

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN **A Professional Corporation**

| & DUNN | rporation |
|--------------|------------|
| IMONS | onal Corpo |
| ACH SIMM | rofession |
| SOM | ΑF |

| 1 | STUART L. SOMACH* |
|----|--|
| 2 | ANDREW M. HITCHINGS ROBERT B. HOFFMAN |
| | FRANCIS M. GOLDBERRY II |
| 3 | THERESA C. BARFIELD SARAH A. KLAHN |
| 4 | BRITTANY K. JOHNSON |
| 5 | SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 |
| | Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 6 | Telephone: 916-446-7979 ssomach@somachlaw.com |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Counsel for the State of Texas |
| 0 | NOEL J. FRANCISCO |
| 9 | Acting Solicitor General |
| 10 | JEFFREY H. WOOD Acting Assistant Attorney General |
| 11 | ANN O'CONNELL |
| 12 | Assistant to the Solicitor General |
| | JAMES J. DuBOIS* R. LEE LEININGER |
| 13 | THOMAS K. SNODGRASS |
| 14 | STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE |
| 15 | JUDITH E. COLEMAN |
| _ | Attorneys, Environmental and Natural Resources Division |
| 16 | U.S. Department of Justice Counsel for the United States |
| 17 | Counsel for the Onlieu States |
| 18 | HECTOR H. BALDERAS |
| 19 | New Mexico Attorney General TANIA MAESTAS |
| | Deputy Attorney General |
| 20 | MARCUS J. RAEL, JR.* |
| 21 | Special Assistant Attorney General STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 22 | P.O. Box Drawer 1508 |
| | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 |
| 23 | 505-239-4672 marcus@roblesrael.com |
| 24 | |
| 25 | MARCUS J. RAEL, JR. |
| 26 | DAVID A. ROMAN Special Assistant Attorneys General |
| | ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C. |
| 27 | 500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700 |
| 28 | Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87102 Telephone: (505) 242-2228 |
| | STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECT |
| | INFORMATION (ESI) |

| & DUNN | rporation |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| IACH SIMMONS | Professional Corpo |
| SOM | A |

| 1 | BENNET W. RALEY |
|----|--|
| 2 | LISA M. THOMPSON |
| 3 | MICHAEL A. KOPP Special Assistant Attorneys General |
| | TROUT RALEY |
| 4 | 1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600 Denver, Colorado 80203 |
| 5 | Telephone: (303) 861-1963 |
| 6 | Counsel for the State of New Mexico |
| 7 | CYNTHIA H. COFFMAN |
| 8 | Attorney General of Colorado KAREN M. KWON |
| 9 | First Assistant Attorney General |
| 10 | CHAD M. WALLACE* Senior Assistant Attorney General |
| 11 | Colorado Department of Law |
| 12 | 1300 Broadway Denver, CO 80203 |
| | Telephone: (720) 508-6281 |
| 13 | Chad.wallace@coag.gov |
| 14 | Counsel for the State of Colorado |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED |
| | INFORMATION (ESI) |

This Stipulation is entered into by the State of Texas (Texas), the United States of America (United States), the State of New Mexico (New Mexico), and the State of Colorado (Colorado) (individually a "Party" and collectively, "the Parties"):

WHEREAS, the Special Master, Honorable Judge Michael J. Melloy (Special Master) has requested that the Parties meet and confer to formalize a procedure for productions of documents and other discoverable information served and responded to in this matter, related to all stages of discovery, including expert disclosures, reports, and rebuttals thereto, as well as data and electronically stored information ("ESI", as defined in paragraph (1)(e) herein) exchanged among the Parties in support, and wish to better define the scope of their obligations with the respect to collecting, preserving, and producing such information and materials;

WHEREAS, the Parties to the aforementioned original action mutually seek to reduce the time, expense, and other burdens of discovery related to the disclosure of certain electronically stored information, voluminous productions of documents, and expert reports, rebuttals, and data collected and disclosed related to expert discovery; and

WHEREAS, the Parties mutually seek to reduce the time, expense, and other burdens of
importing productions of Documents (as defined in Paragraph (1)(e) herein) exchanged among
the Parties and uploaded to the VeritextVault (as defined in paragraph (1)(a) herein), into each
respective Party's eDiscovery Platform database (hereinafter "Database").

Therefore, the Parties stipulate to the following terms for the production of Documents for
initial disclosure and in response to written and expert discovery, and hereby request that the
Special Master enter as a Case Management Order, the following agreement:

22

DEFINITIONS

The terms used in this stipulation and order that are also used in the Federal Rules
 of Civil Procedure and have the same meaning that they have under the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure, unless otherwise provided in this stipulation and order. Whenever the terms set forth
 below are used in this stipulation and order, the following definitions apply:

I.

27

28

a) "VeritextVault" as used herein, shall refer to the repository service provided by Veritext, accessible to those Accessing Entities listed in item (d), below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

12

1 b) "Parties" as used herein, shall collectively refer to the State of Texas, the 2 State of New Mexico, the State of Colorado, and the United States of America. 3 c) "Amici" shall refer to the following *amici curiae*, as defined in section 3 of 4 the September 6, 2018 Case Management Plan (CMP), and designated to have access to the 5 VeritextVault pursuant to section 7.2.1.1 of the CMP: Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Water 6 Utility Authority, the City of El Paso, the City of Las Cruces, Elephant Butte Irrigation District, 7 El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1, Hudspeth County Reclamation and 8 Improvement District No. 1, the New Mexico Pecan Growers, New Mexico State University, and

9 the State of Kansas¹.

10 d) "Accessing Entities" as used herein, shall refer to both the Parties to this litigation as defined in item (b) above, as well as the Amici listed in item (c) above, and acknowledged in the CMP, including their designated employees and agents.

13 e) "Documents" as used herein, shall refer to all discoverable documents and 14 ESI, which is defined in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a)(1)(A), and shall include metadata, 15 Database load files (identifiable by the following file extensions: *.dat, *.csv, *.lfp, *.opt, or *txt) 16 or other usable formats as agreed among the Parties, or as agreed in any subsequent stipulation concerning productions of ESI (collectively "Load Files"), native files, or other materials and/or 17 18 media constituting responsive and discoverable information.

19 "TXNM VeritextVault Manager" as used herein, shall refer to the f) 20 individual or team of individuals assigned to the management of the VeritextVault repository for 21 the *Texas v. New Mexico* matter, hosted by Veritext, whose contact information is as follows: 22 E-mail: TXNM@veritext.com; Tel. 1-800-336-4000; or by transmitting Documents or media to 23 any Veritext location, and which has been duly provided to all Accessing Entities via the case set-24 up document circulated to the Parties on September 4, 2018. 25 ///

¹ Colorado reserves the right to object to the scope of participation by certain Amici. To the extent that Colorado 27 decides to seek a modification of the scope of participation by any Amici as set forth in the CMP, Colorado will present the issue to the Special Master by way of motion or otherwise and the remaining parties reserve all rights to 28 respond accordingly.

| 1 | g) "Draft," when used to describe either an electronic or hard copy document, |
|--|--|
| 2 | means "a preliminary version of a document that has been shared by the author with another |
| 3 | person (by e-mail, print, or otherwise) or that the author no longer intends to finalize or to share |
| 4 | with another person." |
| 5 | h) "Duplicate," when used to describe either an electronic or hard copy |
| 6 | document, means that the document does not show any facial differences, such as the inclusion of |
| 7 | highlights, underlining, marginalia, total pages, attachments, markings, revisions, or the inclusion |
| 8 | of tracked changes. Differences in system metadata fields, such as date created or modified, that |
| 9 | do not affect the face of the document, are not relevant to determining whether the document is a |
| 10 | duplicate. |
| 11 | i) "Gigabyte" means one billion bytes or 1,024 megabytes. |
| 12 | j) "Policy" means a regular practice at an entity that managers know about |
| 13 | and expect to be carried out. |
| 14 | k) "Source" means the entity retaining, storage location, or, if known, the |
| 15 | custodian of, any particular type(s) of Documents, ESI, and/or data. |
| | |
| 16 | II. PRESERVATION |
| 16 17 | II. PRESERVATION 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed |
| | |
| 17 | 2. <u>ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible</u> . The following categories of ESI listed |
| 17 18 | 2. <u>ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible</u> . The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a |
| 17 18 19 | 2. <u>ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible</u> . The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: |
| 17 18 19 20 | <u>ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible</u>. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity of operations systems, and data or system mirrors or shadows, if such data are routinely deleted or |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity of operations systems, and data or system mirrors or shadows, if such data are routinely deleted or written over in accordance with an established routine system maintenance practice; |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity of operations systems, and data or system mirrors or shadows, if such data are routinely deleted or written over in accordance with an established routine system maintenance practice; b) Voicemail messages; |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 2. <u>ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible</u>. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity of operations systems, and data or system mirrors or shadows, if such data are routinely deleted or written over in accordance with an established routine system maintenance practice; b) Voicemail messages; c) Instant messages, such as messages sent on AOL Instant Messenger, Slack, |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 2. ESI That Is Not Reasonably Accessible. The following categories of ESI listed below are not reasonably accessible in this litigation and need not be produced or included in a privilege log: a) Data stored in a backup system for the purpose of system recovery or information restoration, including, but not limited to, disaster recovery backup tapes, continuity of operations systems, and data or system mirrors or shadows, if such data are routinely deleted or written over in accordance with an established routine system maintenance practice; b) Voicemail messages; c) Instant messages, such as messages sent on AOL Instant Messenger, Slack, Skype for Business, Gogle Talk, or Microsoft Communicator; |

| 1 | e) Electronic mail sent to or from a personal digital assistant (PDA), | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | smartphone (e.g., Apple iPhone, Android), or tablet (e.g., iPad or Android tablet) provided that a | |
| 3 | copy of such e-mail is routinely saved elsewhere; | |
| 4 | f) Other electronic data stored on a PDA, smartphone, or tablet, such as | |
| 5 | calendar or contact data or notes, provided that a copy of such information is routinely saved | |
| 6 | elsewhere; | |
| 7 | g) Logs of calls made from cellular phones; | |
| 8 | h) Deleted computer files, whether fragmented or whole (nothing in this order | |
| 9 | authorizes the intentional deletion of ESI after the duty arose to preserve such ESI); | |
| 10 | i) Data stored in random access memory (RAM), cache memory, or in | |
| 11 | temporary or cache files, including internet history, web browser cache and cookie files, wherever | |
| 12 | located; | |
| 13 | j) Data that is not accessible through the operating system installed on the | |
| 14 | same device; | |
| 15 | k) Server, system, or network logs; | |
| 16 | 1) Electronic data temporarily stored by scientific equipment or attached | |
| 17 | devices, provided that the data that is ordinarily preserved as part of a scientific, technical, or | |
| 18 | expert report is, in fact, preserved in its ordinary location and form; | |
| 19 | m) Metadata associated with any electronic storage device, electronic storage | |
| 20 | program, or any data contained therein. As used herein, the terms "electronic storage device" and | |
| 21 | "electronic storage program" do not include programs such as Outlook and Exchange. Electronic | |
| 22 | data contained on Outlook and Exchange must be preserved in the same manner as all other ESI | |
| 23 | not exempted by paragraph 2 herein; | |
| 24 | n) Mirror or shadow copies of files or disk drives; and | |
| 25 | o) Electronic data temporarily stored by scanners, copiers, and/or fax | |
| 26 | machines; and | |
| 27 | p) Personal Information that, as defined and pursuant to applicable state or | |
| 28 | federal law, restricts storage, retention, or sharing by a Party. | |
| | STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI) -7- | |

3. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order prevents any Party from asserting, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that other categories of ESI are not reasonably accessible.

4. The Parties need not preserve, for this litigation, the categories of ESI listed in paragraph 2 unless, on the date that this stipulation and order is entered by the Special Master, either Party has a Policy that results in the routine preservation of such ESI, in which case such Party shall continue to preserve such ESI in accordance with its Policy.

8 5. No Discovery of Material Not Required To Be Preserved. The Parties shall not 9 seek discovery of items that need not be preserved pursuant to paragraphs 2-4 above. If any 10 discovery request is susceptible of a construction that calls for the production of items that need not be preserved pursuant to paragraphs 2-4, such items need not be searched for, produced, or identified on a privilege log pursuant to the CMP and relevant and incorporated sections of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

14 6. The Parties may continue to work, in the ordinary course of business, on 15 Documents that do not meet the definition of Draft in paragraph (1)(g) herein, and preserve any 16 Draft Documents in the normal course of business.

17 7. Use of Documents During Litigation. Notwithstanding any other provision of this 18 stipulation and order, the Parties may take any of the following actions with respect to Documents 19 and ESI without breaching their duty to preserve Documents and ESI.

20 a) The Parties may move loose Documents or those which are contained in a 21 folder or subfolder or ESI into files or folders that adhere to an organizational scheme that was 22 created before this case was initiated. Nothing in this paragraph prevents the Parties from 23 implementing an organizational scheme that applies only to documents or ESI created after this 24 case was initiated. 25 ///

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

1 b) The Parties may delete, overwrite, or wipe ESI from devices that are being 2 replaced, upgraded, reimaged, disposed of, or returned at the end of lease, provided that the 3 potentially relevant ESI is first copied to a new location in a manner that preserves the data, 4 including metadata, that must be produced in accordance with section V, *infra*, of this stipulation 5 and order. 6 c) The Parties may move data from one device to another, or from one 7 location to another, provided that a copy of the ESI remains accessible in the first location or the 8 new copy is created in a manner that preserves the data, including metadata, which must be

produced in accordance with section V, *infra*, of this stipulation and order.

d) The Parties may load loose ESI into an enterprise content management
system, provided that: (1) the enterprise content management system captures all of the metadata
fields that must be produced under this order and does not convert the format of the ESI in a way
that makes it significantly less accessible; or (2) the Parties maintain a copy of the ESI in its
native format and make their production from this native file collection.

e) The Parties may upgrade, patch, reprogram, or customize software that
stores relevant data, even if such actions alter the way data is maintained, stored, or viewed.

17 f) The Parties may take any of the following actions with respect to data in a
18 database provided that it is part of the routine use of the database: input additional data; access
19 data; update the software running the database; append new data; and modify existing data.

20 g) The Parties may edit or take down any data on a publicly accessible
21 internet site.

h) The Parties may compress, decompress, encrypt, or decrypt data subject to
preservation in this matter provided that any data losses during such processes do not result in
loss of the metadata required to be produced under this stipulation and order or significantly
degrade the quality of the data.

i) The Parties may update social media sites, but may not take affirmative
steps to delete relevant data posted before this case was initiated.

28

///

8. Preservation Does Not Affect Discoverability or Claims of Privilege. By preserving Documents or ESI for the purpose of this litigation, the Parties are not conceding that such material is discoverable, nor are they waiving any claim of privilege.

9. 4 Other Preservation Obligations Not Affected. Nothing in this agreement affects 5 any obligations of the Parties to preserve documents or information for purposes other than this 6 litigation, such as pursuant to court order, administrative order, statute, or in response to other anticipated litigation.

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

1

2

3

III. **COLLECTION AND PROCESSING**

10. Filtering.

The Parties may de-NIST electronic files, removing known, traceable a) software applications in the National Software Reference Library ("NIST List"), available at: https://www.nsrl.nist.gov/software-quality-group/national-software-reference-library-NSRL.

13 b) If a Producing Party proposes to apply other filters to limit ESI that is 14 collected for processing and review (e.g., that identify system files, non-user generated files, or 15 zero-byte files), the Producing Party shall provide information to the other Parties regarding the 16 filters, how they work, and what impact they will have on the collections or productions. The 17 Parties shall meet and confer regarding such additional filters. The Parties may agree upon 18 additional filters in writing without further action from the Court. If the Parties cannot agree, the 19 Party seeking to apply the filter may file a motion for a protective order.

11. Deduplication.

21 Deduplication of e-mail. The parties shall make reasonable efforts to a) 22 de-duplicate e-mail ESI. To the extent possible, e-mail ESI shall be de-duplicated across all 23 Sources and/or e-mail custodians, and non-custodial Sources. E-mails may be considered exact 24 duplicates if either of the following conditions are met:

25 The e-mail shares the exact MD5 or SHA-1 hash value as another 1) 26 e-mail;

The e-mail shares the exact MessageID or UNID metadata field 27 2) 28 value as another e-mail or e-mails; or

STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED **INFORMATION (ESI)**

1 3) The e-mail shares the exact numeric and text values in the 2 following fields, if available: date sent, time sent, to, from, cc, bcc, subject, 3 body (i.e., Extracted Text and/or OCR Text), and attachment names. 4 b) The Parties hereby stipulate and agree that in this matter there is a 5 rebuttable presumption of evidence that an e-mail correctly addressed to a recipient was actually 6 delivered to that recipient's e-mail inbox. This stipulation does not apply to drafts. 7 *E-mail Threading*. Where multiple e-mail messages are part of a single c) 8 chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive message and need not 9 produce earlier, less inclusive e-mail messages within that e-mail family that are fully contained, 10 including E-mail Attachments and including identical senders and recipients, within the most 11 inclusive e-mail message. For the purposes of this Stipulation, the term "E-mail Attachment" 12 shall refer to any substantive Document(s) and/or ESI which has been attached to and transmitted 13 with an e-mail communication. If a later message contains different text, senders, or recipients, 14 or does not include an E-mail Attachment that was part of the earlier message, this message must 15 be also be produced. 16 d) Deduplication of ESI other than e-mail. To the extent possible, the Parties 17 agree to take all reasonable and practicable steps to avoid duplication, and produce only one copy 18 for each entity Source or individual custodian in possession of, or maintaining custody or control 19 over the Document or file. The Parties may identify duplicate Documents based upon a 20 comparison the MD5 or SHA-1 hash values, or by comparing Document metadata, OCR or 21 Extracted Text, or by any other reasonable method of review that will identify exact duplicates of

22 ESI and electronic files other than e-mails. It should be noted that where Documents do not

contain metadata as a result of their age, method of collection, or other factors outside of the 24 producing Party's control, the Parties are not able to reliably de-duplicate these Documents using 25 automated processes or metadata field values. In such instances, the Parties agree to make 26 reasonable efforts to manually review proposed production Documents and to reduce duplication 27 as is reasonably practicable.

28

///

12. Search Technology

1

2

6

On November 20, 2018, the Parties shall confer to agree upon search terms a) 3 and protocols for each Party's broadly-based search across all potentially relevant custodians, 4 locations, and Sources, with the intent that each Party collect, review, and produce all 5 discoverable Documents and ESI relevant to the claims, defenses, and disputed facts alleged with particularity in the pleadings. No later than November 13, 2018, seven (7) days prior to the date 7 the Parties agree to confer, each Party shall distribute a table describing: (i) the collections of ESI 8 including Source(s) and/or individual custodian(s) for which it proposes to use search terms; (ii) 9 the search terms that it proposes to use for each collection; and the computer software or 10 technologies it proposes to use to carry out the search(es) in each collection.

11 b) To the extent the Party receiving a Request for Production of Documents 12 (RFP) pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 and CMP section 7.2, believes that any 13 particular RFP requires an additional electronic search of custodians, locations, or sources 14 because responsive materials are reasonably unlikely to be included within the collection of 15 responsive Documents and/or ESI collected or produced pursuant to paragraph 11(a) in order to 16 meet obligations of diligence, that Party shall confer with the requesting Party or Parties and 17 provide, in writing, its proposed search terms, custodians, locations and sources within fourteen 18 (14) business days of receipt of the RFP. If the requesting Party wishes to obtain more 19 information about the proposed search(es) or objects to some or all of the proposed search 20 protocol, the Parties must confer within seven (7) days of receipt of the information provided. 21 Any unresolved dispute must be brought to the Special Master's attention with seven (7) days of 22 the Parties' conferral.

23 c) Nothing in this provision requires a Party to accept the results of an 24 electronic search alone conducted under paragraph 11(b) as a sufficient response to a discovery 25 response, although the Parties may agree to do so in any particular situation.

26 ///

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

14

1 13. Privileged Materials Located in the Offices of Counsel. The Parties agree that, in 2 response to general discovery requests, the Parties need not collect any privileged or work-3 product material that is located in the offices of the United States Department of Justice, the 4 Office of the Solicitor for the United States Department of the Interior, or the Department of 5 Commerce Office of General Counsel, Office of General Counsel for the Department of 6 Agriculture, Office of General Counsel for the Department of Defense, Office of General Counsel 7 for the State Department, and the General Counsel or Attorney General offices of any State 8 agency (collectively, "Offices of Counsel"). The Parties understand that such materials will not 9 be produced or placed on a privilege log. This paragraph does not apply to any documents, ESI, 10 or tangible things located outside of the Offices of Counsel, even if they are duplicates of 11 materials located inside the Offices of Counsel. The Parties need not search collections of 12 documents and ESI gathered solely for litigation in another matter.

IV. REVIEW

A. Technology Assisted Review

15 14. As of the date of this Stipulation, no Party intends to use Technology Assisted 16 Review (TAR), such as predictive coding, in determining or limiting which documents should be 17 produced. If any Party wishes to use TAR, it shall notify the other Parties and provide to them a 18 proposed TAR protocol. The Parties shall then meet and confer in an attempt to negotiate an 19 agreed-upon protocol. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the Parties shall not use TAR 20 except in conformance with an agreed-upon protocol. This section does not preclude any party 21 from using TAR, predictive coding, or other analytics methods, for the internal review, analysis, 22 or evaluation of those Documents and data produced by *other* Parties. This provision specifically 23 relates to TAR review for the purposes of filtering, culling, and limiting Documents produced in 24 response to written discovery. A protocol is therefore required to ensure each party's TAR 25 method is based upon the same set of parameters.

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

B. 502(d) Order

1

8

15. The Parties to this original action have agreed to provisions regarding Requests for
Production of Documents/Inspections to Parties in CMP section 7.2. This stipulation hereby
incorporates CMP section 7.2.3 regarding the operative effect of Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules
of Evidence (Rule 502(d)) and those provisions shall be controlling. In the event the Parties agree
to a stipulation regarding Rule 502(d) orders, the terms of any such future stipulation shall be
controlling, as agreed.

C. Privilege Log

9 16. E-mail Threads or Chains. An e-mail may be treated as a single document 10 regardless of the number of e-mails contained within the e-mail thread or chain. To the extent 11 required, the privilege log entry for an e-mail withheld under a claim of privilege, may identify 12 the author, recipient(s), subject, dates, and times based on the metadata from the top-level 13 message, and is not required to include metadata from any e-mail in the underlying e-mail thread 14 or chain. If an e-mail contains both privileged and non-privileged communications, the non-15 privileged communications must be produced. This requirement may be satisfied by producing 16 the original of the embedded, non-privileged e-mail, but if the original is not available, it may be 17 satisfied by producing a redacted version of the privileged e-mail. The Parties agree that, in 18 addition to the limitations set forth in paragraphs 2 and 12, the following documents need not be 19 included on a privilege log:

a) Communications between the Parties and their counsel (including internal
communications within a law firm or within a legal department of the Party) on and after the
filing of this lawsuit and before the lawsuit in anticipation of this litigation regarding the litigation
or litigation strategy.

b) Work product created by or for counsel in this matter after commencement
of this lawsuit and before the lawsuit in anticipation of this litigation.

26 17. <u>E-mail Attachments</u>. Any E-mail Attachment to an e-mail which, upon review, is
27 determined to be privileged, must be independently reviewed for relevance, responsiveness, and
28 to determine if the E-Mail Attachment is also privileged. In the event the "parent" e-mail is

7

8

9

10

11

12

privileged and the "child" attachment is not, the attachment must be produced. If the E-mail
 Attachment(s) to a privileged e-mail is, in fact, privileged, it shall be listed separately on the
 privilege log, with a notation or reference to indicate it's family relationship to the privileged
 e-mail, which must also be listed on the privilege log, immediately preceding the attachment.
 In preparing and producing privilege logs, the Parties shall comply with the CMP sections

7.2.3(a)-(g) and CMP section 10.

V. **PRODUCTION**

18. <u>Procedures for Production</u>. Unless stated otherwise in a written agreement signed by each of the Parties to this litigation, the following specifications and procedures apply to the form and content of Documents and/or ESI produced in this matter. Compliance with these procedures constitutes compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the CMP on file in this matter, as revised.

13 a) Format of Productions. Except as stated otherwise below, ESI produced 14 by a Party shall be converted, if reasonably practicable, to not less than 300 dpi, multi-page, 15 black-and-white TIFF images or black-and-white /full color PDF images (Images), depending on 16 the technological limitations of the producing Party. Where graphics, photos, or other high-17 resolution digital images are required by this order, these files may be produced as Images, but 18 may, if available, also be produced in native format, such as JPG, PNG, or other higher-resolution 19 format, in order to preserve quality. If a receiving Party requests native format of any such 20 Images, the producing Party shall produce the same if available. Each produced Document shall 21 be unitized on a document-level, unless doing so would alter the manner in which the 22 Document(s) and/or ESI was stored in the ordinary course of business. Large collections of 23 scanned or word process-generated Documents and/or ESI should not be combined into a single 24 Image file prior to production; if Documents and/or ESI materials are maintained as individual 25 Document, they must be produced individually and each Document/file must be listed as a 26 separate record in the Database Load Files.

27

28

b) *Bates Numbering*. Each Image page must be branded with a unique Bates number containing a prefix (which identifies the producing Party as set forth in the CMP), e.g.,

3

4

5

6

7

TX, NM, US, CO, which to the extent possible, should be permanently burned onto the Images, 2 not added to the Image as an overlay, which can easily be removed.

c) Service of ESI Productions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the Images must be produced in accordance with the September 28, 2018 Interim Stipulation on file with the Special Master, must be served via upload to the VeritextVault or Box.com, as outlined in the September 28, 2018 Interim Stipulation, and must be followed by a Notice of Production, served upon all Parties in accordance with the CMP.

8 d) Database Load Files. Each Party's Production Images must be 9 accompanied Database Load Files, which must include both a "Data load file," an "Image load 10 file," and Extracted and/or OCR "Text Files" corresponding to each produced Document. The 11 Data load file may be produced as a delimited text file (*.dat) or comma delimited Excel file 12 (*.csv) (collectively, "Data load file"), which shall contain Document data/metadata for each of 13 the applicable fields described in Appendix A. The Data load file shall contain a "TextPath" field 14 populated with a relative path to the individual, document level, text files which contain either 15 Extracted Text or OCR Text (if appropriate) and shall be named as the Beginning Production 16 number (Text Files). The Image load file, which associates and maps each Database record's 17 Beginning Bates number to its corresponding Image file using a relative path to the Image file 18 location, may be produced in any of the following formats: (1) an OpticonTM (*.opt) file; (2) an 19 IPRO "cross reference file" (*.lfp); or (3) by including in the Data load file, an "ImagePath" field 20 using a relative path to the Image file location (collectively "Image load file"). For all 21 Documents produced in accordance with the September 28, 2018 Interim Stipulation, the Parties 22 shall provide corresponding Data load files, Text load files, and Image load files (collectively 23 "Load Files") within five (5) business days of uploading the produced Images. Should any Party 24 encounter technical difficulties which prevent the production of the required Load Files within 25 five (5) business days that Party will confer with the other Parties and provide a description of the 26 issue preventing timely production, and an estimate of additional time necessary for the Party to 27 comply.

28

///

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN A Professional Corporation

18

1 e) ESI Metadata and Master Index. Nothing in this stipulation requires a 2 Party to manually code or populate a metadata field in Appendix A (other than "Source") if such 3 fields cannot be extracted from a document. However, the parties have conferred, and have 4 agreed to share with one another, an index of their Document productions pursuant to CMP 5 section 7.2.2 (Master Index), which may include manually coded field values based upon an 6 independent review of the Documents. As many of the Documents in this matter were accessible 7 only in hard copy or paper format, and manually scanned, metadata is not reasonably available, 8 and much of the metadata associated with these Documents would not be meaningful for the 9 purposes of review. 10 Paper Documents f)

1) Documents printed on paper that is larger than 11 x 17 inches may,
 at the producing Party's discretion, be produced in hard-copy on paper format. Documents
 produced on paper must be produced as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must
 be organized and labeled to correspond to the categories in the request.

Documents printed on paper that is 11 x 17 inches or smaller must
be scanned and produced to the VeritextVault or other FTP as provided in the September 28,
2018 Interim Stipulation and in accordance with section V, paragraph 32(a), herein.

g) Word and/or WordPerfect Documents

Word or WordPerfect generated files may be produced as Images
 and the Parties must produce full extracted text from the Document, unless the Document has
 been redacted during privilege review, in which case the Parties may produce OCR Text which
 excludes the redacted portion.

23 2) Any party desiring to obtain native versions of Microsoft Word
24 and/or WordPerfect files shall confer to resolve any disputes in this regard.

h) PDF files will be produced as Image files with Data load files which
include those metadata fields, as available, listed in Appendix A.

27 i)

28 other Presentation files (*.ppt, *.pptx, etc.) shall be produced as native files and may also be

Microsoft PowerPoint or other Presentations. Microsoft PowerPoint or

STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI)

processed and produced as full color Images with one slide per page, and shall include full
extracted text. If any presenter notes are associated with the PowerPoint or Presentation file, the
slides may be produced as full color, half page Images, with presenter notes appearing below each
slide. If any part of the PowerPoint or Presentation file is redacted, the file shall be produced as
an Image and the Text load files produced may contain OCR text, in lieu of extracted text.

6

j) E-mails

E-mails shall be produced as Images and the producing Party must
 also produce full extracted text files, unless the e-mail produced contains redactions. If a Party
 redacts any part of an e-mail before producing it, OCR text may be provided in place of the
 original extracted text in addition to the redacted image of the e-mail.

11 2) E-mail Attachments, as defined above, must be processed and 12 included in the Data load file as separate Documents. However, the parent-child relationship (the 13 association between e-mails and E-mail Attachments) should be preserved, and the appropriate 14 Beginning Attachment and Ending Attachment fields listed in Appendix A must be populated. 15 Further, E-mail Attachments should be consecutively produced with the parent e-mail record. 16 The Beginning and Ending Attachment Bates numbers must also be included in the Data load 17 files, as noted in Appendix A, as well as the Master Index produced in accordance with CMP 18 section 7.2.2, as provided in Appendix B attached hereto.

19 Microsoft Excel files (*.xls, *.xlsx, etc.) and other spreadsheets must be k) 20 produced in native format and must include an Image placeholder, which provides the name of 21 the native file and is branded with a uniquely assigned Bates number. Spreadsheets may be 22 produced as Images if the Document is redacted or if the native is unavailable. The Data load file 23 must contain a field that identifies the file path of the native file (FileName or similar field value) 24 which corresponds to each natively produced Document. Any documents produced in their 25 native format shall be named according to the assigned Document-level Bates number, which 26 corresponds to the Bates number branded on the placeholder Image.

27

28

 Embedded non-substantive attachments to e-mails or other files such as logo images, social media links, or e-mail signatures, may be removed from a Party's production,

13

15

1 and removed from the Document or e-mail "family", if the producing Party determines such 2 embedded attachments are nonresponsive or without substantive value.

3 m) Digital photographs may be produced as document level Images and Bates 4 numbered as specified above. The receiving Party may, after reviewing the produced digital 5 photographs, request that the photographs be produced as native files in their original resolution, 6 if available and if not already produced.

7 When any Party produces any kind of electronic data other than described n) 8 above, including data from databases, CAD drawings, GIS data, videos, etc., the Parties will meet 9 and confer to determine a reasonably useable form for the production.

10 Except as stated above, a Party need not produce the same ESI in more 0) 11 than one format.

VI. **MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.**

19. Discovery Disputes. Before filing any motion with the Special Master regarding 14 electronic discovery or evidence, the Parties shall meet and confer in a good faith attempt to resolve such disputes, in accordance with CMP section 12.

16 20. Costs of Document Production. Unless this Special Master orders otherwise for 17 good cause shown, each Party shall bear the costs of collecting, processing, reviewing, and 18 producing its own Documents.

19 21. Effect of Order. The Parties' agreement to this stipulation and order is without 20 prejudice to the right of any Party to seek an order from the Special Master to rescind or amend 21 this stipulation and order for good cause shown. Nothing in this stipulation and order abridges the 22 rights of any person to seek judicial review or to pursue other appropriate judicial action with 23 respect to any discovery ruling made by the Special Master in this matter.

24 22. Integration/Appendices. The following documents are incorporated herein by 25 reference:

26 "Appendix A" is a list of the metadata fields to be included in the Data load a) files produced by each Party. To the extent additional metadata is available, the Parties have 27 28 agreed to produce all additional non-privileged metadata.

STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED **INFORMATION (ESI)**

| 1 | b) "Appendix B" is a li | st of the fields to be included in the aforementioned |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | Master Index maintained by the parties, and | d updated no later than fifteen (15) days following a |
| 3 | Party's production of Documents and/or ES | SI. |
| 4 | This agreement may be signed in co | ounterparts and is fully executed on the date of the last |
| 5 | signature. The below signatories affirm that | at they have the right to bind their respective Parties to |
| 6 | this agreement: | |
| 7 | | THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Dated: November 8, 2018 | <u>/s/ Lee Leininger</u> James J. DuBois |
| 10 | | Stephen Macfarlane Lee Leininger |
| 11 | | U.S. Department of Justice Attorneys for the United States of America |
| 12 | | STATE OF TEXAS |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Dated: November 8, 2018 | /s/ Stuart L. Somach |
| 15 | | Stuart L. Somach Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C. |
| 16 | | Attorneys for the State of Texas |
| 17 | | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Dated: November 12, 2018 | /s/ David A. Roman |
| 20 | | Marcus J. Rael, Jr. David A. Roman |
| 21 | | Robles, Rael and Anaya Attorneys for the State of New Mexico |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | STATE OF COLORADO |
| 24 25 | Dated: 11-8-18 | Oul Veller |
| 26 | | Chad M. Wallace, Sr. Assistant Attorney General Colorado Department of Law |
| 27 | | Counsel of Record for the State of Colorado |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | <u>Appendix A</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | The following represent the Metadata field values for inclusion in Data load files |
| 3 | exchanged among the Parties. These field names are general abbreviations and may differ from |
| 4 | eDiscovery Review Platform to the next. As long as the available information and field values |
| 5 | are sufficiently provided by each Party, the names of the fields are not required to match the |
| 6 | following list: |
| 7 | BegProd, EndProd, Source, PageCount, BegAttach, EndAttach, AttachCount, |
| 8 | AttachRange, Author/E-mail From, Recipient/E-mail To, E-mailSubject, E-mailCC, E-mailBCC, |
| 9 | FileName, FileExt, DateSent, TimeSent, ProdDate, NativePath, OriginalFolderPath, |
| 10 | ImageFilePath, DateCreated, DateLastModified, MD5Hash, TextPath (if producing Text load |
| 11 | files, which is the preferred method of production), or ExtractedText/OCR (if producing |
| 12 | Extracted/OCR text in the a Data load file). |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI) -21- |

| 1 | <u>Appendix B</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | The following represent the Metadata and/or Data Fields which the Parties agree to |
| 3 | populate and include in the Master Index prepared in accordance with CMP section 7.2.2. The |
| 4 | field names included in each Party's Master Index may differ from following list but the values |
| 5 | for each field must be sufficiently provided: |
| 6 | Beginning Production Bates Number, Ending Production Bates Number, Source, Page |
| 7 | Count, Beginning Attachment Bates Number, Ending Attachment Bates Number, Author/E-mail |
| 8 | From, Recipient/E-mail To, E-mail Subject, E-mail CC, E-mail BCC, Document Description, |
| 9 | Document Date, Production Date. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | STIPULATION RE PROCEDURE FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI) -22- |

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN A Professional Corporation

SERVICE LIST

SPECIAL MASTER (Service via E-Mail and U.S. Mail)

<u>Honorable Michael J. Melloy</u>

Special Master United States Circuit Judge 111 Seventh Avenue, S.E. Box 22 Cedar Rapids, IA 52401-2101 Tel. 319-432-6080 TXvNM141@ca8.uscourts.gov Judge Michael Melloy@ca8.uscourts.gov

> PARTIES (Service via E-Mail Only)

State of New Mexico

Marcus J. Rael. Jr.

David A. Roman Special Assistant Attorneys General Robles, Rael & Anaya, P.C. 500 Marquette Ave. NW, Suite 700 Albuquerque, NM 87102 Tel. 505-242-2228 marcus@roblesrael.com droman@roblesrael.com

Paralegal: Chelsea Sandoval Chelsea@roblesrael.com

Bennett W. Raley Lisa M. Thompson Michael A. Kopp Special Assistant Attorney General Trout Ralev

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600 Denver, Colorado 80302 Tel. 303-861-1963 bralev@troutlaw.com lthompson@troutlaw.com mkopp@troutlaw.com

Hector H. Balderas

New Mexico Attornev General Tania Maestas (ext. 4048) Deputy Attorney General Marcus J. Rael, Jr.* Special Assistant Attorney General 408 Galisteo Street (87501) P.O. Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Tel. 505-490-4060 hbalderas@nmag.gov tmaestas@nmag.gov marcus@roblesrael.com

Tania's asst.: Patricia Salazar psalazar@nmag.gov Tel. (505) 490-4863 (P. Salazar)

State of Colorado

Chad M. Wallace*

Senior Assistant Attorney General Colorado Department of Law 1300 Broadway Denver, CO 80203 Tel. 720-508-6281 chad.wallace@coag.gov

Paralegal: Nan B. Edwards nan.edwards@coag.gov

Cynthia H. Coffman Attorney General of Colorado Karen M. Kwon First Assistant Attorney General Colorado Department of Law 1300 Broadway Denver, CO 80203 Tel. 720-508-6281 cynthia.coffman@coag.gov karen.kwon@coag.gov

<u>Texas v New Mexico and Colorado</u> United States Supreme Court Original Jurisdiction No. 141 **2** | P a g e

United States of America

Noel Francisco* Acting Solicitor General Jeffrey H. Wood Acting Assistant Attorney General Ann O'Connell Assistant to Solicitor General US Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Room 5614 NW Washington, DC 20530 Tel. (202) 514-2217 supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Stephen M. Macfarlane U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Div. 501 I Street, Suite 9-700 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel. (916) 930-2204 <u>stephen.macfarlane@usdoj.gov</u> James J. Dubois* R. Lee Leininger Thomas K. Snodgrass U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Div. 999 18th Street South Terrace, Ste. 370 Denver, CO 80202 <u>lee.leininger@usdoj.gov</u> Tel. 303-844-1367 <u>james.dubois@usdoj.gov</u> Tel. 303-844-1364 <u>thomas.snodgrass@usdoj.gov</u> Tel. 303-844-7233

Paralegal: Seth C. Allison <u>Seth.allison@usdoj.gov</u> Tel. 303-844-7917

Judith E. Coleman U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Div. P. O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044-7611 Tel. (202) 514-3553 judith.coleman@usdoj.gov

AMICI (Service via E-Mail Only)

Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority

Jay F. Stein James C. Brockmann* Stein & Brockmann, P.A. P.O. Box 2067 Santa Fe, NM 87504 Tel. (505) 983-3880 Administrative Copy <u>jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com</u> jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com

administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com

Peter Auh Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority P.O. Box 568 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0568 Tel. (505) 289-3092 pauh@abcwua.org <u>Texas v New Mexico and Colorado</u> United States Supreme Court Original Jurisdiction No. 141 **3** | P a g e

City of El Paso, Texas

Douglas G. Caroom* Susan M. Maxwell Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP 3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300 Austin, TX 78746 Tel. (512) 472-8021 <u>dcaroom@bickerstaff.com</u> <u>smaxwell@bickerstaff.com</u>

City of Las Cruces, New Mexico

Jay F. Stein* James C. Brockmann Stein & Brockmann, P.A. P.O. Box 2067 Santa Fe, NM 87504 Tel. (505) 983-3880 Administrative Copy jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com Jennifer Vega-Brown Marcia B Driggers City of Las Cruces City Attorney's Office P.O. Box 20000 Las Cruces, NM 88004 Tel. (575) 541-2128 jvega-brown@las-cruces.org marcyd@las-cruces.org

Elephant Butte Irrigation District

Paralegal: Janet Correll janet@h2o-legal.com

Samantha R. Barncastle* Barncastle Law Firm, LLC 1100 South Main, Suite 20 (88005) P.O. Box 1556 Las Cruces, NM 88004 Tel. (575) 636-2377 Fax. (575) 636-2688 <u>samantha@h2o-legal.com</u>

El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1

Maria O'Brien* Sarah M Stevenson Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, PA 500 Fourth Street N.W., Suite 1000 (87102) P.O. Box 2168 Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168 Main: (505) 848-1800 Direct: (505) 848-1803 Fax: (505) 848-9710 mobrien@modrall.com sarah.stevenson@modrall.com <u>Texas v New Mexico and Colorado</u> United States Supreme Court Original Jurisdiction No. 141 **4** | P a g e

Hudspeth County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1

Andrew S. "Drew" Miller* Kemp Smith LLP 919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1305 Austin, TX 78701 Tel. (512) 320-5466 dmiller@kempsmith.com

New Mexico State University

John W. Utton* Utton & Kery, P.A. P.O. Box 2386 Santa Fe, NM 87504 Tel. (505) 699-1445 john@uttonkery.com Lizbeth Ellis General Counsel Clayton Bradley Counsel Hadley Hall Room 132 2850 Weddell Road Las Cruces, NM 88003 Tel. (575) 646-2446 <u>lellis@ad.nmsu.edu</u> <u>bradleyc@ad.nmsu.edu</u>

New Mexico Pecan Growers

Paralegal: Patricia McCan patricia@tessadavidson.com

Tessa Davidson* Davidson Law Firm, LLC 4206 Corrales Road P.O. Box 2240 Corrales, New Mexico 87048 Tel. (505) 792-3636 ttd@tessadavidson.com

State of Kansas

Derek Schmidt Attorney General of Kansas Jeffrey A. Chanay Chief Deputy Attorney General Toby Crouse* Solicitor General of Kansas Bryan C. Clark Assistant Solicitor General Dwight R. Carswell Assistant Solicitor General 120 S.W. 10th Ave., 2nd Floor Topeka, KS 66612 Tel. (785) 296-2215 toby.crouse@ag.ks.gov