

No. 141, Original

**In the
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO and
STATE OF COLORADO,**

Defendants

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA’S PARTIAL JOINDER IN TEXAS’S
MOTION TO STRIKE OR FOR PARTIAL JUDGMENT
REGARDING NEW MEXICO’S COUNTERCLAIMS AND AFFIRMATIVE
DEFENSES, FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE,
RULE 12(C) AND RULE 56**

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General
JEAN E. WILLIAMS
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
ANN O’CONNELL ADAMS
Assistant to the Solicitor General
JAMES J. DuBOIS
R. LEE LEININGER
THOMAS K. SNODGRASS
STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE
JUDITH E. COLEMAN
Attorneys, Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Counsel for the United States

The United States respectfully submits this Partial Joinder in Texas’s Motion to Strike or for Partial Judgment Regarding New Mexico’s Counterclaims and Affirmative Defenses, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(c) and Rule 56 (“Motion to Strike” or “Tex. Mot.”), filed on December 26, 2018.

New Mexico filed nine Counterclaims in this original action on May 22, 2018. Of those nine Counterclaims, six were asserted against the United States only, and three were asserted against Texas and/or the United States. On July 23, 2018, the United States answered New Mexico’s Counterclaims, and on December 21, 2018, the United States filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings on seven of the Counterclaims that asserted claims against the United States. The United States argued that those seven Counterclaims (Nos. 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9) should be dismissed (1) because New Mexico failed to establish the existence of a waiver of the government’s sovereign immunity that would provide the consent of the United States to suit on any of those claims; (2) New Mexico failed to establish its standing to assert those seven claims against the United States; and (3) six of the seven claims failed to state claims for relief against the United States. On December 26, 2018, Texas filed its Motion to Strike, as well as a separate Request for a Judicial Declaration to Confirm the Legal Issues Previously Decided and Motion in Limine to Exclude the Introduction of Evidence Thereon. New Mexico also filed a Motion for Partial Judgment on Matters Previously Decided that same day.¹ At a telephonic status conference on January 30, 2019, the Special Master set a schedule for the filing of responses and replies, and a hearing date.

A. TEXAS’S MOTION TO STRIKE

The United States’ Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings assumed that New Mexico’s Counterclaims were properly before the Special Master. *See Texas v. New Mexico*, 138 S. Ct. 1460 (2018) (appointing the Hon. Michael J. Melloy Special Master in this case “with authority to fix the

¹ The United States is filing concurrently a separate response to Texas’s Request for a Judicial Declaration and New Mexico’s Motion for Partial Judgment.

time and conditions for the filing of additional pleadings . . .”). Texas’s Motion to Strike, however, makes a serious argument that they are not, based on New Mexico’s failure to seek leave of the Supreme Court to file those Counterclaims. The United States concurs with Texas that New Mexico’s Counterclaims would significantly expand the issues to be decided in this action beyond those set forth in the complaints by Texas and the United States, which the Supreme Court granted leave to file. Notably, New Mexico’s Counterclaims challenge contracts between the United States and water districts and other entities that are not parties to this action. These contracts include the 2008 Operating Agreement, to which the United States, the Elephant Butte Irrigation District (“EBID”), and the El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 (“EPCWID”) are the only parties, and contracts between the United States and the City of El Paso to provide Rio Grande Project (“Project”) water for municipal purposes. *See* N.M. Countercl. Nos. 2, 3, & 7. The challenged contracts were entered into under provisions of reclamation law that pertain to Project operations and the allocation of Project water. New Mexico is not a party to any of those contracts. Counterclaims 8 and 9 concern allegations regarding Rio Grande channel maintenance and the Republic of Mexico’s compliance with the 1906 Convention. New Mexico has not pled a sovereign interest in Counterclaim 8 that is cognizable against the United States, and New Mexico is not a party to the 1906 Convention with Mexico. Moreover, New Mexico’s Counterclaims could expand not only the issues for decision but the number of parties in the litigation. Although the Supreme Court denied EBID’s and EPCWID’s motions to intervene, those districts, as well as amicus curiae City of El Paso, would likely be deemed to be indispensable parties to claims challenging the validity of contracts to which they are parties. *See Lomayaktewa v. Hathaway*, 520 F.2d 1324, 1325 (9th Cir. 1975).

Thus, in the view of the United States, Texas makes a strong argument that, given the Supreme Court’s oft-repeated statements that its original jurisdiction is to be exercised “sparingly,” *see, e.g., South Carolina v. North Carolina*, 558 U.S. 256, 267 (2010) (quoting *Mississippi v. Louisiana*, 506

U.S. 73, 76 (1992)), and the Court’s unwillingness to allow its original jurisdiction to be expanded without leave of court, New Mexico was required to seek and obtain leave of the Court before filing any Counterclaims. As Texas notes in its Motion to Strike, the requirement that litigants obtain leave of the Court before filing claims or counterclaims performs an “important gatekeeping function” to ensure that its original jurisdiction is not expanded beyond the scope of a controversy truly meriting the exercise of that jurisdiction. Tex. Mot. to Strike 10 (quoting *Nebraska v. Wyoming*, 515 U.S. 1, 8 (1995)). Without repeating Texas’s brief, Texas cites instances in which the Supreme Court required litigants to obtain leave before filing counterclaims. *See id.* at 10-12. New Mexico’s failure to obtain such leave suggests that New Mexico’s Counterclaims may not be properly before the Special Master and that the Counterclaims should be stricken, at the very least, until New Mexico cures this procedural defect.

Accordingly, the United States respectfully suggests that the Special Master, as a threshold matter, determine whether New Mexico’s Counterclaims are properly before him. If he concludes that those Counterclaims expand the scope of this litigation beyond the issues presented with leave of Court in the complaints by Texas and the United States, then the United States concurs with Texas that the Counterclaims should be stricken and joins the Motion to Strike on that basis. If he concludes that the Counterclaims are properly before him, then the United States respectfully requests that the Special Master proceed to rule on the motions seeking judgment on the pleadings as to those Counterclaims.

B. TEXAS’S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AS TO NEW MEXICO COUNTERCLAIMS 2, 5, AND 7

If the Special Master concludes that New Mexico’s Counterclaims are properly before him, then the United States joins in that part of Texas’s Motion to Strike that seeks judgment on the pleadings as to Counterclaims 2, 5, and 7. Texas’s arguments for judgment on the pleadings as to

these Counterclaims overlap almost entirely with the United States' arguments in its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, and the United States concurs with Texas that Counterclaims 2, 5, and 7 fail as a matter of law. The United States reiterates its position that it is entitled to judgment on the pleadings on Counterclaims 3, 6, 8, and 9 as well.²

Respectfully submitted, this 28th day of February, 2019.

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General

JEAN E. WILLIAMS
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Stephen M. Macfarlane
STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE
Senior Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
501 I Street, Suite 9-700
Sacramento, CA 95814

ANN O'CONNELL ADAMS
Assistant to the Solicitor General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

JUDITH E. COLEMAN
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20004

JAMES J. DuBOIS
R. LEE LEININGER
THOMAS K. SNODGRASS
Trial Attorneys
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
999 18th Street
South Terrace – Suite 370
Denver, CO 80202

² The United States takes no position on Texas's motion for judgment on the pleadings as to New Mexico's affirmative defenses to Texas's complaint.

No. 141, Original

In the
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and
STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 28th day of February, 2019, the **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S PARTIAL JOINDER IN TEXAS'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR FOR PARTIAL JUDGMENT REGARDING NEW MEXICO'S COUNTERCLAIMS AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, RULE 12(C) AND RULE 56** was served via electronic mail and/or U.S. mail as indicated, upon the Special Master and those individuals listed on the Service List, attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Seth C. Allison _____

Seth C. Allison
Paralegal Specialist

SPECIAL MASTER

SPECIAL MASTER MICHAEL J. MELLOY [Judge Michael Melloy@ca8.uscourts.gov](mailto:Judge_Michael_Melloy@ca8.uscourts.gov)
United States Court of Appeals TXvNM141@ca8.uscourts.gov
for the Eighth Circuit (319) 423-6080
111 Seventh Avenue, S.E., Box 22 **(service via email and U.S. Mail)**
Cedar Rapids, IA 52401-2101

MICHAEL GANS (314) 244-2400
Clerk of Court
United States Court of Appeals
for the Eighth Circuit
Thomas F. Eagleton
United States Courthouse
110 South 10th Street, Suite 24.329
St. Louis, MO 63102

UNITED STATES

NOEL J. FRANCISCO* supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov
Solicitor General (202) 514-2217

JEAN E. WILLIAMS
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
ANN O'CONNELL ADAMS
Assistant to the Solicitor General
US Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

JAMES J. DUBOIS* james.dubois@usdoj.gov
R. LEE LEININGER (303) 844-1375
THOMAS K. SNODGRASS lee.leininger@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice (303) 844-1364
Environment & Natural Resources Division thomas.snodgrass@usdoj.gov
999 18th Street (303) 844-7233
South Terrace – Suite 370
Denver, CO 80202
Seth Allison, Paralegal seth.allison@usdoj.gov
(303) 844-7917

STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE stephen.macfarlane@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice (916) 930-2204
Environment & Natural Resources Division
501 I Street, Suite 9-700
Sacramento, CA 95814

JUDITH E. COLEMAN judith.coleman@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice (202) 514-3553
Environment & Natural Resources Division
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

STATE OF COLORADO

CHAD M. WALLACE*

Senior Assistant Attorney
Department of Law
1300 Broadway
Denver, CO 80203

chad.wallace@coag.gov
(720) 508-6281

Nan B. Edwards, Paralegal

nan.edwards@coag.gov

PHILIP J. WEISER

Attorney General of Colorado

pjweiser@coag.gov

KAREN M. KWON

First Assistant Attorney General
Department of Law
1300 Broadway
Denver, CO 80203

karen.kwon@coag.gov

(720) 508-6281

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

HECTOR BALDERAS

New Mexico Attorney General

hbalderas@nmag.gov

TANIA MAESTAS

Deputy Attorney General

(505) 490-4060

tmaestas@nmag.gov

(505) 490-4048

MARCUS J. RAEL, JR.*

DAVID A. ROMAN

Special Assistant Attorneys General

ROBLES, RAEL, AND ANAYA

500 Marquette Ave. NW, Ste. 700

Albuquerque, NM 87102

Chelsea Sandoval, Paralegal

marcus@roblesrael.com

droman@roblesrael.com

(505) 242-2228

chelsea@roblesrael.com

BENNETT W. RALEY

LISA M. THOMPSON

MICHAEL A. KOPP

Special Assistant Attorneys General

TROUT RALEY

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600

Denver, CO 80203

braley@troutlaw.com

lthompason@troutlaw.com

mkopp@troutlaw.com

(303) 861-1963

STATE OF TEXAS

STUART SOMACH*

ANDREW M. HITCHINGS

ROBERT B. HOFFMAN

FRANCIS M. "MAC" GOLDSBERRY II

THERESA C. BARFIELD

SARAH A. KLAHN

BRITTANY K. JOHNSON

RICHARD s. DEITCHMAN

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC

500 Capital Mall, Suite 1000

Sacramento, CA 95814

ssomach@somachlaw.com

(916) 446-7979

(916) 803-4561 (cell)

ahitchings@somachlaw.com

rhoffman@somachlaw.com

mgoldsberry@somachlaw.com

tbarfield@somachlaw.com

sklahn@somachlaw.com

bjohnson@somachlaw.com

rdeitchman@somachlaw.com

Rhonda Stephenson, Secretary
Christina Garro, Paralegal
Yolanda De La Cruz, Secretary

rstephenson@somachlaw.com
cgarro@somachlaw.com
ydelacruz@somachlaw.com

KEN PAXTON

Attorney General

JEFFREY C. MATEER

First Assistant Attorney General

BRANTLEY STARR

Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

JAMES E. DAVIS

Deputy Attorney General

PRISCILLA M. HUBENAK

Chief, Environmental Protection Division

P.O. Box 12584

Austin, TX 78711-2548

(512) 463-2012

AMICI / FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY

JAMES C. BROCKMANN*
JAY F. STEIN
STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A.
505 Don Gaspar Avenue
P.O. Box 2067
Santa Fe, NM 87505

jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com
jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com
administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com
(505) 983-3880

PETER AUH
ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY
WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY
P.O. Box 568
Albuquerque, NM 87103-0568

pauh@abcwua.org
(505) 289-3092

CITY OF EL PASO

DOUGLAS G. CAROOM*
SUSAN M. MAXWELL
BICKERSTAFF HEATH
DELGADO ACOSTA, LLP
2711 S. MoPac Expressway
Building One, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78746

dcaroom@bickerstaff.com
smaxwell@bickerstaff.com
(512) 472-8021

CITY OF LAS CRUCES

JAY F. STEIN*
JAMES C. BROCKMANN
STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A.
P.O. Box 2067
Santa Fe, NM 87504

jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com
jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com
administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com
(505) 983-3880

JENNIFER VEGA-BROWN
MARCIA B. DRIGGERS
LAW CRUCES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
P.O. Box 12428
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004

cityattorney@las-cruces.org
jvega-brown@las-cruces.org
marcyd@las-cruces.org
(575) 541-2128

ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT

SAMANTHA R. BARNCastle
BARNCastle LAW FIRM, LLC
1100 South Main, Suite 20
P.O. Box 1556
Las Cruces, NM 88005
Janet Correll, Paralegal

samantha@h2o-legal.com
(575) 636-2377
(575) 636-2688 (fax)

janet@h2o-legal.com

EL PASO COUNTY WATER AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

MARIA O'BRIEN*
SARAH M. STEVENSON
MODRALL, SPERLING, TOEHL,
HARRIS & SISK, PA
500 Fourth Street N.W.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

mobrien@modrall.com
sarah.stevenson@modrall.com
(505) 848-1800 (main)
(505) 848-1803 (direct)
(505) 848-9710 (fax)

HUDSPETH COUNTY CONSERVATION AND RECLAMATION DISTRICT

ANDREW S. "DREW" MILLER*
KEMP SMITH LLP
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1260
Austin, TX 78701

dmiller@kempsmith.com
(512) 320-5466

STATE OF KANSAS

TOBY CROUSE*
Solicitor General of Kansas
DEREK SCHMIDT
Attorney General, State of Kansas
JEFFREY A. CHANAY
Chief Deputy Attorney General
BRYAN C. CLARK
Assistant Solicitor General
DWIGHT R. CARSWELL
Assistant Attorney General
120 S. W. 10th Ave., 2nd Floor
Topeka, KS 66612

toby.crouse@ag.ks.gov
bryan.clark@ag.ks.gov
(785) 296-2215

NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS

TESSA T. DAVIDSON
DAVIDSON LAW FIRM, LLC
4206 Corrales Road
P.O. Box 2240
Corrales, NM 87048
Patricia McCan, Paralegal

ttd@tessadavidson.com
(505) 792-3636

patricia@tessadavidson.com

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

JOHN W. UTTON*
UTTUN & KERY
317 Commercial NE
Albuquerque, NM 87102

john@uttkery.com

LIZBETH ELLIS
General Counsel
CLAYTON BRADLEY
Counsel
New Mexico State University
Hadley Hall Room 132
2850 Weddell Road
Las Cruces, NM 88003

lellis@ad.nmsu.edu
bradley@ad.nmsu.edu