**

13 A. I don't believe so, but I haven't, of course,
14 reviewed all the Project histories so I don't know
15 that I cited it, no.

16 **Q. Was that letter specific to EBID or EP1?**

17 A. I don't believe it was, no. It was
18 throughout the Project.

19 **MS. COLEMAN:** Thank you.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Thank you,
21 Dr. Stevens. You're excused.

22 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.

23 **JUDGE MELLOY:** We have a half an hour.
24 I'd like to get started on the next witness if we can
25 do it, try to keep moving forward. Who's next up for

1 New Mexico?

2 **MS. ATTON:** I believe it's Mr. Salopek.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Is he ready to go?

4 **MS. ATTON:** I believe he is, but if we
5 could have five minutes just to change seats and
6 change positions, I would appreciate that.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may. Go ahead.
8 We'll take five minutes. Thank you.

9 (Recess.)

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Mr. Wechsler,
11 is your witness ready to go?

12 **MR. WECHSLER:** I think he's just about
13 ready, your Honor, as soon as his camera comes on, but
14 I know he was in the witness room.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. While we're
16 waiting for the witness, let me just indicate for the
17 record, it looks like Ms. Klahn's going to be on for
18 Texas, Mr. Leininger for United States, Mr. Wechsler
19 for New Mexico, and then Mr. Wallace, again, just so
20 the record is clear.

21 All right. You may call your witness.

22 **MR. WECHSLER:** Thank you, Your Honor.
23 New Mexico calls David Salopek.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Salopek, would you
25 raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm

1 that the testimony you're about to give will be the
2 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

3 THE WITNESS: I do.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Salopek, I need to
5 advise you of a couple things that we're going over
6 with each of the witnesses. First, let me ask you:
7 Is there anyone in the room with you at this time?

8 THE WITNESS: No, sir.

9 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Do you have any documents
10 that you will be referring to during your testimony,
11 other than the exhibit book?

12 THE WITNESS: No, sir.

13 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. And then I do
14 need to advise you that you're not allowed to have any
15 communication devices, such as iPhones, iPads,
16 laptops, et cetera, that can communicate during your
17 testimony. Do you understand?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. None available.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Thank you.

20 Before we start, let me just go over the
21 exhibit list here. We have Joint Exhibit 421, which
22 is an A exhibit and will be admitted. We have New
23 Mexico 1963, which has been admitted as a Texas
24 Exhibit 139, and as I understood it, there's no
25 objection to substitution of Texas 139, so as I

1 understand, we won't admit it as New Mexico 1963, but
2 we'll refer to it as Texas 139, then we have New
3 Mexico Demonstrative Exhibits 22, 23, and -- excuse me
4 -- New Mexico 32, 33, and 34, which are admitted, and
5 New Mexico -- or Texas, excuse me, 139, which is an A
6 exhibit and will be admitted. Let me see if there's
7 anything else. Then we have on cross-examination New
8 Mexico 470, New Mexico 600, New Mexico 602, and New
9 Mexico 689, all of which are A exhibits and will be
10 admitted.

11 All right. Mr. Wechsler, you may
12 proceed.

13 **MR. WECHSLER:** Thank you, Your Honor.
14 One question about exhibits. Joint Exhibit 474 I have
15 on my table listed as a Category A document.

16 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'm sorry. You're right.
17 It is. 474 is admitted, Joint 474.

18 **MR. WECHSLER:** May I proceed?

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may.

20 DAVID SALOPEK,
21 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. WECHSLER:

24 **Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Salopek.**

25 **A. Good afternoon.**

1 **Q. Where do you live?**

2 A. Las Cruces, New Mexico.

3 **Q. How long have you lived in Las Cruces?**

4 A. My entire life.

5 **Q. What's your education?**

6 A. I have a bachelor's degree in ag economics
7 and a minor in farming.

8 **Q. What is your profession?**

9 A. I'm a pecan farmer.

10 **Q. How long have you been farming?**

11 A. Little over 50 years, 43 years as a manager.

12 **Q. Before we talk about your farming operations,
13 have you been on any trade or industry boards?**

14 A. Yes, I have.

15 **Q. Please describe those.**

16 A. I have been on the Western Pecan Growers
17 Board from 1990 through 2011. I was president from
18 '95 to '97. I was a founding member of New Mexico
19 Pecan Growers Association. It started in 2001. I was
20 president when it formed from 2001 to 2011. I was on
21 -- and I'm still a member of that board. I was on the
22 -- from '98 until now, I was on the National Pecan
23 Shellers Marketing Board, and I was on their -- I'm
24 still on their board of directors. I was on their
25 board of directors from 2002 to present. We just

1 recently formed -- the USDA formed a new board. It is
2 American Pecan Promotion Board, and that has 17
3 members appointed by the USDA. There are ten growers
4 and seven importers from United States and Mexico, and
5 I am -- was just elected -- starting in September, I
6 was just elected chairman of that board.

7 **Q. How about the National Pecan Growers Council?**

8 A. Yes. I was actually -- I forgot about that
9 one. I'm not on that one anymore, but I was on that
10 one for about ten years. I was chairman of that from
11 about 2002 to 2003, and I was on that board from '95
12 to 2005.

13 **Q. Those were trade industry boards. Have you**
14 **been on any professional boards?**

15 A. I was. I was on the Farm Credit Board of New
16 Mexico from 2009 to 2014. That is for farmers and
17 ranchers throughout the state of New Mexico, and I was
18 also on the environmental improvement board for the
19 state of New Mexico from 1997 to 2002, I believe.

20 **Q. Has your involvement in nationwide and**
21 **regional pecan trade associations allowed you to**
22 **understand the pecan industry nationwide?**

23 A. I have a pretty good understanding of it.

24 **Q. Does that understanding include the pecan**
25 **production in different parts of the country?**

1 A. Yes, it does.

2 **Q. Do you have an understanding of the issues**
3 **being faced by pecan farmers in New Mexico and**
4 **throughout the country?**

5 A. I have a pretty good idea.

6 **Q. To give us some perspective, how does the LRG**
7 **and New Mexico compare to other areas in the country**
8 **in terms of pecan production?**

9 A. The LRG, there's some in Sierra County. Most
10 of it is in Dona Ana County. Dona Ana County is the
11 largest pecan producing county in the United States,
12 last time I checked by a margin of two over any other
13 county in the U.S.

14 **Q. Let's talk about your family farming history**
15 **and your farming operations. Has farming in the LRG**
16 **been a family business?**

17 A. Yes, it has. My -- it started with my
18 grandfather. He emigrated to the United States in
19 1924. He ended up in El Paso in the late '20s. He
20 had an uncle that had a goat dairy in El Paso, and he
21 was helping him with that. His uncle helped him get,
22 I believe, 40 acres in the El Paso area. He had the
23 unfortunate luck, my grandfather did, of being flooded
24 out two years in a row in the early '30s, so he sold
25 that 40 acres and bought 87 acres up in the Mesilla

1 Valley in 1935 and that's where the Salopeks got their
2 start in that valley and have stayed ever since.

3 **Q. Did your grandfather pass the family farm**
4 **onto his kids, your parents?**

5 A. Yes, he did. He had good success in the area
6 there. He had five sons so he had a good work force,
7 and he was able to expand and then by the early '50s,
8 he started each of his own -- each of his sons with
9 their own farm. In the early '50s, '50, '51,
10 somewhere in there, I believe, and my dad was one of
11 those sons that allowed us to continue to farm.
12 There's four farms that are still currently being
13 farmed -- being farmed from the original five
14 brothers.

15 **Q. When did your father pass away?**

16 A. December of 1979.

17 **Q. Is that when you took over operating the**
18 **family farm?**

19 A. Yes. My mother and I did. I was 17, and she
20 was 47.

21 **Q. When did your mother pass away?**

22 A. She passed away in 2015. Her and I were in
23 farming together for 35 years.

24 **Q. Are any of your children involved in the**
25 **family farming operation?**

1 A. Yes. My son is farming with us. My wife
2 works with us, and our son is hoping to keep the
3 legacy going forward.

4 **Q. Do you run your family business under a**
5 **corporate name?**

6 A. Yes, we do. It's David Salopek Farms, Ltd.

7 **Q. What's your --**

8 A. I'm sorry. It's in honor of my father.

9 **Q. What's your official company role?**

10 A. I am the managing member.

11 **Q. Let's turn to New Mexico Demonstrative**
12 **Exhibit 33. What does New Mexico Demonstrative**
13 **Exhibit 33 show?**

14 A. That's the original 87 acres my grandfather
15 started with in 1935.

16 **Q. Where is this original 87 acres located?**

17 A. Approximately 5 miles south of Mesilla, New
18 Mexico.

19 **Q. When your father died, how many acres were**
20 **actually in the farm?**

21 A. We had 550.

22 **Q. Let's turn to New Mexico Demonstrative**
23 **Exhibit 32. What does New Mexico Demonstrative**
24 **Exhibit 32 show, Mr. Salopek?**

25 A. That is what we refer to as our main farm,

1 and that is the outlying boundaries of that farm.

2 **Q. And how many acres is this?**

3 A. Approximately 600.

4 **Q. Do you have any other farms located in the**
5 **lower Rio Grande?**

6 A. I do.

7 **Q. How many acres in total do you farm?**

8 A. Approximately 2000.

9 **Q. Where are the other farms located?**

10 A. My furthest south farm is close in Berino,
11 which is about 5 miles north of the Texas state line,
12 and my furthest north farm is just a little below the
13 Caballo Reservoir, so it's up and down the whole
14 valley.

15 **Q. What do you grow on your farms?**

16 A. Pecans on most of it. Some of the farm is
17 open ground, and the open ground grows chile, onions,
18 alfalfa, silage, and cotton.

19 **Q. Today, I want to focus on your farming**
20 **operations near Mesilla on the main farm. We'll have**
21 **other witnesses that will address farming operations**
22 **in the northern part of the basin. So what do you**
23 **grow on the main farm?**

24 A. Pecans.

25 **Q. Turning to the subject of the operations and**

1 -- and starting with investments and expenses for
2 pecan farming, let's take a look at New Mexico
3 Demonstrative Exhibit 34. And this is the cover page.
4 We'll turn to Page 2. This has already been admitted.
5 Mr. Salopek, focusing on the upper part of New Mexico
6 Demonstrative Exhibit 34, Page 2, under the
7 heading, "Pecan Planting," how long does it take for a
8 pecan tree to mature so that you have a marketable
9 crop?

10 A. Roughly ten years, if you have good luck with
11 weather.

12 Q. To help us understand the investments of
13 pecan farming -- farmer makes, can you explain the
14 growing process for pecan trees from seedling to
15 maturity?

16 A. Yes. Assuming you have a farm that you're
17 going to convert to pecans or you buy one to convert
18 to pecans, you will amend the soil through -- with an
19 excavator to make the ground a little bit more mellow
20 so it will be easier for the roots to grow, and that's
21 a very expensive proposition. To buy trees, last time
22 I bought some small trees, was -- they were about \$24
23 a tree. You plant somewhere in the neighborhood of 48
24 to 50 trees per acre, so your initial investment cost
25 on year one is going to be in the neighborhood of

1 2,500 to \$3,000, and then the next nine years or ten
2 years after that, you're going to be putting in 15 to
3 \$1,700 an acre with keeping the fields clean, training
4 the trees, irrigation, fertilizing, et cetera, et
5 cetera. It's expensive. And by the end of -- this
6 was last year's dollars. With all the inflation, that
7 number is going to be higher going forward, but it is
8 not uncommon to have \$19,000 invested by the time you
9 get in production on year ten. That does not include
10 the cost of the land or the equipment or any
11 infrastructure cost.

12 **Q. Fair to say that farming pecans has a big**
13 **up-front investment without any crop?**

14 A. Yes. It has a large barrier to entry.

15 **Q. Looking now at the bottom part of New Mexico**
16 **Demonstrative Exhibit 34, Page 2, under the**
17 **heading, "Mature Pecan Orchard Operations," what is**
18 **this list of items showing?**

19 A. These would be some expense categories that
20 you would have on the farm. Labor is one of the
21 big-ticket items. Fertilization and soil amendments
22 is another big one. Management of pests and weeds and
23 brush, you know, is part of the maintenance throughout
24 the year to protect your trees and crops. You have to
25 replace equipment, repair equipment, maintain the

1 equipment that you have. Land management is going to
2 be lasering, taking care of the -- the needs of the
3 soil and then irrigation management and irrigating and
4 then you get into the harvest season and nut cleaning
5 and then you'll be marketing the product after harvest
6 is over.

7 **Q. In your experience, how long do pecans live?**
8 **How long do pecan trees live and still produce in the**
9 **lower Rio Grande?**

10 A. We don't know the answer to that question yet
11 because the trees -- some of the oldest trees in the
12 valley were planted in the 1915, and they're still
13 producing. Some of the oldest trees that I farm are
14 85-plus years in age, and they're still producing
15 quite well.

16 **Q. What's the range in age for your trees?**

17 A. I have trees that go from 85 years of age to
18 I have some that just had their third summer.

19 **Q. For all of your trees, do you still expect**
20 **production from those trees in the coming years?**

21 A. Yes, I do.

22 **Q. We'll talk a little bit about your water,**
23 **your irrigation, in a moment, but let me ask you:**
24 **Would your family have been able to plant and maintain**
25 **your pecan orchards without the ability to pump**

1 groundwater?

2 A. No, they wouldn't.

3 Q. Let's talk about just a couple of the items
4 here on New Mexico Demonstrative Exhibit 34, Page 2,
5 starting with farm labor. How many people do you
6 employ?

7 A. 20 year round.

8 Q. Are you personally engaged in the farm work?

9 A. Yes, I am.

10 Q. If you employ 20 people year round, do you
11 also have seasonal employees?

12 A. Yes. We'll bring on an additional 30
13 employees for the harvest season, so it'll give us a
14 total of about 50.

15 Q. What's involved in a typical workday on your
16 farm during the irrigation season?

17 A. Well, we'll start irrigating typically in
18 March, first part of April, depending on the winter
19 moisture, and then we'll irrigate again 30 days later,
20 but in between, by the time we get to the first
21 irrigation in March, we have done all our pruning, we
22 have cleaned up the pruning brush, we have fertilized
23 and worked our soil, done any lasering that needs
24 touchup on the farm to keep our fields efficient for
25 irrigations. We'll start spraying zinc after bud

1 break at the end of March and that will go through the
2 middle of May. We'll have a second irrigation
3 typically that we'll start about the end of April,
4 first part of May, and we may have some more
5 fertilization to do in May and June and July in
6 between irrigations. Once it starts to warm up in May
7 a little bit, we may be on the next irrigation, two to
8 three weeks. We'll have a -- typically a pest called
9 pecan nut case bearer that will show up right around
10 Memorial Day, and we'll have to treat for that, then
11 we'll have aphids will start to show up in June so
12 we'll have to spray for those. They tend to come back
13 in July so we'll spray them, maybe August/September
14 for aphids again. Those are the main pests that we
15 deal with. And in between irrigation, which by the
16 time we get into June, we will be irrigating every two
17 weeks typically depending on soil types and then the
18 nuts will start to size more so in July and start to
19 mature, though the irrigation cycle will drop from a
20 two-week cycle maybe to a 12, 10, 9-day cycle, and
21 it's critical to keep the trees with the water that
22 they need in order to grow a good crop, and we will
23 irrigate on a fairly tight cycle 12 to 9 days, 10
24 days, all the way through September, then you'll have
25 -- the trees will start slowing down under use as the

1 crop is maturing, and we will typically have our final
2 irrigations, depending on soil types, again, somewhere
3 around the 10th of October to the end of October. We
4 just had our last irrigation for the season on the
5 sandy grounds last week.

6 **Q. When does pecan harvest occur?**

7 A. It starts right around Thanksgiving or our
8 first hard frost, which is typically around
9 Thanksgiving. It goes for five or six weeks. It'll
10 go through Christmas, New Year's, and finish around
11 the middle of January. When I got married, I forgot
12 to mention to my wife that she married into the
13 anti-holiday business. She has since learned then.

14 **Q. What's involved in a typical workday for you**
15 **during harvest?**

16 A. We -- we will start early in the morning. We
17 organize the crews. We will get the pecan shakers
18 going. We sweep them into wind rows. Mature pecan
19 trees, you're constantly fighting branches that die
20 throughout the season. They'll come off when you
21 shake so you have to collect those and put them out of
22 the way. We have little machines that are called
23 sweepers that will make wind rows out of the nuts and
24 the leaves then you go over the top of them with a
25 pecan harvester. It separates out the leaves, and you

1 typically end up with just pecans and small, light
2 debris, and from there as they go through the
3 harvester, they're put into a trailer. We'll take the
4 trailer to our sorting plant. From there, we separate
5 all the good pecans out with any debris or any reject
6 pecans, and then from there, we'll put them into a
7 storage barn. I happen to have a large freezer on our
8 farm, so we will put the pecans in the freezer at zero
9 degrees, and we will decide when we're going to market
10 those pecans at a later date.

11 **Q. One of the bullet points here is identified**
12 **as harvest and nut cleaning. How many pecans do you**
13 **harvest on average?**

14 A. Three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million
15 pounds a year.

16 **Q. Can you explain the difference between a**
17 **harvest for an on year and an off year?**

18 A. Yes. Pecan trees, by nature, will alternate
19 bear, and they will have a large crop one year and a
20 not-so-large crop the next year, and that's part of
21 the reason why we do our pruning to try and help abate
22 that a little bit, but you can never quite get rid of
23 it. Just to give you a little 101 on pecan trees is
24 as they evolved over time, it's a wild tree native to
25 the United States and Mexico, and it evolved to mature

1 the crop with the monsoonal rains. So as the
2 monsoonal rains would start in July and August and
3 September, that's when the nuts would size and
4 typically finish off by October, and if you compare
5 pecans to a peach tree, for example, a peach tree's
6 fruit is done by the end of June, so that tree will
7 have July, August, September, October to store
8 carbohydrates for next year's crop. A pecan tree will
9 only have the middle of October until your first
10 frost, which is four to five weeks to store
11 carbohydrates for the next year's crop, and that's
12 part of the reason why we get these giant fluctuations
13 of production, and it's due to carbohydrate storage.
14 So on the off crop, that tree is storing carbohydrates
15 up for the next oncoming crop.

16 **Q. What's the current going rate for pecans?**

17 A. Right now it's around \$2.10 a pound.

18 **Q. Before we leave this slide, one of the bullet**
19 **points there is fertilization and soil amendments. We**
20 **can also see equipment and maintenance. Do you**
21 **purchase goods and services in the state of New**
22 **Mexico?**

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 **Q. Do you also purchase goods and services in**
25 **the state of Texas?**

1 A. Yes, we do in El Paso area.

2 **Q. To give us an idea of the scale, can you give**
3 **us an example of how much you would spend on**
4 **fertilizer and soil amendments in a typical year?**

5 A. Yes. We -- I put several different types of
6 fertilizers out. The -- I just actually purchased my
7 fertilizer for next year because of concerns about
8 supply chain issues and stuff, and our fertilizer cost
9 for next year are going to be close to \$900,000 the --
10 and we will put out sulfur amendments to help with
11 salts and your basic elements, your nitrogen,
12 phosphorous, and potassium, and we -- we applied
13 several different types of sulfur to help amend the
14 soils.

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** Your Honor, I'm about to
16 talk about irrigation management. I see it's 5:00
17 Central. For New Mexico, I'm happy to continue.
18 What's your preference?

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** If you're going to change
20 subjects, maybe this would be a good time. I was
21 going to go another few minutes, but if this is a good
22 stopping point, let's -- we will stop until tomorrow
23 morning, and we'll re-adjourn or come back together at
24 11:00 Central and 10:00 Mountain time. All right?
25 See everybody in the morning. Thank you, everyone.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.
(The proceedings adjourned at 5:02 p.m.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I, HEATHER L. GARZA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the caption hereto are true; that the foregoing pages comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of the proceedings had at the time of the hearing.

I further certify that I am not, in any capacity, a regular employee of any of the parties in whose behalf this status hearing is taken, nor in the regular employ of any of the attorneys; and I certify that I am not interested in the cause, nor of kin or counsel to any of the parties.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, on this, the 8th day of December, 2021.



Heather L. Garza

HEATHER L. GARZA, CSR, RPR, CRR

Certification No.: 8262

Expiration Date: 04-30-22

Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc.
Firm Registration No. 223
3000 Wesleyan, Suite 235
Houston, TX 77027
800-745-1101

A				
a.m 1:12	accrued 58:13	actors 155:5	administrativ... 126:6,20	affect 140:5
abate 211:21	acknowledge 41:10	acts 65:20	admissibility 112:22	affirm 196:25
ability 57:5 58:1 67:8 83:14 119:4,9 151:22 185:6 207:25	acoustic 92:24	actual 43:14 65:22 109:23 110:8 131:7 133:25 173:19	admission 108:16 154:24	afternoon 127:24 128:23 198:24,25
able 10:16 58:20 69:24 73:6 79:1 101:25 172:14 202:7 207:24	acquire 78:1	add 64:19 65:12 194:25	admit 45:11 198:1	ag 199:6
above-entitled 1:11	acquiring 104:9 104:18	addition 150:19	admitted 18:13 39:7,24 41:11 41:14 46:16,21 56:12 65:21 66:1,9 100:13 101:16 102:18 111:20 112:12 135:5 146:20 197:22,23 198:4,6,10,17 205:4	age 207:14,16,17
absence 133:23	acre 22:9 62:20 63:2 120:20 123:24 125:25 126:1 180:18 182:2,6,13,19 183:17,23 184:4,18 187:12 189:4 189:15,19 205:24 206:3	additional 16:19 78:1 96:20 102:14 109:18 113:21 122:23 147:4 148:3 157:4 194:12 208:12	address 112:10 143:25 145:1 204:21	agencies 17:10 17:16 18:5,9 19:12 51:14 98:1,2 102:3,7 102:12,23 105:20
absolutely 31:25 36:19 115:6	acre-feet 22:8 62:20 63:2 68:16 123:16 123:24 187:6 192:14	addressed 110:24 190:18	admonitions 6:5 128:10	agency 48:17 49:15 50:1,3 95:7,10,15 105:11 169:12 174:4
accept 65:25 66:1,1	acre-foot 125:25 126:1	adjacent 137:1	Adobe 134:17	agenda 35:6 36:17,20 40:8 42:16,24 43:13 43:14,14 44:7 44:8
acceptance 96:4	acreage 192:1 192:15,16	adjoining 151:12	adopt 101:3	ago 78:24 158:6 168:10 171:17 192:19
access 117:12 128:10 134:23	acres 183:4 191:9 201:22 201:25,25 203:14,16,19 204:2,7	adjourned 214:2	adoption 54:10 54:17 55:5	agree 22:11 23:21 26:22 28:11,18 29:4 29:24 30:25 32:21 36:10 45:13 53:7 54:18 61:10 63:24 66:21 83:1 84:12 87:12 114:20 138:13 142:1 149:14 155:14 156:3 157:16 157:18 168:6 168:11 176:1 185:22 191:21
accomplished 70:24 83:5	act 26:21 55:15 56:7 70:25 71:19,25 72:4 72:7,11,22 163:19,20 164:13,17,19 164:20,23	adjudicated 123:23	advise 105:21 128:8 197:5,14	agreed 51:13 96:14,15 98:8 102:7 103:7 187:3
accord 87:19	adjudication 10:14 22:7 62:19 123:15 123:21,23 159:23	adjudicated 123:23	advisor 12:22 13:24 14:1 15:11,15,18 16:13,14,15 17:1,22 40:20 40:21 57:3,25 58:25 92:19 103:17 104:22 109:7	agreeing 99:4,7
accords 177:13	action 5:2 103:9 109:18	adjudication 10:14 22:7 62:19 123:15 123:21,23 159:23	advise 105:21 128:8 197:5,14	
account 14:8 155:4	actions 102:13 125:18	adjudication 10:14 22:7 62:19 123:15 123:21,23 159:23	advisor 12:22 13:24 14:1 15:11,15,18 16:13,14,15 17:1,22 40:20 40:21 57:3,25 58:25 92:19 103:17 104:22 109:7	
accounted 20:14	active 103:13	adjudication 10:14 22:7 62:19 123:15 123:21,23 159:23	advisor's 98:18	
accounting 13:19,22 14:2 14:3,6 16:22 17:2 18:25 19:13,24 20:3 20:6,12 48:14 48:18 49:7 50:19 51:1,3 58:13 59:11,14 88:25 90:22,23 93:23 94:1,5,8 94:15 95:23 96:17,25 97:4 97:14 98:19,23 99:2,14,22,22 105:6,12	activities 25:22 26:4 115:1 116:15 118:7	adjudication 10:14 22:7 62:19 123:15 123:21,23 159:23	advisors 14:4 16:17,21 17:11 17:17 18:3,4 18:23 19:4,10 19:24 51:2 52:3 54:8,14 59:10 121:8 135:19	
accretions 122:7	actor 130:21	administrative 20:23 125:17	afar 93:6 Affairs 17:21	

agreement 48:12 49:24 54:10,17 54:20 55:2,6 65:17 71:10,13 72:23 73:5 92:13 95:10,25 96:4 97:11 98:3,11 103:10 106:6,10,13,15 106:22 107:8 107:12 108:4 154:12 187:1	89:8 91:2 121:1,2,10 allot 191:8 allotment 25:17 189:14 190:1,7 190:12,21 191:1,4,4,10 allotments 52:4 53:5 54:9,16 189:6,11 allow 68:25 allowed 9:10 76:9 197:14 200:21 202:11 altered 132:14 alternate 211:18 amend 205:18 213:13 amendments 206:21 212:19 213:4,10 American 161:16 200:2 amount 90:16 98:17 114:17 120:19 153:8 191:8 amounts 35:1 ample 11:4 Ana 201:10,10 analysis 109:24 110:21 113:8 136:1 and- 2:7,16 3:5 ANDREWS 2:13 announcements 131:5 181:9 182:17 189:5 annual 14:19 18:3 19:15 51:1 52:4 53:5 54:9,16 84:25 90:20,22,23 94:10,14 97:3 98:18,22 114:22 115:4	172:9,21,23 173:8,21 answer 9:5,18 13:14 17:9,14 21:24 30:25 56:10 58:9,10 71:6,8 76:8,9 76:11,16,21 83:22 86:7 90:6 93:10 99:9 103:23 112:7,9,19 118:2 126:16 138:8 139:1 140:16 141:11 149:15 150:6 151:5 164:7 172:15 183:24 184:14,16 207:10 answered 10:25 68:1 78:23 184:19,25 194:8 anti-holiday 210:13 anybody 123:6 124:7 125:19 125:20 140:21 anymore 200:9 anyway 61:5 170:5 190:6 aphids 209:11 209:14 apologies 24:16 apologize 29:22 86:11 129:23 apparently 35:22 163:1 appear 163:13 appearance 127:14 appearances 5:5 appearing 5:4 127:18 appears 37:7 41:7 42:21,23	43:5 53:3 61:9 111:8 177:12 apples 75:18,18 application 125:22 154:21 applications 124:5 applied 213:12 applies 117:7 119:10 124:3 128:11 apply 6:6 29:7 30:1,12 69:12 80:4 87:3 102:3 117:2,5 appointed 200:3 appointment 132:12 apportioned 55:22 apportionment 28:1,23 29:8 30:2,6,13,22 121:5 apportionments 107:4 apportions 56:23 78:19,20 appreciate 34:6 196:6 appreciation 167:16 168:7 168:14 apprise 106:4 apprised 106:7 approach 182:10,11 appropriate 11:14 84:19 112:12 appropriated 66:20,23,25 67:7 138:15 139:3,7,9 appropriation 86:23 137:17 137:20,24	138:2,9 141:4 approval 94:14 approved 115:8 approximately 68:17 69:23 203:17 204:3,8 April 14:22 107:25 154:12 154:21 162:10 208:18 209:3 aquifer 77:25 111:12,15 113:22 archives 183:12 area 37:21 64:24 68:6 79:4,12 79:22,23,24 84:2 103:14 201:22 202:5 213:1 areas 10:6 11:17 120:6 201:7 argue 91:11 150:10 arid 176:16 177:5 arisen 177:24 178:3 arising 32:1 Arizona 162:10 168:21 171:24 174:9 Arizona's 170:10 Army 14:14 17:20 103:5 arriving 109:1 120:20 arroyo 137:16 article 23:17 57:8 58:5,12 58:13,19,20 79:2,2,6 87:16 87:18,24 88:18 98:25 100:16 100:17 101:5 119:6
--	---	---	--	--

articles 23:19 59:2 87:14 100:5	97:17 111:12 126:4	audio 19:6	B 2:8 150:18	213:11
articulate 96:16	Association 199:19	August 36:16 42:25 43:8 45:24 46:1	B.M 160:21 165:6,17	basically 10:11 12:11 30:19
aside 194:5	associations 200:21	135:9 139:12 171:22 212:2,7	bachelor's 199:6	69:11 96:14
asked 6:20,24 8:3 10:1,5 17:10 19:3 21:19 30:9,9 33:3 38:24 55:3,3 78:15 84:20 88:4 93:7 94:18 96:19 97:2 102:6 108:18 111:23 116:25 117:17,18 135:25 139:2,4 142:11 148:20 179:13 192:19 194:4	assume 43:24 144:13	August/Septe... 209:13	back 7:8 12:15 37:10,13 46:24 50:5,16 51:8 54:12 57:14 60:19 61:16 64:13 73:10 81:2 82:9,17 87:6 90:7 92:6 97:24 107:18 108:15 110:2 113:11,20 128:8 129:4 130:15 131:22 133:14 138:21 142:8 144:3 149:17 150:7,8 153:4 158:7,15 159:19,25 163:24 169:16 171:16,22 172:1 175:3 176:12 177:24 178:3,4,14 181:21 182:5 185:16 186:4 189:21 209:12 213:23	100:19 122:25 123:7 138:11 140:21 161:15 181:14
asking 6:23 8:2 19:9 24:13 61:5 78:9 138:1 141:16 183:19	assumed 177:6	authenticated 41:16 45:17	basin 12:4,6,17 12:21 13:1,16 48:19 50:11 54:25 60:24 69:9,17 84:3 84:16 86:24 96:9 119:12 122:22 123:4 124:13,13,18 125:12,17 126:7,20,24 132:16,20 150:13,20,22 165:14 168:17 204:22	
asks 105:20	Assuming 205:16	author 94:9	basin's 159:3	
aspect 21:16 23:19 56:7 70:17 118:16 122:15	attached 44:8 110:6	authority 87:12 88:4 99:25 100:4,10 107:1 107:11	basins 12:9,12 67:19,19 68:3 68:4 83:5 133:3 137:11	
aspects 23:22 99:13	attachment 42:3 43:5,6 45:23	authorized 22:8 31:7 62:19	basis 84:25 132:23 136:5 161:13 184:6 192:9	
asserted 31:21	attachments 38:16	authorizing 33:16	background 8:12 10:23,24 11:1 118:7	Bates 39:19
assessment 157:19 170:8	attempt 72:6 115:13	availability 67:17 92:20	backs 87:1	bear 211:19
assessments 91:11	attempted 71:9 65:17 76:8	available 6:5 24:8,11,19,25 25:1 40:10 54:1 62:3,10 86:22 87:19 90:16 91:15 93:12 121:13 147:6 157:9 177:11,12 178:8,10 197:18	barn 211:7	bearer 209:9
assigned 12:22	attend 43:7 72:10	average 211:13	barrier 206:14	bearings 97:19
assigns 95:17	attended 15:21 15:23,24 43:9 106:12,16	avoid 23:4 27:25 28:19 29:6,25 30:11	Barroll 106:12	becoming 15:17
assist 185:3	attention 18:22 37:16 48:22 143:17	aware 105:24 110:15 125:1,4 142:5 156:20 157:23 159:22 169:21,23 181:20 182:16 188:17,22 189:16	based 10:12 26:11 39:19 40:7 41:12 79:7,8 111:6 145:5 159:24 160:3 163:10	bed 120:12
assistant 92:8 170:24	attitude 190:20	axis 60:4	baseline 28:3 80:7 85:15	beginning 66:6 111:13 137:15 182:12
assisted 170:24	Atton 2:17 4:7 127:14,20,21 138:5,16 140:6 141:9 146:4 149:10 150:2 156:9 159:7 160:24 161:2 162:13 170:13 191:23 193:21 193:23 194:2 195:1 196:2,4	<hr/> B <hr/>	basic 164:7	begins 73:9
assisting 13:24	attorneys 129:11,20 139:12 215:12			behalf 127:18 215:11
associated 70:9 73:3 96:2	attributed 134:16,20			believe 5:20 7:4 7:21,24 12:13 13:21 17:13

18:14 23:3	136:17,19	132:24 135:10	50:25 66:18	C
25:9,9,10 28:8	141:15 150:23	152:1 153:5	67:2 68:23,24	
31:4,5,13 37:4	151:3 180:11	171:3 206:15	69:21 70:5	173:14
37:19,23 40:16	186:9,22	bought 201:25	97:1,10,18	Caballo 20:9,10
42:17 44:9	beyond 69:7,7	205:22	211:11 212:18	20:11,13 22:1
45:10 50:13	99:13 179:25	bound 89:5	bunch 99:21	22:6 24:5
55:7,20 56:3	big 62:12 133:20	boundaries	105:14	55:23 56:2
56:13 58:10	206:12,22	85:19 153:22	bureau 9:23	82:1 85:11
61:15 63:15,15	big-ticket	204:1	14:10,13 17:19	89:19,21 92:22
72:25 73:1	206:21	boundary	17:21 24:1	92:25 94:1
77:9 78:23	biggest 114:25	180:17 188:12	25:7,13,22	98:6,10,14
79:9,14,20	binding 101:9	bounds 100:5	26:4,8 35:6	99:5,23 143:9
80:11 82:10,16	bit 13:12 22:16	bounties 143:3	36:12 42:22	204:13
83:2 85:1,4	29:18 31:16	Box 3:7	48:13 49:25	calculation 89:5
86:16 88:9	37:1 40:12	branches 210:19	50:18,25 52:1	calculations
102:18 103:21	67:13 86:9	break 46:18	52:2 54:5,7,13	20:9
106:23 107:2	87:11 101:25	80:16 86:8	55:18 56:1,3	calculator 69:25
108:22 109:17	107:18 116:20	158:6 194:4	72:18 96:23	calendar 20:3,4
114:4,12 123:2	123:13 149:12	209:1	104:23 130:19	California 2:9
124:20 125:19	156:9 179:11	brevity 66:14	136:5 143:8,18	call 125:25
128:3 134:7	205:19 207:22	briefly 124:9	145:21 183:12	141:5,12 142:7
143:14 146:5	209:7 211:22	186:11	194:5	142:14 186:20
146:20 164:24	blanket 151:4	bring 108:15	business 40:17	196:21
165:16 177:14	blip 57:19	123:8 166:19	40:18 41:4	called 72:15
179:22,23	block 142:21	167:12 208:12	42:13 201:16	98:24 101:7
189:2 195:13	161:3	bringing 166:23	203:4 210:13	104:25 143:18
195:17 196:2,4	blue 63:17	broadly 90:12	Butte 20:6 22:1	164:23 186:23
200:19 201:22	Bluff 27:2	Broadway 2:23	22:6 24:5,8,17	191:9 209:8
202:10	board 34:2	broke 5:19	24:22 25:21	210:22
believed 130:21	134:10 143:11	broken 39:24	26:3 27:4,24	calling 28:16
134:1 140:1,3	143:17 145:25	brothers 202:14	28:9,13 30:4	calls 29:9 55:14
186:8,21,24	190:14 199:17	brought 105:5	31:14 55:23	71:2 138:6,16
beneath 153:10	199:21,23,24	107:5,8 109:4	58:11 69:2	141:10 142:10
153:21	199:25 200:1,2	brush 206:23	79:7,13,24	196:23
beneficial 23:13	200:6,11,15,18	208:22	80:9 89:19	camera 196:13
124:24 141:23	boards 199:13	bud 208:25	98:5,9,13 99:5	Canalization
Benjamin 167:4	200:13,14	budget 108:19	107:7 114:16	110:1
Berino 204:10	body 144:17	109:10,25	114:19 115:2	canals 89:24
Berns 9:1	bonding 71:10	111:8,9 114:22	117:5 119:11	92:5 93:9
Bert 36:11 43:2	book 197:11	115:11,24	137:2 150:21	143:21 148:12
43:9 44:3,19	bottom 18:21	116:8,10,13	150:25 151:16	154:11
best 72:20 111:5	31:22 35:25	budgets 114:18	151:20 152:6	capable 34:7
184:25 185:6	44:4 66:12	build 77:13	152:18 185:23	capacity 215:10
better 52:11	67:22 68:2	186:13 188:2	186:9,17 187:2	Capitol 2:9
53:13 54:25	73:21 75:10	building 129:18	188:4 193:11	caption 215:6
56:10 74:15	97:23 118:24	bullet 32:16 33:6	buy 205:17,21	capture 31:6
94:6 101:25	120:4 132:11	48:10 50:15,21		

carbohydrate 212:13	Chad 2:22 5:13	184:16	clients 145:12	118:8 128:1
carbohydrates 212:8,11,14	chad.wallace... 2:25	cite 144:10,11	climb 120:5	column 18:23
care 207:2	chain 213:8	144:12,20,23	close 204:10	49:9,11
Carlos 63:25	chair 95:17	163:17 172:7,9	213:9	combination 22:9
carried 28:13	chairman 200:6	172:13 173:6	closed 67:19	combined 62:21
190:18	200:10	cited 131:20	150:22	63:2 123:16,25
case 40:6 53:21	chairs 127:5	160:9,12	closer 136:12	come 14:16
63:15 111:22	Chama 97:13	164:11 172:17	186:14	34:16 69:11
133:2 146:16	chance 35:14	174:16,21	closure 124:12	125:21 126:12
159:14 209:9	122:2	176:21 181:10	124:13,18	151:11,12
catch 168:1	change 22:12,13	195:11,15	125:12 126:21	158:7 182:20
categories 16:9	22:14 147:5	cites 173:7 193:9	126:24	183:5 209:12
206:19	153:13 157:7	21:15,17 84:22	closures 124:21	210:20 213:23
category 131:1	196:5,6 213:19	city 34:1 71:9,24	125:17	comes 14:10,12
198:15	changed 21:25	72:3,6,21 73:1	codify 192:13	62:13 85:15
cause 122:23	22:4,5 127:5	76:19 77:12,24	Coleman 3:6 4:7	122:15 126:13
215:13	changes 61:25	118:14 169:11	4:8 127:16,22	157:14 172:19
caused 152:4	89:4 106:5	172:4 173:25	127:24 128:5,6	178:25 196:13
CC 43:3	changing 105:21	claim 32:2 136:6	128:15,17,22	comfortable 48:3
CC'd 108:8	channel 103:13	138:9,11 155:1	130:1 136:11	coming 13:11
110:10	109:25 110:24	clarification	136:14,16,19	68:18 123:7
CCs 38:5 42:5	111:7 114:25	7:12 16:12	136:22 138:13	207:20
center 74:1	chapter 162:21	125:12 134:14	138:20,23	comity 186:24
Central 213:17	184:21,22	clarifications	139:5 140:9	commencing 1:12
213:24	186:1	124:11	141:2,16	comment 34:9
century 178:19	character 61:25	clarify 21:9	149:12,19	114:4
certain 41:1	characterizing	96:15 116:23	150:4 151:9	commented 153:18
73:11 87:16	161:14 194:7	134:20	158:4,10,13,19	commercial 70:7
184:17	charged 84:18	classifications	158:20 166:19	commission 13:20 14:4,7
certainly 15:1	check 105:16	13:6	166:22 170:16	14:18,19 15:2
22:14 39:22	173:9	clause 96:13	170:19,21	15:10,22 16:3
41:10 104:5	checked 201:12	102:11 105:19	193:15 195:7,8	19:3 20:22
109:4 112:16	children 202:24	clean 41:21	195:10,19	32:2 40:19
119:23 145:10	chile 204:17	206:3	collect 90:17	41:3 42:10,13
155:21	choice 186:22	cleaned 208:22	210:21	47:1 48:14
CERTIFICATE 215:1	Christmas	cleaning 207:4	collected 49:9	51:2 52:1 53:4
Certification 4:11 215:20	210:10	211:12	183:11	54:24 59:4
Certified 1:13	chronologically	clear 11:5 19:20	collecting 50:1,9	65:18,20 75:22
215:3	116:24	19:23 47:16	Colorado 1:6	78:6,11 87:12
certify 215:5,9	circle 64:13	54:19 60:3	2:5,21,23,24	88:7,9,13,19
215:12	circulated	119:3,8 120:24	3:3 5:2,11	88:21 89:2,7
cetera 197:16	146:15	127:12 163:21	12:10 22:25	89:11,13,22
206:4,5	circulating	182:9 184:11	23:12 32:3	
	146:10	196:20	33:7 35:11,13	
	citation 172:19	clearly 33:21	35:23 40:24,25	
	citations 173:21	139:16 147:1	45:20 56:20	
			58:21 93:1	

90:9,20,25	82:21 183:9	99:2,14,14,16	compiled 39:20	71:3 139:25
91:2,6,14,15	communicate	99:22,22 100:1	complete 39:21	140:15 141:1
91:17 92:4,13	197:16	100:6,7,10,15	215:7	141:10,17
92:18 93:8,10	communicated	100:24 101:8	completeness	conclusions
93:18,23,25	89:3	101:11,18	64:19	133:19 145:5,7
94:11 95:2,13	communication	102:20 105:12	Compliance	154:3
95:20 96:1	128:11 197:15	106:3,20,23	102:23	conclusive 101:6
97:1,4,12	Compact 13:19	107:2,4,6,8,10	complies 27:20	101:12
98:18 99:17	14:4,6,18,19	107:14 108:24	29:15	condition 21:22
100:3,9,20,22	15:2,10,11,16	109:5 114:5	comply 102:12	conditions 16:11
101:3,4,6,8,18	15:21 16:22	117:1,6 119:2	103:5 162:14	21:25 22:4,5
102:11,16,21	17:3,15 18:2	121:5,8,10	component	37:19
103:10 104:17	18:25 19:2,13	130:21 135:20	111:7	conduct 130:20
104:20 105:4,5	20:6,11,21,24	138:15 139:4	Components	131:2,5,9,20
105:21 106:3	21:5,20 22:20	142:6,9,12,12	109:25	conducted
106:20,23,25	22:23 23:9,16	184:18 191:18	compound 86:5	109:20 110:5
107:2,6,8,10	23:24,25 24:5	191:24 192:20	90:1	conducting
108:24,24	24:13,14 25:21	192:25 193:4	comprise 215:7	108:25 131:3
109:5 114:5	26:3,24,25	Compact-rela...	comprised 174:5	conference
121:8 188:18	27:4,8,12,15	30:15 31:1	compromise	63:17,22
192:20,25	27:24 28:9,23	116:15	185:17,20	confirm 97:3
193:9	29:5,7,13,19	Compacting	186:9,12 187:2	confirmed 114:1
Commission's	30:2,6 31:9	192:8,12	187:8,9,11,18	confirms 67:3
88:4 94:14	32:1,2 34:11	Compacts 20:17	188:8	67:10
99:25	34:12,17,19	22:17,19,22	computer 67:13	conformance
Commission"--	43:6 47:1	27:14,20 29:16	concept 159:19	107:3
101:11	48:13 49:7	117:4	concepts 76:14	confused 122:5
commissioned	50:5,14,19	company 203:9	106:14	123:14
165:21	51:18,20 52:1	compare 74:6	conceptual	confusing
commissioner	52:5 53:4,7	155:20 165:13	185:21 187:11	120:10
15:12,16 16:14	54:3,24 56:11	201:7 212:4	187:18,20	confusion 113:1
16:18 21:6	56:15,19,19	compared	conceptually	congratulating
32:22 33:3	57:2,4,9 58:1,6	165:16 168:14	188:1	108:3
43:7,20 58:25	58:15,22,25	168:16,20	concern 108:18	Congress 56:22
59:13 65:10,11	59:2,14 65:18	173:23 179:18	111:18 113:12	164:21 166:10
65:16 82:18	78:6,8,11,20	comparing	114:2 118:8	167:3 172:22
87:7 88:19	79:11,16 87:11	173:17 174:1	concerned	173:18 175:14
107:22 108:23	87:12,13,15	comparison	186:23	176:2,7,15
113:17 118:6	88:4,9,16,18	160:6 168:22	concerning	185:18,20
126:14,18	88:19 89:2,10	173:15 186:7	105:10	186:6,19
commissioners	89:11,16,18,20	compel 141:13	concerns 33:7	187:19 194:22
45:23 51:25	90:23 91:6	compensation	104:3 118:15	conjunctive
88:16	92:17,23 93:3	152:3	213:7	189:9
committee 69:6	94:5,8,10,14	compilation	concluding	connect 152:24
74:2,3 75:9	95:1,5,5,13,14	32:22 35:11	191:16	connected 66:22
77:5	95:22 96:1,25	37:23 39:17	conclusion	67:19 68:8,11
common 82:17	98:1,19,23	40:14 41:8	28:16 29:10	100:23

connection 143:16 179:11 188:23	104:10 115:1 186:11	161:18	14:20,22,23 15:3,8,12,20 16:4,7 17:4 19:25 20:7,12 20:24 21:13 22:20,21 24:2 24:3,20 25:2,8 25:9,15,23 26:5,13,16,21 27:1,5,7,16,20 27:21 33:5,8 34:11,12,22 36:13,14 37:21 38:19 39:2 45:1 46:5 47:3 47:8,13 48:15 49:9,13,14,21 49:22 50:2 51:6 53:20 54:10,17 55:12 55:19,20 58:16 59:1 60:5,14 60:15 61:18,22 62:6,21 63:3 68:13 71:20 72:1,4 74:22 74:25 75:1,6 75:16 76:22 77:23 78:1 82:6 83:15 85:6 87:4,13 87:21 90:21,22 90:24 91:6,25 94:7 95:16 97:15 98:14,19 99:6,11 100:4 100:20,25 101:10,22,23 102:9 103:2,20 105:22 107:1 107:13,23 108:4 109:17 113:13 114:11 114:12 115:24 120:17,21 121:6 122:11 124:15 125:18	construed 154:23	86:21,23 126:9 126:21 136:6 137:18,21	126:10,23 127:15,21 128:5,6 129:3 152:19,22 164:20 182:19 185:4 192:17 215:7
connections 153:7 165:12 165:14 178:18	consumed 124:2	controlled 136:3	controls 58:23	correction 81:11		
Conover 146:14 146:19 147:9 149:13 151:2 152:10,23 153:14,18 157:16,22	contained 154:23	controls 58:23	controls 58:23	correctly 20:2 103:15 116:1 116:11 152:8		
Conover's 146:6 147:19 153:6 154:3 157:14	contemplating 178:9	Conversion 19:6	Conversion 19:6	corresponding 152:5		
consent 56:21 106:22 107:12	contemporarily 134:1 140:1	conversations 9:24 113:16	conversion 72:11	Cortez 36:11 37:24 42:10 43:10 44:3,15 44:20 45:21,23 45:25 46:2		
conservation 145:23 156:2 190:8	contemporary 130:21 155:5	convert 71:25 205:17,17	convert 71:25 205:17,17	Cortez's 42:23 43:3		
conserve 33:25	content 160:4 173:19 174:1	converting 71:19	converting 71:19	cost 205:24 206:10,11 213:8		
conserving 190:13	contents 16:2,3 24:7,17	Conveyance 143:19 154:9	Conveyance 143:19 154:9	cotton 204:18		
consider 38:18 65:7 121:15 144:9 163:2 177:20	context 50:4 65:23 151:17 151:19 164:6 170:4 171:13	convoluted 86:8	convoluted 86:8	Council 200:7		
considerable 67:4	continuation 135:23	cooperative 49:24	cooperative 49:24	counsel 6:20 42:3 64:17 102:2 194:4 215:14		
consideration 145:25 190:14	continue 11:15 11:22 75:11 77:25 139:10 202:11 213:17	cooperatively 52:2	cooperatively 52:2	Counsel's 194:11		
considered 82:22 121:9 131:10 144:6,8	continued 6:7 92:18	coordination 7:4 70:10,23 81:20	coordination 7:4 70:10,23 81:20	country 200:25 201:4,7		
considering 111:11 155:5	continues 120:5 169:13	coordinator 12:10,17,21	coordinator 12:10,17,21	county 37:19 201:9,10,10,11 201:13		
consistent 9:8 19:17 21:21 26:20 147:16 148:16	continuing 19:1 75:13	copied 38:7,9 40:9,13,13 41:23 43:21,25 44:4,11,16,21 45:7,12 46:11	copied 38:7,9 40:9,13,13 41:23 43:21,25 44:4,11,16,21 45:7,12 46:11	couple 17:23 23:18 54:23 78:24 101:14 116:23 117:23 124:10 143:15 161:18 187:24 194:12 197:5 208:3		
consistently 184:7	contours 31:2	copies 93:18	copies 93:18	course 14:25 40:18 41:4,4 42:12 131:13		
constantly 210:19	contract 26:21 31:10,15 55:12 55:15 56:7 72:7,22 191:13	copy 47:19	copy 47:19			
constitute 154:22 155:13	contracted 151:2	copying 45:24 46:2	copying 45:24 46:2			
construction	contractor 113:6	Corinne 2:17	Corinne 2:17			
	contracts 33:16 55:17 56:1,6,6 56:8 71:25 72:4,11 191:8	corinne.atton... 2:20	corinne.atton... 2:20			
	contracts 33:16 55:17 56:1,6,6 56:8 71:25 72:4,11 191:8	corporate 203:5	corporate 203:5			
	contrary 9:13	Corps 14:14 17:20 103:5	Corps 14:14 17:20 103:5			
	contrast 140:25	correct 5:22 7:16 12:1,5,13 12:19 13:2,3 13:20,24,25	correct 5:22 7:16 12:1,5,13 12:19 13:2,3 13:20,24,25			

133:1 146:7 151:1 161:21 163:24 181:4 183:10,11 194:21 195:13 court 1:1 15:5 39:12 62:19 64:15 101:7,13 159:23 160:1 185:3 215:23 court's 22:7 cover 103:14 205:3 create 41:21 created 137:1 164:22 creation 163:19 credit 24:7,19 64:14 65:12 87:22 88:17,24 89:1,3 200:15 credits 58:14 crews 210:17 critical 209:21 crop 205:9 206:13 209:22 210:1 211:19 211:20 212:1,8 212:11,14,15 crops 33:14 34:1 153:22 206:24 cross-examina... 4:4,4,7 6:15 64:8 128:21 140:11 166:3,8 170:15 194:3 198:7 cross-examining 128:4 CRR 215:19 Cruces 71:9 72:3,18,22 73:1,2,23 77:8 77:12,19,24 199:2,3 CSR 215:19 current 212:16	currently 30:20 202:12 custodian 41:5 cut 118:1 141:13 cycle 209:19,20 209:20,23 <hr/> D <hr/> d 187:22 D'Antonio 64:25 108:10,11 D-A-M 186:7 D1/D2 83:25 86:18 122:16 dad 202:10 dairy 201:20 dam 98:6 109:1 111:4,18 113:12 114:3 143:9 150:25 151:16,20 152:15 185:23 185:23 186:7 186:13,16,21 187:2 188:2,6 data 14:5,9,9,12 37:17 49:9,11 50:1,9 90:16 90:17,18 91:15 92:9,14,20 93:1,12 98:1,4 98:9,13,17,22 99:4,8 117:12 126:3 150:24 date 36:17 55:8 60:5 61:8,8 93:2 163:24 167:15 170:9 211:10 215:20 dated 45:24 46:1 46:3 66:7 68:9 107:25 109:24 139:12 143:8 145:24 154:21 162:9,10 171:24 dates 33:18	68:10 David 4:9 160:21 196:23 198:20 203:6 day 5:19 9:19 154:12 209:10 215:17 days 9:17 166:6 208:19 209:23 209:24 DC 3:8 De 2:14,18 deal 186:15 188:3 209:15 dealing 59:7 deals 70:23 debit 24:6,19,21 24:23 debts 58:14 debris 211:2,5 decades 178:19 December 109:24 190:3 202:16 215:17 decide 211:9 decision 21:4 62:22 89:3 declaration 69:9 83:4 84:15 86:23 122:21 123:3 126:7,12 declarations 83:4 123:6 132:16,20 137:11 150:10 declared 133:2 declaring 84:3 deep 62:9 DEFENDANT 2:12,21 Defendants 1:7 defer 63:5 define 85:15 148:2 178:21 178:25 180:25 defined 81:22 defines 87:18	100:19 definitely 30:15 38:20 43:1 137:2 definitions 87:17 degree 111:13 131:16 163:7 199:6 degrees 211:9 delayed 121:14 deletes 122:13 deliver 23:13 27:24 28:12,19 29:5,24 30:11 57:1,7 58:4 delivered 23:2 28:14 118:19 141:14 deliveries 34:1 89:14,24 91:8 91:10 99:10 109:13 114:2 119:6 delivering 30:4 30:4 delivers 21:14 delivery 23:10 23:21,23 25:4 26:24 27:3,11 27:12,16,25 30:10 34:4 58:10,19 79:6 85:2 109:16 111:3 demand 73:9 177:13 demands 26:12 87:20 88:15 118:22 demonstrative 114:13,14,15 198:3 203:11 203:12,22,23 205:3,6 206:16 208:4 Denver 2:5,24	3:3 183:12 Department 2:23 3:2,7 depended 151:6 185:21 187:11 187:19 depending 15:24 16:10 69:17 138:10 208:18 209:17 210:2 depends 30:24 150:20 180:15 depleting 186:25 depletions 59:7 84:17 102:14 103:6 187:5 deposition 7:7 8:10,14,17,22 8:23 9:10,18 10:3,7,8,9,17 11:10,21 138:23 191:17 depth 168:17 derived 137:3,15 137:19 derives 99:25 describe 16:14 22:24 165:15 199:15 described 27:12 31:19 99:14 154:20 180:5 describes 33:6 descriptions 173:17 design 177:6 designated 7:2 10:20,22 designs 105:1 despite 175:7 detail 168:18,18 details 21:25 44:8 51:3 77:18 96:16 97:5 determination 22:7 88:22
--	--	---	--	---

89:1	145:3 147:10	discusses 110:25	147:5 157:8	96:18 133:11
determine 89:7	149:2,4 154:2	discussing	177:11 178:8	176:5 177:18
determined	168:16 200:25	152:10	diversions 89:14	documented
28:10	213:5,13	discussion 19:23	89:23 91:5	68:14
determining	difficult 140:20	52:24 63:12	99:1,12 152:6	documents 6:5
52:4 53:5 54:9	140:22	92:7 102:1	152:18	9:21 32:1,22
54:16	difficulties 57:10	104:5 117:24	divert 22:8	35:12 39:2,17
develop 52:3	digits 66:15	118:25 120:7	62:20 148:11	39:20 40:9
53:4 54:8,15	diminishes	120:23 124:23	diverted 177:7	41:6 49:4
56:24 57:5	139:20	130:23 160:16	diverting 147:10	64:20 66:16
58:2,21 70:21	direct 4:9 16:2	161:2 167:13	doc 32:4	74:17 128:10
78:22 79:3,20	16:20 17:7	167:14 176:20	doctrine 137:24	129:4 131:12
79:22 80:8	18:21 20:16	187:9 189:8	141:4 159:25	131:14 144:9
83:15 119:5,9	21:7 22:18	discussions 7:13	document 37:8	144:23 145:13
132:2,19 193:4	31:6,18 36:18	21:1 72:11,16	38:2,11,15	149:6 160:8,11
developed 83:25	37:15 44:25	163:23	39:3,5 40:8	160:14 163:17
96:11	53:9 60:4	disseminate	41:7 47:22	164:5,14 174:5
developing 67:9	86:12 88:20	97:11	58:23 65:21	176:24 177:22
82:24 83:10	117:2 119:20	distinguish	66:12 67:2	181:2,3,9,18
development	121:7 147:17	160:16	74:9,20 78:9	181:19 182:7
67:5 70:11	170:15 191:23	distinguishing	94:25 95:1	182:15,25
84:13 193:10	198:22	151:10	104:16 108:12	183:2,7,11
devices 128:11	direction 95:13	distribute 25:20	108:15 109:23	184:1,3,8,12
197:15	144:19,25	26:2	110:4,13,14,15	184:17 185:4,8
devoted 184:21	directly 9:11,13	distributes 56:4	110:16 112:1	197:9
dictionary 179:1	11:6 17:18	distribution	112:12,15,17	doing 5:20 62:24
die 210:19	37:2 54:21	26:9 55:22	112:21,22	111:22 125:5
died 203:19	120:25	90:12	113:5,15,24	147:24,25
difference 30:21	director 12:11	district 25:5,6	115:12,14	169:17 173:15
61:25 62:16	20:19,22 27:19	25:12,13 26:20	133:5 134:16	dollars 206:6
76:20 123:5	27:22 28:11,18	33:13,16 34:9	142:5 148:13	domestics 69:16
189:17 211:16	29:4,24 31:5	69:2 72:15	154:8,15 155:8	dominion 28:14
differences	188:17	91:13 99:18	155:12 163:14	86:21
23:25 95:9	directors 199:24	107:7 135:12	164:25 166:23	Dominy 194:19
163:2	199:25	136:6,25 137:2	167:5 170:8	195:11
different 14:1,12	directs 107:15	137:6,18,21	171:3 172:13	Dona 201:10,10
14:15 16:10	disagreement	140:23 152:3,7	172:16 173:1,3	Doppler 92:24
17:24 22:20	30:17 31:2	152:19 184:5	173:4,9 177:3	doubt 163:22
23:18 27:15	discharge 49:12	190:19,19	182:20 183:5	Douglas 170:10
36:22 38:23	discuss 19:1	districts 24:8,20	185:1 187:25	downstream
42:18 50:7,7	discussed 71:23	25:2,3 26:9,10	191:25,25	31:14 114:16
55:17 60:21,23	80:4 87:16	54:19 56:4,5	193:1,8 198:15	114:22 115:2
60:25 62:3,7	101:14 106:15	70:14 89:9,15	documentary	151:16 177:8
75:12 76:14,25	110:15 135:16	90:16 99:8,10	132:23	188:2 191:7,8
80:16 83:20	142:6,13 146:3	99:12 148:23	documentation	191:13
95:4,6 99:21	159:7 160:24	149:1	22:11 48:15,16	Dr 91:18 93:15
122:24 144:25	186:1	diversion 77:14	48:18 59:11	93:19 127:4

128:9,23	48:16 96:16	either 12:8	employ 208:6,10	133:14 136:4
137:22 149:11	duty 27:24 28:6	33:17 77:20	215:12	156:19,23
165:25 166:9	28:6,9,12,13	84:16,19 87:5	employed 71:22	165:18 167:4
168:19 170:14	124:6	89:12,14 99:18	employee 36:12	171:5,21
170:23 176:18	E	143:25 144:5	215:10	engineer's 18:4
179:14 188:14	E 2:1,1,1,1,17	170:15 174:17	employees	33:7 69:8,11
191:17 194:3	3:6	El 34:1 37:21	208:11,13	125:21
195:21	e-mail 22:24	55:10,16 63:17	enacted 162:21	engineering
draft 7:21 17:9	earlier 66:8	71:24 73:5	ENCL 38:13	16:21
21:19 35:5	100:8 102:19	118:14 143:9	enclosed 39:15	engineers 14:14
36:17,19 40:8	157:15 183:7	185:18,20	enclosure 39:14	17:20 18:23
42:16 43:14	186:17	186:14,22	enclosures 37:13	103:6 184:2
drafting 14:5,8	early 33:13	190:18 201:19	38:14	enlarge 74:10
drain 82:13	61:21 83:5	201:20,22	encompasses	107:17
89:24	84:4 167:15	213:1	67:22	ensure 29:15
drains 143:20	201:24 202:7,9	elected 200:5,6	encountered	ensuring 27:19
152:4 154:10	210:16	electronic 6:6	159:19	enter 5:4 115:13
Draper 2:18,18	easel 63:18	element 23:15	encouraged	127:13
129:21,25	easier 48:2	72:16	153:20	entered 54:19
166:4,9 167:1	205:20	elements 59:9	encouragement	62:19 95:20
167:13 179:13	EBID 21:12,14	106:17 213:11	153:8	entire 24:7,17
draw 37:15	25:6,12 26:15	Elephant 20:6	encouraging	37:23 38:2,11
48:22 145:5,7	53:20,21,25	22:1,6 24:5,7	131:21	39:3,25 50:11
drill 125:20	55:10,13,16	24:17,22 25:21	ended 55:2	54:25 66:24
drilling 135:11	62:20 70:11,24	26:2 27:4,24	201:19	75:8 96:9
175:7,21	72:7,19 73:3	28:9,12 30:4	enforce 101:8	101:20 141:25
drop 57:16	77:13 90:13	31:14 55:22	engaged 208:8	145:5 180:4
61:20 62:4	91:24 103:8	58:11 69:1	engagements	181:7 184:21
209:19	131:2 134:10	79:7,13,24	16:16	186:20 199:4
dropped 106:9	134:23 135:6	80:9 89:19	engineer 12:22	entirely 9:7 16:8
drought 62:8	151:2 156:4	98:5,9,13 99:5	13:24 14:1,4	69:6
146:25 147:18	189:22 195:16	107:7 114:16	15:11,18 16:13	entirety 79:16
148:1,24 153:6	EBID's 92:1	114:19 115:2	16:15,16 17:1	140:18,24
153:20 157:17	echo 18:15 66:6	117:5 119:11	17:11,16,22	145:15 147:19
182:10,17	economics 199:6	137:1 150:21	18:2 19:4,10	157:20 163:16
183:16,23	Ed 109:4	150:24 151:16	19:24 33:23	164:9 182:21
Drusina 108:23	education 199:5	151:20 152:6	34:25 40:20,20	entities 49:20
109:4	effect 82:20	152:18 185:22	49:19 51:2	entitled 23:11
dry 148:8,18	167:17	186:9,16 187:2	52:3 54:8,13	84:22 85:5,10
due 19:6 22:13	effective 56:21	188:4 193:11	57:3,25 58:25	85:25 86:13
72:22 99:1	effectively 84:6	elevation 60:12	59:10 64:25	102:23 120:9
212:13	effects 111:11	62:1,13	68:21 84:5	120:15 143:9
dug 131:7	effectuate 71:14	elevations 60:11	92:19 98:18	143:19 145:22
duly 6:13 128:19	efficiency 34:4,9	emergency	103:16,25	entries 145:21
198:21	34:10 118:16	194:8	104:22 109:7	entry 190:7
DUNN 2:4,8	efficient 208:24	emigrated	121:8 123:18	206:14
duties 16:19		201:18	132:7,13	environmental

200:18	evaluate 117:14	115:25	197:22,24	extent 31:7 79:1
EP 90:13 91:24	evaluated 121:9	exceeded 84:10	198:6,14	121:12 138:6
EP1 25:5,12	evaluation 38:19	exception 24:6	203:12,13,23	141:9
26:16,19 31:22	evaporation	24:18	203:24 205:3,6	eyes-on-the-gr...
33:24 35:2	98:12,13	excerpt 166:9	206:16 208:4	38:22
55:10,13,16	evaporations	excess 35:1	exhibits 40:10	
92:3 195:16	59:8	exchange 8:11	40:10 46:18,21	F
EPI's 40:5	event 32:5 33:20	22:25 166:17	198:3,9,14	Fabens 82:4
194:22	38:19,20 39:1	exchanges 8:18	existence 175:8	84:3
equal 85:2	events 31:19,21	excluding 87:21	existing 33:16	faced 201:3
120:19 180:17	33:24	exclusively 12:4	125:23 126:8,9	facilities 105:11
182:1,6,18	eventually	12:6	126:22	fact 10:7 11:1,18
183:17,22	112:21	excuse 47:10	exists 30:20	24:4 25:5
184:18 187:12	everybody	52:16 54:11	expand 69:23	40:13 55:9
189:3,15	158:15 213:25	96:15 127:15	202:7	63:21 72:21
equally 181:7,12	everyone's	136:10 198:3,5	expect 160:10	85:22 106:25
182:13 183:4	187:16	excused 127:3	207:19	148:10 163:12
184:4 188:12	evidence 31:22	195:21	expected 69:23	165:5 172:11
188:13 189:19	81:8 112:21	executing	194:15	172:18 185:17
191:9	145:6,16	106:22 107:12	expenditures	189:18 194:13
equipment	182:24 183:22	executive 115:16	114:19	facts 18:1 215:5
206:10,25,25	184:11	exhibit 18:10	expense 206:19	fair 31:3,4 43:15
207:1 212:20	evolution 104:14	39:6 40:23	expenses 114:25	43:16 57:4,25
equitably 56:22	evolved 211:24	42:18 45:2	205:1	131:5 140:10
78:19,20	211:25	46:24 47:12,15	expensive	144:13 146:11
era 130:20	evolving 150:14	48:25 56:12	205:21 206:5	147:8,11 158:3
131:13	exact 131:15	59:19 80:16	experience 7:25	163:14 206:12
ESA 104:3	183:20	81:7 94:17	17:8,19 20:16	fairly 209:23
especially	exactly 24:14	100:16,17	20:20 38:22	fall 74:11
182:10	28:8 37:5	101:17 102:16	90:9,15 169:16	familiar 7:10
essentially 13:5	43:11 109:3	107:16 111:21	207:7	13:18 49:2
62:2 79:3,21	151:2 182:7	115:14 117:18	expert 141:2	55:9 73:14,15
84:1 187:1	184:9	130:18 132:10	144:1 178:23	82:5 91:23
established	exam 11:22	134:13 135:5	185:2 188:22	108:12 110:17
137:3	examination 4:5	142:18 145:18	195:12	115:4 116:3
establishing	4:5,7,8,9 5:21	146:19 151:25	Expiration	137:23 157:11
190:11 191:1	46:22 116:21	153:3 154:7,18	215:20	157:13 159:20
establishment	125:14 194:1	155:24 156:7	explain 114:21	181:22 192:24
190:21	195:9 198:22	158:22 160:15	205:13 211:16	familiarity 92:1
Estevan 188:14	examining	160:20 162:5	explained 94:19	family 201:14,16
estimate 69:18	127:13	166:20 167:1	explains 150:11	202:3,18,25
70:3	example 92:23	167:12 169:4	explanation	203:4 207:24
estimated 67:17	101:21 119:20	171:19,23	85:19 136:1	far 10:14 22:4
68:12	212:5 213:3	172:3 176:11	extending 153:6	41:13 61:23
estimates 68:10	excavator	176:19 185:13	extensive 11:1	64:13 80:5
et 197:16 206:4	205:19	185:19 189:22	29:12	farm 143:20
206:4	exceed 100:3	197:11,21,21	extensively 71:5	154:10,20

155:13 200:15 202:3,9,11,18 203:20,25 204:1,7,10,12 204:16,20,23 205:16 206:20 207:13 208:5,8 208:16,24 211:8 farmed 202:13 202:13 farmer 199:9 205:13 farmers 21:14 22:8 25:4 26:10 53:22 62:20 90:13,13 90:13 123:9,16 123:23 124:25 126:4 131:7 188:13 200:16 201:3 farming 199:7 199:10,12 201:14,15,15 202:23,25 203:1 204:19 204:21 205:2 205:13 206:12 farmland 85:2 farms 118:20,23 202:12 203:6 204:4,9,15 farther 190:16 fashion 23:10 father 202:15 203:8,19 Fe 2:14,19 18:24 feasible 190:22 features 190:2,7 February 18:24 18:24 118:9,13 170:10 federal 17:10,16 18:5,9 19:12 51:14,21 55:12 70:22 95:7,10	95:15,17 98:2 102:3,7,12,23 105:10,20 118:6 169:12 feels 92:14 feet 123:24 felt 109:18 fertilization 206:21 209:5 212:19 fertilized 208:22 fertilizer 213:4,7 213:8 fertilizers 213:6 fertilizing 206:4 fields 206:3 208:24 fighting 210:19 fight 163:25 figure 80:6 154:4 174:17 174:19 filed 161:9 162:14 files 174:1 filing 139:6 169:11,12 172:4,5,6,12 172:25 173:3,6 173:7,10,14 175:6 186:16 filings 158:24 159:1,5,10 160:4 162:2 163:10,19 164:6 173:19 173:23,24 174:13,16 180:5 final 110:8,20,22 110:22 112:1 112:15 113:2,5 113:7 146:10 210:1 finally 101:6 185:22 find 10:8 32:6	35:21,21 36:3 36:5 39:15 92:19 144:13 173:13 183:17 183:19,22 187:13 findings 101:11 166:11 fine 67:24 68:1 190:4 finish 118:3 158:8,12 210:10 212:4 finishing 130:16 Firm 215:23 first 6:3 32:20 35:12 36:15 37:3,16 39:23 41:14 47:9 48:5,10 56:17 56:18 59:21 64:22 66:18,19 68:23 78:14 97:18 105:7 107:18 110:3 111:6,10 132:9 133:18 136:24 139:25 141:21 141:21,22 142:23 145:21 153:2 157:1 169:4 176:21 178:19 188:25 190:10 197:6 198:21 208:18 208:20 209:4 210:8 212:9 fiscal 115:9,25 116:3 Fish 17:20 five 6:21,21 56:18 127:5 129:17,18 196:5,8 202:6 202:13 210:9 212:10 five-and-a-half	211:14 flooded 186:14 188:3 201:23 Floor 2:23 flow 37:18 97:23 98:2 152:4 flowed 178:3 flowing 26:19 177:24 flows 82:2,14 83:8 85:13,22 85:22 86:2,15 89:24 91:14,16 120:10,11 122:7,13 175:6 Floyd 194:19 195:11 fluctuations 212:12 fly 184:10 focus 75:20 132:15 165:17 175:11 204:19 focused 74:15 focuses 133:6 focusing 14:2 50:22 139:15 205:5 follow 51:14 70:20 102:7 124:8 following 135:16 142:20 190:20 follows 6:14 128:20 136:23 175:22 198:21 FONSI 106:9 footnote 172:20 172:21 173:6 footnotes 176:14 176:21 187:23 187:23 force 202:6 foregoing 215:6 forget 151:24 157:22 forgot 153:14	200:8 210:11 forgotten 153:9 153:24 157:16 157:25 169:14 form 28:15 71:2 82:10 120:12 120:13 143:18 143:23 144:1,6 formally 15:9 96:15 formational 56:5 formed 199:20 200:1,1 former 57:3,25 formulating 154:16 Fort 56:23 78:21 119:12 forth 57:2,8 58:5 73:12 forward 70:18 126:13 195:25 203:3 206:7 forward-looking 191:25 found 25:19 26:1 36:4 84:16 130:25 167:21 174:20 193:8 foundation 11:3 11:4 40:1,12 41:13,22 45:19 78:2 111:23 112:14 founding 199:18 four 202:12 212:10 fourth 39:14 97:10 139:15 frame 61:19,20 62:18 framework 12:14 freeze 192:1 freezer 211:7,8
---	--	--	---	---

freezing 67:14	50:7 89:19	12:15 18:10,20	162:5 169:3	206:2,7,7
Friday 36:16	99:23	23:19 29:20,23	170:18,20	207:1 210:18
front 17:18 35:9	gaging 49:24	32:15 34:14	171:1,2,15,22	211:9 212:16
74:21,22 87:22	59:6 89:18	37:10 38:4,6,6	171:23 176:9	213:9,19,21
165:1 173:1	Garden 169:11	39:12 40:11	177:9 178:14	good 5:6,9,12,16
frost 210:8	172:4 173:25	41:17 42:15,20	180:13 181:21	6:17,18 47:20
212:10	Garry 32:11	43:17 44:18	183:14,15	63:23 64:2,10
frozen 123:1	GARZA 215:3	46:21,24 48:9	184:23,23	64:11 127:24
fruit 212:6	215:19	51:8,8,24	185:16 186:4	128:23 148:5
frustrated	gather 117:15	52:25 59:17	189:21,23,25	149:12 198:24
182:23 184:14	Gaume 12:16	60:1 64:16,21	196:3,7,11	198:25 200:23
184:16	34:17	66:2,11 67:1,2	197:20 207:17	201:5 202:5,6
fulfilled 185:9	gears 11:25	67:12,14 68:22	209:1 210:10	205:10 209:22
full 62:15 111:10	general 12:13,24	69:20 70:4,18	210:24 211:2	211:5 213:20
157:1 169:4	16:8 104:4,14	73:7 74:3 78:4	213:21	213:21
fully 66:20,23,24	124:3 163:11	78:13 80:23,25	goat 201:20	goods 212:21,24
67:7 138:14	179:5	81:5 82:17	goes 21:5 34:3	gopher 143:3
139:3	generally 18:7	83:17,21 90:6	44:10 59:8	gophers 143:5
functionally	51:13 102:6	90:7 91:12	92:6 117:9	Gordon 63:22
59:10	123:24 138:1	94:17 96:12,21	183:9 210:9	63:25 65:10,17
fund 176:16	159:12 161:16	97:1,10,21	going 5:4 9:3	107:7,21,22
177:4	161:23	98:2,6 100:16	11:12,13,17	Gordon's 63:14
funding 92:2	generated 93:16	100:18 101:2,4	21:3 29:17	63:17,22
furnish 135:15	110:3 113:5,18	101:15,16,18	36:22 38:11	Gotcha 50:23
135:25	114:9	102:16,21	43:22,24 54:22	govern 101:4
further 4:4,7	getting 18:2,15	105:7,7,17,18	63:8 68:22	governing 59:3
6:13,15 11:19	52:19 54:24	107:16,18	70:18,23 71:6	governor 107:20
116:17 121:18	92:10 93:6	108:1 109:20	73:6 80:15,15	107:21 108:2,2
121:21 125:7	105:15 148:19	109:22 110:2	82:22 83:12,23	Grande 7:3 12:4
127:3 128:19	giant 135:6	110:14 111:1,9	86:3 88:5 96:5	12:6,17,21
128:21 177:8	212:12	112:25 113:11	96:13,20 97:4	13:1,16 14:17
186:22 187:2	Gilmer 82:18	113:20 114:13	115:13,14,18	14:19 15:2,10
188:2 195:2,4	87:7 126:15,18	114:14 115:20	116:24 118:23	15:21 16:22
215:9	give 9:5 11:13	115:20 116:7	120:24 122:18	17:3 19:2
Furthermore	35:14 40:7	118:2 123:7	127:4 138:25	20:21,23 21:3
133:23	59:20 97:25	125:13 130:15	140:12,14	21:8,10,13,20
furthest 204:10	111:21 122:2	132:9,11	142:19,22	24:5 25:21
204:12	140:16 151:4	133:18 135:7	145:17 146:21	26:3 27:4,15
future 46:16	170:3 197:1	135:22 137:14	146:22,23	27:23 30:6
56:7 111:5	201:6 208:13	138:8,19,21	154:6 157:23	33:15 34:17,19
	211:23 213:2,2	140:11 142:17	158:5,17,20	34:20,21 48:19
G	given 53:23	144:3 148:17	164:8 166:24	49:7 50:5,11
G 87:8	57:22 67:6	150:7,8 151:24	169:16 172:1	51:5,18,20
gage 20:13 27:2	70:5 117:3	153:2 154:7,18	175:24 176:23	52:5 53:6
89:20,21 92:22	185:10 215:16	155:23 156:6	185:1 196:17	55:11 56:19,23
93:3 99:23	gives 11:7	156:25 158:18	197:5 203:3	57:9 58:5
gages 49:5,23	go 9:15 11:22	158:21 160:15	205:17,25	59:22 65:11

66:7,20,23	graphics 61:18	175:21 177:19	62:14 133:1,6	helped 92:2
67:17,20,23	great 186:14	177:20 178:1,2	211:7	201:21
68:9,12 69:1	188:3	178:11,18	happened	helping 201:21
69:19,22 70:9	greater 168:17	179:3,6,12,21	144:23	helps 136:15
73:18 78:7,21	ground 83:8	180:3,6 194:7	happening	hereto 215:6
79:7 80:3	85:13,23 86:1	208:1	91:13 131:18	Hermann 63:25
81:19 83:3	86:14,20 122:9	group 13:4	happens 145:3	high 90:10
85:8 87:11	123:25 153:7	42:24	happy 40:15	111:12
89:18 90:23	177:23 178:2	grow 204:15,23	213:17	higher 124:6
92:10,17 94:10	204:17,17	205:20 209:22	hard 30:19	206:7
95:25 96:2,7,8	205:19	growers 63:1	210:8	highest-quality
96:9 97:6,8,15	grounds 40:2	199:16,19	harvest 207:4,5	92:25
97:16 98:5,6	210:5	200:3,7	208:13 210:6	highlight 33:11
98:23 99:13,22	groundwater	growing 70:5	210:15 211:12	48:10 56:18
103:14,19,21	22:9 62:21	205:14	211:13,17	highlighted
103:25 104:2,6	63:2 66:22,25	grows 204:17	harvester	11:17 73:25
104:7,24	67:5,7 68:8,11	growth 69:19	210:25 211:3	133:21,22
105:12,21	68:16,19 69:9	guess 11:14,17	head 129:5	139:23 143:16
106:2,3 108:23	69:10 82:7,11	83:23 99:9	131:24 133:16	157:2 167:5
109:11 110:1	82:12,19 83:4	100:14 122:2	176:17 182:21	highlighting
110:25 114:18	84:1,3,6,10,10	182:4 186:20	187:17,22	134:15,18
115:8 116:4,7	86:20 87:3,4	193:18	193:12 194:19	136:24
116:8,12 117:6	109:9,12		heading 135:7	Hill 162:6 165:7
117:12 119:16	110:25 111:2	H	146:24 156:8	165:10 169:24
119:17,22	111:17 112:11	habitat 103:1,6	166:14 205:7	170:19 171:5,9
138:14 139:7,8	113:11 114:1	103:8,13 104:6	206:17	171:20 173:14
145:23 150:23	122:9,12,23	104:8,12,15	hear 9:4 13:13	Hill's 170:6
153:10 154:22	123:17 124:18	Hal 37:24	57:12,15	hired 12:16,21
155:2 158:23	130:22 132:2	half 158:8	heard 10:23	13:5
159:2,23	132:15,16,20	195:23	11:11 40:3	historian 137:22
163:20 164:13	133:2,25 134:6	Hall 160:21	57:24 58:7	138:8 149:11
164:17,19,20	135:12 136:2,7	165:6,10,17	108:6,13	160:10 161:13
164:22,23	136:25 137:3,6	167:4,5 186:8	111:24 133:2	175:11 178:21
165:19 168:20	137:10,15,18	Hall's 168:12	141:5 160:1	178:24 180:25
168:25 173:24	137:19,21	hallway 130:3	188:24	185:2
175:5 180:15	140:2 146:24	Hammond 87:7	hearing 1:11	historians
186:21 190:22	147:3 148:9,23	hand 51:23	18:15 136:12	141:19
191:18 192:20	149:2 150:12	69:24 160:18	215:8,11	historic 84:21
192:25 204:5	151:1,10,11	160:19 196:25	hearsay 9:11	124:24
207:9	155:16 156:8	215:16	Heather 52:19	historical 8:12
grandfather	159:3 160:5	handed 21:2	57:11 215:3,19	8:15 22:14
201:18,23	161:25 163:10	handle 54:25	held 1:12 135:8	133:23 142:4
202:3 203:14	163:13,23	handoff 30:8	142:19	144:15 147:16
grandfathered	164:3,15	handwritten	help 36:20 60:8	148:16,22,25
123:12	165:12,22	63:9	105:19 205:12	150:11 180:21
grandfathers	167:17 168:8	Hansen 87:8	211:21 213:10	180:22 181:18
84:1	174:14 175:16	happen 59:16	213:13	193:7 194:9

historically 124:1 141:20 148:7 179:10	hoping 203:2 horizontal 60:4 hour 158:8 195:23 hours 129:17,18 192:18 Houston 215:24 Hudspeth 26:20 37:19,20 55:10 55:14,17	173:2 immediately 133:16 impact 82:19 impacts 82:25 82:25 112:11 impairment 8:5 10:16 impeach 8:22 9:12 impeaching 11:6 impeachment 9:4 11:6 115:15,18 implemented 75:24 important 17:2 40:6 importantly 179:19 importers 200:4 impose 192:8 impossible 140:22 improper 9:4 improvement 200:18 inappropriate 40:1 Inasmuch 154:19 155:12 incident 34:15 34:15 37:14 42:11 44:15 include 34:13 44:7 48:21 75:3,14 77:3 85:22 88:22 89:14 94:20 119:24 120:1 155:16 159:1,2 160:18 163:10 165:4,6 179:3 179:21 180:2 200:24 206:9 included 16:5 21:24 76:5	77:15 94:20 160:17,20 175:6 includes 20:9 27:24 66:25 69:18 82:11 88:11 91:10 98:1 99:23 114:23 including 38:15 83:7 166:3 177:22 180:6 inconsistent 9:6 11:9,10 18:1 115:19 148:21 incorporates 96:9 incorrect 20:25 24:21 increase 70:1 increased 70:7 84:17 152:2 INDEX 4:1 Indian 17:21 indicate 112:8 157:21 196:16 indicated 13:21 73:1 74:4 75:10 90:11 indicates 130:20 146:16 167:15 indicating 194:13 indices 79:8 indirect 31:6 individual 59:2 individually 88:16 individuals 15:1 15:5,9 124:5 industrial 69:3 69:14,15 industry 199:13 200:13,22 infer 177:19 inflation 206:6 inflow 137:16	inflows 82:3 information 16:5,9 21:5 51:5 54:3,4 59:12 91:3 117:13,15 121:13,15 133:3 135:16 135:25 175:24 informational 106:13 informed 10:10 108:23 informing 110:4 infrastructure 82:3 114:16 206:11 initial 205:24 injured 140:23 inquire 10:17 111:20 inset 79:17 inside 60:14 installed 92:24 93:2 131:8 installing 175:22 instance 30:3,14 71:7 92:13 103:3 191:7 194:17 instances 69:17 instant 185:6 integration 86:17 intended 26:14 104:1 124:24 159:2 160:18 165:4,5 178:20 179:2,21 180:2 180:7,22 191:25 192:8 192:13 194:13 intent 58:16 158:2 165:6,7 184:3,13 intentionally 145:10
history 105:25 144:17 145:4 161:16 163:18 170:3,5 181:4 181:15 182:17 183:1,10 184:12 189:5 194:18 201:14 Hoffman 2:8 127:16,17,18 195:3,5 hold 132:14 188:20 honor 5:6,12,17 6:8 8:21 9:3,14 10:18 18:12 29:11 39:6,9 40:3 41:18 46:8,17 52:23 53:1 64:6,19 65:16 66:3,10 66:14 76:7 80:19,24 81:10 86:10 100:13 102:17 111:19 112:4,14 116:20 121:19 121:22,24 122:3 124:9 127:17,21 128:2,13 138:5 149:10 150:2 170:13 193:20 195:5 196:13 196:22 198:13 203:8 213:15 HONORABLE 1:11 Hopefully 136:15	hydrograph 60:19,20 61:9 61:20 hydrographs 60:2,5 119:15 119:21,24 120:1 hydrologist 149:11 hypothetical 150:3 <hr/> I <hr/> IBWC 17:23 26:18 103:7 104:7 108:18 108:22,25 109:19 110:4 110:11,12 111:2,18 112:7 113:13,17,25 IBWC's 115:3 idea 18:2 188:4 191:10 201:5 213:2 identified 15:3 33:8 76:2 81:22 170:14 211:11 identify 109:19 110:13 113:24 114:10 identifying 91:12 185:3 ignored 152:22 153:1 imagine 143:4			

intercepted 157:6	175:23	210:4 213:16	210:11	122:1,5,12,25
intercepting 82:14	investment 205:24 206:13	irrigations 208:25 209:6	Jeff 5:9	123:13 124:7
interconnecte... 99:20	investments 205:1,12	210:2	Jeffrey 2:13	125:9,13 127:2
interested 97:12 215:13	invoked 103:18	ISC 11:25 12:10	JENNIFER 4:6 128:18	127:8,10,19,22
interesting 181:16	involve 24:1 70:10 71:18	20:19 21:16	jeopardy 153:20	127:25 128:3,7
interference 8:5 28:20 29:6,25 30:11	involved 7:13,25 9:20 12:4,9	27:19,22 28:11	Jesse 82:18 87:7	128:15 135:15
interferes 31:8	13:21,22 15:2	28:18 29:4,24	job 9:19 54:5	135:17,25
interfering 27:25	15:10 19:18	31:5 41:8	85:8 145:12	136:10,17,21
interior 194:20	20:22 21:3	103:11,12	185:8,9	136:22,25
internal 171:2	22:19 42:11	106:4 108:7	Joe 87:8	138:7,18,21,24
international 109:1 111:4,17 113:12 114:2 185:23 186:24	90:10 140:17	114:15,18,19	John 63:5 108:9 108:11	140:3,8,10
interpret 58:24 70:16 101:8 180:4	171:9 186:19	115:4,7	John 63:5 108:9 108:11	141:11 149:14
interpreted 56:8 102:15	202:24 208:15	issue 8:19 36:21	join 109:6	150:6 151:10
interpreting 180:9	210:14	40:5 65:1,12	joint 18:10 51:8	158:4,11,14,17
interrupt 76:3 93:6	involvement 7:3 200:20	83:2 88:23	51:9,12,23	170:18,20
interrupting 129:24	involves 48:12	92:6 93:3	54:15 56:12	193:17,21,25
interruption 19:7	involving 103:9	109:11,16	100:11,15	195:3,7,20,23
interstate 20:17 20:19,21 22:19 40:19 41:3 42:9,13 75:22 103:10 188:18	iPads 197:15	111:3 124:22	101:15 102:16	196:3,7,10,15
intervenor 5:3	iPhone s 197:15	125:2	105:7 166:19	196:24 197:4,9
invested 206:8	irrigable 187:7	issued 143:18 183:1	167:1,12	197:13,19
investigating 42:12 175:16 175:18	irrigate 208:19 209:23	issues 19:1 21:2 22:12 32:1	171:19 197:21	198:16,19
investigation 32:4 110:19	irrigated 187:6 188:9	48:15 57:20	198:14,17	213:19
	irrigating 207:3 208:17 209:16	66:19 75:18	jointly 187:4	judgment 6:25 7:10 8:13 9:25
	irrigation 25:16 26:12 33:13,17	84:17 90:8,11	Juan 97:13	10:1,12,13
	33:18,25 69:2	91:11 92:21,22	Juan-Chama 17:3 24:6,18 51:4	25:20 26:2
	70:8 71:18	96:2 104:6,9	Judge 5:1,8,11	Judith 3:6
	82:3 87:20	116:23 117:11	5:14,18,24 6:2	judith.colema... 3:9
	88:15 99:18	137:23 141:3	6:9 9:15 11:12	July 46:3,8
	107:7 113:22	159:18 201:2	18:17 28:17,24	142:19 143:8
	137:2 143:10	213:8	29:17 40:4,22	145:24 209:5
	152:7,19	issuing 131:3	40:25 41:12,24	209:13,18
	166:10 167:2	it'll 13:12 208:13 210:9	45:16 46:4,13	212:2,7
	182:12 185:18	item 40:14 104:23	46:20 52:16,19	June 37:18
	185:20 186:6	items 6:21,24 76:24 114:23	52:25 57:11,14	209:5,11,16
	186:19 187:19	206:18,21	57:16,21 58:8	212:6
	206:4 207:3,23	208:3	64:4,21 65:3,6	junior 141:13
	208:16,21	<hr/>	65:14,24 71:4	jurisdiction 100:20
	209:2,7,15,19	J	76:11 78:3	Justice 3:2,7
		Jack 87:7	80:14,20,22	Justin 18:14,20
		January 33:25	81:1,4,12	19:21 32:8,19
		118:9,13	83:21 86:6	33:11 38:5
			90:5 112:5,19	47:21 48:9,24
			115:20 116:18	59:19
			121:20,23	jwechsler@m...

2:15	117:24 119:1	151:22,23	180:16 181:6	lawsuit 55:24
<hr/> K <hr/>	119:14 120:23	153:16,19,25	181:12 187:6,7	151:19
keep 195:25	121:20,22	154:2,4 155:8	187:7,8 188:5	lawyers 7:18
203:2 208:24	125:9,11,15	155:11 156:19	188:6,7,9	lay 40:11
209:21	126:25	156:22 158:1	language 17:18	laying 111:22
keeping 206:3	Klahn's 57:24	159:20 160:2	18:3,6,7 19:3,9	lead 8:3 11:3
Ken 37:9 43:10	196:17	160:11 163:5,6	19:14,16 24:13	leading 8:13
kept 40:18 41:3	knew 8:4 125:5	169:23 170:6	24:15 34:13	180:5
41:8,10 42:12	157:23	172:11 173:18	78:25 163:8	leaps 131:15
106:4	know 8:18 10:24	176:14 179:14	183:20 184:9	learned 210:13
key 66:18	18:14 22:12,13	179:19 181:8	193:9	leasing 73:22
kids 202:4	22:25 25:7,13	182:4,4,22	laptops 197:16	75:4,15 76:5
kin 215:13	26:6,22 30:8	183:24 184:10	large 118:13	77:4
kind 30:19	30:19 33:23	187:17 188:14	142:21 206:14	leave 154:4
47:19 80:3	36:22 37:7,8	188:20 191:11	211:7,19	179:23 212:18
105:15 110:9	37:22 38:2,17	195:14 196:14	larger 68:7	leaves 210:24,25
181:16	39:4,5,20	206:23 207:10	largest 144:17	leaving 130:4
kinds 36:7,9	40:21 44:1	knowing 41:9	201:11	led 7:22
King's 91:18	54:3,20 56:5	117:11 153:19	Las 71:9 72:3,17	Lee 3:2 5:17
93:15,19	58:17 59:6	173:2	72:21 73:1,2	lee.leininger@...
Klahn 2:3 4:4,5	60:23,24 61:23	knowledge	73:23 77:8,12	3:4
5:5,6,7,23,23	62:22 63:4	11:20 72:21	77:19,24 199:2	leeway 111:22
5:24,25 6:1,10	65:18 69:16	124:19 141:4	199:3	left 5:19 42:23
6:11,16 8:21	71:8,17,21,22	161:24 162:1	lasering 207:2	43:13 49:8
9:14,15,16	72:8,13,13,17	175:7 179:5,25	208:23	51:23 60:10
10:19 11:7,22	75:25 85:8,14	known 56:19	late 20:1 61:21	61:1 128:4,24
11:23,24 12:2	88:16,17,24	knows 10:9	201:19	left-hand 18:22
18:12,19,21	90:12 92:9	90:17	Laterals 143:21	legacy 203:3
19:8,21,23	93:14 95:8,21	<hr/> L <hr/>	154:11	legal 15:15
28:18,22,25	99:1 104:22	L 87:24 215:3,19	law 2:23 51:14	28:16 29:10
29:4,11,23	105:14 106:9	La 22:23 23:1,8	51:19,20,21	67:4 71:3 95:9
31:24 32:7,10	108:5,8,9,13	23:16,24,25	70:22 86:19	95:10,12
32:18,20 33:10	109:12 110:9	117:1	101:10 102:8	135:19 138:6
33:12 38:5,7	112:1 113:14	labeled 66:12	102:12,24,24	138:17 141:10
39:6 40:3,5,24	113:17,18	labor 206:20	104:18 132:3	141:17 144:16
41:17,25 45:18	114:7,8,23	208:5	136:2 137:17	179:8 189:16
46:7,22,23,24	117:10 118:15	lack 121:15	137:20 138:2	190:23
47:21,24 48:8	123:11 124:4	laid 41:13 45:19	139:12 159:16	legible 45:5
48:11,23 49:2	126:3,4,15	153:10	161:5,9,14,14	legislation 33:16
52:17,18,22,25	131:11,25	land 60:17,18	161:22 162:15	Leininger 3:2
53:1,2 58:15	133:1,6 137:6	120:20 182:13	162:24 164:21	4:4 5:16,17,21
59:18,20 64:2	137:10 142:24	186:15 188:3	Lawrence 2:4	8:11,19 10:1
78:8,15,24	143:13,23	206:10 207:1	laws 28:22 29:7	64:4,6,9,11,18
88:4 94:18	144:2 145:2,10	lands 73:2 77:12	30:1,12 161:17	64:22 65:8
96:18,22 97:20	145:11,12,25	156:15 157:5,6	161:25 162:21	66:3,4,9,11,14
116:25 117:17	148:5,5,12,13	176:16 177:5	163:3,11,12	66:17 71:16
	148:17,19		174:9,10	76:8,16 77:9

80:14,18,24	139:24 142:17	41:2 43:19	135:24 160:12	125:1,4
81:3,7,10,14	143:6 146:18	46:16 76:6	197:21 206:18	look 8:17 32:5
81:15 83:13	151:24 153:2	159:6	listed 40:20 42:5	32:15,24 34:14
84:9 86:10,11	154:18 155:22	letting 22:25	49:22 76:13	35:12,18 36:2
90:3,19 100:12	155:23,25	83:17	198:15	36:15 37:6
100:14 102:17	156:6,6,25	levee 104:10,15	listened 9:6	42:1,4 44:2,4
102:20 111:23	158:21 160:14	level 34:9 61:21	lists 19:11	44:13,20 49:8
112:3,6,24	160:14,14	62:1,13 90:10	litigation 9:20	50:15 51:22
113:9 115:22	162:5,19 165:2	90:14 125:24	30:18 56:9	56:11 69:21
115:23 116:16	165:2,17	levels 90:14	85:17 115:10	70:4 73:16,21
119:1 120:8,23	166:18 167:12	120:5	188:23	74:6,9,10 75:8
121:23,24	169:3 170:7,7	license 143:19	little 13:12 17:14	75:23 91:9
125:10 196:18	171:1 174:12	144:6 154:9	22:16 31:16	92:19 97:18
lengthy 120:22	176:9,13 177:2	licensee 154:25	37:1 40:12	100:9,17
let's 8:20,20	178:14 180:12	licensee's 154:21	48:2 57:19	102:15 111:4
11:25 12:15	180:24 182:5,5	lieu 166:23	74:15 78:16	113:20 119:2
18:10 22:16	185:11 186:4	life 199:4	86:8,9 87:11	131:22 132:10
23:24 26:23	189:21 201:14	light 211:1	93:11 97:22	133:14,17
31:16 32:14,15	203:11,22	limit 80:3 84:8	101:25 107:18	135:4,10 136:9
34:14 35:12	205:2 208:3	85:24 122:16	111:22 116:20	144:20 145:4
37:2,3,11 38:4	213:22	126:9,21 153:8	123:13 136:11	145:15 146:18
38:6 42:1,15	letter 32:11,12	153:9	157:25 158:5	146:21,22
42:16,20 43:17	32:25 34:24	limitations 67:4	199:11 204:12	151:25 153:3
44:2 46:24	36:11 37:5,9	80:10	205:19 207:22	154:19 156:1
48:8,9 51:8,8	37:12,16 38:3	limited 78:17	209:7 210:22	156:25 157:19
51:22 56:11,11	38:10 39:23	82:25 84:6,12	211:22,23	162:19 163:15
56:17,17 59:17	41:14 42:1,4	87:13 98:17,23	Littlefield	164:8,11 165:2
60:1 66:5,11	42:10,14 43:6	123:18 126:1,7	170:11	166:24 167:3
66:18 67:1,12	43:19 44:3,14	limits 23:9,21,22	Littlefield's	172:5 174:8
68:9,22 69:20	44:16,19,24	58:16 80:4	170:23	176:11,13
70:4 73:7 74:7	45:3,7,8,15,21	83:14,24	live 9:9 11:6	177:2 181:21
78:4,13,14	45:22,25 46:1	179:25	199:1 207:7,8	182:8,24,24
80:12 87:10	46:3,9,10	line 8:24,24	lived 199:3	183:25 185:11
93:22 94:17,17	64:24 65:9,10	26:25 37:19	LLC 2:18	185:13 187:22
96:12,12 97:18	108:6 110:11	39:14 66:19	located 203:16	191:7 205:2
97:24 100:7,9	139:11,13,16	135:14 139:23	204:4,9	looked 9:21
100:17 101:15	139:22 140:13	157:11 188:10	location 151:8	38:20 39:4
101:25 102:15	140:19,24	188:10 193:5	157:8	49:6 67:12
105:3,17	141:25 143:10	204:11	long 9:17 35:22	68:23 129:4
107:16,17	151:13 160:21	lines 56:18 78:14	100:19 117:20	131:11,17
108:17 109:20	162:6,14	153:5 171:3	129:16 153:9	139:13 144:22
109:20 111:1	171:24 190:18	188:9	153:24 171:17	148:8,9,23
114:13 115:12	194:18 195:11	list 45:19 75:6,8	199:3,10 205:7	149:1 160:9,20
125:25 130:15	195:16	76:12,24 77:3	207:7,8	164:5,12
132:9 133:17	letters 18:7 35:3	77:7,20,22	longer 86:21	173:13 176:24
134:9 135:4,22	35:22 38:21	97:5,8,25	Longworth	181:4,15 188:8
136:9 139:10	39:4 40:12	114:15 115:23	10:21 63:5	looking 8:23

31:25 32:16	117:11 119:16	Marcial 27:13	151:17 153:23	186:10
35:19 36:11	119:16,22	margin 135:11	153:25 157:19	meetings 15:22
44:12 48:3,20	152:3 177:11	190:1 201:12	157:24 159:12	36:22 72:17
54:5,15 56:13	178:9 204:5	marked 109:21	161:15 163:15	106:13,16
68:5 73:4	207:9	134:19	163:21 175:21	108:24 109:7
90:11,12	LRG 201:6,9,15	market 70:7	176:7,8 180:1	mellow 205:19
118:11 122:15	luck 201:23	211:9	181:13 182:3,6	MELLOY 1:11
134:10 155:8	205:10	marketable	182:22,24	5:1,8,11,14,18
156:12 166:22	Luis 51:4 97:13	205:8	183:4,8 184:24	5:24 6:2,9 9:15
170:2,5 172:16		marketing	189:17 191:6	11:12 18:17
175:3,24	M	199:23 207:5	meaning 69:6	28:17,24 29:17
189:19 206:15	M 2:1	married 210:11	140:2 182:1	40:4,22,25
looks 49:5 54:12	M&I 71:19 72:1	210:12	means 10:14	41:12,24 45:16
57:23 61:11	Machine 1:13	Master 1:11	45:11 63:22	46:4,13,20
107:25 108:9	machines	25:19 26:1	80:2 118:17	52:16,19,25
110:19 196:17	210:22	28:7 122:14	137:7,18,21	57:11,14,16,21
loosely 158:1	main 62:16	124:12,23	179:24 190:13	58:8 64:4,21
Lopez 188:14	203:25 204:20	Master's 26:7	meant 17:17	65:3,6,14,24
Lopez's 188:25	204:23 209:14	material 164:2	157:24	71:4 76:11
loss 110:24	maintain 206:25	materials 8:16	measure 28:4	78:3 80:14,20
143:9 144:1,5	207:24	131:17 163:16	194:8	80:22 81:1,4
losses 177:9	maintenance	163:16 164:11	measurement	81:12 83:21
178:6	116:14 206:23	174:17	61:9	86:6 90:5
lost 105:15	212:20	matter 1:11	measurements	112:5,19
lot 14:9 21:24	major 75:20	101:9 143:17	49:21	115:20 116:18
36:22 54:21	majority 91:21	190:11	measuring 27:9	121:20,23
74:17 118:18	145:6	matters 121:10	mechanism	122:1,5,12,25
118:22 123:4	making 6:22	151:18 190:20	68:25 70:11	123:13 124:7
126:4 132:25	7:22 18:9	mature 205:8	mechanisms	125:9,13 127:2
133:10 143:3	82:13 117:9	206:17 209:19	71:18	127:8,10,19,22
157:21 176:5,5	118:3,23 187:5	210:18 211:25	Mechem 135:15	127:25 128:3,7
176:24 187:23	Mall 2:9	maturing 210:1	135:17,25	128:15 136:10
lots 181:9	managed 184:1	maturity 205:15	136:25 139:11	136:17,21
Louis 162:6	management	Maxey 37:9	139:12 140:3	138:7,18,21,24
165:7 169:23	111:5 189:10	43:10 45:7	151:10	140:8,10
170:6,19 171:5	206:22 207:1,3	46:9	Mechem's	141:11 149:14
171:9,20	213:16	mean 14:9 24:10	136:23 140:18	150:6 158:4,11
173:14	manager 12:23	31:10 33:21	meet 73:9 79:1	158:14,17
lower 37:21	13:1,17 64:25	69:7 78:25	88:14	170:18,20
59:22 61:1	194:22 199:11	79:13,23,24	meeting 14:19	193:17,21,25
62:4 66:7	manages 56:2	98:20 104:20	14:21 15:16	195:3,7,20,23
67:17,20 69:19	managing	106:1 120:25	17:22 19:2,12	196:3,7,10,15
69:22 73:18	203:10	131:25 140:18	36:16,23,24	196:24 197:4,9
74:19 80:3	March 14:22	140:23 141:8	42:25 43:7,9	197:13,19
83:3 97:5	53:3 55:4 66:8	141:20 144:8	54:4 94:23	198:16,19
104:7 109:11	208:18,21	144:16,20	129:18 135:6,8	213:19
114:18 115:8	209:1	145:11 148:3	142:19 145:19	member 199:18

199:21 203:10	23:10,11,14,21	186:15,25	131:15 176:25	91:14 92:4
members 200:3	23:23 25:6,13	187:4,7 188:3	189:14	monitored 121:1
memo 49:11	26:18,25 27:3	188:5,7,11,18	minor 199:7	monitoring 92:2
118:12	27:19,20,23	189:21 196:1	minute 37:2	93:8
memorandum	28:8,12,19	196:19,23	91:21 95:6	monitors 91:2
47:6,10,25	29:6,7,15,25	197:23 198:1,3	165:18	monsoonal
48:3,11 94:21	30:5,11,16,22	198:4,5,8,8,8,9	minutes 9:7	212:1,2
94:22 95:11	32:5,10 34:24	199:2,18 200:4	14:24 15:1	MONTGOM...
96:4 99:6,19	34:25 35:7	200:16,17,19	127:5 134:10	2:13
146:13	36:3 37:5	201:3,7 203:11	134:23 135:6,8	month 93:17
Memorandums	42:17 45:2	203:12,18,22	142:18,24	morning 5:4,6,9
95:4	46:11 49:19	203:23 205:2,5	143:1 145:19	5:12,16 6:17
Memorial	53:24 56:20	206:15 208:4	156:4 158:6,7	6:18 57:20
209:10	57:7 58:3 63:9	211:25 212:22	158:15 189:22	64:10,11
memories 18:1	64:23 65:9	213:17	189:23 190:5	210:16 213:23
123:22	66:6 69:20	Mexico's 7:2	196:5,8 213:21	213:25
memory 176:23	79:1,3,21 81:6	21:17 28:22	Miscellaneous	Moseley 194:22
mention 9:25	83:3,9 84:7	29:20 30:1,5	70:25 71:13	mother 202:19
66:15 81:5	85:5 86:13,19	30:12 81:16	mischaracteri...	202:21
132:6 163:22	87:6 95:1 98:3	83:24 84:21	83:18	MOU 49:25
177:18 210:12	98:8 103:10	85:10,25 120:9	mischaracteri...	50:10 95:14
mentioned	106:4 107:20	121:5	86:7 140:6	96:12 98:17
19:19 108:17	109:13,16,21	Mexico/Texas	mischaracteri...	99:16 120:24
124:22 151:7	114:1,18 115:7	145:24	86:4	Mountain
156:4	117:18 120:4	mic 136:12	misheard 80:25	213:24
mesas 151:12	120:15 121:1	MICHAEL 1:11	misquoted	MOUs 95:7,19
Mesilla 68:4,7	122:21 123:21	microphone	177:14	move 13:12
150:24 152:5	124:14 125:3	136:15	missed 28:25	26:23 31:16
156:16 165:22	127:15,19	middle 45:15	174:25	80:12 87:10
166:15 167:6	129:12,15	73:23 104:5,24	mistake 162:25	90:4 100:7
167:14 201:25	130:2,18 131:9	116:4,6,8,12	mistaken 10:19	105:3 108:16
203:17 204:20	131:13,17,18	118:1 133:21	147:22	108:17 151:24
messy 144:18	131:21 132:3,7	142:22 150:23	mister 129:24	158:20
145:4	132:10,15,25	161:3 172:19	Model 51:6 96:3	moved 13:4,6
met 18:24	133:10 134:13	185:13 209:2	96:8	190:16
129:10	134:19 135:4,5	210:11 212:9	modified 27:6,8	moving 117:16
meter 92:24	136:1 137:17	miles 203:17	27:9,11 58:12	118:15,25
metering 89:24	137:20 138:2	204:11	58:20 79:2	120:7 195:25
91:24 93:8	142:18 145:18	million 116:10	modify 98:20	multiple 14:12
meterings 92:5	146:21 153:3	211:14	moisture 208:19	106:17
method 147:5	154:7 156:16	Miltenberger	moment 150:16	municipal 69:3
147:10 157:8	156:19 158:22	166:9 176:18	150:18,18	69:14,15 70:7
Mexico 1:6 2:12	159:22 161:4	179:14	151:8,15	70:15
2:14,19 5:2,8	161:21 162:16	Miltenberger's	168:10 207:23	
7:11 8:6 15:11	163:25 169:4	165:25	money 114:17	N
21:5,9,11,14	172:2 176:10	mind 39:9	monitor 89:22	N 2:1
22:6,18 23:1,2	185:13,19	126:13 130:25	90:25 91:4,7	name 42:5 44:6

203:5	never 39:17	127:19 129:12	non-irrigation	106:16
nascent 165:11	53:25 54:2	129:15 130:2	70:10	nut 207:4 209:9
165:16 168:11	103:18 104:1	130:18 131:9	non-stream	211:12
168:14 178:19	107:5,5 130:24	131:13,17,18	67:19	nuts 209:18
national 183:12	211:22	131:20 132:3,7	Norm 12:16	210:23 212:3
186:5,19	new 1:6 2:12,14	132:10,15,25	34:16	
199:22 200:7	2:19 5:2,8 7:2	133:10 134:13	normal 41:4	O
nationwide	7:11 8:5 13:5	134:18 135:4,4	192:14	O 2:1
200:20,22	15:10 19:1	136:1 137:17	normally 42:12	oath 6:4 128:9
native 134:16	21:5,9,11,14	137:20 138:2	60:7 157:6	object 9:4 28:15
211:24	21:17 22:6,18	142:17 145:18	north 204:11,12	40:1 65:15
naturally 178:3	23:1,2,10,11	145:23 146:21	northern 204:22	71:2 83:16
178:12	23:14,21,23	147:4 148:3,14	not-so-large	86:3 149:10
nature 194:10	25:6,12 26:24	153:3 154:7	211:20	objected 61:4
211:18	27:3,18,20,23	156:16,19	note 37:17	objecting 133:24
navigability	28:8,12,19,22	157:4 158:22	101:21 190:1	objection 11:13
170:3,9	29:5,7,15,20	159:22 161:4	noted 22:17	28:21 29:9
near 204:20	29:25 30:1,5,5	161:21 162:14	61:14,20,24	46:13,15 65:3
nearby 167:18	30:11,12,16,22	162:16 169:4	62:16	65:5,14 78:2
necessarily	32:5,10 34:23	172:2 176:10	notes 15:5 63:9	90:1 138:5,16
28:13 53:18	34:25 35:7	185:13,19	63:14,18	138:25 140:6
170:4 175:22	36:2 37:5	186:15 187:7	notice 52:10	141:9 170:13
necessary 23:13	42:17 45:2	188:3,5,6,11	53:12,25 117:1	197:25
31:13 59:10	46:11 49:18	188:18 189:21	117:2,5,14	obligation 26:24
81:25 98:25	53:24 56:20	196:1,19,23	160:17	27:3,16,23
106:4,21	57:7 58:3 59:6	197:22 198:1,2	notices 160:17	57:7 58:4
109:18 113:21	63:9 64:22	198:4,5,7,8,8,8	160:19 165:3,4	obligations
152:7 181:6	65:9 66:6 67:5	199:2,18 200:1	notion 160:17	56:25 79:1,6
need 25:7,14	67:9 69:10,20	200:15,17,19	NOVEMBER	observed 171:5
29:21 70:18	70:17 79:1,2	201:3,7 203:11	1:12	observing 37:18
81:8 102:3	79:21 81:6,16	203:12,17,22	number 8:18	obtained 157:3
103:11,12	83:3,9,24 84:4	203:23 205:2,5	14:14 16:21	175:6,21
119:2 197:4,14	84:5,6,7,21	206:15 208:4	39:4 68:20	obtaining
209:22	85:4,10,25	210:10 212:21	71:24 72:14	102:13
needed 125:21	86:12,19 87:6	213:17	74:16 92:20	obviously 87:21
126:12 151:3,3	95:1 98:2,7	next-to-the-last	106:7 113:14	144:8
needs 65:22	102:14 103:9	32:16 33:6	113:18 114:8	occasions 9:19
207:2 208:23	106:4,24	nine 206:1	123:19 124:3	72:14
negotiate 72:6	107:20 109:21	nitrogen 213:11	192:1,16 206:7	occur 16:19
negotiations	114:1,17 115:7	NM 156:16	numbered	115:1 210:6
106:19 108:3	117:18 120:4,9	NM-2 36:3	135:24	occurred 62:5
135:20 142:9	120:15,25	NM-616-0013	numbers 14:6	62:12 111:13
186:20	121:4 122:21	66:13	22:15 36:7,9	111:15 123:11
negotiators	123:6,20	non-agricultu...	39:19 60:10	124:13,21
142:6,13	124:14 125:2	69:2,4,13	123:14	occurring
neighborhood	125:18,20	non-binding	numeral 190:21	183:18
205:23,25	126:8 127:15	101:14	numerous 9:19	occurs 14:20,21

30:8 54:4	20:5,5,11,15	156:1,6,12	open 204:17,17	150:9 154:16
86:17 91:24	24:23 29:17	157:1,15 158:3	operate 105:20	184:21
October 6:19	31:16 32:14	158:11,14,14	operating 54:10	opportunity
12:20 33:8	34:14,23 35:5	158:20 159:22	54:17 55:2,6	123:25
38:25 128:24	35:10,17,25	160:3,10 161:2	71:10 72:22	Orchard 206:17
128:25 129:10	36:5 37:10	161:7,13 162:5	73:5 92:13	orchards 207:25
130:6,9 134:11	38:1 40:25	162:13,19	106:6,10,13,14	order 25:20 26:2
167:23 189:1,9	42:8,15 43:12	163:9,9 164:7	106:22 107:12	70:17,19 88:7
210:3,3 212:4	43:17,23 44:2	164:17 165:2	108:4 202:17	88:10,14
212:7,9	44:10,13,18	165:17,25	operation 34:17	141:13 181:12
odds 168:9	45:6 47:4,9,14	166:3,6,18,22	136:2 202:25	209:22
offer 6:25 7:10	47:17,19,24	167:8,11,25	operational 35:1	ordering 25:14
7:23 8:13 9:25	48:7,20 49:2	168:4,12,19	55:15,19 56:6	orders 25:11
10:1,12,13	51:8,10 53:9	169:19,21	operations 7:22	89:23 91:1,3
39:7 45:19	53:17 60:2,10	170:7 171:3,8	8:12 9:23 11:2	126:21
63:1 64:20	60:16,18,18	171:12,18	28:20 29:6	ordinary 42:12
65:2,7,13,25	61:7,13 67:1	172:1 173:9,13	30:1,12 31:8	organize 210:17
66:2 81:6,9	69:18 71:24	173:23 174:6,8	40:6 51:5,15	original 1:1 5:1
150:9	73:7 76:16,21	174:12,23	52:15 53:24	188:2 202:13
offered 39:10	77:19,24 80:20	175:3,10 177:2	88:10 89:12,17	203:14,16
81:6 117:2	81:4 82:11	177:16 178:5	92:15 96:3,8	originally
offering 159:14	83:6 84:9,20	178:14 179:24	102:8 103:19	164:24 169:1
offers 64:17	87:10 88:2	180:9,12,12,24	104:2,19	outcome 109:15
office 3:7 13:5	91:23 93:14,22	181:22,25	105:11,22,25	outlying 204:1
49:19 68:21	97:18 99:25	182:5 183:14	106:5 118:17	overall 34:4 37:8
69:11 107:20	100:7 102:10	185:11 186:4	192:7,12	39:5 54:6
119:23 125:21	104:13 105:3	188:17,25	199:12 201:15	88:10 91:9
215:16	105:17 108:7	189:3,14,21	204:20,21,25	113:19 116:12
official 131:21	108:15 112:24	190:10 191:16	206:17	120:13
203:9	115:12,22	192:18,18,24	opined 153:17	overarching
officials 22:25	126:19 127:8	193:7,14	opinion 10:11	58:23
131:10	129:20 130:15	196:15	30:22 130:17	overlook 145:11
offset 70:18,19	132:1,6,9	older 141:24	131:6,10	overly 11:18
71:15 103:6,12	133:12,17	oldest 141:22	136:25 137:15	overreach
177:10 178:7	134:9,13 135:1	207:11,13	138:1,6,17	178:20,21,24
offsets 123:8	135:14 136:9	once 14:20,21	139:19 144:25	179:24 180:6,9
oh 6:2 12:3	136:16 137:9	19:25 20:1	148:25 152:21	overrule 11:13
32:11 33:1	137:13 138:20	59:15 86:19	153:14 155:15	29:18 138:25
35:19,25 46:7	139:6,10,15,19	89:2 209:6	158:21 159:14	Overruled 138:7
47:10 50:20,23	142:4,17 143:6	oncoming	160:3 163:4,8	overstepping
63:11 81:3	143:15,25	212:15	163:9 164:9	179:1,4
105:8 115:6	144:5 145:9,17	one's 18:12	165:10 178:15	owed 186:25
130:24 190:2	146:6,12,18,23	one-page 39:15	178:24 179:8	owned 134:2
okay 8:10 12:3,8	147:1,8 149:24	ones 15:24 68:7	180:13,14,14	140:1,4
12:25 13:10,14	150:6 151:22	71:21,22 73:16	180:21,22	
14:16 15:14	152:21 154:6	98:24	192:4,6,11	
16:1,12 19:19	155:10,21	onions 204:17	opinions 144:7	

P

P 2:1,1

p.m 214:2	172:2 173:16	62:9 65:7,24	178:6,7	pecans 204:16
page 4:3 7:20	176:11,21	66:1 67:18	parts 23:18	204:24 205:17
8:24 17:8	178:15 184:19	79:19 81:6,8	39:10,10,21,25	205:18 206:12
18:20 20:25	184:23 185:12	83:17 85:16	41:2,11 168:16	207:7 211:1,5
21:19 32:15,15	185:14,19	86:24,25 87:4	177:10 178:7	211:6,8,10,12
33:6,24 35:12	186:4 187:21	87:9 88:23,23	186:17 200:25	212:5,16
35:17,23 36:7	189:23,25	90:15 93:14	partway 137:14	Pecos 26:24,25
36:9,10 37:2,3	205:3,4,6	106:18 114:21	party 50:8 96:17	27:14 133:8,9
38:4,6,6 39:13	206:16 208:4	114:21,22	Paseo 2:14,18	Pegram 20:25
41:14 42:3,4	pages 37:10	118:13 119:16	Paso 34:1 37:21	people 9:23 13:4
42:15,20 43:7	41:22 42:2	120:12,13	55:10,16 63:17	18:15 70:3
44:4,7,9,10,16	43:17 44:2,10	121:4,10 122:9	71:24 73:5	183:25 184:1
44:20,23 47:5	44:13,18,23	149:21,21	118:14 143:10	186:18,19
47:11,11,18	45:6,10,11,16	152:16,17	185:18,20	208:5,10
48:5,9 50:16	45:20,20,22,25	154:22,24	186:14,22	Peralta 2:14,18
51:9,12,22,23	46:6,8 48:24	155:1,13,22	190:18 201:19	percent 34:5
53:11 56:13	74:8,8,18,22	167:4,9,19	201:20,22	54:6 68:17
59:21 60:1	117:23 135:9	175:23 176:2,4	213:1	70:1 84:24
66:17 67:1,12	187:24 215:6	177:25 185:8	pass 202:3,15,21	85:4,5,10,12
67:14 69:20	paid 92:23	185:11 188:4	passed 162:15	85:19,25 86:13
73:7,10 74:1,2	paragraph	194:16,21,23	162:24 164:21	87:2 118:16
74:11,19,19	36:15 37:16	204:22 205:5	202:22	120:8,12,16
75:11,11,13	56:17 73:8	206:15,23	passing 118:18	percolated 85:23
78:10,13,14	79:19 100:18	208:18 209:4	Pat 63:13,16	percolates 85:12
96:12 100:16	103:7,9 111:10	211:20 212:12	65:10 107:7,21	86:1,14
101:19,20	132:12 133:20	participation	107:22	period 13:3 14:1
102:21 105:8	136:24 137:13	18:5 19:5,11	pathway 21:6	37:18 54:22
105:17 107:18	139:16,25	particular 30:14	paved 186:10	60:21,23 62:1
108:1 109:22	142:21 152:1	49:23 68:24	PDF 66:11 68:22	62:5,9,17,25
109:23 110:3	154:19 157:1,3	74:9 88:19	69:20 70:4	84:11 86:18
110:14 112:25	162:19 169:5,7	101:17 113:4	96:21 97:21	106:1 122:17
113:10 116:7	173:20 175:3,4	125:24 139:23	98:6 100:16	123:3 126:5,14
130:17 132:9	176:12,13	151:15 170:19	102:21 111:1	131:19 146:25
132:24 133:18	177:3 185:14	191:8 192:7,11	114:14 134:17	161:23
133:19,20	paragraphs	particularly	171:1	periods 60:25
134:18 135:7	140:20 146:25	11:16 117:13	peach 212:5,5	62:2
135:10,10,15	paraphrase	167:17	pecan 63:1	permanent
135:22 139:11	147:8	parties 5:3 14:15	199:9,16,19,22	190:1,7,11,21
142:17,20,21	paraphrased	26:17 31:14	200:2,7,21,22	191:1,4,10
145:18 146:22	147:14	46:17 97:12	200:24 201:3,8	192:8 194:15
151:23,24	parenthesis	99:21 106:17	201:11 205:2,7	194:16,23
153:2 154:7,18	169:11	127:12 185:3	205:8,13,14	permit 69:12
156:6,25	parents 202:4	185:22 187:3	206:17 207:8	102:25 103:11
158:22 160:20	part 10:22 21:15	215:10,14	207:25 209:9	103:12,21,25
161:4 162:5,20	23:6 29:1	parties' 130:19	210:6,17,18,25	104:23 123:8
167:3,22 169:3	53:10 55:21	131:1	211:18,23	permits 56:24
171:1,2,23	56:1,9 58:14	partly 177:9	212:8	78:21 102:4,13

104:18 193:4	116:4,7 119:17	187:21 213:22	preamble 193:3	199:17,20
permitted	planning 67:18	pointed 87:17	preceded 133:16	press 131:3
185:22	69:22 75:20,23	100:8 102:10	predated 163:18	pretty 19:17
permitting 80:8	plans 115:5	119:4 144:24	164:5	200:23 201:5
103:5	145:23 156:3	185:15	predecessor	prevented
person 63:23	plant 34:2	pointing 145:6	133:13	125:18
84:4	205:23 207:24	points 155:15	preexisting	previous 20:3
personally 208:8	211:4	211:11 212:19	191:4	36:12 62:2
perspective	planted 207:12	pop 48:23 51:22	preface 91:17	65:19 105:1
29:20 118:11	Planting 205:7	56:17 60:19	prefer 40:16	previously 6:13
201:6	Plata 22:23 23:1	66:18 68:22	preference	56:12 77:11
pest 209:8	23:8,16,24,25	73:8 78:14	213:18	100:12 101:16
pests 206:22	117:1	96:13 97:24	preliminary	128:19 135:5
209:14	play 8:20	101:19,20	109:24 110:21	primarily 12:7
Phoenix 162:10	played 9:2 133:4	102:22 105:9	112:17 113:2,4	104:12 113:16
171:24	163:7	107:19 115:15	113:8 146:12	115:1 116:14
phosphorous	please 9:1 32:8	pops 62:11	146:14	primary 14:3
213:12	35:11 37:17	population	preparation	principal 117:5
phrase 53:19	39:15 46:25	69:19,22 70:1	10:10 11:16,19	principles 117:1
picking 128:23	47:5 48:24	70:6	19:1 97:3	prior 13:22
piece 7:18 14:2	50:16 59:19	portion 11:10	prepare 6:21,25	15:17 27:11
38:3 75:20	64:24 68:23	30:5 84:7 94:3	10:6 18:25	81:24 105:21
76:18 80:1	118:3 127:7,14	104:24 152:2	19:13 38:25	123:9,18 126:5
83:24 86:17	196:25 199:15	177:6	51:1 67:8	126:24 134:2,9
104:21 109:4	plus 26:17 68:16	posed 83:19	128:25	137:24 140:1,4
110:9 117:13	point 9:25 10:4	position 9:16	prepared 42:24	141:4 146:9
pieces 26:6	10:8 22:13	13:1 63:20	172:15	148:23 169:16
32:24 59:9,13	24:24 27:9,11	188:20	preparing 132:1	170:9 183:16
73:25 78:12	27:12 28:6	positions 196:6	132:21	183:23 184:8
86:19 96:5	30:7,24 32:16	possible 65:19	preponderance	184:17 189:1
106:8	33:6 34:18	possibly 136:11	144:16	priority 141:5
piezometric	39:11 43:12	post 3:7 84:9	present 15:6	141:12,18,19
60:13	48:10 50:16,21	132:13	115:7 119:25	141:21,24
pin 155:23	51:1 57:17	post-Compact	180:19,20	142:1,7,10,14
place 56:8 64:16	66:18 67:2	130:20 131:12	199:25	159:15,24
68:25 71:11	68:23,24 69:21	potassium	presentation	private 186:16
73:6 79:10	70:5 97:2,10	213:12	44:9 93:20	privy 25:11
88:20 113:23	97:18 104:16	potential 148:23	168:13	probably 92:25
147:5	110:19 111:20	potentially 89:5	presentations	95:11 148:19
places 114:24	117:9 118:3,5	118:14 167:19	42:22 43:2	152:24 178:25
Plaintiff 1:4 2:2	118:11 122:21	pound 212:17	presented 93:15	180:11 194:25
plan 52:11 53:13	125:20 126:6	pounds 211:15	96:22 145:24	problem 39:24
59:22 66:7	134:14 140:14	power 57:19	149:7	41:6 82:22
67:16 73:8,10	143:1 144:19	89:8	presently 68:25	121:16
73:11,15,18,19	155:18 158:7	powers 88:21	preserving	problems 67:13
75:19 76:13	167:20 172:8	89:13	187:6	procedures 52:3
77:16 115:8	179:12 180:5	Practices 111:5	president	53:5 54:8,15

proceed 5:25 6:2 6:10 81:13 128:16 158:19 198:12,18	31:6,8 33:15 34:20,21 51:4 51:4 52:15 53:23 54:1,6 55:11,18 62:3 62:11,15 69:1 70:9 71:5,18 71:25 73:5,24 77:8,11,14,20 81:16,19,22,25 82:8,10,15,25 83:7 84:2,7,22 84:24 85:5,9 85:11,20,24 86:22,24,25 87:5,17,18 88:10,22 89:7 89:12,17,23 90:25 91:7 92:10,14 93:17 93:23 94:2 97:8,14,15,16 103:20,22,25 104:2,25 105:6 105:25 106:5 110:1 118:9,17 120:13,19 121:2,10 122:6 122:10 131:11 134:2 139:7,20 140:1,4,5 142:7,13 143:21 145:23 147:10,21 148:22 149:1,3 152:10,16,17 152:19 153:19 153:21 154:11 154:22 155:2,6 155:14 156:15 157:5,5 158:23 159:24 161:9 163:20,21 164:13,17,19 164:20,22,23 165:19 168:21 168:21,25,25	169:2,10,11,17 169:25 170:2 170:17 171:10 171:14,21 172:4,5,6,8,12 173:24,25,25 175:5 176:3,6 177:7 179:20 180:15,17,18 181:1,5,7,8,11 181:13 182:2,6 182:8,9,14,17 182:19,25 183:1,4,15,17 183:23 184:2,2 184:4,7,12 186:21 189:5 189:11 190:8 190:12,13,22 191:18 192:7 192:12 194:16 194:17,18,21 194:24 195:14 195:18 Project's 159:15 projects 12:22 51:15 75:23 76:1,13,24 77:2,22 97:13 104:7,8,10,12 104:19 105:20 160:6 161:19 162:3 168:20 169:10 173:17 174:4,7,24 175:2 181:23 Promotion 200:2 proof 64:17 65:2 65:13,25 66:2 81:7,9 proper 68:10 property 21:11 154:25 proposal 106:14 186:13 188:2 proposals 186:7	186:7 proposed 106:18 proposition 205:21 protect 10:15 21:17 28:23 29:7 30:1,13 206:24 protected 8:5 protection 142:6 142:13 protects 191:18 prove 124:1,5 140:23 proven 141:22 provide 16:17 42:24 52:9,9 53:10 59:12 97:4 98:4,9,12 98:17 provided 17:22 17:24 37:24 53:25 105:9 provides 21:8,11 51:3 58:15 providing 14:6 50:3,9 117:14 provision 23:9 23:20 119:9 pruning 208:21 208:22 211:21 public 34:1 publication 146:13 169:6 published 146:7 pull 32:18 35:15 59:11,18 61:1 63:8 64:23 65:8 74:8,12 81:24 100:10 109:20 115:12 116:6 160:14 170:7 172:13 pulled 167:22 pulling 74:24 pump 77:25 105:1 153:22	207:25 pumped 68:14 143:20 154:10 154:20 155:13 pumping 82:19 84:2,10,11,18 109:9,12 111:1 111:3,12,14,17 112:11 113:12 113:22 114:1 122:13,18,23 122:25 123:11 123:17 125:24 126:1,5,9,22 130:22 131:21 133:25 134:19 139:20 140:21 146:24 147:2,9 152:4 153:8 156:8 157:4,7 157:23 161:20 162:3 163:13 166:14 167:18 169:1 174:14 178:2 194:7,10 194:21,23 purchase 73:20 73:21 75:14 76:4 212:21,24 purchased 73:2 77:12 213:6 purchasing 75:4 76:18 77:3 purely 11:3 purpose 104:2 purposes 58:13 69:16 70:25 71:13 72:1 74:11 103:19 111:3 112:10 115:15,18 187:4 pursue 187:1 put 9:1 18:8,10 32:7 35:10 36:19 37:4,11 42:16 47:21
---	---	--	---	--

56:8 73:12,18 84:5 88:13 141:23 143:7 155:23 168:5 210:21 211:3,6 211:8 213:5,10 putting 14:5,8 206:2	88:6 questions 9:18 11:8 13:8,11 29:14 64:3,5 78:9,15 88:5 94:18 96:19,20 116:17 117:15 120:10 121:18 124:8 125:8 164:8 175:15 175:17 191:16 192:19 193:19 195:2 quickly 62:13 145:18 166:24 quite 11:5 20:7 23:15 29:18 33:2 77:10 80:15 120:24 139:14 152:24 158:5 179:11 207:15 211:22 Quitman 56:24 78:21 119:13 quotation 161:3 quote 21:8,22 23:4,10 28:12 52:9 53:11 57:5 58:2 162:14 167:15 171:6 177:2 178:5 191:24 quoting 23:15 161:4,7	213:23 reaches 177:7 reaching 144:7 read 8:25 9:10 11:9 38:14 48:2 74:13 81:23 101:12 101:25 139:16 140:12,13,14 140:15,18 141:25 142:8 142:22 143:3 143:11 147:1 147:19 149:17 151:9,14 152:7 161:3,8 163:6 167:13 177:21 reading 115:25 116:10 133:10 134:9 175:12 175:13 ready 5:25 80:23 80:25 81:4 127:11 196:3 196:11,13 really 10:2 13:10 30:9 35:22 56:9 62:12 65:25 75:24 91:20 117:10 118:8 123:11 159:13 163:15 182:23 realtime 52:20 54:12 149:17 reason 8:2 28:2 73:17 85:16 175:10 177:14 211:21 212:12 reasons 33:19 rebuttal 130:16 130:17 131:10 169:3 172:1 173:16 recall 6:20,22,23 7:6,8 8:6,8,16 12:23,24 16:23	16:24 17:11 18:6,7 20:17 21:22 22:1,2,3 23:5 31:23 34:18 35:2,3,8 36:19 50:11 51:15,17 52:12 53:14 56:14 59:24 63:4 77:10,17 78:8 79:4,5 81:17 81:18 84:20,23 87:20 102:1 105:13 108:19 109:9 117:18 119:6,17 142:15,16 144:5 154:15 155:11 163:5 164:25 166:13 166:16 171:16 176:15,18,20 181:24 185:7 187:25 193:3 193:12 receive 18:4 23:12 93:18 received 19:4,11 25:17,18 38:15 receiving 92:14 Recess 80:21 127:9 158:16 196:9 recitation 73:13 100:15 reclamation 14:10,14 17:19 24:1 25:7,13 25:22 26:4,8 31:7,11 33:3 33:12,14,22 35:7 36:13 42:22 48:13 50:18,25 52:2 54:5,19 55:18 56:3 64:24 70:14,24 72:7	72:18 81:20 89:4,9 90:15 93:1 94:4,4,7,9 94:13 95:20 96:15,23 97:11 98:4,8,12,21 100:25 101:22 106:9,21 107:5 107:11 109:6 110:4,12 130:19 131:4 136:6 143:8 145:21 152:22 153:14,17,19 154:1 157:16 160:6 162:3 168:20,24 169:9 171:4 172:10,24 173:7,22 174:21 175:14 176:8,16 177:5 178:20 179:2,3 180:7,25 181:23 183:11 183:13 184:12 194:6,19 Reclamation's 98:16 118:6 recognition 194:15 recognize 94:20 recollect 129:2 recollection 8:15 19:14 36:20 147:21 162:4 166:25 170:17 171:8 recommend 77:6 recommendati... 16:17 73:12,14 100:23 101:9 recommended 111:16 reconnaissance 145:22 155:24
Q				
qualified 57:6 58:3 qualify 93:11 quality 118:15 quantify 124:24 question 8:6 11:2 13:9,13 15:4 17:9,12 20:2 24:10,14 28:25 29:21 30:10 57:25 58:17,22 63:7 63:12 64:14 68:1 76:4,9,10 76:21,23 79:18 81:21 83:17,19 85:24 86:5,12 89:6 90:2 91:18 93:7,7 94:6 98:16 111:23,24 112:9,10,20 113:9 115:21 116:25 117:17 123:10,15 125:11 126:16 136:2 138:8 139:1 140:16 148:6,20 149:23 165:3 168:5 172:15 175:20 184:19 184:25 193:23 194:11 195:8 198:14 207:10 questioning 64:12 78:5,16	R			
	R 2:1,1 3:2 rainfall 137:16 rains 212:1,2 raise 196:25 raised 108:19 ranchers 200:17 range 207:16 rate 212:16 raw 49:9 97:25 98:4,9,17 re-adjourn			

156:2 157:20	97:25 110:12	83:7,9 89:6	41:22 43:14	reliance 169:1
168:23 194:5	114:6 175:6,19	93:8 98:11	44:15 50:19	relies 25:21 26:3
record 19:6	178:1 181:10	103:17,24	54:24 84:18	relinquish 28:13
39:22 40:10,17	194:9	104:18 105:10	96:25 104:3,23	rely 26:8 144:15
41:21 46:5,5	referenced	110:22 111:2	109:9 114:25	remain 11:20
46:10 52:24	97:20 174:14	112:7	116:9 118:7	remark 126:14
60:21,23,25	176:2 177:17	regarding 32:23	125:12 150:25	remember 9:22
64:19 127:12	references 47:16	34:17 35:1	170:2 175:16	9:24 10:3 23:6
133:24 134:14	92:20 102:25	37:14 42:11	176:5	26:6 32:12
134:17,21	160:13 173:5	48:14 53:25	relates 49:25	33:3 34:3
141:20 145:5	173:10 175:24	57:4 58:1	50:10 54:20	53:18 96:3
146:16 148:16	referencing	64:25 95:22	69:8 79:14	102:5 110:21
148:22,25	36:16 78:18	102:3 108:25	112:2,8,16	124:4 130:22
150:11 164:9	referred 34:23	174:7 175:25	131:15 164:2	133:15 134:11
171:19,20	47:18 141:20	190:20	189:20	134:24 135:17
180:4 182:21	159:9 177:22	regardless 184:5	relation 159:24	147:23 155:7
185:4 193:8	186:17 189:5	184:5	193:10	156:9 160:25
194:9 196:17	referring 53:20	regards 39:13	relationship	161:10 162:16
196:20	69:3 73:24	75:18 84:17	83:25 84:15	163:6 166:7,12
recorded 156:23	93:20 110:7	region 67:8,18	122:16,19	167:19 189:8
records 108:7	113:16,25	67:21 69:22	150:12,25	189:12 191:19
142:4 144:17	133:5 134:5	70:6	167:16 168:7	192:2,18,21
147:17 148:7	143:13,23	regional 59:22	179:6,16	193:5
181:14 183:13	146:1 159:6	66:7 73:11,15	relative 88:10	remembering
recoveries 120:6	160:12 167:1	119:17 200:21	relatively 13:4	129:3 167:8
Recross 4:5,8	171:6 172:3	regions 75:23	release 20:10	remind 6:3
125:14 195:9	178:1 186:12	Registration	24:12,25 26:11	120:3
Red 27:2	189:4,18	215:23	26:15 33:25	reminded 9:18
redirect 4:5,7	197:10	regular 14:21	85:22 86:1	REMOTELY
116:19,21	refers 79:10,16	40:18 215:10	87:19 88:17,20	1:12
193:22,24	138:4 181:4	215:12	94:1 111:14	repair 206:25
194:1	reflected 14:25	regularly 119:21	131:4 192:14	repeat 29:21
reduced 90:15	22:15 55:4	121:1,9	released 82:1	142:9 149:16
reduction 152:4	reflection 62:23	regulations 50:4	85:11,12 86:13	184:3 192:10
152:6	refocused 74:5	58:19 78:7,12	88:7,14 118:12	repeated 183:3
reductions	refresh 19:14	78:17,18 101:3	118:21,22	replace 206:25
113:22	36:20 171:8	102:24 132:16	122:7 152:14	replied 84:23
redundant 88:6	176:23	132:20 192:21	releases 24:1	85:1
181:17	refreshes 166:25	192:25 193:10	33:13,17 64:14	report 16:6
refer 33:23 87:6	refreshing	reject 211:5	88:12 89:23	17:10,16 47:1
138:3 159:12	170:16	rejected 63:1	99:4 108:25	47:11 51:2
182:18 184:18	regard 8:1,19	relate 89:17	113:23 118:9	59:23 69:18
186:8 198:2	20:14 24:4	163:18 164:12	152:2,12	78:6,11 101:18
203:25	27:15 28:4	164:14 187:24	releasing 159:19	102:21,25
reference 37:8	34:15 64:14	related 8:16	relevance 65:21	103:17 104:11
69:13 70:13	65:11 72:18	17:7 20:23	relevant 74:5	104:21 110:1,6
73:10 85:4	78:5 79:9 82:1	22:17 31:17	75:10 185:4	110:20,24

112:2 113:2,2 113:5,7,25 114:6,10 130:17 131:23 132:10 133:18 138:22,25 139:25 140:7,9 143:8,13 144:1 144:6,10,11,12 144:15 145:22 145:22,25 146:3,7,10,14 146:19 147:19 147:22 149:13 150:7 153:3 155:19,22,24 156:2,3,14 157:14,20 158:1,22 163:17 164:12 165:15 167:4 168:23 169:3 170:8,21 171:4 171:16 172:1,9 172:21,23 173:8,16 176:10,15,20 176:22 177:4 178:16 179:13 180:13 181:10 184:15,22 185:5,11,12 186:2,5 187:10 187:13 189:1,1 194:5 reported 1:13 20:14 33:22 37:14 38:23 54:3 166:11 169:12 reporter 1:13 15:5 57:12,15 57:18,23 129:23 215:4 REPORTER'S 4:11 Reporters	215:23 reporting 48:18 96:18 113:4,15 175:1 194:20 reports 16:3 17:22,24 18:4 19:15 21:1,1 90:20 92:19 94:10 104:22 113:18 114:5,8 132:1,7,21 144:1 145:8,15 150:9 160:9 173:18,21 174:21 175:5 175:12,13,14 176:1,7,8 185:10 188:23 195:12 represent 125:6 147:3 157:4 177:4 represented 131:14 represents 157:7 reproduced 139:11 Republic 26:17 request 6:22 18:4,9 42:21 43:1 53:4 54:7 54:13 55:4,7 55:14 95:13 118:8 requested 19:4 19:10 32:23 36:23 76:15 135:15 requesting 51:25 99:4,7 131:2 190:19 requests 52:1 75:21 102:12 109:5 require 107:11 required 23:12 89:17 98:22	123:8 requirement 34:10 69:10 requirements 138:11 requires 17:15 28:19 29:5,24 30:11 requiring 84:4 99:4 reread 171:16 rescind 65:17 research 106:2 169:17 170:23 170:25 174:20 175:15,17 reserved 89:9 reservoir 20:10 24:18,22,25 27:4 30:23 49:12 58:11 59:8 79:13,25 80:9 82:2 89:2 89:20,21 94:1 98:5,7,9,10,11 98:12,13,14 99:24 117:6 118:21 119:11 152:2,13,14 204:13 reservoirs 49:6 resolution 27:6 33:1 47:9 49:4 51:12,23,24 52:8,14 53:3 53:10 54:14,21 55:1,5 58:12 65:18 90:8 92:6,17 95:12 95:14 96:1 97:17 101:21 102:2,2,15 103:1,4,18 104:21 105:19 106:24 resolutions 54:23 94:24	95:2,5,6,17 100:9 101:15 105:4,4,5,14 resolve 106:20 resolved 92:11 resolves 102:11 resource 84:13 resources 56:25 67:5 78:22 79:20 80:9 82:24 83:10,15 119:5,10,10 193:5 respect 22:18 167:6 169:24 respond 10:19 112:3 172:14 responded 33:12 response 32:12 33:22 37:24 123:14 194:11 responsibilities 16:22 48:17 50:7,18,24 96:17,23 responsibility 21:16 29:15 30:16 31:1,3 93:25 115:3 responsible 12:11 27:19 49:15,20 50:1 50:3,8 93:23 94:5,8 98:1 rest 41:15,15 45:10 restate 28:25 58:9 148:20 restoration 103:1,7,8,13 104:6,8,12,15 104:16 restrictions 15:25 33:15 result 102:14 110:5 resulted 108:4	122:19 results 111:7 retained 188:19 return 82:2,14 83:8 85:13,21 85:22 86:2,14 91:14,16 120:10,11 122:7,13 177:8 177:10,16 178:7,9,11 returns 82:7 178:12,12 reuse 177:8 reused 157:7 review 10:3,12 40:7,11 119:21 129:7 130:8 131:16 135:1 188:25 193:7 reviewed 32:14 32:20 39:2 74:2,3 75:9 121:9 142:5,24 142:25 154:15 185:7 195:14 Reynolds 132:6 132:13 Reynolds' 133:12 rhoffman@so... 2:10 Richardson 107:21,21 rid 101:24 211:22 right 5:18 6:9 7:14 12:12,18 14:11 15:19,22 17:25 20:1 21:7 24:9 26:23 27:18 28:3 41:24 43:15 45:3,18 46:20 49:16,17 51:11 52:14 55:23 59:4
---	---	---	--	--

60:1,6 62:8	156:4 157:17	21:20 24:4	175:5 180:15	Rowe's 32:12
64:21 65:6	158:14,18,19	25:21 26:3	186:21 190:22	rows 210:18,23
67:10,19 68:12	159:7 160:7,22	27:3,14,23	191:18 192:20	RPR 215:19
68:19 70:22	161:5 162:7,25	30:6 33:15	192:24 204:5	Rubinstein 64:1
71:8,25 72:20	164:25 165:2,8	34:17,19,19,21	207:9	rule 11:5
73:14 74:18,19	165:12,19,23	48:19 49:7	risk 71:11	ruled 159:23
74:21 77:4	166:1 167:19	50:5,11 51:5	river 12:9,10,11	rules 50:4,6
78:13 79:8	168:13,21	51:18,20 52:5	22:23 23:1,8	56:11,15 57:4
80:7,12,17	169:17 170:22	53:6 55:11	23:16,24,25	58:1,16,18
81:12 84:13	171:24 172:15	56:19,23 57:9	26:24 79:8	59:3 70:12
85:3,17,18	172:22,24,25	58:5 59:22	82:2,4,7,9 83:8	78:6,12,17,18
87:5,16,22	173:11,19	65:11 66:7,20	87:1 91:25	101:3 119:2
88:21 89:8,13	174:2,3,10,14	66:23 67:17,20	92:5 93:9	192:20,25
89:15,25 90:20	174:15,18	67:23 68:9,11	105:2 116:9,14	193:9
91:1,4 93:3,24	176:25 177:1	69:1,19,22	120:12,17	ruling 26:7
94:9,11 95:2,7	178:10,14	70:9 73:18	122:24 137:4	64:15
95:14,15,19,23	180:19,24	78:7,21 79:7	137:16 143:9	run 148:18
99:16,18 100:1	181:17 182:8	80:3 81:19	144:1,5 151:11	203:4
101:19 102:22	182:20 183:5	83:3 85:8	168:21,25	running 35:2
103:4,18 104:1	184:20 186:2	87:11 89:18	169:10,17,24	148:11
104:3,11	187:22 189:6	90:23 92:9,17	170:2,3,9	runs 148:8,18
105:18,23,25	189:15,24	94:10 95:25	171:10,21	Ryan 63:6
106:14,25	191:1,5,12	96:2,7,8,9 97:6	172:4,6,12	
108:11 109:2	192:9,16	97:8,15,16	173:25	S
109:14 110:23	195:20 196:10	98:4,5,22	Robert 2:8	S 2:1
111:18 115:5	196:21,25	99:13,21	127:17	Sacramento 2:9
115:19 116:4	197:13,19	103:14,19,21	role 14:3 16:14	Sadly 158:10
116:18 117:22	198:11,16	103:25 104:2,6	17:1 58:24	Salazar 32:22
120:20 121:11	209:9 210:7	104:7,24	89:11 98:16,18	33:3 118:6
122:17 123:5	212:17 213:24	105:12,20	98:21 117:4	Salopek 4:9
123:23 124:7	right-hand 47:6	106:2,3 108:23	133:4 169:24	196:2,23,24
124:14,17	rights 70:8,8	109:11 110:1	170:1,6 171:14	197:4 198:20
126:6 127:2,10	73:3,21,22	110:25 114:18	185:2 203:9	198:24 203:6
127:16 128:3,7	75:4,4,15,15	115:8 116:4,7	roles 48:17	203:24 205:5
128:15 130:12	76:4,5 77:3,4	116:8,12 117:6	50:17,24 96:16	Salopeks 202:1
130:23 131:9	78:1 141:15	117:11 119:16	96:23	Salt 168:21,25
131:21 132:7	159:15 161:9	119:16,22	Rolf 4:3 6:12	169:10,17,24
134:2 135:20	Rincon 68:3,7	138:14 139:7,8	66:4,5	170:2,8 171:9
137:9,24	82:20 152:5	145:23 150:23	room 63:17,22	171:21 172:3,6
138:15 139:21	156:15	153:10 154:22	196:14 197:7	172:12 173:24
140:2,4 141:21	Rio 7:3 12:4,6	155:2 158:23	roots 205:20	salts 213:11
141:22,22	12:17,21 13:1	159:2,23	rough 17:9	San 17:3 24:6,18
145:17 146:4,7	13:16 14:17,19	163:19 164:13	21:19 53:11	27:12 51:3,4
146:10 148:11	15:2,10,21	164:17,19,20	Roughly 205:10	97:13
151:15,20	16:22 17:2	164:22,23	round 29:23	sandy 210:5
152:11,16,23	19:2 20:20,23	165:19 168:20	208:7,10	Santa 2:14,19
153:15 155:1	21:3,8,10,13	168:25 173:24	row 201:24	18:24

Sarah 2:3 5:7	38:8 39:11,16	183:6 185:17	167:6,12,24	155:19 171:14
sat 184:6	39:22 40:7	209:2	169:6,13	172:17,20
save 134:8	41:2,5,19,25	seconded 190:17	170:11 171:4,6	175:4 177:17
153:22 157:2	45:24 46:2,12	secretary 92:8	171:23 173:4,5	178:17 180:2
saw 93:14	47:24 53:2	194:20	173:10 175:8	180:19 185:17
118:17,20	63:8 64:10	section 23:17	177:16 178:16	190:10
171:22 194:8	66:5 69:25	45:14 58:18	184:7 185:23	sentences 33:11
saying 87:3	76:3 81:15	59:17 79:10	189:17 190:6,8	143:15
92:15 112:14	86:12 90:19	96:21 103:15	190:14,23	separate 46:18
123:1 126:12	93:5 111:16,25	134:19 142:23	198:6 212:20	124:5 211:4
147:9,15,20	112:6 113:3,10	143:6,8,18	213:16,25	separates 210:25
162:2 168:15	114:17 116:17	156:9 162:20	seedling 205:14	September
169:5 178:6	116:24 117:16	sections 9:10	seeing 42:23	45:21 145:20
179:17 182:23	124:11 125:16	see 19:16 35:19	75:7 147:23	200:5 209:24
183:21 189:18	130:4 192:19	37:6 39:14	seek 106:21	212:3,7
says 11:8 37:17	Schmidt-Peter...	42:4,5 43:24	107:11	series 29:14
38:13,13 39:15	9:8 41:23	44:6,22 47:22	seeking 77:13	Serrano 63:6
51:7 56:19	83:18 102:19	48:6 49:1,8	seen 37:23 39:17	serve 187:4
70:5 88:18	130:5	50:6 51:25	39:23 41:20	188:5,6,13
89:10 113:20	science 92:8	52:5 59:21	43:1 108:5,13	service 17:21
133:23 139:19	Scientific 178:17	60:6 62:9,12	113:4,10,15	34:2 169:10
139:23 140:19	scope 58:16 88:3	65:16,20 66:12	147:9 148:6	171:4 172:10
140:21,22	scour 144:3	67:11 73:9,23	seepage 82:12	172:24 173:7
143:7,16	screen 35:19	73:24,25 74:1	86:25 109:25	173:22 175:14
145:21 148:16	64:23 135:13	74:7 75:25	111:7,13 176:2	services 212:21
152:1 153:5	139:17 143:7	77:2 90:18	176:4,4 177:10	212:24
154:9,11,19	scroll 117:22	91:21 93:19	177:16,20,21	servicing 156:15
155:12 156:2	SEAL 215:16	98:8 102:24	178:7,10,11	sessions 72:10
157:3 162:20	season 25:16	107:17 110:3	seeps 86:20	set 13:11 35:22
173:3,5 175:5	33:18 182:12	116:6,8 131:23	122:8	39:21 57:1,8
180:14 184:8	207:4 208:13	132:11,17	senior 141:14,15	58:5 70:12
190:1,11,16	208:16 210:4	134:3 135:7,11	sense 144:17	80:5 135:6
191:11 193:4	210:20	135:12,13,15	157:24 173:2	192:7,11
scale 213:2	seasonal 208:11	135:23 136:1,7	191:6	sets 98:22 188:8
schedule 58:20	seats 196:5	136:23 137:4	sent 18:8 35:6	188:13
80:5	second 19:9	137:13 139:24	43:6	Settemeyer
schedules 57:1,8	28:24 33:5	142:23 143:6	sentence 18:23	63:25
58:5 79:11,15	42:20 46:4	143:11,21	19:9 53:19	setting 194:5
79:17 80:1	50:15,21,25	144:4 145:20	56:18 57:3,6	settings 136:15
scheduling 79:8	52:16 70:4	146:23 147:2,6	58:3 68:24	seven 200:4
Schmidt-Peter...	97:1,24 105:17	153:11 154:9	100:18,19	shake 210:21
4:3 5:20 6:12	108:1 111:10	154:13 155:2	101:1,2,5	shakers 210:17
6:17 9:5,17	112:25 130:18	156:6,7,12,17	111:6,10	sheet 107:19
10:4,20,24	133:20 136:10	157:9 158:24	130:18 131:16	sheets 93:16,16
11:8,24 18:22	138:22 140:12	159:3 162:9,11	132:12 133:21	93:17
19:8 29:12	140:16 146:21	162:20,21	133:22 134:9	Shellers 199:23
35:24 37:15	168:1 178:17	166:18,24,25	137:14 147:2	shocked 11:18

shortage 191:12	46:2	sons 202:6,8,11	25:19 26:1,7	spent 9:16
shortages 67:9	single 40:14	soon 155:22	28:7 72:15	149:12
Shorthand 1:13	180:17 181:5	158:17 196:13	122:14 124:12	spoke 134:22
215:4	181:16,17,19	sorry 5:24 34:21	124:23 178:23	189:10
Shorthand/Co...	181:22,25	35:14 36:3,6	specializing	sporadic 121:14
1:13	185:1	46:7 50:23	137:22 141:3	spray 209:12,13
shortly 169:5	sir 6:1,7 82:6	66:5 67:12	specific 9:12	spraying 208:25
show 10:11 11:3	90:7 123:20	74:13,14 76:3	16:16,19 20:13	stabilization
120:3 140:3	197:8,12,18	78:20 79:18	23:9,15 38:9	120:6
187:10 203:13	sit 53:14	80:24 81:3	71:7 72:17	staff 13:5 42:22
203:24 209:9	site 186:9 188:4	93:5 97:23	75:21,21,23	43:2
209:11	sits 113:19	103:23 105:8	79:15,17 80:1	stage 13:11
showed 10:5	sitting 184:25	107:18 110:2	88:17 89:6	49:12
109:15 119:15	situation 122:24	127:16 129:21	99:2,3,21	stand 140:25
166:9	145:2	134:8 138:6	103:3 104:11	158:1
showing 19:14	six 210:9	142:20,23	109:5 114:6,10	standards 77:16
111:2 172:2	size 209:18	149:18 162:15	116:14 129:6	standing 104:21
173:15 206:18	212:3	168:1 171:1,15	133:3,5 150:17	104:21
shows 67:16	skip 43:18 96:20	174:25 176:12	160:13 161:17	standpoint
148:25 156:14	135:9 145:18	176:24 185:14	170:6 176:6,10	83:25 190:23
side 47:6 51:23	154:6	190:2,4,5,6	181:10 182:3	stands 55:8
101:19 102:22	sklahn@soma...	192:10 198:16	187:21 195:16	start 29:14 66:5
Sierra 201:9	2:6	203:8	specifically 7:19	81:5 197:20
signatures	slash 38:13	sort 41:7 120:22	9:11 16:18	202:2 208:17
101:24	Slichter 165:21	129:2 159:11	31:24 49:6	208:25 209:3
signed 95:15	179:13	179:15,15	52:13 53:15	209:11,18,18
101:22 107:9	Slichter's 166:11	183:2 184:6	81:21 97:5,7,9	209:25 210:16
significant 16:21	169:6	189:17	97:22 98:24	212:2
30:21 111:8,14	slide 114:13	sorting 211:4	104:25 131:15	started 12:16
144:13,21	212:18	sought 90:10	132:22 133:15	13:18 127:11
145:14 167:16	slightly 98:20	sound 82:5	137:8 142:24	195:24 199:19
168:7,13	slowing 209:25	157:11,13	143:24 150:22	201:17 202:8
significantly	small 13:4 47:19	sounds 87:2,21	152:13 153:18	203:15
116:13	179:18 205:22	110:19 159:20	155:19 159:11	starting 116:23
silage 204:18	211:1	source 137:4	161:11 163:5	116:25 160:19
similar 16:9	Smith 190:17	147:4 148:4,14	166:8,16 170:1	171:21 183:1
32:1 93:1	soil 205:18	149:2,4 157:5	173:6 175:11	200:5 205:1
111:15 118:17	206:21 207:3	167:6	176:17 181:5	208:5
119:21 124:20	208:23 209:17	sources 14:13	182:16	starts 209:6
SIMMONS 2:4	210:2 212:19	137:19	specifics 16:10	210:7
2:8	213:4	south 203:17	151:7,17	state 1:3,6,6 2:2
simple 30:8	soils 213:14	204:10	specified 162:3	2:12,21 7:22
simply 126:12	sold 201:24	spanning 187:24	specify 33:18	11:19 12:12
126:20	SOMACH 2:4,8	speak 130:1	161:20 164:15	15:24 23:11,12
Simpson 37:13	somebody 63:5	181:3	175:18	23:14 26:25
37:25 43:20	76:18 125:5	speaking 177:3	spend 173:14	32:3 33:7,22
44:20 45:21	son 203:1,2	special 1:11	213:3	34:25 49:19

51:14,19,20 53:24 56:24 57:5 58:2 64:25 68:21 69:8,11 78:19 78:22 80:8 83:9 84:5,16 84:19 102:4,7 102:12,23,25 103:5,25 104:18 107:20 123:18 125:2 125:21 128:1 129:12 131:21 132:7,13 133:14 136:3 137:17,20 138:2 150:10 156:19,23 159:16 162:15 184:6,24 188:10,10 193:4 200:17 200:19 204:11 212:21,25 215:4 state's 63:1 state-funding 75:24 stated 33:14 34:10 42:9 78:6,19 108:22 109:14 134:15 142:25 155:7 174:22 179:22 180:3 192:15 215:5 statehood 170:10 statement 9:12 33:18 103:11 132:24 136:23 147:11 155:4 158:2 167:5 177:14 178:15 185:16 190:19 191:21 194:6	statements 17:7 states 1:1 3:1 5:3 5:15,17 7:1,5 7:14 10:13,14 14:13 30:17 31:15 39:19 48:13 50:8 51:13 56:20,22 66:19 68:25 69:21 79:19,19 82:23 84:12 92:23 96:14,14 100:22,24 101:5 102:6 111:6,11 115:24 119:4 127:23 140:20 148:13 154:24 158:23 161:25 186:25 192:8 192:12 196:18 200:4 201:11 201:18 211:25 static 11:20 stating 133:5 181:14,14 182:14 stations 105:1 status 124:4 215:11 stay 151:23 stayed 202:2 staying 133:17 stealth 106:8 steering 69:6 74:2,3 75:9 77:5 step 7:8 154:3 stepped 91:20 stepping 179:25 Steve 34:16 36:23 132:6,13 133:12 Stevens 4:6 127:4 128:9,18 128:23 137:22 149:11 168:19	170:14 188:14 191:17 194:3 195:21 stick 96:12 stop 34:6 70:13 78:23 140:21 213:22 stopped 139:21 stopping 213:22 storage 20:8 24:11,22 88:8 88:14 94:3 98:7 211:7 212:13 store 212:7,10 stored 152:15 storing 212:14 strategies 73:9 73:12,16 74:4 74:6 75:3,9,14 75:19 76:6,25 strategy 73:20 stream 6:25 7:11 7:23 8:13 10:21 20:19,21 40:19 41:3 42:10,13 66:20 66:24 75:22 79:8 97:23 98:2 103:10 124:22 177:25 178:4,13 188:18 stream-conne... 68:3,15,18 Street 2:4 3:3 strike 169:21 Stringer 190:17 struck 112:20 studied 168:17 studies 133:24 study 108:25 109:8,10,15,19 110:5,7,12 111:2,7,8 112:8,8 113:21 131:3,4 165:22	169:6 stuff 213:8 Sub 87:24 subject 10:23 55:24 56:25 58:12,19 72:14 80:10 112:20 112:21 119:5 137:16,19 204:25 subjects 213:20 submitted 188:22 submitting 185:9 189:1 subsequent 104:16 substance 16:3 112:9 substantial 122:18 substantially 31:8 substantive 111:24 112:7 substitution 197:25 subsurface 175:6 succeed 181:13 success 90:14 180:15,25 202:5 successful 108:3 sufficient 52:10 53:12 suggest 17:15 111:17 191:3 suggested 74:7 suggesting 17:25 suggests 113:11 134:1 Suite 2:4,9 3:3 215:24 sulfur 213:10,13 Sullivan 162:7 summarize	83:13 93:22 summary 25:20 26:1 33:24 115:16 117:23 118:5 131:5 136:22 143:7 147:11 163:14 184:20 185:15 summation 118:7 summer 207:18 super 136:12,13 superseded 54:9 54:16 55:5 supervising 165:18 171:5 supervisor 42:8 supplement 105:2 148:10 supplemental 146:24 147:3 147:18 148:1,2 148:6,15 149:5 149:9,20 150:1 150:15 151:6 supplies 62:3 67:7,9 134:3,5 134:6 140:2,2 140:4 147:6 148:18 149:19 151:11,12 157:9 supply 52:4 53:6 54:6 62:10,15 81:17,22,25 82:8,10,15,25 83:7 84:22,24 85:6,20,25 86:24,25 118:13 120:8 120:13,20 122:6 139:3,20 140:5 142:7,14 147:4,10,18,21 148:1,2,3,8,12 148:18 149:2,4 149:5,8,21,25
--	--	---	--	--

150:1,13 151:5 152:11,16,17 152:19 154:23 155:2,6,14,15 155:17 157:4 176:3 177:13 177:25 190:13 191:19 213:8 supports 164:9 suppose 113:8 115:18 SUPREME 1:1 sure 7:18 15:4 16:24 33:2,20 34:8 36:6,24 38:10 43:2,9 46:5 54:2,12 60:2,3,22 61:7 68:5,10 69:5 71:13 77:10,17 86:6,10 92:16 93:20 95:16,25 104:20 109:3 110:8,18 111:25 112:15 119:3 123:4 127:11 129:2 144:9 145:12 152:21 168:3 172:7,14 174:19 175:20 178:23 181:21 182:4 188:21 surface 22:9 60:13,17,18 62:10,21 63:2 66:21,22,25 67:5,6 68:17 73:4 77:13,16 78:1 82:20 85:11,21 123:16,25 138:14 139:2,8 140:5 148:8,10 148:12,17,18 149:3 150:13 151:1 153:7,11	161:8,14,17,22 163:12,21 164:15 165:11 167:17,18 168:8 169:2 174:9 177:24 177:25 178:3 178:12,18 179:6,12,20 surface-conne... 67:6 surprised 11:15 106:8 sustain 28:17 78:3 138:18 sustainable 73:23 77:8,19 swear 196:25 sweep 210:18 sweepers 210:23 switch 11:25 47:20 80:15 sworn 6:13 128:19 198:21 system 6:25 7:11 7:23 8:13 10:21 22:15 66:20,24 82:2 82:8,21 83:8 91:10 92:2 118:19 122:19 124:22 132:15 177:6,8 190:12 190:22 191:1,4 191:10 systematically 41:18	156:12 198:15 take 7:7 19:21 32:15 34:14 35:12 37:6 42:4 48:8 50:15 51:22 90:3 127:4,5 135:4 140:12 140:24 146:18 151:25 154:2 155:4 158:5,6 161:11 196:8 205:2,7 211:3 taken 183:2 215:11 talk 7:2 10:20 22:16 23:24 26:23 63:23 87:10 99:20 142:1 147:21 165:3 187:14 187:17 199:12 201:14 207:22 208:3 213:16 talked 9:22 36:18 39:5 47:7 51:11 59:23 61:2 81:18 87:8 105:4 131:2 142:10 147:17 155:25 164:1 179:10 talking 7:13 10:2 21:16 31:16 38:10 61:16 77:11 78:12 82:19 124:12 131:6 149:13,19 151:18 152:12 155:18 160:11 161:23 165:6 176:14 186:5 189:3,9 190:25 talks 59:6,6,7 96:22 97:19	103:1 104:12 tangled 148:19 149:22 task 46:19 tasks 20:23 115:24 Tech 110:2,6,20 technical 57:10 67:13 114:24 168:18 technician 39:12 tell 34:5 38:21 43:10 55:7 74:16 90:9 130:24 159:9 171:14 174:12 182:7 184:10 186:11 187:10 188:1 telling 6:20 183:21 temporal 194:9 temporary 143:19 154:9 194:14 ten 61:14,15 116:9 200:3,10 205:10 206:1,9 ten-year 61:19 62:17 tend 209:12 tense 180:19,20 tenure 133:7 term 28:19 29:5 29:24 30:10 57:6 58:2 141:5,7 148:14 148:15 149:20 178:21 181:19 181:22,25 terminology 147:24 150:5 terms 13:8 27:15 87:13 89:16 148:22 201:8 territorial 161:5 163:3 174:8	territory 161:21 162:16 188:11 testified 6:13 7:9 7:24 13:17 16:1,20 17:8 20:15 21:7 22:24 25:10,10 26:12 27:18 29:13,18 31:18 34:16 35:5 37:20 39:23 42:16 44:24 50:10,13 51:13 52:8 60:2 61:5 62:4,18 66:8 71:4 72:3 78:5 81:16 83:11 85:20,21 94:25 105:24 113:3 119:19 120:9 121:7 125:16 128:19 157:15 162:13 191:17 191:24 194:22 198:21 testify 7:20 10:22 39:11 testifying 39:16 102:5 110:16 125:2 176:19 testimony 6:21 7:6,9 8:14,22 8:23 9:7,8,9 10:5 11:4,7,16 11:21 12:20,24 17:7 22:3,16 22:18,23 23:3 23:7 29:12 31:17,18 33:8 33:19 34:18 36:18 37:15 38:25 40:7 50:12 51:16 52:12 53:9,14 56:14 57:21 60:3 61:13 63:13,16 64:13
T				
T 2:1				
table 20:8 39:15 41:15 42:3 48:21,22,23 49:3,5,8 67:15 67:16,18 94:20 97:20,21,25 98:7 142:22				

64:16 65:19,22	28:23 29:7	15:18 16:1,18	148:15,21	59:16 61:19,19
67:10 72:24	30:2,13,23	16:20 19:16,19	149:5 150:7,8	62:1,2,9,17,18
78:8 79:4,5,12	63:20 135:19	20:15 21:15,24	150:15,15,16	62:25 64:3,16
79:21 80:12	text 163:13	25:25 26:12	150:19 151:4	84:6 86:18
81:17,21,23,24	Thank 6:11	27:8 29:18	151:14 152:25	90:4 96:6
82:23 83:7,14	11:23 15:17	30:3,7 32:25	153:18,25	106:1 107:22
83:18 84:23	16:12 19:19	33:1,23 34:23	156:5 157:19	108:16 122:17
86:2,4 87:20	34:21 35:16	35:1 37:1 39:3	158:8 164:10	123:3 125:20
88:3 91:19,22	46:7,23 48:7	41:12,15 42:18	164:11,16,24	126:5,11,13
93:15 100:8	51:9 53:1 64:7	45:17 50:5	168:9,22,23,24	129:5,19
101:17 102:19	66:3 68:2	51:13 52:8	170:14 172:8	130:16,23
105:10,13	80:18,20 81:10	54:18,22,25	173:20 179:9,9	131:18 134:8
108:20 109:3,8	81:11,14	55:1 56:8 59:8	179:10 180:1	134:10,22
109:14 110:24	116:16 121:22	61:3,24 62:15	180:11 183:25	135:17 138:15
111:1 117:3	121:24 122:3	65:22 67:3	184:15,24	139:3,14
119:3,14	125:7 126:25	69:8 71:7	185:5,9 192:15	141:21 142:9
120:18 123:18	128:13,17	73:17 74:10	193:2,17	145:3 146:21
129:1,8 130:6	136:17,19,21	75:12 76:11	196:12	147:5 149:13
130:9,11 140:7	139:10 158:15	77:10 78:15	thinking 21:18	150:16,18,19
149:7 162:24	167:24 169:14	79:15 80:2,22	third 31:20	151:8,15
166:1 167:9	180:12 193:15	81:2,24 82:16	52:13 67:2	152:23 153:5
185:10 186:18	193:19 195:1,5	82:20 83:2,10	69:21 100:18	155:25 157:2,8
191:23 192:2	195:19,20,22	84:23 85:1,14	103:7 162:19	161:15,20,21
197:1,10,17	196:8,22	86:7,16 87:24	207:18	161:23 162:1
Tetra 110:2,6,20	197:19 198:13	89:10 91:20	thought 41:18	163:11 165:19
Texas 1:3 2:2	213:25 214:1	92:18,23 94:18	88:11 107:3	170:24 171:17
5:2,5 7:5 25:5	Thanks 19:22	95:18 96:18	155:5	173:14 179:7
43:6,20 47:20	32:19	97:16,21 98:10	thousands 144:9	187:16 188:11
47:22 48:1,9	Thanksgiving	101:19,24	144:22,22	188:19 192:1
56:20 65:10	210:7,9	103:24 106:10	185:7,8	194:20 197:7
82:4,18 87:6,7	theme 183:9	107:6,17	three 115:23	201:12 205:21
87:8 94:17,19	then-current	108:17 109:14	176:21 209:8	206:8 208:20
107:22 108:2	20:4	109:22 111:21	Three-and-a-...	209:16 211:24
117:13 124:19	thing 39:25 40:8	112:10 113:1,3	211:14	213:20,24
124:20 126:14	57:24 58:7	113:16,20	thrown 123:19	215:8
126:17 127:14	126:13 130:14	117:2,7 119:19	tie 59:13	times 17:24
127:16,18	174:25 183:8	120:18 121:7	tied 87:17	104:9 129:14
146:19,22	things 13:12	123:12 124:2,3	ties 86:18	147:18 148:1
151:25 160:15	54:22 76:14	125:19 127:10	tight 209:23	168:18 183:7
160:20 162:5	77:5 129:3	131:24 132:8	time 5:21 7:9	191:11
171:22 176:19	144:19 145:11	138:24 139:2	11:20 13:3,15	title 42:9 156:1
187:8 188:7	151:7 157:21	140:8,10,17,24	13:25 22:14	titled 67:16
196:18 197:23	160:8 164:1,3	142:3,15 144:4	23:11 24:24	112:18
197:25 198:2,5	194:12,25	144:14,21	25:24 30:7,24	today 11:7
204:11 212:25	197:5	145:11,14	32:3 34:25	122:20 129:1
215:4	think 5:18 11:14	146:11 147:15	39:8 42:17	130:11 158:12
Texas' 27:25	12:17 13:12,17	147:16,19,24	46:19 54:11,22	183:21 204:19

told 12:18	transmountain	88:2 90:4	156:7 194:4	72:5 81:16,19
Tom 37:9	99:1	110:23 111:21	201:13	82:17,21 84:21
tomorrow	transparency	134:8 150:4	Uh-huh 15:7	85:7 86:15
213:22	92:9,21	184:15	ultimately 7:17	87:5 94:21,23
top 67:18 131:24	travel 15:25	turn 37:2,3 47:5	21:4 30:18	95:4,11 96:10
133:15 134:18	treat 181:6,12	56:11 136:14	120:18	99:20 100:21
135:8,23 154:8	184:4 209:10	203:11,22	unanimous	107:24 119:8
162:9 167:1	treated 183:4	205:4	106:21 107:11	120:11 122:10
176:11,17,25	treatment 34:2	Turney 34:24	unanimously	132:2,19
177:3 182:21	77:15,16	43:21 45:7	65:20 101:3	141:12,17
187:16,22	treaty 163:25	46:1,9	190:17	150:11,14,17
193:12 210:24	tree 205:8,23	Turney's 37:9	uncle 201:20,21	151:3 160:5
topic 158:21	211:24 212:5,6	Turning 204:25	unclear 17:14	163:11 165:11
topics 135:16,24	212:8,14	two 9:7,17 25:3	uncommon	168:10 178:17
total 68:15	tree's 212:5	27:14 31:18	206:8	179:5,15,16,18
204:7 208:14	trees 205:14,21	33:11 56:4	undefined 28:6	200:23,24
totally 122:13	205:22,24	64:19 105:5	underneath	201:2
touched 22:22	206:4,24 207:8	120:2 123:14	153:21	understandings
touchup 208:24	207:11,11,13	135:9 140:19	understand 6:7	165:13
track 50:5	207:16,17,19	146:25 173:20	13:7,7,18	understood 29:3
tracking 50:23	207:20 209:21	179:16 186:7	14:16 15:4	71:12 123:17
trade 199:13	209:25 210:19	188:9 194:24	17:2 20:2	150:23 161:22
200:13,21	211:18,23	201:12,24	30:25 37:12	197:24
trailer 211:3,4	trends 61:14	209:7,16	46:6 55:13	unfortunate
training 206:3	120:3	two-week	58:17,18 65:23	201:23
transcript 1:9	trial 9:9 11:21	209:20	72:23 74:14	Unfortunately
14:25 130:8	28:3,10 46:19	TX 215:24	76:23 78:25	185:7
161:8 166:21	tribunal 101:7	type 49:11 73:4	79:23 83:6,19	unit 180:17
166:24 167:23	tributary 82:3	75:18	85:3,18 88:2	181:6,11,16,17
215:7	trouble 52:20	types 16:5 32:1	92:12 93:9	181:19,22,25
Transcription	true 16:25 20:5	55:17 114:23	95:8 103:23	United 1:1 3:1
1:14	21:10 22:5	119:21 209:17	122:8 126:11	5:3,14,17 7:1,5
transcripts	23:8 49:18	210:2 213:5,13	127:4 128:11	7:14 10:13,14
129:7	51:18 53:24	typical 14:24	141:7 150:4	31:15 39:19
transfer 70:8,11	150:21 182:23	15:1 208:15	166:7 175:20	48:12 56:22
70:13 189:10	215:6,7	210:14 213:4	197:17 198:1	127:23 154:24
transfers 69:1	truth 197:2,2,2	typically 16:4	200:22 205:12	158:23 186:24
70:12 73:22	try 18:19 46:17	145:1 208:17	understanding	196:18 200:4
75:5,15 76:5	80:6 86:8 88:5	209:3,8,17	7:4,21 26:8	201:11,18
77:4	102:1 117:15	210:1,8 211:1	27:10,22 28:5	211:25
transit 177:9	140:15 145:4	212:4	28:7 29:13,19	unprepared
178:6	195:25 211:21		39:18 44:12	10:6
transmissions	trying 9:17	U	45:4 47:7,10	unsuccessful
6:6	13:10 35:21	U.S 3:2,7 7:14	48:1,4,12	92:10
transmittal	47:15 77:1	14:14 17:20	53:17,23 55:25	unused 189:11
37:12 107:19	79:23 83:6	41:5 50:24	62:23 67:21	up-front 206:13
109:22 143:10	84:4 85:3,18	96:22 155:24	71:1,5,16 72:2	updated 93:17

upper 51:5 74:18 96:2,7,8 96:9 159:2 177:7,10 178:7 205:5	120:4 150:24 156:15,16 167:14 177:11 178:8 202:1,2 204:14 207:12	97:2 102:16 105:7 112:16 119:3 124:8 128:7 155:19 160:13,16 187:13,14,15 204:19	55:10,11,14,16 55:18,22 56:2 56:4,24 57:1,7 58:4,21 59:22 60:12 61:21 62:1,10,13,21 64:14 65:12 66:7,18,21,22 67:16,16,18 68:8,11,13,16 68:17,18 69:1 70:7,8,19 71:11,18 72:1 72:15 73:3,4,8 73:9,11,21,22 73:23 75:4,4 75:14,15 76:1 76:4,5 77:3,3,8 77:13,16,16,19 78:1,22 79:7 79:20 80:8 82:1,9,21,24 83:10,15 84:13 85:11,12,21,23 85:24 86:1,13 86:19,22,25 87:18,18,22 88:7,12,14,17 88:20,24 89:1 89:3,4,22,23 90:8 91:1 92:8 94:2 96:2,8,17 97:3 99:17 102:14,24 104:9,18 108:19 109:1 109:10,25 111:5,8,9 114:15 118:12 118:14,15,15 118:18,20,22 119:5,9,10 120:5,17 122:6 122:8,10 123:25 131:4 133:10,24 137:23 138:9	138:12 139:3,8 139:20 140:5 141:3,14,23 142:7,14 143:20 145:22 147:4 148:4,14 149:3,20,21,21 150:13 151:1,5 151:19 152:15 153:7,10,22 154:10,20,22 154:25 155:1 155:13,14,15 155:17 156:2 157:3,5,6 158:23 159:1,5 159:15,18 161:9,9,14,16 161:18,22 163:12,21 164:15 165:11 167:17 168:8 169:2,13 174:9 175:5 176:3 177:6,23 178:3 178:18 179:20 180:16,18 181:8 182:1,13 182:17,19 183:17,22 186:25 187:12 188:6 189:5 190:8,13 191:9 191:18 193:5 193:10 207:22 209:21
upstream 114:19 115:2 187:2	Valleys 152:5	wanted 21:9 48:21 118:10 125:20 134:20		
URGWOM 55:1	Vandiver 34:16 35:6 37:21	wants 11:7 76:8 77:25		
US-656 156:25	variable 111:9	warm 209:6		
usable 24:11,24 26:11 82:1 87:18 88:7,12 88:14 89:4 90:8 94:2	various 48:14 90:14 106:17 138:10 164:1 173:18 187:3	Warren 26:20 55:15 56:7		
USBR 43:2 70:11	vary 16:6	Washington 3:8		
USDA 200:1,3	velocity 92:24	wasn't 9:20 21:15 24:13 36:6,24 55:3 61:4 109:15 111:25 118:23 120:24 125:4 164:3 170:4 188:10,21		
use 23:14 31:14 46:19 47:15 48:1 53:18 67:17 68:15 115:15 124:24 135:11 141:23 147:3 153:9 181:2,19 209:25	Vernon 162:6	waste 23:4 26:21 31:17,19,22 33:20 35:1 55:15,19 56:6 90:11 187:15		
users 23:1,2 52:9 53:12,19 70:15 72:15 133:24 141:13 141:14 153:20	version 48:2,20 94:19 95:1 146:9,14	wasteful 88:11		
uses 69:2,4,4,13 69:14 70:10,17 71:19 84:6 148:15	versions 45:5	watch 91:18 130:5,13		
USGS 14:13 49:23,24 131:2 131:3	versus 5:2	watched 130:11 130:13 165:25		
Usually 14:22	video 9:1,2	water 18:25 19:13 21:8,11 22:10 23:1,1,2 23:4,13 24:5,6 24:7,11,18,19 24:22,23,25 25:7,14,14,20 26:2,9,11,19 26:21 28:14 31:6,14 34:2 51:1,3,5 52:4,9 52:10 53:6,11 53:12,19,25		
Utility 55:10,16	view 117:3 179:8			
utilized 124:2	violation 31:9			
	virtually 129:11			
	Volume 1:5 8:24			
	vote 94:13			
	VS 1:5			
	W			
	W 38:13			
	wait 29:1			
	waiting 187:16 196:16			
	waiver 155:1			
	Wallace 2:22 5:12,13 52:23 81:2 122:2,3 127:25 128:2 158:18 193:18 193:19 196:19			
	want 17:6 23:1 43:18 46:5 57:2 60:4 64:12 67:14 83:16 87:10			
	V			
vacuum 30:20				
valley 51:4 67:22 97:13				
				water-related 102:13 105:11
				waters 21:17 48:18 56:23 57:5 58:2 62:12 78:20 79:3,22 138:14 167:18
				way 9:6 13:14 13:25 14:18 21:21 39:20

41:9,10 56:1	136:24 142:19	weeks 78:24	149:15 195:22	152:22
58:18 70:16	145:17 146:21	209:8,17 210:9	195:24 196:11	
71:14 82:4	146:22,23	212:10	196:14,16,21	<hr/> X <hr/>
84:2 88:13	151:18 154:6	weigh 107:2	197:3,8,12,18	XII 1:5
92:7 98:24	158:20 160:11	weighed 106:24	witness' 140:7	<hr/> Y <hr/>
112:1,16	161:23 166:22	weight 11:14	witnesses 130:2	y'all 57:12
117:10 119:24	166:23 176:14	welcome 11:9	197:6 204:21	yeah 12:14
126:10,21	178:16 185:12	128:8	word 157:25	24:24 32:13
141:19 148:6,9	196:15 197:5	wells 60:24	161:11 163:12	33:9 36:2,6
152:25 153:22	211:9	119:15,21	178:24	44:1,8 47:15
156:23 164:18	we've 19:17,24	123:5,9 125:18	words 16:5	50:17 52:22
174:13 177:21	38:11 80:3,14	126:5,8,8,9,22	149:6 153:13	61:5 62:7
177:21 178:25	111:24 133:21	131:7 135:11	182:15	63:11 67:11,25
184:11 186:10	133:22 143:7	136:3 143:10	work 12:3 46:17	74:14 75:2
209:24 210:22	154:4 157:1	143:20 154:10	52:2 54:7,13	85:7,9 87:14
ways 59:7 95:12	158:4 164:1	156:14,20,22	59:9 103:8	94:24 97:16
we'll 29:23	167:22 186:17	167:6,13 175:7	114:22,24	99:9,13 100:21
46:17 95:5	weather 205:11	175:8,11,19,22	115:4,8 116:4	103:3 106:1,2
97:19 101:21	Wechsler 2:13	175:25	116:7 132:25	109:3 115:6
105:18 108:15	4:5,9 5:9,10	went 37:20 92:7	133:8,9 159:18	143:5 156:5
110:3 116:6	6:23 8:7,8 9:3	105:15 156:8	170:17 173:2	162:4 180:11
135:7,9 137:14	10:18 16:2	weren't 10:10	190:3 202:6	year 12:15 14:20
151:24,25	18:18 21:20	43:25 48:6	208:8	14:21 16:4,6,6
153:3 154:7,8	28:15,21 29:2	73:6 106:18	workday 208:15	17:11 19:25
154:8,19	29:3,9 34:7	125:25 129:18	210:14	20:1,1,3,4
156:25 158:7	39:9 41:1	Weslayan	worked 11:25	59:15,16 68:20
158:15,17	46:14,15 47:7	215:24	170:22 208:23	91:9 97:14
160:11 171:1	51:11 56:14	western 137:23	working 14:17	104:8 115:9,25
185:13 189:23	59:23 61:3	141:3 159:18	106:1	116:3 143:5
189:25,25	64:17 65:4,5	161:16,25	works 114:16	183:1,18
196:8 198:2	65:15 71:2	199:16	161:19 203:2	205:25 206:9
204:20 205:4	76:7 78:2,24	White 160:22	Worldwide	206:24 208:7
207:22 208:12	83:12,16 86:3	wife 203:1	215:23	208:10 211:15
208:17,19,25	90:1 108:18	210:12	wouldn't 45:11	211:17,17,19
209:2,3,8,10	111:19 112:13	wild 211:24	48:6 63:24	211:20 213:4,7
209:11,12,13	113:7 115:17	Wildlife 17:21	83:1 99:19	213:9
211:3,6 213:23	116:18,20,22	wind 210:18,23	112:16 114:20	year's 206:6
we're 18:9 28:2	121:18 124:9	winter 33:14,17	144:14 145:13	210:10 212:8
30:3,4,4,17,18	124:10 125:7	208:18	152:24 176:1	212:11
31:2 36:10,10	127:7 196:10	witness 6:4,8	208:2	years 12:1,2
47:15 48:3	196:12,18,22	8:22 9:12 10:7	write 69:5 70:16	14:17 16:10
66:17 67:13	198:11,13,18	10:8 11:15,18	145:8 184:15	61:14,15 62:15
68:22 80:6,6	198:23 213:15	58:8 90:5	writing 108:2	111:6 120:2
80:15,22 81:4	214:1	122:11,14	144:15 163:8	132:14 133:11
85:16 106:8	weeds 206:22	123:2,20 127:3	written 53:16	140:14 153:6
110:23 127:4	week 10:25	127:13 128:8	180:20	199:11,11
127:10 128:23	194:4 210:5	128:13 129:25	wrong 147:13,23	

200:10 201:24	44:10 139:12	1605 134:13,19	1915 207:12	194:18
202:23 205:10	143:18 154:19	162 67:12,14	1920 70:25	1971 194:22
206:1,2 207:14	158:22 166:6	16th 36:16 42:25	71:19,25 72:4	1978 84:1,9
207:17,20	178:15 185:19	43:8	72:6,11,22	123:1
yes-or-no 13:9	11:00 1:12	17 44:18 46:6	1924 201:19	1979 202:16
13:13	213:24	74:1,8,11,18	1930s 133:25	198 4:9
yesterday	113 2:4	74:24 75:11	1935 202:1	1980 122:22
129:15	116 4:5	185:12 186:4	203:15	124:16 125:17
<hr/>	11th 142:19	200:2 202:19	1938 21:22 56:6	125:23 126:22
Z	12 43:17 44:2,11	176 135:5	191:7,13	1980s 83:5 84:4
<hr/>	45:22 47:5,11	142:18 145:19	1939 56:21 78:6	1982 69:9
zero 211:8	47:18 61:11	189:22	78:18 192:24	124:16 126:2
zinc 208:25	100:16,17	18 44:18 47:11	193:8	1990 199:17
zoom 1:12 154:8	209:20,23	74:8,19 75:13	194 4:7	1992 31:20
<hr/>	12.2 111:4	116:7	1940 184:8	1996 31:19,25
0	12/'13 62:5	1890s 163:24	1940s 135:2	32:4,5,12,23
<hr/>	120 53:11	18912 170:10	183:23	33:20 118:9
04-30-22 215:20	125 4:5	18th 3:3	1946 131:3	1997 200:19
<hr/>	127 146:22	19 8:24 44:19	1947 134:11	1999 12:15
1	128 4:7	74:8,19 75:14	146:12	19th 37:18 129:8
<hr/>	13 44:14 45:25	115:10	1948 27:6,11	167:23
1 1:12 8:24	61:12,15,22	19,000 206:8	58:11	1L 87:18
37:10,11 39:13	66:11,17 68:22	1900s 160:5	195 4:8	1st 143:9 154:12
42:2 45:20	1300 2:23	1903 159:24	1950 135:9	154:21
48:21,22,24	133 189:23	160:1,2 169:11	139:12 151:15	<hr/>
87:16,24 90:13	134 171:2	171:22 172:4,6	1950s 62:6,8	2
91:24 97:20,21	139 151:25	172:11 173:3,6	134:1 135:2,7	2 23:17 37:11
116:10 125:25	197:24,25	173:7,10	157:17 189:22	42:2 96:12
126:1 160:20	198:2,5	1904 166:10	1951 84:1,15	98:25 130:17
190:21	14 44:14 69:20	168:6 185:18	1952 142:19	131:10 180:14
1,700 206:3	69:20 102:21	185:20 186:6	143:9 154:12	180:14 184:22
1:15 80:16	102:21 170:10	186:10 187:19	154:21 189:24	186:1 205:4,6
10 35:12,17,23	140,000 70:2	1905 161:5,14	189:24 190:3,3	206:16 208:4
36:10 43:17	141 1:1	163:3 169:6,12	190:6,25	2,500 206:1
44:2,7,10	142 8:24 142:17	172:5	1954 146:6,9,13	2.10 212:17
209:20,23	143 142:20	1906 159:6	1955 132:13	20 34:4 44:19
10:00 213:24	144 8:24	160:4,21 165:7	156:13	118:16 156:7
100,000 115:25	15 44:14,16	173:14	1956 145:20,24	208:7,10
1000 2:9	45:25 51:9,12	1907 162:21	146:3,6 155:24	2000 61:17
101 124:23	70:4 101:19	163:3	156:14 157:20	204:8
211:23	130:17 158:7	1908 139:6	168:23	2000s 61:21
104 6:25 7:11,15	158:15 206:2	159:6 160:4	1958 194:5	2001 18:25
7:23 8:13	155,000 191:9	162:10,16,25	1958/'59 62:11	19:13 47:2
10:21 11:3	155,510 68:16	165:7	1960s 131:13	101:18 199:19
105 60:1,20	16 51:22 73:7	1911 176:15	1963 197:23	199:20
107 17:8	74:24 78:14	177:4	198:1	2002 19:2,12
108 56:13 78:14	160 67:1	1912 183:2	1965 194:14,16	31:20,24 34:15
10th 172:23				
210:3				
11 43:17 44:2,5				

36:16 37:18	59:22 66:8	235 215:24	391 18:11 19:21	204:11
38:19,20 39:1	73:10,19 74:7	2362 32:5,10	46:24 48:8,21	5.5 22:8 62:20
40:21 43:8	75:3,19 76:13	117:18	51:8,9,12	123:16
45:22,24 46:1	2018 65:9	24 205:22	54:15 101:15	5:00 213:16
46:3,9 53:3	2019 115:9,25	2410 109:21	395 102:16	5:02 214:2
55:5 61:17	116:4,8 158:22	24th 171:22		50 199:11 202:9
90:8 91:12	176:10 178:15	25 44:23 46:8	4	205:24 208:14
92:17 96:10	185:12 189:1	26 45:6	4 32:15,15 33:6	500 2:9
103:4,18	202 3:8	27 45:6	48:9 50:16	505 2:15,19
104:17 105:6	2021 1:12	2701 2:4	57:8 58:5,12	508-6281 2:24
118:18 199:25	215:17	271,717 68:17	58:19 79:2,6	50s 132:4 165:15
200:11,19	2060 69:24	279-7868 2:5	96:21 119:6	182:11,18
2003 12:25	209,000 69:23	27th 46:3	154:18	183:7,23 202:7
13:16 14:1	2097 132:10	28 45:12	4.0 63:1	202:9
73:11,12,20	153:3 158:23	29 45:12	4.5 123:19,19,24	51 84:11 122:17
74:6 75:19,20	176:11 185:13		40 162:20	202:9
76:25 77:7,8	185:19	3	201:22,25	513 160:15,21
200:11	2098 130:18	3 23:17 29:23	401 56:12	162:6 171:23
2004 105:6,19	169:4 172:3	33:24,25 38:6	40s 132:3 165:15	514-3553 3:8
20044 3:8	20s 165:15	38:6 42:2,4	168:15 182:11	543 47:20,22,23
2005 102:20,25	201:19	154:7	182:18 183:6	48:1,9 94:17
103:16 104:5	20th 18:24 37:18	3,000 206:1	183:16	550 203:21
200:12	45:22 128:24	3.1 96:21	42,000 143:5	57 54:6 84:24
2006 106:11	128:25 129:8	30 45:12 132:14	421 197:21	85:4,5,10,10
2007 106:11	129:10 178:19	208:12,19	428 100:11	85:12,19,25
2008 54:10,17	189:9	30(b)(6) 10:22	43 199:11	86:13 87:2
55:2,6 62:14	21 12:1 44:19,20	3000 215:24	432 166:20	120:8,12,16
92:12 106:5,10	46:6 172:20,21	303 3:4	167:2	570-4591 2:19
106:13,22	176:11,21	30s 165:15	438 107:16	58 167:22
107:12 108:1,4	215 4:11	168:15 201:24	446-7979 2:10	5th 46:1
2009 15:18,19	2162 64:23	31 45:12	45 158:6	
16:13 62:14	21st 6:19 12:20	31st 56:21	454 171:19	6
200:16	33:8 39:1 46:9	32 45:12 198:4	460 105:7	6 4:4 43:7,18,19
2010 68:9,12,19	53:3 55:4	203:23,24	47 202:20	45:16 58:13,20
69:23	130:6,9 145:20	324 156:15	470 198:8	79:2 109:24
2011 22:7 62:5	22 12:2 32:9	325 2:14,18	474 198:14,17	152:1 162:5
64:14 78:11	37:3,4 44:23	33 135:7 198:4	198:17	171:23
111:11,15	46:8 114:14	203:12,13	48 205:23	60 68:17
199:17,20	198:3	34 198:4 205:3,6	49 162:21	60,000 187:5
2012 61:9,15,22	220 35:11,14,23	206:16 208:4	499 146:21	600 198:8 204:3
111:14	40:24,25 45:20	35 135:10		602 198:8
2013 109:24	223 215:23	202:23	5	60s 143:1
2014 200:16	2254 65:9 81:6	350,000 69:24	5 18:20 37:11	616 59:19 66:6
2015 202:22	227 167:3	36 135:22	38:4 42:3	619 154:7,18
2016 74:11 75:5	22nd 18:25	139:11	45:20 158:21	63 111:1 113:11
76:6 77:20	23 37:4 198:3	370 3:3	160:3 163:4	64 4:4
2017 12:3,8	2314 35:7 42:17	380 145:18	178:15 203:17	656 155:25

156:7	87 201:25			
66 156:25	203:14,16			
669 176:19	87501 2:14,19			
685 34:24 37:5	8th 107:25 135:9			
45:2 46:11	215:17			
689 198:9				
698 146:20,22	<hr/> 9 <hr/>			
151:25	9 42:15 44:9,10			
<hr/> 7 <hr/>	45:22 134:18			
7 43:18,19 45:16	209:23			
48:24 97:21	9-day 209:20			
7.1 67:15	90 7:20			
70 70:1	900,000 213:9			
720 2:5,24	90s 61:21 169:19			
7611 3:7	916 2:10			
77027 215:24	94 132:9,24			
78 84:11,15	153:2			
122:17 123:5	95 133:18			
171:20	199:18 200:11			
790 156:16	95814 2:9			
790,000 192:14	97 199:18			
7th 2:23 45:24	98 199:22			
189:24,24	986-2637 2:15			
190:2,5	999 3:3			
<hr/> 8 <hr/>				
8 8:24 45:16				
48:24 98:6				
100:17 114:14				
169:3 172:2				
173:16				
80 123:6				
80,000 92:23				
800-745-1101				
215:25				
80202 3:3				
80203 2:24				
80205 2:5				
805 63:9				
82 21:19 122:22				
123:6 125:17				
125:24 126:22				
8262 215:20				
844-1364 3:4				
85 207:17				
85-plus 207:14				