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## PROCEEDINGS

## (April 23, 2015)

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Good morning, Counse1. This is Greg Grimsal in New Orleans. Can everyone hear me?

Let me begin by allowing the folks on my staff to make their appearance for the record.

MR. SHELBY: Good morning. This is Rick Shelby.
MS. GRABILL: Good morning. Meredith Grabi11.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me take roll, Counsel. Is counsel for Texas on the line? Is counsel for Texas on the line? Not yet.

Let me keep going. Is counsel for New Mexico on the line?

MS. BOND: Yes, Your Honor. Sarah Bond for New Mexico.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Ms. Bond, anyone else on the line for New Mexico?

MS. BOND: Yes, sir. There is Steve Farris, who is the division director for my division in the attorney general's office and also an assistant attorney general; Amy Haas, who is a special assistant attorney general and general counsel for the Interstate Commerce Commission in New Mexico; and also with me here are John Draper and Jeff Wechsler. Also on the phone is Lisa Thompson.

Lisa, is there anybody else there with you?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Ms. Thompson, can you hear us?
MS. THOMPSON: I can hear you.
MS. BOND: So I guess it's just myself and Amy Haas, John Draper, Jeff Wechsler, and Stephen Farris.

MR. WALSTON: Rod Walston just joined from Best Best \& Krieger in Walnut Creek, California.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. I'm going down the roll ca11, so hang on a minute, please.

Is counsel for Colorado on the line?
MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Chad Wallace. With me on the call are Preston Hartman and Karen Kwon.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Wallace.
Has counsel for Texas got on the line yet?
MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. This is Stuart Somach for the State of Texas. With me on the call are Robert Hoffman, Francis Goldsberry, Andrew Hitchings, and I also have our paralegal, Liz Spence, on the phone.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Somach.
Is counsel for the United States on the line, please?

MR. DUBOIS: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jim Dubois. Also on the line are Lee Leininger, Steve Macfarlane, and Shelly Rande1 from the solicitor's office.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Dubois.
Let me go down the roll of the amici. Counse1
for Hudspeth County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1, please? Is anyone for Hudspeth on the line?

Let's keep going. E1 Paso County Water
Improvement District No. 1?
MR. SPEER: I'm here, Your Honor. James Speer.
MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Maria O'Brien also for E1 Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Counsel.
Anyone else on behalf of your client on the line?

MR. SPEER: No, I don't believe so, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you. How about the City of Las Cruces?

MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jay Stein representing the City of Las Cruces.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Stein, anybody else on the line with you on behalf of your client?

MR. STEIN: Your Honor, with me on behalf of the City of Las Cruces --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Stein. You broke up for some reason. Could you repeat that, please.

MR. STEIN: Your Honor, with me on the call is Jim Brockmann of our office also representing the City of Las Cruces.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Stein.

How about the City of E1 Paso, please?
MR. CAROOM: Good morning, Your Honor. Doug Caroom for the City of E1 Paso. I have no one else on the line.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Caroom.
Elephant Butte Irrigation District?
MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Steven Hernandez in Las Cruces. With me on the line is Lisa Henne in our Santa Fe office, Lee Peters in Seattle, and Rod Walston in Walnut Creek.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Hernandez.
Southern Rio Grande Diversified Crop Farmers
Association?
How about New Mexico Pecan Growers?
MS. MCCAN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Patricia McCan. I'm a paralegal with the Davidson Law Firm.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. McCan.
Has anyone got on for Hudspeth County
Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1, please? No. Okay.

One more try for Southern Rio Grande Diversified Crop Farmers Association? Okay.

Counsel, is there anyone else on the line besides counse1 for the parties I have identified? Is there anybody else on the line?

MS. BOND: Your Honor, this is Sarah Bond. I just
wanted to report two of our people, Lisa Thompson and Stephen Farris, are having trouble calling in. I'm not sure what the problem is, but we are prepared to move forward. I'm the counse1 of record and we are here. I just wanted to report that. I don't know what their problem is.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. Bond. It's a new system, and I apologize if there are any problems with it. Counse1, let me ask you if you do speak up, identify yourself. We are on the record. I have a court reporter here, Ms. Tusa, who handled the last phone conference we had. So I ask if you do speak up, please identify yourself so she knows who you are.

Let me begin. With respect to Case Management Order No. 3, I received a request from E1 Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. They requested a modification or a supplementation to allow their participation in all proceedings before me. At this time that request is denied.

I express no opinion on the merits of their motion to intervene. As long as they are a nonparty, I ask that they and all other nonparties comply with paragraph 7 of Case Management Order No. 2, which requires nonparties to advise me 24 hours in advance by e-mail if you would like to participate in a telephone conference. I wanted to take care of that little bit of housekeeping.

Counse1, this phone call shouldn't take too
long, but I do have some housekeeping matters I want to work through with you.

Based upon a review of the parties' papers and a11 the sources cited therein, we would like to go ahead and entertain oral argument on New Mexico's motions to dismiss. Let me walk through a couple of the housekeeping matters we have with respect to that oral argument.

On account of our schedules, we are looking, generally speaking, at the month of August. We've got a bunch of dates that are available for us and also for the courts we might be using. So let me begin by asking the parties if they have any general problems with a setting in the month of August? Aside from the fact that it's so hot down here, but that's another matter.

MR. DUBOIS: This is Jim Dubois. Both Mr. Leininger and I have a trial that will be going at least -- I believe it's the 3rd and 4th. We actually were kind of looking at calendars earlier, so we are a little short on this, but we have a trial on the 3rd, 4th, and the week of the 24th, I believe, isn't it, Lee?

MR. LEININGER: Yes, that's correct.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Dubois. You said your trial begins the 3rd?

MR. DUBOIS: Well, it's just the 3rd and the 4th and then the week of the 24 th . So the weeks of the 10 th and the

17th and the 31st should all work, I believe. I don't have Mr. Mcfarlane's calendar for that because we were assuming we were looking at May, June, July.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We were hoping to do it sooner, but that's just not going to work out. Does anyone else have any problems with an August setting?

MR. SOMACH: This is Stuart Somach, Your Honor. No, those dates -- and, in fact, the weeks that Jim suggested, the 10th and the 17th of August, both work for us. Those will work.

MS. BOND: Your Honor, this is Sarah Bond for New Mexico. My phone cut out there for a couple of minutes, but I came in while Jim was talking about the weeks of August 10th and 17th. Those two weeks work for us except for the 20th and 21st of August. Then I'm unavailable the 24th through the end of the month, but I think I heard Mr. Dubois say he was also unavailable on that week. We are available all days except the 20th and 21st and after the 24 th.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. Bond.
MR. DUBOIS: This is Jim Dubois again. The 10th and 11th are problematic for Mr. Leininger. We can make that work if we need to, but if we can do either -- the 12th through the 14th or the 17th through the 19th sounds like might be most workable.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: So far the 17th through the 19th
seems to work for everybody. Is that correct?
MR. DUBOIS: As far as I know, Your Honor, yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, this is Chad Wallace for Colorado. We are available to participate both the weeks of the 10th and the 17th.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Wallace.
We have cleared some dates with the courts. I'm going to nail this down and get another case management order out setting the specific date, but let me ask some additional questions.

Counse1, do you have any idea how many people each party would bring to the hearing? That may have some bearing on which courtroom we wind up using.

Texas, let me start with you.
MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. I would assume that we would bring who I have on the phone right now. So with myself, that's five people. Plus, I'm fairly certain that the folks from the attorney general's office as well as the Rio Grande commissioner and the others from the state would want to be there for the argument. I don't have an exact number, but it could be as many as like 10 people.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I just need a ballpark for present purposes.

MR. SOMACH: For that purpose, I think 10 is probably a reasonable estimate.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Somach. Ms. Bond.

MS. BOND: Yes, Your Honor. Sarah Bond for
New Mexico. I would expect we would have maybe four people at counsel table, and then we have a number of amici who have already expressed interest in being there. I think most of them are on the phone, but it could be as many as 20.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm going to go down the roll with amici just to get an idea of what size courtroom we ought to use.

MS. BOND: A11 right. Thank you.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sorry, Ms. Bond. Were you trying to say something?

MS. BOND: I'm sorry. Anyway, it would be four or five at counse1 table and then maybe five or six more from the state, maybe up to 10 , and then several amici.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Not counting amici, you anticipate roughly 10 folks for your client?

MS. BOND: But I would say 10 to 20 to be on the safe side.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. That's fine. I would rather air on the cautious side.

Mr. Wallace.
MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. Colorado anticipates maybe, at the most, five persons.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Mr. Dubois.

MR. DUBOIS: I'm guessing about six, Your Honor, maybe seven. Round numbers, six.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's fine.
Has Mr. Miller got on the line for Hudspeth County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1? No.

Mr. Speer, how many people do you anticipate being in attendance at that --

MR. SPEER: Mr. Grimsal, I would say that we would have at least five people present --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.
MR. SPEER: -- possibly 10. We are sort of an anomalous party as you probably saw yesterday. We are filing a motion to intervene in the original action case.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, sir, I saw that.
MR. SPEER: Of course, I'm working with attorneys for all these other parties constantly. I know and respect all of them. I think highly of everybody. We have sort of a fraternity of the Rio Grande, so we will be very, very interested. We are the real parties of interest, the two districts, despite what Stuart Somach might think or anybody else. The two districts are real parties of interest in this 1itigation.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Speer --

MR. SPEER: We will lean over backwards to accommodate anything you ask of us, Mr. Grimsal.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Speer. So if I understand you correctly, your ballpark estimate is about 10 people; is that correct?

MR. SPEER: Five to 10.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's fine. Thank you. Mr. Stein.

MR. STEIN: Your Honor, there would be two for the City of Las Cruces. That would be myself and Mr. Brockmann. But, Your Honor, I have one question.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, sir.
MR. STEIN: Is it your intention to allow argument by the amici?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Not at this time.
MR. STEIN: I'm sorry?
THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sorry, sir. Go ahead. Finish your question.

MR. STEIN: Yes. My question was: Is it your intention to allow argument by the amici who have filed a brief on the issue? I ask this question because the practice in a previous original action that we were involved in, Nebraska v. Wyoming, the special master in that case, Mr. Owen 01pin, did allow amici who had filed a brief on an issue to participate in oral argument, and the City of Las Cruces would like it.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Your request is noted, Mr. Stein, and I will address that in the case management order that I issue.

MR. STEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure.
Mr. Caroom.
MR. CAROOM: I would anticipate no more than three from E1 Paso.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Caroom. Mr. Hernandez.

MR. HERNANDEZ: I anticipate eight, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Has Mr. Jones got on the line for the Southern Rio Grande Diversified Crop Farmers Association?

Ms. Davidson?
MS. MCCAN: Your Honor, this is Patricia McCan.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, sorry.
MS. MCCAN: Your Honor, I think just one.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Thank you.
That's helpful, Counsel. Let me ask you about time allotted for oral argument. Is there any reason why 30 minutes per side per motion would not work? Is that acceptable?

MR. SOMACH: Can I ask --
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Can you identify yourself,
please.
MR. SOMACH: Yes. This is Stuart Somach. Can I ask a question or two in advance of responding to your question, if that's appropriate?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure. Yes, sir.
MR. SOMACH: Among the questions $I$ have is whether or not you intend to provide us with any particular concerns or issues that you might want us to address in the oral argument or whether or not you intend to put out your recommended or tentative recommendations prior to the oral argument. That will help kind of address how much time. Then the other question I have is whether or not you know the order you would like things presented in. Relevant to that is whether amici will be allowed to do oral argument.

I know that's a lot of stuff, but it all is relevant to how much time will be necessary to address whatever the issues associated with the oral argument are.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Hold on just a second.
I haven't at all got any idea as to the proper order. It seemed to us, as a preliminary matter, that New Mexico's arguments with respect to Texas and the United States overlap or intertwine. So it might make a certain amount of sense to allow New Mexico to give its oral argument with respect to its motions to both parties at one time and then allow each of the plaintiffs to respond in turn.

That seems to make sense to me at this time. It's not set in stone by any means.

Let me answer your other questions. I don't anticipate issuing a draft report for you before we entertain oral argument. Part of the purpose of the oral argument is for us to get your thoughts on your motions and for us to have an opportunity to ask you any questions we may have.

It is possible that before the time comes we will issue a list of specific issues we are interested in, but we don't have that at this point. At this point we thought it would be extremely helpful to have your input on these motions. That's probably not helping you decide whether 30 minutes is enough or not, but it seems to me that that is fairly standard.

The real issue here is how much time do I need a courtroom for. I'm open to your suggestions on the amount of time necessary for oral argument and even as to the proper order of oral argument. For present purposes I'm trying to figure out if I need a courtroom for a morning or afternoon or a whole day. I don't think I need it for the whole day.

MR. SOMACH: My recommendation is that you hold it for either a morning or an afternoon. I will tell you kind of my thinking and that is that particularly given what you just said, that you may have questions, I would hate to have this oral argument time set aside and then not have sufficient time, because we have got artificial time constraints, to be able to
respond to a11 the questions that you may have.
So certainly either a morning or an afternoon ought to allow you to be able to have plenty of time to ask questions and allow us to respond. I'm not saying that you ought not to set a target time limit, but I would hate to have an artificial constraint so that we end up with you still having questions that, because of the time limits, we haven't been able to respond to. So in light of what you just said, that would be my thinking.

The other question I have is when you talk about sides, I agree with you that the motions overlap and so it makes sense to have New Mexico at least open with its reserve rebuttal time, but were you intending to allow Texas and the United States to have sufficient time to respond to their respective motions? So it's a question of is it New Mexico, the United States, and Texas or are you combining the United States and Texas in terms of the time allotted?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, absolutely not, Mr. Somach. The United States and Texas would each have its own time allotment as I was thinking about it. I agree with you generally as to the shape of the hearing and the amount of time it's likely to take. I'm not hearing any dissent that we should be able to get this accomplished in a morning or an afternoon.

MR. SPEER: Your Honor, I'm James Speer. I don't
think that's enough time, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: One at a time. One at a time. Mr. Speer, you have the floor.

MR. SPEER: It's Jim Speer. Yes, thank you. You will discover that the amici are much more actively and deeply involved than you could anticipate at this juncture. I know all these people. I've been in multiple hearings with all the attorneys over the years. A half a day is not going to be sufficient in my judgment.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Speer.
MS. BOND: Your Honor --
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.
MS. BOND: -- I'm sorry, but I've been trying to break in. This is Sarah Bond for New Mexico. It is our motion. We agree that it makes sense for us to go first. We would absolutely need the same amount of time for each plaintiff.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.
MS. BOND: So if it's going to be limited to 30 minutes, we would request 30 minutes to address our Texas issues, 30 minutes to address our U.S. issues.

I agree with Stuart. Actually, I think based on our Montana experience, the whole day should be reserved, both because of the number of parties -- and this case is much more complex than the Montana case was. As Stuart said, we are all
going to great expense to be there to hopefully provide answers to you and your staff about our motions and the case in genera1. People have been fighting over this river for about 400 years and there's a lot of information to be imparted.

I would request that you get the courthouse for the whole day so that while we are there, we can answer any question you have. As I indicated, although every case is different, we had quite a long period of colloquy with our other special master because as, of course, we raised oral argument, he would have questions, and that went on for quite some time. You are the one we want to help understand this and also persuade, of course. So if you can give us that much time, I would really appreciate it, and I think it would benefit the Court to have that big a record.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. Bond. That makes a lot of sense to me. Let me put your mind at rest that it was, indeed, my intention that you would get a 30 -minute allotment as to each of the parties you are seeking to dismiss, so we are singing off the same page. I think your remarks about reserving the whole day make a lot of sense and I appreciate that.

Was anybody else trying to chime in on this issue? Okay.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor --
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.

MR. WALLACE: -- this is Chad Wallace for Colorado again.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: As a named party to this case, even though we had not filed a substantive response brief, we would like to reserve the right to present rebuttal argument, if necessary, addressing issues relevant to Colorado's compact interest and interstate compact jurisprudence should that come up during the argument. I certainly don't want to waste your time any more than necessary, so would want much less time than you are anticipating for the other parties.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's fine, Mr. Wallace. Any other thoughts or comments?

MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor --
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.
MR. DUBOIS: -- this is Jim Dubois for the United States. My concern here is that if we reserve an entire day that somehow the arguments will fill an entire day whether they should or should not. I actually think this could be done in a morning. If the parties prefer to have the whole day, so be it.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We11, there's a difference between actually having the courtroom reserved the whole day if we actually need it and my exercising some kind of control over the argument so that it's long enough to cover the subjects we
want to talk about but doesn't go longer than it really needs to. That will be my job, to sort of control the proceedings.

MR. DUBOIS: Very good, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Any other thoughts or suggestions?

MR. SPEER: Your Honor, it's Jim Speer again. By habit I'm used to addressing the judge as "Your Honor." I realize you are not serving exactly in the position of judge, but if I slip up and call you "Your Honor," I don't mean any disrespect, needless to say.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Speer, none taken.
MR. SPEER: Thank you. The thing that strikes me is everybody is ignoring the amici, but the two districts have moved to intervene, the Elephant Butte district and the El Paso district, and it's conceivable we will become parties in the case. Therefore, we should have the same procedural attention as the other parties, including the United States, New Mexico, and Texas.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Speer, without --
MR. SPEER: Al1 I'm saying is more time may be necessary.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Your point is wel1-taken. Neither of the two intervention motions are currently pending before me, although I believe the Elephant Butte Irrigation District motion is on the Supreme Court calendar for their
conference tomorrow. So there may be some indication of how that will be dealt with after tomorrow, but those motions are not before me at this time. So my job at the moment is to go forward with what I have before me.

If those two intervenors do become parties, then they will have all the rights that an ordinary party would have. I pretermit the question of what opportunities the amici will have to participate in oral argument on this matter. I haven't quite resolved that in my mind yet. So I hope that addresses your concerns, Mr. Speer.

MR. SPEER: Yes, right on point. Thank you.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, this is Mr. Hernandez. I think that Elephant Butte sits in a little bit different position. I guess it's conceivable that our motion could be decided by the Supreme Court and a mandate issued to allow us to intervene.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure. I agree. I agree with that.

MR. HERNANDEZ: That could occur before the August argument date.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And we wil1 cross that bridge when we get to it.

MR. HERNANDEZ: That was my only point, is if necessary possibly another conference if something should happen in the meantime.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Correct. Absolutely.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure, sure. We have an eye on the Supreme Court docket every day to see what's going on as a general matter and particularly with respect to the two motions to intervene. We understand that they, depending on the disposition, could have an impact on the proceedings here. We are monitoring those proceedings constantly.

Any other thoughts or suggestions regarding the hearing?

MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, this is Stuart Somach. I just wanted to indicate that on the amici question, I have some concerns that if amici are allowed to argue that extraneous issues will be added or injected into the hearing, and I think that that will cause some additional problems and issues. So I will just lodge, on behalf of the State of Texas, a preference on amici not being allowed to participate in oral argument. And, if so, I'm not certain how to anticipate because, among other things, one of the amici, EBID, never filed any papers with respect to the motion to dismiss. It does present some problems, so I will just simply voice that reservation to you.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Somach, that is a point that is extremely wel1-taken. Let me expand upon it that, as I read the record, no amici, not one, has filed any papers addressing New Mexico's motions. I don't believe even one has. I may be
mistaken, but I don't think a single amici has filed a brief addressed to that motion.

MS. BOND: Your Honor, this is Sarah Bond for New Mexico. I believe Las Cruces did, but Jay you are on.

MR. STEIN: Yes, Your Honor, the City of Las Cruces filed a brief in support of the State of New Mexico's motion to dismiss as setting forth our position, and it is on that basis that we have requested the opportunity to participate in oral argument.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Point well-taken.
MS. BOND: Your Honor, on behalf of New Mexico, I do share Stuart's concerns, but I defer to the special master's discretion to limit time and would suggest that the amici have a very limited time and that they be kept to issues related to the motion to dismiss as opposed to --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right. My instinct, which I hope I express clearly, is if you haven't filed a paper, what are you bringing to the discussion? That's my sort of threshold concern with amici. I'11 deal with that when I issue --

MS. BOND: Thank you, Your Honor. I agree with that completely.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sorry --
MR. SPEER: Mr. Grimsal, this is Jim Speer again for
E1 Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. I would like
to make a brief comment.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, sir. Please do.
MR. SPEER: At this point in time, the E1 Paso district is straddling the fence on the motion to dismiss. We are totally not connected to the State of Texas. Stuart doesn't speak for us. Texas has seen to want to muzzle us the last month or so. We intend to stand up for ourselves and be a totally independent party. Our government of the district is very similar to county government. In Texas law we are similar to the government of a county. So we hope to be treated as an independent, freestanding party.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Speer.
Any other observations or suggestions about the hearing?

MR. WALSTON: Yes, Your Honor. This is Rod Walston for Elephant Butte. We did not file papers addressing the motion to dismiss by New Mexico, but in our papers we clearly laid out our views concerning that. So when you said that none of the amici have really filed any papers regarding the New Mexico motion to dismiss, I'm not sure that is quite accurate as it applies to Elephant Butte because we did express our views on New Mexico's motion as part of our motion to intervene.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you. We will have a look at that and take that into consideration when I issue the case
management order dealing with oral argument by amici.
MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, this is Stuart Somach again. I actually hate the fact this sounds like oral argument in and of itself, but I will state that we did respond to Elephant Butte's articulation of issues associated with the motion to dismiss, noting among other things that to the extent they were addressing that issue at all, as tangentially as they may have addressed it, it was untimely by months and inappropriate. I think it's appropriate for me to at least remind the Court of that as the Court looks at the papers that were filed.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Somach, that point is not lost on us.

MR. SOMACH: Thank you.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure. Anything else with respect to the motions before I move on to another topic?

MR. DUBOIS: So, Your Honor -- this is Jim Dubois -you are looking at the week of August 17; is that right?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right, and particularly the 17th. As I appreciate it, the 17th, 18th, and 19th are the dates that work for everybody.

MR. DUBOIS: Very good. I was just wanting to check that box.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's correct. I would appreciate it if everybody would sort of put a hold on those
three dates. We will try to get the case management order out within a couple of days. So thanks for your attention to that point.

In compliance with the instructions I received from the Supreme Court, I plan to file a motion for fees and costs the week of May 4. As you all know, since you are so familiar with these sorts of proceedings, the Court instructs the special masters to submit such motions not sooner than every six months. The first week of May will be six months since the appointment. I plan to file that motion with the clerk. I will copy the parties electronically on the date I file so that you may review it and file any objections you deem appropriate.

When we last spoke, the parties were optimistic that they could agree as to the percentage of fees and expenses to be paid by each party. Has there been any progress on that allocation?

MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor, this is Jim Dubois. Yes, the parties, the states and the United States, have come to an agreement. Obviously this will be subject to depending on whether or not the interventions are granted because that would shift things. Until this point, with the parties we have, we have come to the agreement of each of the states taking 37.5 percent, the United States taking 20 percent, and Colorado taking 5 percent.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Dubois. Obviously, I guess, as you say, that agreement is subject to modification depending on what happens with the interventions.

MR. DUBOIS: Exactly.
THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's fine. That's fine. Counsel, that's all I have for this conference. Is there anything else for the good of the order?

MS. BOND: No, Your Honor, not from New Mexico. Oh, the venue. I am presuming we are still looking at New Orleans?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes. I apologize. Good point. Yes, we are looking at New Orleans. The issue is whether the hearing will be in the district court, where we are actually sitting right now, or at the Fifth Circuit, which is -- I'm looking out the window. It's just across a courtyard from here. So for purposes of a hote1 or anything like that, it's basically the same place. The district court is next door to the Fifth Circuit.

I will work with the court to figure out which is the best and most appropriate available courtroom for this proceeding. The Fifth Circuit is sort of a marble temple, and the Eastern District is a little bit more modern and better wired for the court reporter. It's a little bit easier for the court reporters to work with. We will see which one works out better for this proceeding.

Yes, it will be here. There are a lot of hotels within easy walking distance of both of these courthouses, so that shouldn't be a problem. August is a very slow month here, so you shouldn't have any problems getting hotels.

Anything else, Counsel?
Before we get off, let me make one last try here. Has anyone appeared for Hudspeth County Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1?

How about the Southern Rio Grande Diversified Crop Farmers Association?

Okay, Counse1, that's all I have. Thanks for calling in. I look forward to following up shortly. Thanks a lot. We are off the record.
(Proceedings adjourned.) * * *
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| itself [1] 28/4 | LLC [1] 2/5 | morning [13] 5/3 5/7 5/8 6/21 7/14 8/2 |
| J | LLP [2] 3/12 3/22 | 8/6 8/14 18/18 18/21 19/2 19/23 22/20 |
| JAMES [5] 2/16 3/2 3/9 7/5 19/25 | 1 21/8 22/2 | most [4] 11/23 13/613/25 30/20 |
| JAY [3] 3/9 7/14 26/4 | longer [1] 23/1 | 23/25 24/14 25/20 26/2 26/6 26/15 27/4 |
| Jeff [2] 5/23 6/4 | look [2] 27/24 31/12 | 27/17 27/20 27/22 27/22 28/6 29/5 |
| JEFFREY [1] 2/3 | looking [7] 10/8 10/17 11/3 28/18 30/10 | 29/10 |
| Jim [12] 6/22 7/23 10/15 11/8 11/13 | 30/12 30/15 | motions [13] 10/5 17/24 18/6 18/11 |
| 11/20 20/4 22/16 23/6 26/24 28/17 | looks [1] 28/10 | 19/11 19/15 21/2 23/23 24/2 25/5 25/25 |
| 29/18 | lost [1] 28/13 | 28/16 29/8 |
| Jim Brockmann [1] 7/23 | lot [6] 17/15 21/4 21/16 21/20 31/1 | move [2] 9/3 28/16 |
| Jim Dubois [1] 6/22 | 31/13 | moved [1] 23/14 |
| job [2] 23/2 24/3 | Louisiana [2] 4/4 31/18 | Mr [1] 15/23 |
| JOHN [3] 2/6 5/23 6/4 | M | Mr. [43] |
| joined [1] 6/5 | M | Mr. Brockmann [1] 15/10 |
| Jones [1] 16/12 | MACFARLANE [2] 2/20 6/23 | Mr. Caroom [3] 8/4 16/6 16/9 |
| JR [1] 3/2 | mail [1] 9/22 | Mr. Dubois [5] 6/24 10/22 11/16 14/2 |
| judge [2] 23/7 23/8 | Main [2] 3/3 3/23 | $30 / 1$ |
| judgment [1] 20/9 | make[7] 5/6 11/21 17/22 18/1 21/20 | Mr. Grimsal [3] 14/10 15/2 26/24 |
| July [1] 11/3 | 27/1 31/6 | Mr. Hernandez [3] 8/10 16/10 24/12 |
| juncture [1] 20/6 | makes [3] 19/12 20/15 21/15 | Mr. Jones [1] 16/12 |
| June [1] 11/3 | Mall [1] 1/19 | Mr. Leininger [2] 10/15 11/21 |
| jurisprudence [1] 22/8 | management [6] 9/13 9/21 12/8 16/2 | Mr. Mcfarlane's [1] 11/2 |
| just[17] 6/3 6/5 8/25 9/4 10/24 11/5 | 28/1 29/1 | Mr. Miller [1] 14/6 |
| 12/22 13/9 16/18 17/18 18/22 19/8 | mandate [1] 24/15 | Mr. Somach [5] 6/18 13/1 19/18 25/22 |
| 25/12 25/16 25/21 28/22 30/15 | many [4] 12/11 12/21 13/7 14/8 | 28/12 |
| Justice [2] 2/16 2/20 | marble [1] 30/21 | Mr. Speer [9] 14/8 14/25 15/3 20/3 |
| K | MARIA [2] 3/6 7/6 | 20/10 23/11 23/19 24/10 27/12 |
| KAREN [2] 2/13 6 | m | Mr. Stein [5] 7/16 7/20 7/25 15/8 16/2 Mr. Wallace [4] 6/12 12/6 13/23 22/12 |
| keep [2] 5/12 7/3 | masters [1] 29/8 | Ms. [10] 5/16 6/1 8/16 9/6 9/10 11/19 |
| kept [1] 26/14 | matter [5] 10/14 17/20 24/8 25/5 31/19 | 13/2 13/12 16/15 21/15 |
| kind [4] 10/17 17/11 18/21 22/24 | matters [2] 10/1 10/6 | Ms. Bond [6] 5/16 9/6 11/19 13/2 13/12 |
| know [7] 9/5 12/2 14/18 17/12 17/15 | may [12] 11/3 12/12 18/7 18/23 19/1 | 21/15 |
| 20/6 29/6 | 23/20 24/1 25/25 28/8 29/6 29/9 29/12 | Ms. Davidson [1] 16/15 |
| knows [1] 9/12 | May 4 [1] 29/6 | Ms. McCan [1] 8/16 |
| Krieger [2] 3/22 6/6 | maybe [5] 13/4 13/15 13/16 13/25 14/4 | Ms. Thompson [1] 6/1 |
| KWON [2] $2 / 13$ 6/11 | McCan [4] 4/1 8/15 8/16 16/16 | Ms. Tusa [1] 9/10 |
| L | Mcfarlane's [1] 11/2 | much [7] 17/11 17/16 18/14 20/5 20/24 |
| laid [1] 27/18 | mean [1] 23/9 | multiple [1] 20/7 |
| Las [12] 3/9 3/18 3/21 7/13 7/15 7/19 | means [1] 18/2 | muzzle [1] 27/6 |
| 7/24 8/7 15/10 15/25 26/4 26/5 | meantime [1] 24/25 | my [18] 5/5 5/19 11/12 15/19 18/20 |
| Las Cruces [9] 7/13 7/15 7/19 7/24 8/7 | mechanical [1] 4/7 | 18/22 19/9 20/9 21/17 22/17 22/24 23/2 |
| 15/10 15/25 26/4 26/5 | Meredith [1] 5/8 | 24/3 24/9 24/23 26/16 26/18 31/18 |
| last [4] 9/10 27/7 29/14 31/6 | merits [1] 9/18 | myself [3] 6/3 12/16 15/10 |
| law [5] 2/12 3/16 3/19 8/15 27/9 | MEXICO [31] 1/8 1/21 1/22 1/24 2/3 2/4 | N |
| lean [1] 15/1 | 2/6 2/7 2/9 3/7 3/9 3/10 3/18 3/21 5/12 | N |
| least [4] 10/16 14/11 19/12 28/9 | 5/15 5/17 5/22 8/13 11/12 13/4 17/23 | nail [1] 12/8 |
| LEE [5] 2/17 3/20 6/22 8/8 10/20 | 19/12 19/15 20/14 23/17 26/4 26/11 | named [1] 22/4 |
| LEININGER [4] 2/17 6/22 10/15 11/21 | 27/17 27/20 30/8 | Nebraska [1] 15/22 |
| less [1] 22/10 | Mexico's [5] 10/5 17/21 25/25 26/6 | necessary [6] 17/16 18/16 22/7 22/10 |
| let [15] 5/5 5/9 5/12 6/25 9/8 9/13 10/6 | 27/22 | 23/21 24/24 |
| 10/11 12/9 12/14 16/20 18/3 21/16 | might [5] 10/11 11/23 14/22 17/8 17/22 | need [7] 11/22 12/22 18/14 18/18 18/19 |
| 25/23 31/6 | Miller [1] 14/6 | 20/16 22/24 |
| Let's [1] 7/3 | mind [2] 21/16 24/9 | needless [1] 23/10 |
| light [1] 19/8 | minute [2] 6/8 21/17 | needs [1] 23/1 |
| like [10] 9/22 10/4 11/23 12/21 15/25 | minutes [6] 11/12 16/22 18/12 20/20 | Neither [1] 23/23 |
| 17/13 22/6 26/25 28/3 30/16 | 20/20 20/21 | Never [1] 25/19 |
| likely [1] 19/22 | mistaken [1] 26/1 | new [41] |

New Mexico [16] 5/12 5/15 5/17 5/22
8/13 11/12 13/4 17/23 19/12 19/15
20/14 23/17 26/4 27/17 27/20 30/8
New Mexico's [5] 10/5 17/21 25/25 26/6 27/22
New Orleans [3] 5/4 30/10 30/12
next [1] 30/17
no [14] 1/1 3/3 3/6 7/7 7/11 8/3 8/18
9/18 11/7 14/7 16/7 19/18 25/24 30/8
No. [9] 7/2 7/4 8/18 9/14 9/15 9/21 14/7
26/25 31/8
No. 1 [7] 7/2 7/4 8/18 9/15 14/7 26/25
31/8
No. 2 [1] 9/21
No. 3 [1] 9/14
none [2] 23/11 27/18
nonparties [2] 9/20 9/21
nonparty [1] 9/19
North [1] 3/23
not [32]
noted [1] 16/1
noting [1] 28/6
now [2] 12/16 30/14
number [3] 12/20 13/5 20/24
numbers [1] 14/4
NW [2] 2/24 3/6

## O

O'BRIEN [2] 3/6 7/6
objections [1] 29/12
observations [1] 27/13
Obviously [2] 29/20 30/2
occur [1] 24/19
off [3] 21/19 31/6 31/13
office [11] $1 / 221 / 243 / 103 / 163 / 173 / 20$
5/20 6/23 7/23 8/8 12/18
Official [3] 4/3 31/17 31/22
Oh [2] 16/17 30/9
Okay[10] 6/7 8/19 8/21 13/21 14/1
14/12 16/19 21/23 26/10 31/11
Olpin [1] 15/23
on [71]
one [14] 3/14 8/3 8/20 15/11 16/18
17/24 20/2 20/2 21/11 25/19 25/24
25/25 30/24 31/6
only [1] 24/23
open [2] 18/15 19/12
opinion [1] 9/18
opportunities [1] 24/7
opportunity [2] 18/7 26/8
opposed [1] 26/15
optimistic [1] 29/14
or [30] $9 / 15$ 11/23 13/14 13/15 14/22
17/3 17/6 17/7 17/9 17/9 17/9 17/12
17/22 18/13 18/18 18/18 18/21 19/2
19/16 19/23 22/13 22/19 23/4 25/9
25/14 27/7 27/13 29/21 30/14 30/16 oral [20] $10 / 5$ 10/7 $15 / 25$ 16/21 $17 / 8$
17/10 17/14 17/17 17/23 18/5 18/5
18/16 18/17 18/24 21/9 24/8 25/17 26/8
28/1 28/3
order [10] 9/14 9/21 12/8 16/2 17/12
17/20 18/17 28/1 29/1 30/7
ordinary [1] 24/6
original [3] 1/1 14/15 15/22
Orleans [4] 4/4 5/4 30/10 30/12
other [14] $9 / 20$ 14/18 17/11 18/3 19/10
21/9 22/11 22/13 23/4 23/17 25/9 25/19
27/13 28/6
others [1] 12/19
ought [3] 13/9 19/3 19/5
our [18] 6/17 7/23 8/8 9/1 10/8 20/14
20/20 20/21 20/23 21/2 21/8 24/14 26/7
27/8 27/17 27/18 27/22 27/22
ourselves [1] 27/7
out [10] $11 / 5$ 11/12 $12 / 9$ 17/9 18/18
27/18 29/1 30/15 30/19 30/24
over [4] 15/1 20/8 21/3 22/24
overlap [2] 17/22 19/11
Owen [1] 15/23
own [1] 19/19
P
P.A [3] 2/2 3/5 3/8
page [1] 21/19
paid [1] 29/16
paper [1] 26/17
papers [7] 10/3 25/19 25/24 27/16 27/17
27/19 28/10
paragraph [1] 9/20
paralegal [2] 6/17 8/15
part [2] 18/5 27/22
participate [6] 9/23 12/4 15/24 24/8
25/17 26/8
Participating [1] 4/1
participation [1] 9/16
particular [1] 17/7
particularly [3] 18/22 25/5 28/19
parties [17] 8/23 10/11 14/18 14/21
14/23 17/24 20/24 21/18 22/11 22/20
23/15 23/17 24/5 29/11 29/14 29/19
29/22
parties' [1] 10/3
party [7] 12/12 14/14 22/4 24/6 27/8
27/11 29/16
Paseo [2] 2/3 2/6
Paso [13] 3/2 3/3 3/5 3/12 7/3 7/7 8/1
8/3 9/14 16/8 23/14 26/25 27/3
Patricia [3] 4/1 8/15 16/16
PC [2] 1/17 2/9
Pecan [1] 8/13
pending [1] 23/23
people [10] $9 / 1$ 12/11 $12 / 17$ 12/21 $13 / 4$
14/8 14/11 15/5 20/7 21/3
per [2] 16/22 16/22
Peralta [1] 2/3
Peralto [1] 2/6
percent [3] 29/24 29/24 29/25
percentage [1] 29/15
period [1] 21/8
persons [1] 13/25
persuade [1] 21/12
Peters [3] 3/19 3/20 8/8
phone [7] 5/23 6/17 9/10 9/25 11/12
12/16 13/7
place [1] 30/17
plaintiff [1] 20/17
plaintiffs [1] 17/25
plan [2] 29/5 29/10
please [9] 6/8 6/20 7/2 7/21 8/1 8/18
9/11 17/1 27/2
plenty [1] 19/3
Plus [1] 12/17
point [12] 18/10 18/10 23/22 24/11
24/23 25/22 26/10 27/3 28/12 29/3
29/22 30/11
position [3] 23/8 24/14 26/7
possible [1] 18/8
possibly [2] 14/13 24/24
Post [4] 1/24 3/10 3/17 3/20
Poydras [1] 4/3
practice [1] 15/21
prefer [1] 22/20
preference [1] 25/16
preliminary [1] 17/20
prepared [1] 9/3
present [5] 12/23 14/11 18/17 22/6
25/20
presented [1] 17/13
PRESTON [2] 2/13 6/11
presuming [1] 30/9
pretermit [1] 24/7
previous [1] 15/22
prior [1] 17/10
probably [3] 12/24 14/14 18/12
problem [3] 9/3 9/5 31/3
problematic [1] 11/21
problems [6] 9/7 10/12 11/6 25/15 25/21
31/4
procedural [1] 23/16
proceeding [2] 30/21 30/25
proceedings [9] 4/7 5/1 9/16 23/2 25/7
25/8 29/7 31/14 31/19
progress [1] 29/16
proper [2] 17/19 18/16
provide [2] 17/7 21/1
purpose [2] 12/24 18/5
purposes [3] 12/23 18/17 30/16
put [3] 17/9 21/16 28/25
Q
question [12] 15/11 15/18 15/19 15/21
17/3 17/3 17/12 19/10 19/15 21/7 24/7 25/12
questions [9] 12/10 17/6 18/3 18/7 18/23
19/1 19/4 19/7 21/10
quite [4] 21/8 21/10 24/9 27/20
R
raised [1] 21/9
Raley [1] 2/8
RANDEL [2] 2/23 6/23
rather [1] 13/22
read [1] 25/23
real [3] 14/21 14/23 18/14
realize [1] 23/8
really [3] 21/13 23/1 27/19
reason [2] 7/21 16/21
reasonable [1] 12/25
rebuttal [2] 19/13 22/6
received [2] 9/14 29/4
Reclamation [4] 7/1 8/18 14/7 31/8
recommendation [1] 18/20
recommendations [1] 17/10
recommended [1] 17/9
record [7] 5/6 9/4 9/9 21/14 25/24 31/13
31/19
recorded [1] 4/7
regarding [2] 25/9 27/19
related [1] 26/14
relevant [3] 17/13 17/16 22/7
remarks [1] 21/19
remind [1] 28/10
repeat [1] 7/21
report [3] 9/1 9/4 18/4
reporter [5] 4/3 9/10 30/23 31/17 31/22
reporters [1] 30/24
representing [2] 7/15 7/23
request [5] 9/14 9/17 16/1 20/20 21/5
requested [2] 9/15 26/8
requires [1] 9/21
reservation [1] 25/21
reserve [3] 19/12 22/6 22/17
reserved [2] 20/23 22/23
reserving [1] 21/20
resolved [1] 24/9
respect [8] 9/13 10/7 14/18 17/21 17/24

| $R$ | singing [1] 21/19 single [1] 26/1 | such [1] 29/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| respect... [3] 25/5 25/20 28/16 | sir [7] 5/18 14/16 15/12 15/17 17/5 22/3 | suggest [1] 26/13 |
| respective [1] 19/15 | 27/2 | suggested [1] 11/8 |
| respond [6] 17/25 19/1 19/4 19/8 19/14 | Sisk [1] 3/5 | suggestions [4] 18/15 23/5 25/9 27/13 |
| 28/4 | sits [1] 24/13 | Suite [8] 1/19 2/10 2/18 2/21 3/3 3/6 |
| responding [1] 17/3 | sitting [1] 30/14 | 3/14 3/23 |
| response [1] 22/5 | six [5] 13/15 14/3 14/4 29/9 29/9 | supplementation [1] 9/16 |
| rest [1] 21/16 | size [1] 13/9 | support [1] 26/6 |
| review [2] 10/3 29/12 | slip [1] 23/9 | SUPREME [5] 1/4 23/25 24/15 25/4 |
| Rick [1] 5/7 | slow [1] 31/3 | 29/5 |
| right [9] 12/16 13/11 20/18 22/6 24/11 | so [42] | sure [8] 9/2 16/5 17/5 24/17 25/3 25/3 |
| 26/16 28/18 28/19 30/14 | software [1] 4/7 | 27/20 28/15 |
| rights [1] 24/6 | solicitor's [1] 6/23 | system [1] 9/7 |
| Rio [6] 8/11 8/20 12/18 14/20 16/13 31/9 | Somach [13] 1/17 1/17 6/14 6/18 11/7 | T |
| Rio Grande [6] 8/11 8/20 12/18 14/20 | 13/1 14/22 17/2 19/18 25/11 25/22 28/2 |  |
| 16/13 31/9 | 28/12 | table [2] 13/5 13/15 |
| river [1] 21/3 | some [11] 7/21 10/1 12/7 12/9 12/12 | take [5] 5/9 9/23 9/25 19/22 27/25 |
| ROBERT [2] 1/18 6/15 | 21/11 22/24 24/1 25/12 25/15 25/20 | taken [4] 23/11 23/22 25/23 26/10 |
| Rod [3] 6/5 8/9 27/15 | somehow [1] 22/18 | taking [3] 29/23 29/24 29/25 |
| RODERICK [1] 3/23 | something [2] 13/13 24/24 | talk [2] 19/10 23/1 |
| Roehl [1] 3/5 | sooner [2] 11/4 29/8 | talking [1] 11/13 |
| roll [4] 5/9 6/7 6/25 13/8 | sorry [9] 7/20 10/22 13/12 13/14 15/16 | tangentially [1] 28/7 |
| Room [1] 4/3 | 15/17 16/17 20/13 26/23 | target [1] 19/5 |
| $\text { roughly [1] } 13 / 18$ | sort [6] 14/13 14/19 23/2 26/18 28/25 | telephone [1] 9/23 |
| Round [1] 14/4 | 30/21 | tell [1] 18/21 |
| S | sorts [1] | temple [1] 30/21 |
| Sacramento [2] 1/20 2/21 | sources [1] 10/4 | terms [1] 19/17 |
| safe [1] 13/19 | South [1] 2/18 | Terrace [1] 2/18 |
| said [5] 10/22 18/23 19/8 20/25 27/18 | Southern [4] 8/11 8/20 16/13 31/9 | TEXAS [20] 1/6 1/17 3/3 3/14 5/10 5/11 |
| same [4] 20/16 21/19 23/16 30/17 | speak [3] 9/8 9/11 27/6 | 6/13 6/15 12/14 17/21 19/13 19/16 |
| Santa [4] 2/4 2/7 3/10 8/8 | speaking [1] 10/9 | 19/17 19/19 20/20 23/18 25/16 27/5 |
| Santa Fe [1] 8/8 | special [6] 1/13 5/21 15/23 21/9 26/12 | $27 / 627 / 9$ |
| Sante [1] 1/24 | 29/8 | than [7] 16/7 20/6 20/25 22/10 22/10 |
| SARAH [7] 1/22 5/14 8/25 11/11 13/3 | specific [2] 12/9 18/9 | 23/1 29/8 |
| 20/14 26/3 | SPEER [15] 3/2 7/5 14/8 14/25 15/3 | thank[30] 6/12 6/18 6/24 7/8 7/12 7/25 |
| saw [2] 14/14 14/16 | 19/25 20/3 20/4 20/10 23/6 23/11 23/19 | 8/4 8/10 8/16 9/6 11/19 12/6 13/1 13/11 |
| say [6] 11/17 13/13 13/19 14/10 23/10 | 24/10 26/24 27/12 | 15/3 15/7 16/4 16/9 16/19 20/4 20/10 |
| $30 / 2$ | Spence [2] 4/1 6/17 | 21/15 23/12 24/11 25/2 26/21 27/12 |
| saying [2] 19/4 23/20 | Sperling [1] 3/5 | 27/24 28/14 30/1 |
| schedules [1] 10/8 | spoke [1] 29/14 | thanks [3] 29/2 31/11 31/12 |
| Seattle [1] $8 / 8$ | staff [2] 5/5 21/2 | that [116] |
| second [1] 17/18 | stand [1] 27/7 | that's [19] 10/14 10/21 11/5 12/17 13/21 |
| see [2] 25/4 30/24 | standard [1] 18/13 | 14/5 15/7 16/20 17/4 17/15 18/12 20/1 |
| seeking [1] 21/18 | start [1] 12/14 | 22/12 26/18 28/24 30/5 30/5 30/6 31/11 |
| seemed [1] 17/20 | state[17] $1 / 61 / 81 / 81 / 91 / 171 / 211 / 2112 / 2$ | their [6] 5/6 9/5 9/16 9/18 19/14 23/25 |
| seems [3] 12/1 18/1 18/13 | 2/5 2/8 2/12 6/15 12/19 13/16 25/16 | them [2] 13/7 14/19 |
| seen [1] 27/6 | 26/6 27/5 28/4 | then [9] 10/25 11/15 13/5 13/15 13/16 |
| sense [6] 17/23 18/1 19/12 20/15 21/16 | states [17] 1/4 2/16 2/20 2/23 6/19 | 17/11 17/25 18/24 24/5 |
| 21/20 | 17/22 19/14 19/16 19/17 19/19 22/17 | there [17] 5/18 5/25 5/25 8/22 8/23 9/7 |
| serving [1] 23/8 | 23/17 29/19 29/19 29/23 29/24 31/17 | 11/12 12/20 13/6 15/9 16/21 21/1 21/6 |
| set [3] 18/1 18/24 19/5 | STATUS [1] 1/12 | 24/1 29/16 30/7 31/1 |
| setting [4] 10/12 11/6 12/9 26/7 | Stein [8] 3/8 3/9 7/15 7/16 7/20 7/25 | there's [2] 21/4 22/22 |
| seven [1] 14/4 | 15/8 16/2 | Therefore [1] 23/16 |
| several [1] 13/16 | stenography [1] 4/7 | therein [1] 10/4 |
| shape [1] 19/21 | STEPHEN [4] 1/23 2/20 6/4 9/1 | these [5] 14/18 18/11 20/7 29/7 31/2 |
| share [1] 26/12 | Steve [2] 5/18 6/22 | they [11] 9/15 9/19 9/20 10/11 22/19 |
| she [1] 9/12 | Steven [3] 3/16 3/16 8/7 | 24/6 25/6 26/14 28/7 28/7 29/15 |
| Shelby [1] 5/7 | still [2] 19/6 30/9 | thing [1] 23/12 |
| SHELLY [2] 2/23 6/23 | stone [1] 18/2 | things [4] 17/13 25/19 28/6 29/22 |
| shift [1] 29/22 | straddling [1] 27/4 | think [16] 11/16 12/24 13/6 14/19 14/22 |
| short [1] 10/18 | Street [8] 2/10 2/17 2/21 2/24 3/3 3/6 | 16/18 18/19 20/1 20/22 21/13 21/19 |
| shortly [1] 31/12 | 3/23 4/3 | 22/19 24/13 25/14 26/1 28/9 |
| should [8] 11/1 19/23 20/23 22/8 22/19 | strikes [1] 23/12 | thinking [3] 18/22 19/9 19/20 |
| 22/19 23/16 24/24 | STUART[10] 1/17 6/14 11/7 14/22 17/2 | this [56] |
| shouldn't [3] 9/25 31/3 31/4 | 20/22 20/25 25/11 27/5 28/2 | THOMPSON [4] 2/9 5/24 6/1 9/1 |
| side [3] 13/20 13/22 16/22 | Stuart's [1] 26/12 | those [7] 11/8 11/9 11/14 24/2 24/5 25/8 |
| sides [1] 19/11 | stuff [1] 17/15 | $28 / 25$ |
| similar [2] 27/9 27/9 | subject [2] 29/20 30/2 | though [1] 22/5 |
| Simmons [1] 1/17 | subjects [1] 22/25 | thought [1] 18/10 |
| simply [1] 25/21 | submit [1] 29/8 | thoughts [4] 18/6 22/13 23/4 25/9 |
| since [2] 29/6 29/10 | substantive [1] 22/5 | three [2] 16/7 29/1 |



