

NO. 141 Original

In The

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STATE OF TEXAS

v.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and
STATE OF COLORADO

TRANSCRIPT OF AUGUST 24, 2022, REMOTE
HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL
MASTER, UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE, 111 SEVENTH
AVENUE, SE, CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA 52401, beginning at
11:02 a.m.

R E M O T E A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:

Mr. Stuart L. Somach
Ms. Theresa C. Barfield
Mr. Robert B. Hoffman
Mr. Francis Goldsberry II
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 446-7979
ssomach@somachlaw.com
tbarfield@somachlaw.com
rhoffman@somachlaw.com
mgoldsberry@somachlaw.com

-and-

Ms. Sarah A. Klahn
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
2701 Lawrence Street, Suite 113
Denver, Colorado 80205
(720) 279-7868
sklahn@somachlaw.com

-and-

Ms. Priscilla M. Hubenak
Mr. Grant Dorfman
STATE OF TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
Post Office Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711
(512) 463-2012
priscilla.hubenak@oag.texas.gov

FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:

Mr. Jeffrey Wechsler
Ms. Shelly Dalrymple
MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS
325 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 986-2637
jwechsler@montand.com

-and-

1 Ms. Lisa M. Thompson
Mr. Michael A. Kopp
2 TROUT RALEY
1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600
3 Denver, Colorado 80203
(303) 861-1963
4 lthompson@troutlaw.com
mkopp@troutlaw.com

5 -and-

6
7 Mr. Marcus Rael
ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C.
500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700
8 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 242-2228
9 marcus@roblesrael.com

10 -and-

11 Mr. John Draper
DRAPER & DRAPER, LLC
12 325 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
13 (505) 570-4591
john.draper@draperllc.com

14 -and-

15
16 Ms. Cholla Khoury
Mr. Zachary E. Ogaz
NEW MEXICO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
17 Post Office Drawer 1508
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
18 (505) 329-4672
ckhoury@nmag.gov
19 zogaz@nmag.gov
20

21 FOR THE STATE OF COLORADO:

22 Mr. Chad Wallace
Mr. Preston V. Hartman
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
23 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203
24 (720) 508-6281
chad.wallace@coag.gov
25 preston.hartman@coag.gov

1 FOR THE UNITED STATES:

2 Mr. R. Lee Leininger
3 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
4 999 18th Street, Suite 370
5 Denver, Colorado 80202
6 (303) 844-1375
7 lee.leininger@usdoj.gov

8 -and-

9 Ms. Judith E. Coleman
10 Ms. Jennifer A. Najjar
11 U.S. Department of Justice
12 Post Office Box 7611
13 Washington, DC 20044
14 (202) 514-3553
15 judith.coleman@usdoj.gov
16 jennifer.najjar@usdoj.gov

17 -and-

18 Ms. Shelly Randel
19 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
20 1849 C Street NW
21 Washington, DC 20240
22 (202) 208-5432
23 shelly.randel@sol.doi.gov

24 -and-

25 Mr. Christopher B. Rich
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
125 South State Street, Suite 6201
Salt Lake City, Utah 84138
(801) 524-5677

FOR THE EL PASO COUNTY WATER AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
NO. 1:

Ms. Maria O'Brien
MODRALL SPERLING ROEHL HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
500 Fourth Street N.W.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
(505) 848-1800
mobrien@modrall.com

-and-

1 Mr. Renea Hicks
2 LAW OFFICE OF MAX RENEA HICKS
3 Post Office Box 303187
4 Austin, Texas 78703
5 (512) 480-8231
6 rhicks@renea-hicks.com

7 FOR THE ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT:

8 Ms. Samantha R. Barncastle
9 BARNCASTLE LAW FIRM, LLC
10 1100 South Main, Suite 20
11 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88005
12 (575) 636-2377
13 samantha@h2o-legal.com

14 FOR THE ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY
15 AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES:

16 Mr. James C. Brockmann
17 STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A.
18 Post Office Box 2067
19 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
20 (505) 983-3880
21 jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com

22 FOR THE CITY OF EL PASO:

23 Mr. Douglas G. Caroom
24 BICKERSTAFF HEATH DELGADO ACOSTA, LLP
25 3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78746
(512) 472-8021
dcaroom@bickerstaff.com

FOR THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES:

Mr. Jay F. Stein
STEIN & BROCKMAN, P.A.
Post Office Box 2067
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 983-3880
jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com

1 FOR THE NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS:

2 Ms. Tessa T. Davidson
3 DAVIDSON LAW FIRM, LLC
4 4206 Corrales Road
5 Post Office Box 2240
6 Corrales, New Mexico 87048
(505) 792-3636
ttd@tessadavidson.com

7 FOR THE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY:

8 Mr. John W. Utton
9 UTTON & KERY, P.A.
10 Post Office Box 2386
11 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 699-1445
john@uttonkery.com

12 FOR HUDSPETH COUNTY CONSERVATION AND RECLAMATION
13 DISTRICT:

14 Mr. Andrew S. "Drew" Miller
15 KEMP SMITH, LLP
16 816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1260
17 Austin, Texas 78701
18 (512) 320-5466
dmiller@kempsmith.com

19 FOR THE SOUTHERN RIO GRANDE DIVERSIFIED CROP FARMERS
20 ASSOCIATION AND THE NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS:

21 Mr. A.J. Olsen
22 HENNIGHAUSEN OLSEN & MCREA
23 604 North Richardson Avenue
24 Roswell, New Mexico 88202
25 (575) 624-2463
ajolsen@h2olawyers.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COURT REPORTER:

Ms. Heather L. Garza

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS

3000 Wesleyan Street, Suite 235

Houston, Texas 77027

(800) 745-1101

heather_garza@ymail.com

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. This is in
3 Original No. 141, State of Texas versus State of New
4 Mexico and State of Colorado and the United States.
5 Let me start by -- well, first of all, let me mention,
6 I think we had some people who had not muted. Other
7 than -- unless you're speaking, be sure to put your
8 speaker on mute, if you would, please.

9 We'll start with the State of Texas.
10 Mr. Somach?

11 **MR. SOMACH:** Yes, Your Honor. This is
12 Stuart Somach for the State of Texas. With me from my
13 office are Theresa Barfield, Sarah Klahn, Francis
14 Goldsberry, Robert Hoffman, and from the Texas
15 Attorney General's Office, Priscilla Hubenak, and
16 Mr. Bobby Skov, the Rio Grande Commissioner for the
17 State of Texas, is also on.

18 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. And for State of
19 New Mexico?

20 **MR. WECHSLER:** Good morning, Your Honor.
21 Jeff Wechsler from Montgomery & Andrews. Also from
22 our office, we have Shelly Dalrymple; Cholla Khoury,
23 the chief deputy attorney general; and Zach Ogaz from
24 the New Mexico Attorney General's Office; Marcus Rael
25 from Robles Rael & Anaya; Lisa Thompson and Michael

1 Kopp from Trout Raley; John Draper from Draper &
2 Draper; and we expect to have Mike Hamman, the state
3 engineer and Rio Grande Compact Commissioner; Rolf
4 Schmidt-Petersen, the director of the interstate
5 stream commission; and Nat Chakeres, the general
6 counsel for the Office of the State Engineer.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** For the State of
8 Colorado? I saw Mr. Wallace on. Anyone else?

9 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes. Good morning, Your
10 Honor. Chad Wallace for the State of Colorado. Also
11 for the Attorney General's Office is Preston Hartman.
12 We also have joining us today the deputy state
13 engineer, Mike Sullivan; Colorado's engineer advisor
14 to the Compact, Craig Cotten.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And then for the United
16 States, I saw Mr. Leininger.

17 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes, good morning, Your
18 Honor. And joining me today from the Department of
19 Justice is Judy Coleman and Jennifer Najjar; from the
20 Department of Interior Solicitor's Office, Chris Rich,
21 Shelly Randel; and from the Bureau of Reclamation,
22 Jennifer Faler, Michelle Estrada-Lopez, and Ian
23 Ferguson.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Then for the
25 Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority,

1 who do we have, if anyone?

2 **MR. BROCKMANN:** Good morning, Your
3 Honor. This is Jim Brockmann for the Albuquerque
4 Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** City of El Paso?

6 **MR. CAROOM:** Doug Caroom for the City of
7 El Paso, Your Honor.

8 **JUDGE MELLOY:** City of Las Cruces?

9 **MR. STEIN:** Good morning, Your Honor.
10 This is Jay Stein for the City of Las Cruces. I
11 expect to be joined by Delila Walsh, the director of
12 Las Cruces utilities, and Adrienne Widmer, the
13 assistant director.

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** El Paso County Water
15 Improvement District No. 1?

16 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Yes, good morning, Your
17 Honor. Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water
18 Improvement District No. 1. Counsel Renea Hicks is
19 also on, district manager, Jesus Reyes, and district
20 engineer, Dr. Al Blair.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Elephant Butte Irrigation
22 District?

23 **MS. BARNCASTLE:** Good morning, Your
24 Honor. Samantha Barncastle for EBID. I apologize. I
25 don't have video today due to bandwidth issues related

1 to the weather, but with me today is my client, the
2 manager and treasurer of the irrigation district,
3 Dr. Patrick Sullivan, and the central advisor to the
4 board of directors, Gary Esslinger.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I might ask you,
6 Ms. Barncastle. You mentioned weather. One of the
7 things I'm a little curious about is I've been reading
8 about all the monsoons in the southwest. Has all the
9 rain materially affected the water levels in -- in the
10 reservoirs?

11 **MS. BARNCASTLE:** No, Your Honor.
12 Unfortunately, this rain comes in, for us, below the
13 reservoir, and it affects farmers' fields at this
14 point. So we have farmers' crops drowning in water
15 that we can't evacuate. It's just a matter of timing
16 and -- and location. But we're hoping that those
17 rains will materialize north of the reservoir and
18 start to help reservoir levels soon.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Thank you.
20 Who do we have on for Hudspeth County
21 Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1?

22 **MR. MILLER:** Good morning, Your Honor.
23 This is Drew Miller on behalf of Hudspeth County
24 Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** New Mexico pecan growers?

1 **MS. DAVIDSON:** Good morning, Your Honor.
2 Tessa Davidson for New Mexico Pecan Growers.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Anyone on for New Mexico
4 State University?

5 **MR. UTTON:** Yes, Your Honor, good
6 morning. This is John Utton for NMSU.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Southern Rio Grande
8 Diversified Crop Farmers Association? Anyone on?

9 (No response.)

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I assume nobody is on for
11 State of Kansas. And I did see the special -- our
12 mediator, Mr. Boylan is on. Did I miss anyone?

13 **MS. DAVIDSON:** Your Honor, I believe
14 A.J. Olsen with the diverse growers is trying to
15 appear, but he appears to have a problem with his mic.

16 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. I'll show
17 Mr. Olsen appearing. I would mention, I had three or
18 four requests from various members of the news media
19 to listen in to the status conference this morning,
20 which I have granted, so there are some news media
21 listening to the -- to the presentation, as well.

22 All right. Basically we 're here this
23 morning to get an update on where we are with the
24 settlement drafting process and so I think I'll
25 probably let Mr. Leininger speak first. You've sort

1 of taken the lead on this so what -- where are we,
2 Mr. Leininger?

3 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes, Your Honor, thank
4 you. So the parties continue to meet. We've been
5 meeting regularly, making progress. We've had
6 in-person meeting a couple weeks ago in Denver. That
7 was a very helpful, productive meeting. So we've
8 continued to make progress. There's -- there's choppy
9 waters, though, with continuing the course here. We
10 still expect the September 23 deadline for purposes of
11 final draft settlement agreement, which would then be
12 available for our respective approvers to review. We
13 are scheduling -- and Judge Boylan, I believe, is
14 going to be attending this, too. We are scheduling
15 another meeting in Santa Fe next week, in-person
16 conference, with New Mexico, United States, and New
17 Mexico amici for purposes of addressing the other part
18 of this case that we had discussed last status
19 conference, which is the -- the project --
20 interference project use within New Mexico. So we're
21 continuing to make -- make progress, Your Honor.

22 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I'll let Mr. Somach
23 speak in a moment, but the last time we were together,
24 if I understood what he was saying correctly, he
25 didn't feel there was any real reason why you couldn't

1 go ahead and finalize the Texas part of the agreement.
2 Most of your discussions, as I understand at this
3 point, are intra New Mexico concerns. Where are you
4 on that?

5 **MR. LEININGER:** There has been
6 discussion about completing that draft, and also, I
7 think you'll hear from the other parties on this,
8 putting it in a final enough form that we can then
9 share with amici, and so we understood that the draft
10 was still -- and I think all the parties would agree
11 -- still subject to amendment, still subject to
12 editing. We were concerned that we didn't have a form
13 of this in sufficient form for purposes of
14 distribution. We understand that there's a need to
15 have this distributed and get the response from amici
16 with regard to the Texas portion of it.

17 The proposal right now, I think, is that
18 we would -- anyone that this document is shared with
19 needs to sign a confidentiality agreement. So one of
20 the things we have discussed is taking the existing
21 confidentiality agreement amongst the parties and
22 providing basically that form for purposes of amici
23 counsel signature, and we intend to be working on that
24 this week, having that complete, and then I know
25 there's a desire to get the draft decree out as soon

1 as possible so upon confidentiality signatures, and
2 with the understanding that the distribution would be
3 limited to amici counsel, I believe we'll be in a
4 position to do that next week.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I -- I assume, however,
6 you've been sharing the outlines and the significant
7 points of the agreement with amici or have you not?

8 **MR. LEININGER:** We have. We're -- we're
9 absolutely talking, you know, within -- about these
10 concepts because we don't want any surprises here at
11 this late stage. So I think that the -- the concept
12 here is understood amongst all the parties and the
13 amici. So the actual language itself, however,
14 appearing in that -- in that draft is -- you know, we
15 -- we expect we will have some substantive comments so
16 it is that form document that we feel has to be in
17 better shape before it's distributed.

18 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Do you anticipate that if
19 you make your September 23 deadline and you have a
20 final document ready to be submitted to the parties
21 for approval, that you will be able to make it public
22 at that point?

23 **MR. LEININGER:** No, Your Honor.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Why not?

25 **MR. LEININGER:** Well, because it is

1 still subject to review for purposes of approval. So
2 in our case, it has to be approved by the Solicitor
3 General and by the Assistant Attorney General for the
4 Environment Division. So it is -- it would be subject
5 potentially to some changes. Again, we've been in
6 close contact, close communication, with both
7 branches, and we don't expect that there's going to be
8 any rejection of this; but because it is still subject
9 to approval, Your Honor, we don't think it should be
10 made public.

11 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Somach, what's your
12 view of all this?

13 **MR. SOMACH:** Well, Your Honor, as I
14 indicated last time, you know, we had said that we
15 were going to kind of be done with our part by August
16 8th. At the request of the mediator, we -- because he
17 had requested us to wait until he got back from his
18 vacation, we did meet around August 10th, I believe,
19 and by that time, we had fulfilled everything that we
20 could fulfill. We had finished everything that we
21 could finish at that point. After the discussion on
22 the 10th, we -- at the request of -- after we had
23 discussion among the parties, we provided a -- what we
24 call a draft final of what we think would be the
25 decree. We, last week, provided a copy of that to all

1 the parties. I had first met with Mr. Wechsler, and
2 the two of us had agreed on a draft final to provide
3 to all of the parties. We did that last week, and at
4 this point, other than being on call, we're done.
5 There's nothing more we can do. We -- we've exhausted
6 what we can do. We haven't gotten any feedback yet
7 from United States, although United States keeps
8 saying there are holes in what we provided. There are
9 some issues that Colorado has raised and -- and we'll
10 be certainly willing to talk to them about them, but
11 there's -- there's not much that Texas can do. Based
12 upon your prior determination, we'll wait until
13 September 23rd. We have -- we certainly hope that
14 everybody reaches a final agreement on what I think
15 last time were called ancillary issues to the -- to
16 the Compact issues that are in the decree. I -- I
17 will say this, that we think the decree -- the draft
18 we have is sufficient -- in sufficient form to provide
19 to amici and would have -- and have been advocating
20 providing it to the amici. I note that this is the
21 24th. September 23rd is now less than a month away,
22 and waiting another week, two weeks, to provide amici
23 with documents, I think, you know, telegraphs an
24 impossibility of being done by -- by the 23rd.

25 But, again, we're -- we're -- we're at

1 the end of what we can do. We'll be on call, you
2 know, to talk to -- to folks and to discuss issues,
3 but there's not much we can do. I -- I would say that
4 if we reach agreement, and I -- I'm a little bit
5 concerned about the notion of a lot of changes and
6 edits after the 23rd because that means the 23rd isn't
7 really a date of agreement because all that does is
8 kick the ball down the road, and if the Solicitor
9 General's Office doesn't get back to us until
10 December, we'll be negotiating into 2023 based on what
11 I just heard. So that -- that does give us some
12 trouble. We -- we would suggest, actually -- and I
13 think you have mentioned the concurrency of approvals
14 with an evidentiary hearing to prove up the decree.
15 We certainly believe that that's appropriate and would
16 advocate your setting a date in November, assuming an
17 agreement is reached, to begin some evidentiary
18 hearings.

19 But -- but more fundamental or as
20 fundamental of that is if -- if this doesn't come
21 together on the 23rd, while we're hopeful, I think
22 we're also a little skeptical. At this point in time,
23 we really do believe and urge you to set a trial date
24 and -- and would -- would urge you to set a trial
25 date. You'd indicated November was -- was too

1 aggressive, but we believe that a trial date in
2 December that we could get two -- two weeks of trial
3 in December and maybe then get this thing done by the
4 end of January, but we really urge that as an
5 important backup, that -- that everything I've heard,
6 everything we see is this thing keeps rolling. It
7 just keeps moving forward. If we don't have agreement
8 by September 23rd, I will say this, you know, we're --
9 we're hanging in there until September 23rd, but if
10 there's no agreement by 23rd -- September 23rd, Texas
11 is going to walk away from the negotiation table, and
12 it's our intention to -- to trial. We need to get
13 this resolved. It's in nobody's interest to keep this
14 continuing indefinitely. I hate to say that, and I
15 don't know that you can resolve this case through a
16 settlement without the State of Texas being on board,
17 and so, again, we urge you to schedule a trial date as
18 early as December so that we can -- we can resolve
19 this and move this on to the -- to the Court for final
20 resolution.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** What's your view of
22 keeping the agreement confidential after the 23rd?

23 **MR. SOMACH:** I don't see any reason to
24 keep it confidential.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Why would it need to

1 remain confidential, Mr. Leininger?

2 **MR. LEININGER:** Your Honor, beyond the
3 fact that it is still subject to approval, and
4 although we produced -- we, the attorneys have been
5 working this for years, produced our recommendation,
6 it's ultimately the SGA and the AAG's decision. So if
7 there is anything -- again, we are in constant
8 communication with our superiors so we don't
9 anticipate anything, but that said, it is still a
10 document subject to final approval so it's still a
11 document that we think should be kept under
12 confidentiality until there is final approval. That
13 goes to the concept of having a prove-up hearing,
14 also, while the -- while the approval is being sought.
15 We -- we -- the evidentiary hearing to prove up the
16 settlement agreement, which we understand, Your Honor,
17 is requested, and we think is a good idea, we have a
18 lot of technical data to present, but all this has
19 been subject to confidentiality and it's all
20 consistent with our settlement principles. So we
21 don't feel that disclosure of -- of any of the
22 information that has been exchanged under 408 in
23 confidence prior to final approval of this document is
24 required.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Well, let me

1 ask, I guess, both Mr. Somach and Mr. Leininger.

2 Mr. Somach, you indicated that as far as
3 you're concerned, everything Texas can do, you've
4 done, and you have an agreement that's, as I
5 understand it, is in final form. Do you think you can
6 carve out the dispute between Texas, New Mexico,
7 Colorado, and United States, resolve the Original
8 Action, while still leaving the intra-New Mexico
9 disputes for resolution in some other form?

10 **MR. SOMACH:** Yes.

11 **JUDGE MELLOY:** What's your view of that,
12 Mr. Leininger, and I'll give Mr. Wechsler a chance to
13 respond?

14 **MR. LEININGER:** And, Your Honor, that --
15 we are having these discussions almost daily now with
16 New Mexico for that -- for that very purpose, but
17 carving -- carving out the eventual settlement terms
18 from a final decree is problematic. We think that
19 this resolution of -- of Compact issues are all
20 integrated, and they should be in an integrated final
21 decree. So we are continuing these discussions for
22 that purpose. We are meeting regularly with New
23 Mexico. We'll be meeting with the New Mexico amici.
24 We are hopeful that we will arrive at these settlement
25 terms, which would then be incorporated into a final

1 decree.

2 **JUDGE MELLOY:** But what I understand you
3 not to say, though, Mr. Leininger, is you don't a
4 hundred percent disagree with Mr. Somach? If you
5 can't get these resolved, I don't hear you saying that
6 it's impossible to have a carve out?

7 **MR. LEININGER:** No. We -- and let me be
8 clear, Your Honor. We -- for purposes of settling
9 this case -- settling our claims with regard to the
10 Compact and Compact issues intrastate, which we
11 believe is all subject to the Compact, they cannot be
12 carved out. There are issues which we are talking
13 about which really go more to implementation that we
14 think perhaps would be more appropriate in a reform in
15 one of the other federal cases. For example, there
16 are pending lawsuits against the operating agreement.
17 There is the pending lawsuit for the title -- title
18 action that we brought. So there are elements of this
19 that may be more appropriate for those cases, but as
20 far as the Compact issues themselves, no, it should be
21 integrated complete decree.

22 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I -- I hear what
23 Mr. Somach is saying is that if the amici don't get
24 this for at least another week, now we're down to
25 about three weeks before your drop-dead date. You

1 have issues to resolve. I mean, you really need to
2 kind of pretty much have these issues resolved within
3 the next, say, ten days, to give you enough time to
4 reduce them to writing. Do you think -- I mean, do
5 you think realistically you'll know after next week?

6 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes.

7 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Mr. Wechsler, what's your
8 -- what's your view of all this?

9 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yeah, Your Honor, I'll
10 comment on the issues that you've been asking the
11 parties about or fill in some of the gaps. I'll start
12 with that carve-out issue. New Mexico is positive
13 about the whole settlement as -- as a holistic part,
14 but the calculus changes if we're talking about only
15 parts of the settlement, as we would in any overall
16 agreement. So I'm not sure that New Mexico would
17 agree the Compact provisions without the agreement on
18 the other provisions. That -- that's something that
19 we would have to think about. So to your question to
20 Mr. Leininger, I'm not saying it's impossible. I'm
21 not saying it's impossible because New Mexico hasn't
22 evaluated that because that's not the way we've been
23 looking at the settlement.

24 On the -- the negotiations, I agree with
25 Mr. Leininger. I mean, we're in contact with one or

1 more of the parties every day. You asked about the
2 amici. We have tried very hard to keep the amici
3 informed throughout this entire process. In the last
4 month, that included two full-day, in-person meetings
5 with our amici, and we're trying to give them as much
6 information as we can within the bounds of what we've
7 been allowed thus far to disclose, but we are a very
8 strong proponent. We have been for the last several
9 months for sharing the settlement documents with the
10 amici so that they can have a meaningful opportunity
11 to comment on that. You asked Mr. Leininger do we
12 think it's realistic to get these documents done, say,
13 in the next ten days. I think the answer to that
14 question is yes. It's not that we're starting from
15 scratch. We have very strong drafts of settlement
16 documents, including a decree for all of the
17 provisions that we're talking about. Now, there's
18 still a few outstanding issues that we're working on,
19 but we have meetings set up to resolve those -- or to
20 attempt to resolve those, and we see a path forward.
21 We're confident that we will be able to reach a
22 settlement by September 23rd.

23 I want to fill in the gap on one other
24 thing that is still going on, on the -- what I'll call
25 the Compact portion, and that is there's still some

1 technical meetings and technical issues that are
2 outstanding. It's contemplated there will be a number
3 of appendices that include a number of technical
4 descriptions and the nature of those are still being
5 worked out. I think we have agreement on the
6 concepts, but there's still significant work going on
7 there. And we -- as Mr. Leininger indicated, we --
8 we're -- we're heavy into bilateral discussions,
9 including this full in-person meeting next week with
10 Judge Boylan that our -- our hope there is to be
11 resolving some of the other outstanding issues that we
12 think that this provides an opportunity to do.

13 You know, I've heard some of the
14 discussion about the -- the timing. I mean, what we
15 would support is a procedure in which you set a
16 deadline or series of deadlines, one deadline for the
17 parties to obtain the necessary signatures, another
18 deadline to submit a motion related to the settlement
19 documents, and -- and perhaps at the same deadline or
20 -- or slightly later of -- of proposed procedure to
21 you for the hearing on the settlement and then the
22 hearing date. We -- we take Mr. Leininger's point
23 about until these settlement documents are actually
24 signed, they remain confidential settlement
25 discussions and negotiations and -- and, therefore,

1 it's hard for us to see how they could be made public;
2 but that being said, we're also mindful of Texas'
3 concerns expressed by Mr. Somach that they're anxious
4 to get this done as quickly as possible, as are we,
5 and we certainly could obtain those signatures and --
6 and proceed with that process relatively quickly.

7 I believe that's all of the questions
8 that you asked, but I'm happy to stand for other
9 questions or -- or answer any issues you have.

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I guess I'll ask
11 you and Mr. Wallace the same question. You said you
12 could get a signature fairly quickly. I mean, what's
13 -- what -- assuming there's a final agreement on
14 September 23, what are the steps that have to be gone
15 through within the State of New Mexico to sign off on
16 an agreement?

17 **MR. WECHSLER:** Within the State of New
18 Mexico, ultimately the settlement will be signed by
19 the New Mexico attorney general, and the New Mexico
20 attorney general has full authority to enter into that
21 settlement. Prior to that happening, I anticipate
22 that the attorney general will be consulting with some
23 of the other state leaders, the governor, the
24 governor's -- you know, the state engineer, and -- and
25 ultimately, likely others that will need to be

1 consulted, but only the attorney general will need to
2 sign off. We have started that process many weeks ago
3 and so our anticipation is that would take a matter of
4 weeks, not months, for New Mexico to get
5 authorization.

6 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And I assume that you
7 have been -- well, you have two assistant attorney
8 generals on the phone here today and have been
9 participating all along, but so -- I assume the
10 attorney general's office has been very intimately
11 involved in the negotiations and knows what's going
12 on?

13 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yes, Your Honor. Ms.
14 Khoury is the chief deputy attorney general, and she
15 has led our negotiating teams throughout this process.

16 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Let me ask this: At this
17 point, are you aware of any amici or other interested
18 party that would object to what you're proposing to do
19 here in this settlement?

20 **MR. WECHSLER:** I'm not aware of any that
21 would object. I think there are some who are anxious
22 to see the specific provisions of the settlement
23 documents, which we, as I said, are anxious to share
24 with them; but as I said, we have tried to share
25 without the specific written documents all of the

1 operative provisions of the settlement with them on a
2 number of occasions, and -- and I'm not aware of any
3 that I -- that are opposing those.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I don't want to
5 speak for Ms. Barncastle or any of the other parties,
6 but I assume, I mean, the -- I guess if I were in
7 their position, I would want to know bottom line,
8 what's it going to do to my district, and I assume
9 you've been telling them that all along, this is
10 what's going to happen going forward if we approve
11 this; is that correct?

12 **MR. WECHSLER:** That's correct, Your
13 Honor. We've been talking with each of the amici
14 about specifically how it would impact them. We've
15 also been trying to present a -- a picture of what we
16 think water use and water regulation would look like
17 below the reservoir following a settlement in the --
18 in the many years following that so that would include
19 potential regulations, changes, and all of those
20 important details.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** How about above the
22 reservoir? Have there been any concerns raised? I
23 know, you know, Albuquerque is a party to the -- not a
24 party, but an amici of this case. Have there been any
25 concerns raised about how this would affect water use

1 above the reservoir?

2 **MR. WECHSLER:** Well, certainly that's an
3 issue that I understand the ABCWUA has expressed.
4 We've had discussions with them to try and allay any
5 concerns. There have been some discussions within the
6 context of the mediation about that issue, but we're
7 confident that -- that ultimately the settlement is
8 not going to concern our amici -- current amici or any
9 of our water users above the reservoir.

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Thank you.

11 Mr. Wallace, Mr. Somach indicated you've
12 raised a couple issues. Anything that you think is a
13 deal breaker or where do you feel we're at on this
14 whole process?

15 **MR. WALLACE:** Your Honor, Colorado's
16 position is that we have no concern with the current
17 proposal for water distribution between the two
18 states, New Mexico and Texas, so -- so that physical
19 aspect is not something that's standing in the way.
20 Colorado does have some continued concerns with the
21 language in a proposed draft decree regarding the
22 parameters of the Compact and how we describe the
23 legal obligations in the settlement. So that's --
24 that's not so much a physical structural issue but is
25 a -- a legal description issue that we continue to

1 work through. Hopefully we -- we can continue to --
2 to work with that and get that done by the end of
3 September. Along with Texas, we -- we agree with them
4 that carving out the issues of distribution between
5 those two states, Texas and New Mexico, from internal
6 New Mexico state issues is -- is acceptable and might
7 make this go forward more quickly. It also might
8 resolve some of the legal obligation descriptions that
9 Colorado has a concern with in -- in the totality of
10 those settlement documents.

11 To address some of your other questions,
12 if -- if and when we reach a settlement, Colorado will
13 have its attorney general sign that, likely in
14 consultation with the state's governor and state
15 engineer. The AG has been informed of progress and
16 knows where we are in settlement at this point. If
17 we, in fact, do reach a settlement by September 23rd,
18 we think that we can get a hearing done on that this
19 year, if, in fact, it is finalized. We do have some
20 concerns, however, with what the U.S. has expressed in
21 that, if we reach a final settlement, the U.S. is
22 reserving, it seems, the right to change the language
23 of that settlement. Now, we don't know what that
24 might be, but that does raise some concerns on our
25 end, if it's final, how much of it is being changed.

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And how long -- are we
2 talking a matter of weeks to get your attorney general
3 to sign off?

4 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, we are.

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. And I know early
6 on, Mr. Wallace, you had expressed a concern that one
7 of your major interests in this litigation is that we
8 not set precedents, so to speak, in connection with
9 this Compact that might spill over to other Compacts.
10 I think probably maybe fair to say Colorado may be
11 party to more Compacts than any other state in the
12 union. But I assume those concerns have been allayed?

13 **MR. WALLACE:** That's what we're
14 currently working on. When I said we're trying to
15 address the parameters of the Compact obligations in
16 the settlement, it -- it's that sort of putting the
17 side walls on that so that we're confident how we're
18 describing this Compact settlement as being consistent
19 with the 1938 Compact and keeping it penned into that
20 so it's not novel and we're not creating something new
21 and everyone is comfortable with our -- our
22 interpretation of the '38 Compact in that it is
23 limited, in fact, to the resolution of this dispute
24 and will carry over into our other Compacts.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Judge Boylan, do you have

1 anything you want to add to this discussion or any
2 comments?

3 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** Thank you, Judge. Well,
4 first of all, I do believe these issues that
5 Mr. Wallace and Mr. Somach have raised are important
6 ones, but I do think that they are resolvable and
7 resolvable between now and September 23rd. I have
8 every confidence that that will, in fact, be true. In
9 reference to the intrastate New Mexico disputes, I
10 have been pursuing my duties as mediator pursuant to
11 your order consistent with the view that it is
12 important that those matters be addressed and be part
13 of the overall settlement, and on occasion, that's led
14 me to meet directly with New Mexico and amici.
15 Sometimes amici, sometimes the U.S., New Mexico, and
16 amici, and I believe that while it does make it more
17 complex, I view that as being important issues that
18 overall must be resolved if we're going to reach a
19 settlement.

20 In reference to the sharing of the
21 settlement documents with amici, we had a telephone
22 conversation with the parties yesterday. I informed
23 them that it was my intention to at least alert you
24 that it was my view that my role as a mediator would
25 include an ability to direct the parties to share the

1 documents with amici since they have been part and
2 parcel of the settlement discussions, albeit with the
3 understanding that the people that would see the
4 documents would have to send a confidentiality
5 agreement in provisions that Mr. Leininger mentioned.
6 And so I would either hope that you would order it or
7 if you don't wish to get that deep into the settlement
8 discussions itself, at least if I hear no objections
9 from the Court, it would -- my intention is to direct
10 the parties to indeed proceed in that fashion and do
11 so immediately because I do believe that time is of
12 the essence and that they're deserving of seeing those
13 documents.

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Do you have a form of
15 disclosure agreement that you could send out on fairly
16 short notice or any of the parties --

17 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** I do believe that
18 Mr. Leininger has the confidentiality provisions that
19 were signed by each of the parties and it just needs
20 to be amended in some respects so that amici would be
21 identified and I could ask him to talk about that, but
22 it's my understanding that's ready to go almost
23 immediately.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Anything else? Looks
25 like you're sitting in our same courthouse.

1 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** I'm sitting in one of the
2 law offices in downtown Minneapolis, but I like the
3 background better.

4 The other thing I think I would like to
5 comment on is whether or not the Court can convene a
6 hearing on the -- on the settlement document prior to
7 the completion of a review by the federal authorities
8 identified by Mr. Leininger. I think that there is a
9 way of doing that, and perhaps that's by directing the
10 parties to file the papers under -- under seal and to
11 at least schedule an in-camera review of those pending
12 the approval of -- the final approval, but I think
13 that there's a way of shortening the time frame for
14 the finality rather than waiting until 2023 to do
15 that. I -- I liked your comments last time we met,
16 Judge, that perhaps there are ways of shortening that
17 up as opposed to continuing the -- the process kicking
18 the can down the road by some months.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I think the problem with
20 an in-camera review would be, I guess, twofold. One
21 is my -- my vision of a hearing would be that there
22 would be fairly wide dissemination notice, probably
23 the -- I'm just thinking out loud here. We'd probably
24 have some published notice. We'd probably direct each
25 of the water districts to maybe notify every one of

1 their -- their members, and -- I don't know. But I
2 would anticipate it'd be fairly widespread so that
3 anybody who had a problem, even if they weren't a
4 named party or an amici, who wanted to be heard or at
5 least be given a notice of a hearing. And then the
6 other thing is I don't know that I would understand
7 what the agreement means in camera without -- without
8 a hearing where people could explain it. Now -- and I
9 -- and I'm just thinking out loud about the hearing.
10 You know, I don't know how technical the hearing has
11 to be, and in part, it may depend on whether there's
12 objections. If everybody is happy with it, it's not
13 likely I'm going to say, well, you know, Texas, New
14 Mexico, water users, Colorado, United States, you're
15 all on board, and I'm going to throw a monkey wrench
16 in the works. I would think that would be highly
17 unlikely. I think a lot of -- you know, I think some
18 evidence is probably going to be required, but I don't
19 know how technical it has to be unless there are
20 specific objections that have to be addressed. But
21 anyway, I'm just thinking out loud about that at this
22 point.

23 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** I do think that the
24 parties are envisioning that some technical testimony
25 will be important to assist the court in understanding

1 the proposed agreement that they're asking you to
2 consider for approval.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Let me circle back to
4 you, Mr. Leininger. How quickly do you think you can
5 get that confidentiality agreement in form that you
6 can get it out to the amici?

7 **MR. LEININGER:** That's on the top of my
8 list. We're actually meeting with New Mexico this
9 afternoon for continuing settlement negotiations.
10 That's on the top of my list so, Your Honor, over the
11 next couple of days.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** So when you say the
13 existing confidentiality agreement, are you talking
14 about one that was prepared specifically for
15 settlement purposes?

16 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes.

17 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. So that's not --
18 that's not one that I was party to?

19 **MR. LEININGER:** I believe --

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** It's not in the decree or
21 anything -- or not the decree, but not in the
22 scheduling order, right?

23 **MR. LEININGER:** I believe when we
24 continued negotiations approximately a year ago, one
25 of your orders did actually order the confidentiality

1 agreement be imposed upon the parties so, yes, it is
2 subject, I think, I believe, to your -- to your court
3 order, and we would take that document, for purposes
4 of disclosure, to the amici counsel. And -- and, Your
5 Honor, again, our concern is that these documents are
6 not public documents. These are settlement documents,
7 and they have to be subject to strict confidentiality.
8 They should be limited in their disclosure so that is
9 why we would also ask that -- that the -- the drafts
10 be limited to disbursal among the amici counsel that
11 have signed off on the confidentiality.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I -- I understood
13 that the technical advisors have been intimately
14 involved in the negotiations. Would they be included
15 within that circle of people who could look at the
16 documents or at least have them discussed? There may
17 be a difference between discussing them and looking at
18 them, but, you know, as a practical matter, I'm not
19 sure it makes a lot of difference.

20 **MR. LEININGER:** Right. As a practical
21 matter, I would agree with Your Honor, but, you know,
22 with regard to what has been written, the language,
23 and as Mr. Wallace said, there's some question as to
24 how certain of these -- of these settlement concepts
25 are phrased, no. This is a matter for counsel to

1 resolve so, no, it should not be expanded beyond
2 distribution of the draft amongst counsel under
3 confidentiality.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** But they could discuss it
5 with their technical folks and their water managers
6 or, you know, City of El Paso can talk to the director
7 of the water distribution system -- I can't remember
8 the technical name in El Paso, but -- but in any
9 event, you can -- you can talk to the relevant people
10 about what this is going to impose upon them and what
11 they're going to get out of them, can't they?

12 **MR. LEININGER:** Sure. Yes, Your Honor.
13 It's the document, the draft document, that we were
14 discussing for purposes of distribution.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Do you feel you need any
16 order from me at this point to effectuate this?

17 **MR. LEININGER:** I would have to go back
18 and review your previous order. What I understand is
19 you did order confidentiality in these settlement
20 negotiations so whether that has to be expanded, a
21 potential of that order, and it was -- it was short
22 order, so whether that has to be expanded or not, I
23 would have to go back and look at the language and
24 perhaps consult with the other counsel here as to
25 what's necessary.

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I'll take a look at that,
2 as well.

3 All right. Anything further from the --
4 any of the parties? In a minute, I'll give the amici
5 a chance to weigh in if they have anything they want
6 to say, but anything else from any of the parties?

7 **MR. SOMACH:** Your Honor, this is Stuart
8 Somach again. I just want to briefly say, and I -- I
9 don't want to be the -- the -- the only -- I hate
10 being the one that -- that rains on the parade so to
11 speak, but I do urge you to consider scheduling a
12 trial date if by September 23rd, this -- this is not
13 completed. There's -- I'm -- you know, I'm hopeful
14 but very skeptical at this point in time. I certainly
15 hope I'm wrong, but if I am wrong, then we really do
16 need that trial date and I -- I think it needs to be
17 scheduled as a hard date as early as possible. And --
18 and I know I've said this before, but -- but I think
19 it's important for me to at least, on the record, say
20 it again.

21 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, let me think about
22 that, Mr. Somach. If I did schedule two weeks in
23 December -- and I will say this mainly for the benefit
24 of New Mexico, I guess -- I would not expect anybody,
25 other than Texas, to present evidence. That still

1 means they'd have to cross-examine, but we would use
2 that strictly for Texas' case, but let me think about
3 that a little bit.

4 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** Can I suggest that while
5 you're speaking of scheduling, that it may make a lot
6 of sense to schedule an in-person status conference
7 with you for September 23rd or perhaps the day prior
8 to the September 23rd deadline. I think sometimes the
9 in-person deadlines have a great deal of leverage with
10 helping people get things moving, at least have that
11 to consider that at least.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** When you say "in-person,"
13 as opposed to Zoom?

14 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** Correct.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** How do the other people
16 feel about that?

17 **MR. SOMACH:** Certainly it's fine with
18 Texas, Your Honor.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, it so happens, I
20 was actually thinking we'd probably do one the week
21 after the 23rd, but I'd already kind of -- what -- and
22 the -- and why do you think we should do it the day
23 before, Judge, or the day of?

24 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** The week after sounds
25 even like a better idea to me, Judge. I just think

1 that it's important that since September 23rd has been
2 identified by the -- by the U.S. and New Mexico and
3 others as a deadline that they believe is appropriate
4 for a presentation of completed deal, that we see
5 where exactly we are as that date arrives.

6 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. I was
7 thinking we'd probably do it by Zoom, but, you know,
8 the -- I think your suggestion of in person might not
9 be a bad one. Let me think about that, and if I do,
10 I'll check with the Supreme Court. I assume they
11 won't have any objection to this, but maybe I'll
12 schedule it for Denver. That seems to be a pretty
13 convenient location for everybody. But let me think
14 about that.

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** Your Honor, we're -- we
16 support an in-person meeting status conference that
17 week after. I do have a commitment that simply can't
18 be moved on that Thursday so apologize for the
19 inconvenience.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I was thinking
21 earlier in the week in any event so that's not a
22 problem. I was thinking the 27th or 28th or could
23 even do it on Monday, the 26th. Let's just say the
24 27th. We'll plan on that and it'll probably be in
25 person and it'll probably be in Denver. Any objection

1 to that from anyone?

2 **MR. SOMACH:** No, Your Honor, except I
3 just want to reiterate the fact that we're looking at
4 September 23rd as -- I just -- I just don't want -- I
5 don't want Texas to be misleading anybody. If -- if
6 the purpose of the 27th is to say we need more time,
7 we're -- we're not going to agree to that, and so I
8 just -- I just want to make certain that that's clear.
9 We -- we understood that you disagreed with us about
10 the timing on this and so we're -- we're hanging in
11 there until the 23rd because there's -- there's no
12 option to do anything else but hang in there until the
13 23rd, but -- but we will not agree to continue
14 negotiations beyond the 23rd. I -- I don't want to be
15 misunderstood about that. So the 27th is fine. We'll
16 -- I'll be there.

17 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I -- I would
18 anticipate, Mr. Somach, that on the 27th, we'll do one
19 of two things, we'll either talk about how we're going
20 to get the settlement approved and to the Supreme
21 Court or we're going to talk about trial mechanics.

22 **MR. SOMACH:** Just to finish the thought
23 you started, we're more than happy to start presenting
24 testimony in those two weeks in December. We're up
25 first anyway, and we're ready to go.

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Anything else
2 from the parties or -- or Judge Boylan before I let
3 amici have anything to say if they want to weigh in?

4 (No response.)

5 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. If not, do
6 any of the amici want to be heard?

7 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Your Honor, Maria O'Brien
8 on behalf of El Paso County Water Improvement District
9 No. 1. If I may just make a few comments. First, I
10 just want to be clear that EP1 supports fully a
11 legally and technically sound settlement agreement and
12 certainly sooner rather than later. We do not believe
13 that, as we understand the settlement is being
14 structured by the parties, that we can arrive at a
15 legally and technically sound settlement agreement
16 without the assent of EP1. We emphasize, I think,
17 some of what's been articulated by at least some of
18 the parties and Judge Boylan that immediate inclusion,
19 certainly at least the district amici, and full --
20 full integration of the districts into settlement
21 discussions and review of current drafts regardless of
22 the state of those drafts.

23 I want to be clear, based on some of
24 your comments, Your Honor, as well as comments from
25 others, while EP1 is aware of the general concepts in

1 the settlement, our technical advisor has -- has not
2 been intimately involved in those discussions, some
3 but not all, and I will -- I will say that, you know,
4 words matter. We have not seen an outline. We have
5 not seen a draft. We do not know how the different
6 pieces, even all of which we do not know what all
7 those pieces are, fit together. So we, again, implore
8 you to allow immediate inclusion of EP1 in -- in these
9 discussions that are significant import, you know, to
10 the parties, and certainly to the districts. And this
11 is because the Special Master is fully aware of the
12 relationship of the project and the Compact and that
13 the water supply at issue in this case is dedicated to
14 the project, the districts are the beneficiaries of
15 the project and that water supply and have contractual
16 rights and obligations with regard to that water
17 supply. For this reason, we also do not agree that
18 the so-called Texas portion and the U.S., which is
19 sometimes also referred to as the intrastate portion,
20 can be separated for purposes of settlement. Again,
21 as we understand it from the bit that we do know, the
22 settlement will address some significant and important
23 project operations issues that are related to
24 settlement of the so-called Texas portion, and we
25 don't see how that portion can be finalized and/or

1 separated out from the issues that relate to the
2 project overall, which the U.S. continues to work on.
3 But regardless, we understand that there are
4 significant pieces of the so-called Texas portion that
5 impact, address, even modify project operations, and,
6 again, while we've been included in some aspects over
7 those discussions, we have not been included in all.
8 We do not know how all the pieces fit together, and we
9 are very anxious, again, to be fully integrated, so,
10 again, that we can support a technically and legally
11 sound settlement.

12 With that, Your Honor, I'll take any
13 questions but felt it necessary to articulate where we
14 believe things stand.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I assume you have no
16 objection to signing some type of confidentiality
17 agreement?

18 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Absolutely not, Your
19 Honor. We've made that clear to the mediator and the
20 other parties, and we've -- from the beginning, we've
21 stood ready to sign whatever is necessary with regard
22 to confidentiality.

23 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Subject to seeing the
24 language, and like you say, words matter, from what
25 you know about the broader concepts, are there any

1 deal breakers that you think at this point?

2 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Your Honor, I don't want
3 to equivocate, other than, you know, how the pieces
4 fit together. Again, the pieces that we are aware of
5 is very important because there's -- there's an
6 interface between some of the project operation
7 changes and have under and overdeliveries will be
8 addressed, and until we understand how those issues
9 all work together, I don't believe I can, you know,
10 unequivocally say that there's a deal breaker.

11 To the extent we understand where things
12 stand to date, I don't believe we believe there is a
13 deal breaker, although I believe there was some
14 articulation by a few of the parties' counsel that
15 there are some technical issues regarding
16 implementation that are still at play, and we are --
17 those pieces are very important to us. So we have
18 seen no deal breaker to date, but there still appear
19 to be some moving parts that are of critical
20 importance, and, you know, we hope to be proactive and
21 a positive participant in bringing those things to
22 closure in a way that we can fully support the
23 settlement.

24 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Let me ask Mr. Wechsler
25 something. If a settlement is reached and approved,

1 will this -- will that result in dismissal of all the
2 other pending litigation?

3 **MR. WECHSLER:** If we were able to have a
4 full resolution of all of the issues, it would
5 resolve, I think, the outstanding issues in the lower
6 Rio Grande. You asked earlier, are there some issues.
7 I know you were talking specifically about all of the
8 New Mexico intrastate issues, could those be carved
9 out from the Compact issues, and my answer was we
10 don't think so. I do, however, think there are a
11 number of issues within the intrastate issues that
12 could be carved out. So our hope is to resolve as
13 many of those as possible. There's -- we observe a
14 spirit of -- maybe cooperation is too strong a word,
15 but there's a real sense that -- of opportunity right
16 now to resolve a lot of issues that have been
17 separating a number of parties for decades, and we're
18 hopeful that we can resolve as many of those as
19 possible, but I don't think that all of those would
20 need to be resolved in order to resolve the so-called
21 New Mexico intrastate portion of the settlement. So
22 we're -- we're taking our best shot at resolving all
23 of those. I'm very confident that we can resolve
24 some, and I'm very confident that we can resolve the
25 intrastate issues that separate the State of New

1 Mexico and the United States in such a way that would
2 result in a settlement by September 23rd.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And you feel that
4 September 23rd is still realistic under a month now?

5 **MR. WECHSLER:** I do.

6 **JUDGE MELLOY:** With this, specifically
7 would the litigation filed by New Mexico challenging
8 the operating agreement be dismissed?

9 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yes.

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. All right.
11 Anybody else want to be heard, either parties or amici
12 at this point?

13 **MR. STEIN:** Your Honor, this is Jay
14 Stein. I do want to make one brief comment.

15 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Go ahead.

16 **MR. STEIN:** The City is equally
17 interested in having the settlement documents provided
18 as soon as possible. Of course we will sign any
19 confidentiality agreement in that respect, but going
20 forward, the implementation of this agreement with
21 respect to the City's ability to provide municipal
22 water supply in the future through the implementation
23 of this arrangement is key and therefore we need to
24 see those documents as soon as possible and to have
25 our water managers weigh in on them. Thank you, Your

1 Honor.

2 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Was that Ms. Barncastle
3 wanting to be heard? I think I heard her say
4 something.

5 **MS. BARNCASTLE:** Yes, it was, Your
6 Honor. Thank you. So three very quick points.
7 First, thank you to Ms. O'Brien for aptly stating
8 again what I stated last time. EBID stands by EP No.
9 1's comments there. These issues just can't simply be
10 split up. They are too interwoven. The second issue
11 is really the most important by far and that is the
12 United States' requests for confidentiality being
13 extended only to counsel is incredibly important.
14 Drafts of documents like this can be inflammatory if
15 they reach the streets of the lower Rio Grande. For
16 example, I have been the subject personally of
17 multiple physical threats of violence, one of which
18 occurred during trial in this case in which you were
19 aware of. So if we see drafts leaking out, I worry
20 that certain people might take certain actions related
21 to those drafts because drafts simply can't be
22 understood by the general public while the lawyers are
23 doing lawyer machinations, and so we need the ability
24 to work unconstrained and not have drafts leaking out
25 well before they are actually final. And I have a lot

1 of respect for the United States colleagues. I know
2 they've been subject to some of these attacks, as
3 well. Same as New Mexico. And so it's not just a
4 matter of worrying about somebody hanging their hat on
5 particular language. It's a matter of presenting this
6 appropriately to the public at the right time, and we
7 just simply can't afford a misstep in that arena.

8 And then third, I would like to
9 encourage you to just stay mediation and settlement
10 focused and avoid setting trial at this point,
11 especially for this year. If trial needs to be set,
12 the parties -- it's not just as simple as saying the
13 State of Texas will present evidence. The United
14 States has obligations that are interwoven with the
15 Texas case, which means the districts do, too. New
16 Mexico simply having to cross-examine some of the most
17 important witnesses in this case is not actually
18 simple. So I would encourage you to just stay
19 mediation focused and settlement focused for the time
20 being.

21 With that, Your Honor, I have no further
22 comments left.

23 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. I want to ask
24 Ms. Barncastle one thing. To what extent do you need
25 to discuss the settlement documents with your

1 technical advisors, chairmen of your board,
2 presidents, what -- you know, the people who are the
3 decision makers and the people who are advising you?

4 **MS. BARNCASTLE:** At this point, Your
5 Honor, even without documents, I am in daily
6 conversations with all of those people, my client, my
7 board of directors, our technical advisors, as well as
8 the State of New Mexico, New Mexico amici, and the
9 United States. So I do need free ability to discuss
10 these issues. It's certainly going to be nice to be
11 able to share documents at certain points in time, but
12 one of EBID's regular practices is actually not to
13 disseminate documents for the client to take home with
14 them. So for the reasons I exactly expressed to you
15 just before. So showing them a document versus
16 handing it to them to walk out the door is something
17 entirely different for EBID because of our history
18 with some of these violent threats. You know, water
19 wars is a term that was coined because people used to
20 kill each other, and these threats actually are still
21 live and well so we -- we have implemented certain
22 safeguards within the EBID process. Being able to
23 discuss is incredibly important. Being able to hand a
24 copy to somebody, not so much from my perspective.

25 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, let me ask this:

1 Does anyone object, among the amici, to what I
2 understand to be Mr. Leininger's proposal is that you
3 sign a confidentiality agreement that the document
4 itself not be disseminated beyond the attorneys who
5 are parties to the confidentiality agreement but that
6 you would be able to discuss the contents of the
7 settlement agreement with technical advisors and
8 decision makers? If I'm summarizing you correctly,
9 Mr. Leininger -- correct me if I'm wrong, but does
10 anybody object to any -- to those restrictions?

11 **MR. BROCKMANN:** Your Honor, this is Jim
12 Brockmann to the Water Authority. I think part of the
13 answer and what I wanted to indicate earlier, part of
14 that depends on the timing of it. If we're looking at
15 some additional drafts and we're trying to understand
16 a settlement and can ask questions where we'll work
17 back and forth with New Mexico to understand it, I
18 think counsel's participation at that point is
19 probably adequate, but, for example, with the Water
20 Authority, they have a general counsel. They have an
21 executive director. They have a chief planning
22 officer, and my anticipation is that they will, at
23 some point, request copies of the draft to -- to read
24 through themselves, and if we've got a -- a 30- or a
25 50- or a 75- or 100-page draft, they will want to sit

1 in their office and look at it and have conversations
2 about it. So at some point, and it might be before
3 it's final, to have my best communication with the
4 client, but they will have to read the document and be
5 able to ask questions. It's hard for me to -- to try
6 to summarize it to them in an hour or a day-long
7 conversation. So it might depend on the timing, but I
8 believe we can work with the State of New Mexico and
9 the parties to find that appropriate time.

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** But for the -- for the
11 immediate future, though, the documents would not be
12 disseminated beyond the attorneys who signed the
13 confidentiality agreement? Is that the understanding?

14 **MR. BROCKMANN:** Yes. And the Water
15 Authority --

16 **JUDGE MELLOY:** That may change at some
17 point, but at least as of right now, that's what's on
18 the table?

19 **MR. BROCKMANN:** We can work with those
20 parameters initially, but, again, eventually, we might
21 press the parties to be able to disseminate those to a
22 few limited number of decision makers as part of our
23 client groups.

24 **MR. STEIN:** Your Honor, this is Jay
25 Stein. That arrangement will be a hardship for Las

1 Cruces. We are going to need to show these documents
2 to our expert, our hydrologist Lee Wilson, as well as
3 our water managers in Las Cruces Utilities,
4 principally Delila Walsh and Adrienne Widmer.

5 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Your Honor, I -- our
6 understanding -- and I thought this is what
7 Mr. Leininger responded earlier when you pressed him
8 on this issue, was that, you know, normally
9 confidentiality agreements are structured so that
10 counsel signs, you know, on behalf of, you know,
11 themselves, obviously, and the client that they
12 represent, but that the -- and the -- anyone within
13 the client that it's disseminated to is bound by that
14 confidentiality and -- and counsel is responsible for
15 ensuring that, and that's how we've proceeded, well,
16 in a variety of cases and other kinds of
17 confidentiality agreements, and in this particular
18 case. EP1 does have a need to share and work with
19 district engineer and general manager regarding
20 drafts. There's -- as parties have referenced to do,
21 there are appendices that support, clarify, implement
22 provisions of the draft that are technical in nature
23 and so there's this, you know, again, an interplay.
24 What I would suggest is that each amici party that
25 signs the confidentiality agreement lists the universe

1 of people that would be entitled to and be shared the
2 document, and I think that, again, that appears from
3 what people are saying to date is going to be an
4 individual entity, individual counsel determination,
5 and I think that should address what -- some of the
6 concerns that are expressed, but I think individual
7 entities are perhaps in different places. You know,
8 if EBID has certain parameters of how they want to
9 share, that's fine, but I -- I do think that each
10 entity needs to be able to share the document with
11 relevant people within that entity, and I -- if we
12 list those and limit it, I think that should address
13 the concerns of broader dissemination.

14 **MR. BROCKMANN:** This is Jim Brockmann.
15 I would support that kind of an approach because I
16 think that will define the folks, our specific client
17 representatives, that would get the document and --
18 and we would know specifically who it would be without
19 dissemination beyond probably just a -- a small
20 handful of people within each organization.

21 **MR. LEININGER:** Your Honor, it 's
22 Mr. Leininger. May I respond?

23 **JUDGE MELLOY:** You may. Well, I have a
24 comment, but go ahead.

25 **MR. LEININGER:** Thank you, Your Honor.

1 So these are nonparties, and it's highly unusual to
2 disseminate these draft settlement documents amongst
3 nonparties, and this is the exception to remain the
4 exception. I think initially, we are seeking that
5 counsel be the signatories to the confidentiality
6 agreement, that the dissemination be limited to them,
7 and if they were to come back to us, the parties, for
8 purposes of broader dissemination, that would be the
9 appropriate time to perhaps expand this disclosure.

10 **JUDGE MELLODY:** Well, you pretty much
11 articulated what I was going to say, Mr. Leininger.
12 At this point, with less than a month to get this
13 done, I don't think we want to spend two weeks
14 negotiating the confidentiality agreement and
15 negotiating who is going to get to see it and who
16 isn't. There may come a point when it gets closer to
17 September 23rd, and it's 98 percent final that -- that
18 we expand the universe, but at this point, I think the
19 -- the request that it be limited only to counsel is
20 not an unreasonable one. I understand Mr. Brockmann's
21 original suggestion to be that there may come a point
22 where they may request expansion, and that's fine, but
23 I don't want to spend the next week and a half doing
24 nothing but negotiating the confidentiality agreement
25 with the amici. I think that time is better spent

1 dealing with the substance of the -- of the -- of the
2 negotiations and the -- and the -- and the disputes.
3 So let's limit it to counsel, but we'll -- we can come
4 back and revisit that issue if necessary in a couple
5 weeks, but I think at this point, we'll limit it to
6 counsel. They can certainly discuss it with their
7 respective clients and, you know, I think -- I think
8 they can be pretty thorough with their clients without
9 necessarily going over it line by line. So can you
10 get that out by tomorrow, Mr. Leininger?

11 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes, Your Honor.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And as soon as -- so as
13 soon as the counsel sign it, what are they going to
14 get at that point? Are they going to get the
15 agreement that Texas has sent to you?

16 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes. I believe that we
17 have a draft, which had been disseminated fairly
18 recently. So I -- I hesitate to say they will get
19 that because there are a few provisions in there that
20 the parties have been discussing and perhaps we have
21 to reach a resolution on those before it's more widely
22 disseminated, but I can certainly anticipate that
23 we're going to have confidentiality agreement out
24 tomorrow, by close of business tomorrow, and then
25 we'll be able to address some of these more critical

1 remaining issues in that draft, I think, before -- and
2 I think this would be supported by all counsel, before
3 it has been -- it is distributed to the amici counsel.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And when would that be?
5 I mean, when -- when can Ms. O'Brien or Mr. Brockmann
6 or any -- Mr. Stein, any of them expect to actually
7 see something?

8 **MR. LEININGER:** Right. I had suggested
9 next week, Your Honor. So early next week.

10 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Okay. And they would get
11 the Texas proposed agreement and what you have -- and
12 what you have negotiated to date with -- with the --
13 keep referring to them as the intra-New Mexico issues?

14 **MR. LEININGER:** Not yet, on that latter,
15 I think. Again, we're meeting with the amici on
16 Tuesday, and I think that is going to be critical for
17 some of these drafting purposes on that portion of the
18 more broader resolution of these Compact issues. So
19 there -- there is the draft that, as Mr. Somach said,
20 has been distributed with regard to all the -- the
21 Texas portion of this decree. That should be ready
22 early next week.

23 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right.

24 **MS. O'BRIEN:** Your Honor, if I may, I am
25 frankly concerned by Mr. Leininger's comments. It

1 seems that the United States is putting itself in a
2 position, perhaps with other parties, of, you know,
3 what the amici get to see and when. You know, we've
4 been hearing for weeks, if not months, that, you know,
5 a draft could not be shared primarily because there
6 are issues to be resolved, and that's continuing to be
7 what we hear just now. So we're -- I think we're
8 sophisticated enough, have a sufficient critical
9 interest in this matter, that we should see the draft
10 in its current form. The parties can, you know,
11 caveat it as to what issues are not fully resolved.
12 We understand the -- none of the issues are fully
13 resolved, but, I mean, part of my imploring you today
14 that we see a draft immediately is because we don't
15 believe all the issues can be resolved without the,
16 you know, ultimate assent of EP1. We want, again, to
17 be a proactive and positive supporter of settlement.
18 So I'm very concerned that, you know, we're going --
19 we hear what Mr. Leininger is saying, that there's --
20 we won't see it because issues are to be resolved,
21 what will we hear next week? I think we need to -- to
22 move beyond this and get released what the current
23 draft is with the appropriate caveats, understanding,
24 you know, again, it's -- it's a confidential document.
25 We understand -- and/or documents. We understand it's

1 a work in progress. We believe we need to be involved
2 in that work in progress.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, I understand
4 Mr. Leininger to say -- and let me -- let me circle
5 back to him. So on Tuesday, you would disclose and
6 make available to the amici the agreement that
7 Mr. Somach has sent to you, even if there are some
8 unresolved issues; is that right?

9 **MR. LEININGER:** That's correct. I
10 believe counsel interpret -- Ms. O'Brien may have
11 misunderstood.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And by -- after -- after
13 you meet with the amici on Tuesday, how soon would you
14 make the other agreement, the intra-New Mexico
15 agreement, available?

16 **MR. LEININGER:** That's difficult to say
17 pending resolution of some of these very important
18 intra -- intrastate issues.

19 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Why can't you do what
20 Ms. O'Brien has said, here's the agreement on what we
21 have resolved? We all know that these are the --
22 they're going to know, I assume, after Tuesday what
23 the outstanding issues are.

24 **MR. LEININGER:** Sure. Sure. And I --
25 you know, it will be in a form that may be appropriate

1 for dissemination at that point, Your Honor. I -- I
2 hesitate to say yes because New Mexico and certainly
3 the other parties will have some opinion as to
4 dissemination of that document, too. I mean, we will
5 -- as we are working on this, we have resolved general
6 principles. It's sort of filling in the underlying
7 values, if you would, that, you know, may take some
8 negotiation beyond Tuesday. So I hesitate to say
9 exactly when that would be. I -- but I certainly
10 understand how we are under a crunch right now and we
11 need to get this done and we do need to get the drafts
12 out and reviewed.

13 **MR. WECHSLER:** Your Honor, if I may --

14 **JUDGE MELLOY:** I was just going to ask.

15 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yeah. Your Honor, I
16 mean, we are -- we want to be a good negotiating
17 partner with the U.S., and I understand they have some
18 legitimate concerns. That being said, we support
19 broad dissemination of -- of the documents. I think
20 an approach along the lines of what Ms. O'Brien is
21 suggesting is something that would -- that could work,
22 and I would also -- I want to be explicit that we
23 would also like to share with the -- with the amici
24 the technical documents that have been worked on that
25 are contemplated to be appendices to the -- the decree

1 or settlement documents because we think those are
2 also very important for the amici to understand.

3 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Well, let me ask you
4 this, Mr. Wechsler, and anybody else can chime in if
5 they want. Do you think it's unrealistic to say
6 Tuesday they disclose -- by no later than Tuesday when
7 they meet with the amici, disclose the draft agreement
8 Texas has prepared, and by no later than the end of
9 next week, all the other documents be shared?

10 **MR. WECHSLER:** Yes. I think that that's
11 realistic. I think that's a good balance.

12 **JUDGE MELLOY:** All right. Well -- all
13 right. That'll be the -- that'll be the order then.
14 And if for some reason you feel, Mr. Leininger, that
15 as you go through the week next week that that's not
16 going to work or you have some serious problems with
17 it, you need to file something, and we'll take it up
18 then.

19 **MR. LEININGER:** Yes, Your Honor.

20 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Anybody else have
21 anything they want to say or anything else we need to
22 take up today?

23 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** Judge, do I understand
24 your order to be on or before so that the parties can
25 release those prior to the end of next week if --

1 **JUDGE MELLOY:** Oh, correct, definitely.
2 On or before, yeah.

3 **JUDGE BOYLAN:** Thank you.

4 **JUDGE MELLOY:** And it doesn't have to be
5 all on one document. If you have some technical
6 documents ready to go now, you can do it as soon as
7 they sign the confidentiality agreement, but yeah.
8 But, of course, it's also subject to signing the
9 confidentiality agreement. So any attorney who for
10 some reason doesn't want to sign it or hasn't signed
11 it, they won't get it, but it's subject to signing the
12 agreement.

13 All right. Well, let me just say this:
14 I'm generally available any time over the next several
15 weeks. If we have something come up, we can set a
16 hearing on short notice; otherwise, we'll plan to get
17 together on the 27th of -- of September. I -- I do
18 want to say, I just -- I may have one problem with
19 that date, but I'll double-check that, but I don't
20 think so. But let's plan on the 27th of September,
21 and that'll be in person and -- to either discuss
22 getting a settlement notice approved and finalized or
23 talk about what we're going to do at trial.

24 Anything else from anybody want to be
25 heard?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(No response.)

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Thank you, everybody. I appreciate your participation. Don't hesitate -- if issues come up, don't hesitate to let me know because, as I say, we can -- we can schedule something on short notice if we need to.

All right. Thank you, everyone.

(The proceedings adjourned at 12:24 p.m.)

A	AG 30:15	amici 13:17 14:9	46:18	59:16
A.J 6:18 12:14	aggressive 19:1	14:15,22 15:3	appearing 12:17	assist 35:25
a.m 1:16	ago 13:6 27:2	15:7,13 17:19	15:14	assistant 10:13
AAG's 20:6	36:24	17:20,22 21:23	appears 12:15	16:3 27:7
ABCWUA 29:3	agree 14:10	22:23 24:2,2,5	55:2	Association 6:17
ability 32:25	23:17,24 30:3	24:10 27:17	appendices 25:3	12:8
48:21 49:23	37:21 42:7,13	28:13,24 29:8	54:21 61:25	assume 12:10
51:9	44:17	29:8 32:14,15	appreciate 64:3	15:5 27:6,9
able 15:21 24:21	agreed 17:2	32:16,21 33:1	approach 55:15	28:6,8 31:12
47:3 51:11,22	agreement 13:11	33:20 35:4	61:20	41:10 45:15
51:23 52:6	14:1,19,21	36:6 37:4,10	appropriate	60:22
53:5,21 55:10	15:7 17:14	39:4 43:3,6,19	18:15 22:14,19	assuming 18:16
57:25	18:4,7,17 19:7	48:11 51:8	41:3 53:9 56:9	26:13
absolutely 15:9	19:10,22 20:16	52:1 54:24	59:23 60:25	attacks 50:2
45:18	21:4 22:16	56:25 58:3,15	appropriately	attempt 24:20
acceptable 30:6	23:16,17 25:5	59:3 60:6,13	50:6	attending 13:14
ACOSTA 5:17	26:13,16 33:5	61:23 62:2,7	approval 15:21	attorney 2:16
action 21:8	33:15 35:7	Anaya 3:7 8:25	16:1,9 20:3,10	3:16 8:15,23
22:18	36:1,5,13 37:1	ancillary 17:15	20:12,14,23	8:24 9:11 16:3
actions 49:20	43:11,15 45:17	and- 2:10,14,25	34:12,12 36:2	26:19,20,22
actual 15:13	48:8,19,20	3:5,10,14 4:5	approvals 18:13	27:1,7,10,14
add 32:1	52:3,5,7 53:13	4:11,15,25	approve 28:10	30:13 31:2
additional 52:15	54:25 56:6,14	and/or 44:25	approved 16:2	63:9
address 30:11	56:24 57:15,23	59:25	42:20 46:25	attorneys 20:4
31:15 44:22	58:11 60:6,14	Andrew 6:13	63:22	52:4 53:12
45:5 55:5,12	60:15,20 62:7	Andrews 2:22	approvers 13:12	65:12
57:25	63:7,9,12	8:21	approximately	August 1:14
addressed 32:12	agreements 54:9	answer 24:13	36:24	16:15,18
35:20 46:8	54:17	26:9 47:9	aptly 49:7	Austin 2:17 5:2
addressing	ahead 14:1	52:13	arena 50:7	5:18 6:14
13:17	48:15 55:24	anticipate 15:18	arrangement	authorities 34:7
adequate 52:19	ajolsen@h2ol...	20:9 26:21	48:23 53:25	authority 5:10
adjourned 64:8	6:21	35:2 42:18	arrive 21:24	9:25 10:4
Adrienne 10:12	Al 10:20	57:22	43:14	26:20 52:12,20
54:4	albeit 33:2	anticipation	arrives 41:5	53:15
advising 51:3	Albuquerque	27:3 52:22	articulate 45:13	authorization
advisor 9:13	3:8 4:23 5:10	anxious 26:3	articulated	27:5
11:3 44:1	9:25 10:3	27:21,23 45:9	43:17 56:11	available 13:12
advisors 37:13	28:23	anybody 35:3	articulation	60:6,15 63:14
51:1,7 52:7	alert 32:23	39:24 42:5	46:14	Avenue 1:16 3:7
advocate 18:16	allay 29:4	48:11 52:10	asked 24:1,11	6:14,19
advocating	allayed 31:12	62:4,20 63:24	26:8 47:6	avoid 50:10
17:19	allow 44:8	anyway 35:21	asking 23:10	aware 27:17,20
affect 28:25	allowed 24:7	42:25	36:1	28:2 43:25
afford 50:7	amended 33:20	apologize 10:24	aspect 29:19	44:11 46:4
afternoon 36:9	amendment	41:18	aspects 45:6	49:19
	14:11	appear 12:15	assent 43:16	

B				
B 2:4 4:16	9:25 10:4	5:11,12 10:2,3	51:11,21 55:8	claims 22:9
back 16:17 18:9	best 47:22 53:3	52:11,12 53:14	certainly 17:10	clarify 54:21
36:3 38:17,23	better 15:17	53:19 55:14,14	17:13 18:15	clear 22:8 42:8
52:17 56:7	34:3 40:25	58:5	26:5 29:2	43:10,23 45:19
57:4 60:5	56:25	Brockmann's	39:14 40:17	client 11:1 51:6
background	beyond 20:2	56:20	43:12,19 44:10	51:13 53:4,23
34:3	38:1 42:14	brought 22:18	51:10 57:6,22	54:11,13 55:16
backup 19:5	52:4 53:12	Building 5:17	61:2,9	clients 57:7,8
bad 41:9	55:19 59:22	Bureau 9:21	CERTIFICATE	close 16:6,6
balance 62:11	61:8	business 57:24	65:1	57:24
ball 18:8	BICKERSTA...	Butte 5:5 10:21	Certification	closer 56:16
bandwidth	5:17		65:20	closure 46:22
10:25	bilateral 25:8	C	Certified 65:3	coined 51:19
Barfield 2:4	bit 18:4 40:3	C 2:1,4 4:13	certify 65:5,9,12	Coleman 4:6
8:13	44:21	5:11 8:1	Chad 3:21 9:10	9:19
Barncastle 5:6,6	Blair 10:20	calculus 23:14	chad.wallace...	colleagues 50:1
10:23,24 11:6	board 11:4	California 2:6	3:24	Colorado 1:11
11:11 28:5	19:16 35:15	call 16:24 17:4	chairmen 51:1	2:12 3:3,20,22
49:2,5 50:24	51:1,7	18:1 24:24	Chakeres 9:5	3:23 4:3 8:4
51:4	Bobby 8:16	called 17:15	challenging 48:7	9:8,10 17:9
based 17:11	bottom 28:7	camera 35:7	chance 21:12	21:7 29:20
18:10 43:23	bound 54:13	capacity 65:10	39:5	30:9,12 31:10
basically 12:22	bounds 24:6	Capitol 2:6	change 30:22	35:14
14:22	Box 2:17 4:8 5:2	caption 65:6	53:16	Colorado's 9:13
beginning 1:16	5:12,22 6:3,8	Caroom 5:16	changed 30:25	29:15
45:20	Boylan 12:12	10:6,6	changes 16:5	come 18:20 56:7
behalf 11:23	13:13 25:10	carry 31:24	18:5 23:14	56:16,21 57:3
43:8 54:10	31:25 32:3	carve 21:6 22:6	28:19 46:7	63:15 64:4
65:11	33:17 34:1	carve-out 23:12	check 41:10	comes 11:12
believe 12:13	35:23 40:4,14	carved 22:12	chief 8:23 27:14	comfortable
13:13 15:3	40:24 43:2,18	47:8,12	52:21	31:21
16:18 18:15,23	62:23 63:3	carving 21:17,17	chime 62:4	comment 23:10
19:1 22:11	branches 16:7	30:4	Cholla 3:15 8:22	24:11 34:5
26:7 32:4,16	breaker 29:13	case 13:18 16:2	choppy 13:8	48:14 55:24
33:11,17 36:19	46:10,13,18	19:15 22:9	Chris 9:20	comments 15:15
36:23 37:2	breakers 46:1	28:24 40:2	Christopher	32:2 34:15
41:3 43:12	brief 48:14	44:13 49:18	4:16	43:9,24,24
45:14 46:9,12	briefly 39:8	50:15,17 54:18	circle 36:3 37:15	49:9 50:22
46:12,13 53:8	bringing 46:21	cases 22:15,19	60:4	58:25
57:16 59:15	broad 61:19	54:16	CIRCUIT 1:15	commission 9:5
60:1,10	broader 45:25	cause 65:13	City 4:18 5:10	Commissioner
beneficiaries	55:13 56:8	caveat 59:11	5:15,20 10:5,6	8:16 9:3
44:14	58:18	caveats 59:23	10:8,10 38:6	commitment
benefit 39:23	Broadway 3:23	CEDAR 1:16	48:16	41:17
Bernalillo 5:10	BROCKMAN	central 11:3	City's 48:21	communication
	5:22	certain 37:24	ckhoury@nm...	16:6 20:8 53:3
	Brockmann	42:8 49:20,20	3:18	Compact 9:3,14

17:16 21:19 22:10,10,11,20 23:17 24:25 29:22 31:9,15 31:18,19,22 44:12 47:9 58:18 Compacts 31:9 31:11,24 complete 14:24 22:21 65:7 completed 39:13 41:4 completing 14:6 completion 34:7 complex 32:17 comprise 65:7 concept 15:11 20:13 concepts 15:10 25:6 37:24 43:25 45:25 concern 29:8,16 30:9 31:6 37:5 concerned 14:12 18:5 21:3 58:25 59:18 concerns 14:3 26:3 28:22,25 29:5,20 30:20 30:24 31:12 55:6,13 61:18 concurrency 18:13 conference 12:19 13:16,19 40:6 41:16 confidence 20:23 32:8 confident 24:21 29:7 31:17 47:23,24 confidential 19:22,24 20:1 25:24 59:24 confidentiality 14:19,21 15:1	20:12,19 33:4 33:18 36:5,13 36:25 37:7,11 38:3,19 45:16 45:22 48:19 49:12 52:3,5 53:13 54:9,14 54:17,25 56:5 56:14,24 57:23 63:7,9 Congress 6:14 connection 31:8 Conservation 6:11 11:21,24 consider 36:2 39:11 40:11 consistent 20:20 31:18 32:11 constant 20:7 consult 38:24 consultation 30:14 consulted 27:1 consulting 26:22 contact 16:6 23:25 contemplated 25:2 61:25 contents 52:6 context 29:6 continue 13:4 29:25 30:1 42:13 continued 13:8 29:20 36:24 continues 45:2 continuing 13:9 13:21 19:14 21:21 34:17 36:9 59:6 contractual 44:15 convene 34:5 convenient 41:13 conversation 32:22 53:7	conversations 51:6 53:1 cooperation 47:14 copies 52:23 copy 16:25 51:24 Corrales 6:3,4 correct 28:11,12 40:14 52:9 60:9 63:1 65:7 correctly 13:24 52:8 Cotten 9:14 counsel 9:6 10:18 14:23 15:3 37:4,10 37:25 38:2,24 46:14 49:13 52:20 54:10,14 55:4 56:5,19 57:3,6,13 58:2 58:3 60:10 65:14 counsel's 52:18 County 4:20 5:10 6:11 9:25 10:4,14,17 11:20,23 43:8 couple 13:6 29:12 36:11 57:4 course 13:9 48:18 63:8 court 1:6 7:1,2 19:19 33:9 34:5 35:25 37:2 41:10 42:21 65:23 courthouse 33:25 Craig 9:14 creating 31:20 critical 46:19 57:25 58:16 59:8 Crop 6:17 12:8	crops 11:14 cross-examine 40:1 50:16 CRR 65:19 Cruces 5:7,10 5:20 10:8,10 10:12 54:1,3 crunch 61:10 CSR 65:19 curious 11:7 current 29:8,16 43:21 59:10,22 currently 31:14	<hr/> D <hr/> D 8:1 daily 21:15 51:5 Dalrymple 8:22 Dalrymple 2:21 data 20:18 date 18:7,16,23 18:25 19:1,17 22:25 25:22 39:12,16,17 41:5 46:12,18 55:3 58:12 63:19 65:20 Davidson 6:2,2 12:1,2,13 day 24:1 40:7,22 40:23 65:17 day-long 53:6 days 23:3 24:13 36:11 DC 4:8,13 dcaroom@bic... 5:19 De 2:22 3:12 deadline 13:10 15:19 25:16,16 25:18,19 40:8 41:3 deadlines 25:16 40:9 deal 29:13 40:9 41:4 46:1,10 46:13,18	dealing 57:1 decades 47:17 December 18:10 19:2,3,18 39:23 42:24 decision 20:6 51:3 52:8 53:22 decree 14:25 16:25 17:16,17 18:14 21:18,21 22:1,21 24:16 29:21 36:20,21 58:21 61:25 dedicated 44:13 deep 33:7 define 55:16 definitely 63:1 DELGADO 5:17 Delila 10:11 54:4 Denver 2:12 3:3 3:23 4:3 13:6 41:12,25 Department 3:22 4:2,7,12 4:17 9:18,20 depend 35:11 53:7 depends 52:14 deputy 8:23 9:12 27:14 describe 29:22 describing 31:18 description 29:25 descriptions 25:4 30:8 deserving 33:12 desire 14:25 details 28:20 determination 17:12 55:4 difference 37:17 37:19 different 44:5
--	--	---	--	---	--

51:17 55:7	dissemination	doing 34:9 49:23	EBID's 51:12	event 38:9 41:21
difficult 60:16	34:22 55:13,19	56:23	editing 14:12	eventual 21:17
direct 32:25	56:6,8 61:1,4	door 51:16	edits 18:6	eventually 53:20
33:9 34:24	61:19	Dorfman 2:16	effectuate 38:16	everybody 17:14
directing 34:9	distributed	double-check	either 33:6	35:12 41:13
directly 32:14	14:15 15:17	63:19	42:19 48:11	64:3
director 9:4	58:3,20	Doug 10:6	63:21	evidence 35:18
10:11,13 38:6	distribution	Douglas 5:16	El 4:20 5:15	39:25 50:13
52:21	14:14 15:2	downtown 34:2	10:5,7,14,17	evidentiary
directors 11:4	29:17 30:4	Dr 10:20 11:3	38:6,8 43:8	18:14,17 20:15
51:7	38:2,7,14	draft 13:11 14:6	elements 22:18	exactly 41:5
disagree 22:4	district 4:20 5:5	14:9,25 15:14	Elephant 5:5	51:14 61:9
disagreed 42:9	6:12 10:15,18	16:24 17:2,17	10:21	example 22:15
disbursal 37:10	10:19,19,22	29:21 38:2,13	emphasize 43:16	49:16 52:19
disclose 24:7	11:2,21,24	44:5 52:23,25	employ 65:12	exception 56:3,4
60:5 62:6,7	28:8 43:8,19	54:22 56:2	employee 65:10	exchanged
disclosure 20:21	54:19	57:17 58:1,19	encourage 50:9	20:22
33:15 37:4,8	districts 34:25	59:5,9,14,23	50:18	executive 52:21
56:9	43:20 44:10,14	62:7	engineer 9:3,6	exhausted 17:5
discuss 18:2	50:15	drafting 12:24	9:13,13 10:20	existing 14:20
38:4 50:25	diverse 12:14	58:17	26:24 30:15	36:13
51:9,23 52:6	Diversified 6:17	drafts 24:15	54:19	expand 56:9,18
57:6 63:21	12:8	37:9 43:21,22	ensuring 54:15	expanded 38:1
discussed 13:18	Division 16:4	49:14,19,21,21	enter 26:20	38:20,22
14:20 37:16	dmiller@kem...	49:24 52:15	entire 24:3	expansion 56:22
discussing 37:17	6:15	54:20 61:11	entirely 51:17	expect 9:2 10:11
38:14 57:20	document 14:18	Draper 3:11,11	entities 55:7	13:10 15:15
discussion 14:6	15:16,20 20:10	3:11 9:1,1,2	entitled 55:1	16:7 39:24
16:21,23 25:14	20:11,23 34:6	Drawer 3:17	entity 55:4,10	58:6
32:1	37:3 38:13,13	Drew 6:13 11:23	55:11	expert 54:2
discussions 14:2	51:15 52:3	drop-dead 22:25	Environment	Expiration
21:15,21 25:8	53:4 55:2,10	drowning 11:14	16:4	65:20
25:25 29:4,5	55:17 59:24	due 10:25	envisioning	explain 35:8
33:2,8 43:21	61:4 63:5	DUNN 2:5,11	35:24	explicit 61:22
44:2,9 45:7	documents	duties 32:10	EP 49:8	expressed 26:3
dismissal 47:1	17:23 24:9,12		EP1 43:10,16,25	29:3 30:20
dismissed 48:8	24:16 25:19,23	E	44:8 54:18	31:6 51:14
dispute 21:6	27:23,25 30:10	E 2:1,1,1,1 3:16	59:16	55:6
31:23	32:21 33:1,4	4:6 8:1,1	equally 48:16	Expressway
disputes 21:9	33:13 37:5,6,6	earlier 41:21	equivocate 46:3	5:17
32:9 57:2	37:16 48:17,24	47:6 52:13	especially 50:11	extended 49:13
disseminate	49:14 50:25	54:7	essence 33:12	extent 46:11
51:13 53:21	51:5,11,13	early 19:18 31:5	Esslinger 11:4	50:24
56:2	53:11 54:1	39:17 58:9,22	Estrada-Lopez	
disseminated	56:2 59:25	EBID 10:24	9:22	F
52:4 53:12	61:19,24 62:1	49:8 51:17,22	evacuate 11:15	F 5:21
54:13 57:17,22	62:9 63:6	55:8	evaluated 23:22	fact 20:3 30:17

30:19 31:23 32:8 42:3 facts 65:5 fair 31:10 fairly 26:12 33:15 34:22 35:2 57:17 Faler 9:22 far 21:2 22:20 24:7 49:11 Farmers 6:17 12:8 farmers' 11:13 11:14 fashion 33:10 Fe 2:23 3:12,17 5:13,23 6:9 13:15 federal 22:15 34:7 feedback 17:6 feel 13:25 15:16 20:21 29:13 38:15 40:16 48:3 62:14 felt 45:13 Ferguson 9:23 fields 11:13 file 34:10 62:17 filed 48:7 fill 23:11 24:23 filling 61:6 final 13:11 14:8 15:20 16:24 17:2,14 19:19 20:10,12,23 21:5,18,20,25 26:13 30:21,25 34:12 49:25 53:3 56:17 finality 34:14 finalize 14:1 finalized 30:19 44:25 63:22 find 53:9 fine 40:17 42:15 55:9 56:22	finish 16:21 42:22 finished 16:20 Firm 5:6 6:2 65:23 first 8:5 12:25 17:1 32:4 42:25 43:9 49:7 fit 44:7 45:8 46:4 Floor 3:23 focused 50:10,19 50:19 folks 18:2 38:5 55:16 following 28:17 28:18 foregoing 65:6 form 14:8,12,13 14:22 15:16 17:18 21:5,9 33:14 36:5 59:10 60:25 forth 52:17 forward 19:7 24:20 28:10 30:7 48:20 four 12:18 Fourth 4:22 frame 34:13 Francis 2:5 8:13 frankly 58:25 free 51:9 fulfill 16:20 fulfilled 16:19 full 25:9 26:20 43:19,20 47:4 full-day 24:4 fully 43:10 44:11 45:9 46:22 59:11,12 fundamental 18:19,20 further 39:3 50:21 65:9 future 48:22	53:11 <hr/> G <hr/> G 5:16 8:1 gap 24:23 gaps 23:11 Gary 11:4 Garza 7:2 65:3 65:19 general 8:23 9:5 16:3,3 26:19 26:20,22 27:1 27:14 30:13 31:2 43:25 49:22 52:20 54:19 61:5 general's 2:16 3:16 8:15,24 9:11 18:9 27:10 generally 63:14 generals 27:8 getting 63:22 give 18:11 21:12 23:3 24:5 39:4 given 35:5 65:16 go 14:1 22:13 30:7 33:22 38:17,23 42:25 48:15 55:24 62:15 63:6 goes 20:13 going 13:14 16:7 16:15 19:11 24:24 25:6 27:11 28:8,10 28:10 29:8 32:18 35:13,15 35:18 38:10,11 42:7,19,21 48:19 51:10 54:1 55:3 56:11,15 57:9 57:13,14,23 58:16 59:18 60:22 61:14 62:16 63:23	Goldsberry 2:5 8:14 good 8:20 9:9,17 10:2,9,16,23 11:22 12:1,5 20:17 61:16 62:11 gotten 17:6 governor 26:23 30:14 governor's 26:24 Grande 6:17 8:16 9:3 12:7 47:6 49:15 Grant 2:16 granted 12:20 great 40:9 groups 53:23 growers 6:1,17 11:25 12:2,14 guess 21:1 26:10 28:6 34:20 39:24 <hr/> H <hr/> half 56:23 Hamman 9:2 hand 51:23 65:16 handful 55:20 handing 51:16 hang 42:12 hanging 19:9 42:10 50:4 happen 28:10 happening 26:21 happens 40:19 happy 26:8 35:12 42:23 hard 24:2 26:1 39:17 53:5 hardship 53:25 HARRIS 4:22 Hartman 3:22 9:11	hat 50:4 hate 19:14 39:9 hear 14:7 22:5 22:22 33:8 59:7,19,21 heard 18:11 19:5 25:13 35:4 43:6 48:11 49:3,3 63:25 hearing 1:15 18:14 20:13,15 25:21,22 30:18 34:6,21 35:5,8 35:9,10 59:4 63:16 65:8,11 hearings 18:18 HEATH 5:17 Heather 7:2 65:3,19 heather_garza... 7:4 heavy 25:8 help 11:18 helpful 13:7 helping 40:10 HENNIGHA... 6:19 hereto 65:6 hesitate 57:18 61:2,8 64:4,4 Hicks 5:1,1 10:18 highly 35:16 56:1 history 51:17 Hoffman 2:4 8:14 holes 17:8 holistic 23:13 home 51:13 Honor 8:11,20 9:10,18 10:3,7 10:9,17,24 11:11,22 12:1 12:5,13 13:3 13:21 15:23
---	---	--	---	---

16:9,13 20:2 20:16 21:14 22:8 23:9 27:13 28:13 29:15 36:10 37:5,21 38:12 39:7 40:18 41:15 42:2 43:7,24 45:12 45:19 46:2 48:13 49:1,6 50:21 51:5 52:11 53:24 54:5 55:21,25 57:11 58:9,24 61:1,13,15 62:19	immediately 33:11,23 59:14 impact 28:14 45:5 implement 54:21 implementation 22:13 46:16 48:20,22 implemented 51:21 implore 44:7 imploing 59:13 import 44:9 importance 46:20 important 19:5 28:20 32:5,12 32:17 35:25 39:19 41:1 44:22 46:5,17 49:11,13 50:17 51:23 60:17 62:2 impose 38:10 imposed 37:1 impossibility 17:24 impossible 22:6 23:20,21 Improvement 4:20 10:15,18 43:8 in-camera 34:11 34:20 in-person 13:6 13:15 24:4 25:9 40:6,9,12 41:16 include 25:3 28:18 32:25 included 24:4 37:14 45:6,7 including 24:16 25:9 inclusion 43:18 44:8	inconvenience 41:19 incorporated 21:25 incredibly 49:13 51:23 indefinitely 19:14 indicate 52:13 indicated 16:14 18:25 21:2 25:7 29:11 individual 55:4 55:4,6 inflammatory 49:14 information 20:22 24:6 informed 24:3 30:15 32:22 initially 53:20 56:4 integrated 21:20 21:20 22:21 45:9 integration 43:20 intend 14:23 intention 19:12 32:23 33:9 interest 19:13 59:9 interested 27:17 48:17 65:13 interests 31:7 interface 46:6 interference 13:20 Interior 4:12,17 9:20 internal 30:5 interplay 54:23 interpret 60:10 interpretation 31:22 interstate 9:4 interwoven	49:10 50:14 intimately 27:10 37:13 44:2 intra 14:3 60:18 intra-New 21:8 58:13 60:14 intrastate 22:10 32:9 44:19 47:8,11,21,25 60:18 involved 27:11 37:14 44:2 60:1 IOWA 1:16 irrigation 5:5 10:21 11:2 issue 23:12 29:3 29:6,24,25 44:13 49:10 54:8 57:4 issues 10:25 17:9 17:15,16 18:2 21:19 22:10,12 22:20 23:1,2 23:10 24:18 25:1,11 26:9 29:12 30:4,6 32:4,17 44:23 45:1 46:8,15 47:4,5,6,8,9,11 47:11,16,25 49:9 51:10 58:1,13,18 59:6,11,12,15 59:20 60:8,18 60:23 64:4 it'd 35:2 it'll 41:24,25	Jeffrey 2:21 Jennifer 4:7 9:19,22 jennifer.najja... 4:10 Jesus 10:19 jfstein@newm... 5:24 Jim 10:3 52:11 55:14 John 3:11 6:7 9:1 12:6 john.draper@... 3:13 john@uttonk... 6:10 joined 10:11 joining 9:12,18 Judge 1:15 8:2 8:18 9:7,15,24 10:5,8,14,21 11:5,19,25 12:3,7,10,16 13:13,22 15:5 15:18,24 16:11 19:21,25 20:25 21:11 22:2,22 23:7 25:10 26:10 27:6,16 28:4,21 29:10 31:1,5,25,25 32:3,3 33:14 33:17,24 34:1 34:16,19 35:23 36:3,12,17,20 37:12 38:4,15 39:1,21 40:4 40:12,14,15,19 40:23,24,25 41:6,20 42:17 43:1,2,5,18 45:15,23 46:24 48:3,6,10,15 49:2 50:23 51:25 53:10,16 55:23 56:10 57:12 58:4,10
HONORABLE 1:15 hope 17:13 25:10 33:6 39:15 46:20 47:12 hopeful 18:21 21:24 39:13 47:18 Hopefully 30:1 hoping 11:16 hour 53:6 Houston 7:3 65:24 Hubenak 2:15 8:15 Hudspeth 6:11 11:20,23 hundred 22:4 hydrologist 54:2	I	in 9:22 idea 20:17 40:25 identified 33:21 34:8 41:2 II 2:5 immediate 43:18 44:8 53:11	J	James 5:11 January 19:4 Jay 5:21 10:10 48:13 53:24 jbrockmann... 5:14 Jeff 8:21

58:23 60:3,12 60:19 61:14 62:3,12,20,23 62:23 63:1,3,4 64:2 Judith 4:6 judith.colema... 4:9 Judy 9:19 Justice 4:2,7 9:19 jwechsler@m... 2:24	44:3,5,6,9,21 45:8,25 46:3,9 46:20 47:7 50:1 51:2,18 54:8,10,10,23 55:7,18 57:7 59:2,3,4,10,16 59:18,24 60:21 60:22,25 61:7 64:5 knows 27:11 30:16 Kopp 3:1 9:1	Leininger 4:2 9:16,17 12:25 13:2,3 14:5 15:8,23,25 20:1,2 21:1,12 21:14 22:3,7 23:6,20,25 24:11 25:7 33:5,18 34:8 36:4,7,16,19 36:23 37:20 38:12,17 52:9 54:7 55:21,22 55:25 56:11 57:10,11,16 58:8,14 59:19 60:4,9,16,24 62:14,19 Leininger's 25:22 52:2 58:25 let's 41:23 57:3 63:20 levels 11:9,18 leverage 40:9 liked 34:15 limit 55:12 57:3 57:5 limited 15:3 31:23 37:8,10 53:22 56:6,19 Lincoln 3:2 line 28:7 57:9,9 lines 61:20 Lisa 3:1 8:25 list 36:8,10 55:12 listen 12:19 listening 12:21 lists 54:25 litigation 31:7 47:2 48:7 little 11:7 18:4 18:22 40:3 live 51:21 LLC 3:11 5:6 6:2	LLP 5:17 6:13 location 11:16 41:13 long 31:1 look 28:16 37:15 38:23 39:1 53:1 looking 23:23 37:17 42:3 52:14 Looks 33:24 lot 18:5 20:18 35:17 37:19 40:5 47:16 49:25 loud 34:23 35:9 35:21 lower 47:5 49:15 lthompson@t... 3:4	27:3 31:2 37:18,21,25 44:4 45:24 50:4,5 59:9 matters 32:12 MAX 5:1 MCREA 6:19 mean 23:1,4,25 25:14 26:12 28:6 58:5 59:13 61:4,16 meaningful 24:10 means 18:6 35:7 40:1 50:15 mechanics 42:21 media 12:18,20 mediation 29:6 50:9,19 mediator 12:12 16:16 32:10,24 45:19 meet 13:4 16:18 32:14 60:13 62:7 meeting 13:5,6,7 13:15 21:22,23 25:9 36:8 41:16 58:15 meetings 24:4 24:19 25:1 MELLOY 1:15 8:2,18 9:7,15 9:24 10:5,8,14 10:21 11:5,19 11:25 12:3,7 12:10,16 13:22 15:5,18,24 16:11 19:21,25 20:25 21:11 22:2,22 23:7 26:10 27:6,16 28:4,21 29:10 31:1,5,25 33:14,24 34:19 36:3,12,17,20 37:12 38:4,15
K	L			
Kansas 12:11 keep 19:13,24 24:2 58:13 keeping 19:22 31:19 keeps 17:7 19:6 19:7 KEMP 6:13 kept 20:11 KERY 6:8 key 48:23 Khoury 3:15 8:22 27:14 kick 18:8 kicking 34:17 kill 51:20 kin 65:13 kind 16:15 23:2 40:21 55:15 kinds 54:16 Klahn 2:11 8:13 know 14:24 15:9 15:14 16:14 17:23 18:2 19:8,15 23:5 25:13 26:24 28:7,23,23 30:23 31:5 35:1,6,10,10 35:13,17,19 37:18,21 38:6 39:13,18 41:7	L 2:3 7:2 65:3 65:19 Lake 4:18 language 15:13 29:21 30:22 37:22 38:23 45:24 50:5 Las 5:7,10,20 10:8,10,12 53:25 54:3 late 15:11 law 3:22 5:1,6 6:2 34:2 Lawrence 2:12 lawsuit 22:17 lawsuits 22:16 lawyer 49:23 lawyers 49:22 lead 13:1 leaders 26:23 leaking 49:19,24 leaving 21:8 led 27:15 32:13 Lee 4:2 54:2 lee.leininger@... 4:4 left 50:22 legal 29:23,25 30:8 legally 43:11,15 45:10 legitimate 61:18	let's 41:23 57:3 63:20 levels 11:9,18 leverage 40:9 liked 34:15 limit 55:12 57:3 57:5 limited 15:3 31:23 37:8,10 53:22 56:6,19 Lincoln 3:2 line 28:7 57:9,9 lines 61:20 Lisa 3:1 8:25 list 36:8,10 55:12 listen 12:19 listening 12:21 lists 54:25 litigation 31:7 47:2 48:7 little 11:7 18:4 18:22 40:3 live 51:21 LLC 3:11 5:6 6:2	M	

39:1,21 40:12 40:15,19 41:6 41:20 42:17 43:1,5 45:15 45:23 46:24 48:3,6,10,15 49:2 50:23 51:25 53:10,16 55:23 56:10 57:12 58:4,10 58:23 60:3,12 60:19 61:14 62:3,12,20 63:1,4 64:2 members 12:18 35:1 mention 8:5 12:17 mentioned 11:6 18:13 33:5 met 17:1 34:15 Mexico 1:11 2:20,23 3:8,12 3:16,17 4:23 5:7,13,23 6:1,4 6:6,9,17,20 8:4 8:19,24 11:25 12:2,3 13:16 13:17,20 14:3 21:6,8,16,23 21:23 23:12,16 23:21 26:15,18 26:19,19 27:4 29:18 30:5,6 32:9,14,15 35:14 36:8 39:24 41:2 47:8,21 48:1,7 50:3,16 51:8,8 52:17 53:8 58:13 60:14 61:2 mgoldsberry... 2:9 mic 12:15 Michael 1:15 3:1 8:25	Michelle 9:22 Mike 9:2,13 Miller 6:13 11:22,23 mindful 26:2 Minneapolis 34:2 minute 39:4 misleading 42:5 misstep 50:7 misunderstood 42:15 60:11 mkopp@trout... 3:4 mobrien@mo... 4:24 modify 45:5 MODRALL 4:22 moment 13:23 Monday 41:23 monkey 35:15 monsoons 11:8 Montgomery 2:22 8:21 month 17:21 24:4 48:4 56:12 months 24:9 27:4 34:18 59:4 MoPac 5:17 morning 8:20 9:9,17 10:2,9 10:16,23 11:22 12:1,6,19,23 motion 25:18 move 19:19 59:22 moved 41:18 moving 19:7 40:10 46:19 multiple 49:17 municipal 48:21 mute 8:8 muted 8:6	<hr/> N <hr/> N 2:1 8:1 N.W 4:22 Najjar 4:7 9:19 name 38:8 named 35:4 Nat 9:5 nature 25:4 54:22 necessarily 57:9 necessary 25:17 38:25 45:13,21 57:4 need 14:14 19:12,25 23:1 26:25 27:1 38:15 39:16 42:6 47:20 48:23 49:23 50:24 51:9 54:1,18 59:21 60:1 61:11,11 62:17,21 64:6 needs 14:19 33:19 39:16 50:11 55:10 negotiated 58:12 negotiating 18:10 27:15 56:14,15,24 61:16 negotiation 19:11 61:8 negotiations 23:24 25:25 27:11 36:9,24 37:14 38:20 42:14 57:2 new 1:11 2:20 2:23 3:8,12,16 3:17 4:23 5:7 5:13,23 6:1,4,6 6:9,17,20 8:3 8:19,24 11:25 12:2,3 13:16 13:16,20 14:3	21:6,16,22,23 23:12,16,21 26:15,17,19,19 27:4 29:18 30:5,6 31:20 32:9,14,15 35:13 36:8 39:24 41:2 47:8,21,25 48:7 50:3,15 51:8,8 52:17 53:8 61:2 news 12:18,20 nice 51:10 NMSU 12:6 nobody's 19:13 nonparties 56:1 56:3 normally 54:8 north 6:19 11:17 note 17:20 notice 33:16 34:22,24 35:5 63:16,22 64:6 notify 34:25 notion 18:5 novel 31:20 November 18:16 18:25 65:17 number 25:2,3 28:2 47:11,17 53:22 NW 3:7 4:13	35:12,20 obligation 30:8 obligations 29:23 31:15 44:16 50:14 observe 47:13 obtain 25:17 26:5 obviously 54:11 occasion 32:13 occasions 28:2 occurred 49:18 office 2:16,17 3:16,17 4:8 5:1 5:2,12,22 6:3,8 8:13,15,22,24 9:6,11,20 18:9 27:10 53:1 65:16 officer 52:22 offices 34:2 Ogaz 3:16 8:23 Oh 63:1 Okay 8:18 12:16 31:5 36:17 48:10 58:10 Olsen 6:18,19 12:14,17 ones 32:6 operating 22:16 48:8 operation 46:6 operations 44:23 45:5 operative 28:1 opinion 61:3 opportunity 24:10 25:12 47:15 opposed 34:17 40:13 opposing 28:3 option 42:12 order 32:11 33:6 36:22,25 37:3 38:16,18,19,21 38:22 47:20
			<hr/> O <hr/> O 2:1 8:1 O'Brien 4:21 10:16,17 43:7 43:7 45:18 46:2 49:7 54:5 58:5,24 60:10 60:20 61:20 object 27:18,21 52:1,10 objection 41:11 41:25 45:16 objections 33:8	

62:13,24	28:5 32:22,25	phone 27:8	practical 37:18	problematic
orders 36:25	33:10,16,19	phrased 37:25	37:20	21:18
organization	34:10 35:24	physical 29:18	practices 51:12	problems 62:16
55:20	37:1 39:4,6	29:24 49:17	precedents 31:8	procedure 25:15
original 1:3 8:3	43:2,14,18	picture 28:15	prepared 36:14	25:20
21:7 56:21	44:10 45:20	pieces 44:6,7	62:8	proceed 26:6
outline 44:4	47:17 48:11	45:4,8 46:3,4	present 20:18	33:10
outlines 15:6	50:12 52:5	46:17	28:15 39:25	proceeded 54:15
outstanding	53:9,21 54:20	places 55:7	50:13	proceedings
24:18 25:2,11	56:7 57:20	plan 41:24 63:16	presentation	64:8 65:8
47:5 60:23	59:2,10 61:3	63:20	12:21 41:4	process 12:24
overall 23:15	62:24 65:10,14	planning 52:21	presenting	24:3 26:6 27:2
32:13,18 45:2	parties' 46:14	play 46:16	42:23 50:5	27:15 29:14
overdeliveries	partner 61:17	please 8:8	presidents 51:2	34:17 51:22
46:7	parts 23:15	point 11:14 14:3	press 53:21	produced 20:4,5
	46:19	15:22 16:21	pressed 54:7	productive 13:7
P	party 27:18	17:4 18:22	Preston 3:22	progress 13:5,8
P 2:1,1 8:1	28:23,24 31:11	25:22 27:17	9:11	13:21 30:15
P.A 4:22 5:12,22	35:4 36:18	30:16 35:22	preston.hartm...	60:1,2
6:8	54:24	38:16 39:14	3:25	project 13:19,20
P.C 3:7	Paseo 2:22 3:12	46:1 48:12	pretty 23:2	44:12,14,15,23
p.m 64:8	Paso 4:20 5:15	50:10 51:4	41:12 56:10	45:2,5 46:6
pages 65:6	10:5,7,14,17	52:18,23 53:2	57:8	proponent 24:8
papers 34:10	38:6,8 43:8	53:17 56:12,16	previous 38:18	proposal 14:17
parade 39:10	path 24:20	56:18,21 57:5	primarily 59:5	29:17 52:2
parameters	Patrick 11:3	57:14 61:1	principally 54:4	proposed 25:20
29:22 31:15	pecan 6:1,17	points 15:7 49:6	principles 20:20	29:21 36:1
53:20 55:8	11:25 12:2	51:11	61:6	58:11
parcel 33:2	pending 22:16	portion 14:16	prior 17:12	proposing 27:18
part 13:17 14:1	22:17 34:11	24:25 44:18,19	20:23 26:21	prove 18:14
16:15 23:13	47:2 60:17	44:24,25 45:4	34:6 40:7	20:15
32:12 33:1	penned 31:19	47:21 58:17,21	62:25	prove-up 20:13
35:11 52:12,13	people 8:6 33:3	position 15:4	Priscilla 2:15	provide 17:2,18
53:22 59:13	35:8 37:15	28:7 29:16	8:15	17:22 48:21
participant	38:9 40:10,15	59:2	priscilla.hube...	provided 16:23
46:21	49:20 51:2,3,6	positive 23:12	2:18	16:25 17:8
participating	51:19 55:1,3	46:21 59:17	proactive 46:20	48:17
27:9	55:11,20	possible 15:1	59:17	provides 25:12
participation	Peralta 2:22	26:4 39:17	probably 12:25	providing 14:22
52:18 64:3	3:12	47:13,19 48:18	31:10 34:22,23	17:20
particular 50:5	percent 22:4	48:24	34:24 35:18	provisions 23:17
54:17	56:17	Post 2:17 3:17	40:20 41:7,24	23:18 24:17
parties 13:4 14:7	person 41:8,25	4:8 5:2,12,22	41:25 52:19	27:22 28:1
14:10,21 15:12	63:21	6:3,8	55:19	33:5,18 54:22
15:20 16:23	personally 49:16	potential 28:19	problem 12:15	57:19
17:1,3 23:11	perspective	38:21	34:19 35:3	public 15:21
24:1 25:17	51:24	potentially 16:5	41:22 63:18	16:10 26:1

37:6 49:22 50:6 published 34:24 purpose 21:16 21:22 42:6 purposes 13:10 13:17 14:13,22 16:1 22:8 36:15 37:3 38:14 44:20 56:8 58:17 pursuant 32:10 pursuing 32:10 put 8:7 putting 14:8 31:16 59:1	32:18 49:15 57:21 reached 18:17 46:25 reaches 17:14 read 52:23 53:4 reading 11:7 ready 15:20 33:22 42:25 45:21 58:21 63:6 real 13:25 47:15 realistic 24:12 48:4 62:11 realistically 23:5 really 18:7,23 19:4 22:13 23:1 39:15 49:11 reason 13:25 19:23 44:17 62:14 63:10 reasons 51:14 Reclamation 6:11 9:21 11:21,24 recommendati... 20:5 record 39:19 reduce 23:4 reference 32:9 32:20 referenced 54:20 referred 44:19 referring 58:13 reform 22:14 regard 14:16 22:9 37:22 44:16 45:21 58:20 regarding 29:21 46:15 54:19 regardless 43:21 45:3 Registration 65:23	regular 51:12 65:10,12 regularly 13:5 21:22 regulation 28:16 regulations 28:19 reiterate 42:3 rejection 16:8 relate 45:1 related 10:25 25:18 44:23 49:20 relationship 44:12 relatively 26:6 release 62:25 released 59:22 relevant 38:9 55:11 remain 20:1 25:24 56:3 remaining 58:1 remember 38:7 REMOTE 1:14 Renea 5:1,1 10:18 Reporter 7:1 65:4 Reporters 7:2 65:23 represent 54:12 representatives 55:17 request 16:16,22 52:23 56:19,22 requested 16:17 20:17 requests 12:18 49:12 required 20:24 35:18 reserving 30:22 reservoir 11:13 11:17,18 28:17 28:22 29:1,9 reservoirs 11:10	resolution 19:20 21:9,19 31:23 47:4 57:21 58:18 60:17 resolvable 32:6 32:7 resolve 19:15,18 21:7 23:1 24:19,20 30:8 38:1 47:5,12 47:16,18,20,23 47:24 resolved 19:13 22:5 23:2 32:18 47:20 59:6,11,13,15 59:20 60:21 61:5 resolving 25:11 47:22 respect 48:19,21 50:1 respective 13:12 57:7 respects 33:20 respond 21:13 55:22 responded 54:7 response 12:9 14:15 43:4 64:1 responsible 54:14 restrictions 52:10 result 47:1 48:2 review 13:12 16:1 34:7,11 34:20 38:18 43:21 reviewed 61:12 revisit 57:4 Reyes 10:19 rhicks@renea... 5:3 rhoffman@so... 2:8	Rich 4:16 9:20 Richardson 6:19 right 8:2 9:24 11:19 12:22 14:17 20:25 29:10 30:22 36:22 37:20 39:3 41:6 43:1 43:5 47:15 48:10 50:6,23 53:17 58:8,23 60:8 61:10 62:12,13 63:13 64:2,7 rights 44:16 Rio 6:17 8:16 9:3 12:7 47:6 49:15 road 6:3 18:8 34:18 Robert 2:4 8:14 Robles 3:7 8:25 ROEHL 4:22 role 32:24 Rolf 9:3 rolling 19:6 Roswell 6:20 RPR 65:19
Q				
question 23:19 24:14 26:11 37:23 questions 26:7,9 30:11 45:13 52:16 53:5 quick 49:6 quickly 26:4,6 26:12 30:7 36:4				
R				S
R 2:1,1 4:2 5:6 8:1 Rael 3:6,7 8:24 8:25 rain 11:9,12 rains 11:17 39:10 raise 30:24 raised 17:9 28:22,25 29:12 32:5 Raley 3:2 9:1 Randel 4:12 9:21 RAPIDS 1:16 reach 18:4 24:21 30:12,17,21				s 2:1 5:17 6:13 8:1 55:21 Sacramento 2:6 safeguards 51:22 Salt 4:18 Samantha 5:6 10:24 samantha@h... 5:8 Santa 2:23 3:12 3:17 5:13,23 6:9 13:15 Sarah 2:11 8:13 saw 9:8,16 saying 13:24 17:8 22:5,23

23:20,21 50:12 55:3 59:19 schedule 19:17 34:11 39:22 40:6 41:12 64:5 scheduled 39:17 scheduling 13:13,14 36:22 39:11 40:5 Schmidt-Peter... 9:4 scratch 24:15 SE 1:16 seal 34:10 65:16 second 49:10 see 12:11 19:6 19:23 24:20 26:1 27:22 33:3 41:4 44:25 48:24 49:19 56:15 58:7 59:3,9,14 59:20 seeing 33:12 45:23 seeking 56:4 seen 44:4,5 46:18 send 33:4,15 sense 40:6 47:15 sent 57:15 60:7 separate 47:25 separated 44:20 45:1 separating 47:17 September 13:10 15:19 17:13,21 19:8 19:9,10 24:22 26:14 30:3,17 32:7 39:12 40:7,8 41:1 42:4 48:2,4 56:17 63:17,20 series 25:16	serious 62:16 set 18:23,24 24:19 25:15 31:8 50:11 63:15 setting 18:16 50:10 settlement 12:24 13:11 19:16 20:16,20 21:17 21:24 23:13,15 23:23 24:9,15 24:22 25:18,21 25:23,24 26:18 26:21 27:19,22 28:1,17 29:7 29:23 30:10,12 30:16,17,21,23 31:16,18 32:13 32:19,21 33:2 33:7 34:6 36:9 36:15 37:6,24 38:19 42:20 43:11,13,15,20 44:1,20,22,24 45:11 46:23,25 47:21 48:2,17 50:9,19,25 52:7,16 56:2 59:17 62:1 63:22 settling 22:8,9 SEVENTH 1:15 SGA 20:6 shape 15:17 share 14:9 27:23 27:24 32:25 51:11 54:18 55:9,10 61:23 shared 14:18 55:1 59:5 62:9 sharing 15:6 24:9 32:20 Shelly 2:21 4:12 8:22 9:21 shelly.randel... 4:14	short 33:16 38:21 63:16 64:6 shortening 34:13,16 Shorthand 65:4 shot 47:22 show 12:16 54:1 showing 51:15 side 31:17 sign 14:19 26:15 27:2 30:13 31:3 45:21 48:18 52:3 57:13 63:7,10 signatories 56:5 signature 14:23 26:12 signatures 15:1 25:17 26:5 signed 25:24 26:18 33:19 37:11 53:12 63:10 significant 15:6 25:6 44:9,22 45:4 signing 45:16 63:8,11 signs 54:10,25 SIMMONS 2:5 2:11 simple 50:12,18 simply 41:17 49:9,21 50:7 50:16 SISK 4:22 sit 52:25 sitting 33:25 34:1 skeptical 18:22 39:14 sklahn@soma... 2:13 Skov 8:16 slightly 25:20 small 55:19	SMITH 6:13 so-called 44:18 44:24 45:4 47:20 Solicitor 16:2 18:8 Solicitor's 9:20 Somach 2:3,5,11 8:10,11,12 13:22 16:11,13 19:23 21:1,2 21:10 22:4,23 26:3 29:11 32:5 39:7,8,22 40:17 42:2,18 42:22 58:19 60:7 somebody 50:4 51:24 soon 11:18 14:25 48:18,24 57:12,13 60:13 63:6 sooner 43:12 sophisticated 59:8 sort 12:25 31:16 61:6 sought 20:14 sound 43:11,15 45:11 sounds 40:24 South 4:17 5:7 Southern 6:17 12:7 southwest 11:8 speak 12:25 13:23 28:5 31:8 39:11 speaker 8:8 speaking 8:7 40:5 special 1:15 12:11 44:11 specific 27:22,25 35:20 55:16 specifically	28:14 36:14 47:7 48:6 55:18 spend 56:13,23 spent 56:25 SPERLING 4:22 spill 31:9 spirit 47:14 split 49:10 ssomach@so... 2:7 stage 15:11 stand 26:8 45:14 46:12 standing 29:19 stands 49:8 start 8:5,9 11:18 23:11 42:23 started 27:2 42:23 starting 24:14 state 1:9,11,11 2:2,16,20 3:20 4:17 6:6 8:3,3 8:4,9,12,17,18 9:2,6,7,10,12 12:4,11 19:16 26:15,17,23,24 30:6,14 31:11 43:22 47:25 50:13 51:8 53:8 65:4 state's 30:14 stated 49:8 65:5 states 1:6,15 4:1 8:4 9:16 13:16 17:7,7 21:7 29:18 30:5 35:14 48:1 50:1,14 51:9 59:1 States' 49:12 stating 49:7 status 12:19 13:18 40:6 41:16 65:8,11
---	--	---	--	---

stay 50:9,18	summarizing 52:8	61:24 63:5	23:19 24:12,13	52:14 53:7
Stein 5:12,21,22 10:9,10 48:13 48:14,16 53:24 53:25 58:6	superiors 20:8	technically 43:11,15 45:10	25:5,12 27:21	title 22:17,17
steps 26:14	supply 44:13,15 44:17 48:22	telegraphs 17:23	28:16 29:12	today 9:12,18 10:25 11:1 27:8 59:13 62:22
stood 45:21	support 25:15 41:16 45:10 46:22 54:21 55:15 61:18	telephone 32:21	30:18 31:10	tomorrow 57:10 57:24,24
stream 9:5	supported 58:2	telling 28:9	32:6 34:4,8,12 34:19 35:16,17 35:17,23 36:4 37:2 39:16,18 39:21 40:2,8 40:22,25 41:8 41:9,13 43:16 46:1 47:5,10 47:10,19 49:3 52:12,18 55:2 55:5,6,9,12,16 56:4,13,18,25 57:5,7,7 58:1,2 58:15,16 59:7 59:21 61:19 62:1,5,10,11 63:20	top 36:7,10
Street 2:12 3:2 4:3,13,17,22 7:3	supporter 59:17	ten 23:3 24:13	62:1,5,10,11 63:20	totality 30:9
streets 49:15	supports 43:10	term 51:19	third 50:8	transcript 1:14 65:7
strict 37:7	Supreme 1:6 41:10 42:20	terms 21:17,25	Thompson 3:1 8:25	treasurer 11:2
strictly 40:2	sure 8:7 23:16 37:19 38:12 60:24,24	Tessa 6:2 12:2	thorough 57:8	trial 18:23,24 19:1,2,12,17 39:12,16 42:21 49:18 50:10,11 63:23
strong 24:8,15 47:14	surprises 15:10	testimony 35:24 42:24	thought 42:22 54:6	tried 24:2 27:24
structural 29:24	system 38:7	Texas 1:9 2:2,16 2:17 5:2,18 6:14 7:3 8:3,9 8:12,14,17 14:1,16 17:11 19:10,16 21:3 21:6 29:18 30:3,5 35:13 39:25 40:18 42:5 44:18,24 45:4 50:13,15 57:15 58:11,21 62:8 65:4	threats 49:17 51:18,20	trouble 18:12
structured 43:14 54:9	<hr/> T <hr/>	Texas' 26:2 40:2	thinking 34:23 35:9,21 40:20 41:7,20,22	Trout 3:2 9:1
Stuart 2:3 8:12 39:7	T 2:1 6:2	thank 11:19 13:3 29:10 32:3 48:25 49:6,7 55:25 63:3 64:2,7	third 50:8	true 32:8 65:6,7
subject 14:11,11 16:1,4,8 20:3 20:10,19 22:11 37:2,7 45:23 49:16 50:2 63:8,11	table 19:11 53:18	Theresa 2:4 8:13	Thompson 3:1 8:25	try 29:4 53:5
submit 25:18	take 25:22 27:3 37:3 39:1 45:12 49:20 51:13 61:7 62:17,22	they'd 40:1	thorough 57:8	trying 12:14 24:5 28:15 31:14 52:15
submitted 15:20	taken 13:1 65:11	thing 19:3,6 24:24 34:4 35:6 50:24	thought 42:22 54:6	ttd@tessadavi... 6:5
substance 57:1	talk 17:10 18:2 33:21 38:6,9 42:19,21 63:23	things 11:7 14:20 40:10 42:19 45:14 46:11,21	threats 49:17 51:18,20	Tuesday 58:16 60:5,13,22 61:8 62:6,6
substantive 15:15	talking 15:9 22:12 23:14 24:17 28:13 31:2 36:13 47:7	Theresa 2:4 8:13	three 12:17 22:25 49:6	two 17:2,22 19:2 19:2 24:4 27:7 29:17 30:5 39:22 42:19,24 56:13
sufficient 14:13 17:18,18 59:8	talk 17:10 18:2 33:21 38:6,9 42:19,21 63:23	they'd 40:1	throw 35:15	twofold 34:20
suggest 18:12 40:4 54:24	talking 15:9 22:12 23:14 24:17 28:13 31:2 36:13 47:7	thing 19:3,6 24:24 34:4 35:6 50:24	Thursday 41:18	TX 65:24
suggested 58:8	take 25:22 27:3 37:3 39:1 45:12 49:20 51:13 61:7 62:17,22	things 11:7 14:20 40:10 42:19 45:14 46:11,21	time 13:23 16:14 16:19 17:15 18:22 23:3 33:11 34:13,15 39:14 42:6 49:8 50:6,19 51:11 53:9 56:9,25 63:14 65:8	type 45:16
suggesting 61:21	taken 13:1 65:11	think 8:6 12:24 14:7,10,17 15:11 16:9,24 17:14,17,23 18:13,21 20:11 20:17 21:5,18 22:14 23:4,5	timing 11:15 25:14 42:10	<hr/> U <hr/>
suggestion 41:8 56:21	talk 17:10 18:2 33:21 38:6,9 42:19,21 63:23	things 11:7 14:20 40:10 42:19 45:14 46:11,21		U.S 4:2,7,12,17 30:20,21 32:15 41:2 44:18 45:2 61:17
Suite 2:6,12 3:2 3:7 4:3,17 5:7 5:17 6:14 7:3 65:24	tbarfield@so... 2:8	think 8:6 12:24 14:7,10,17 15:11 16:9,24 17:14,17,23 18:13,21 20:11 20:17 21:5,18 22:14 23:4,5		ultimate 59:16 ultimately 20:6
Sullivan 9:13 11:3	teams 27:15			
summarize 53:6	technical 20:18 25:1,1,3 35:10 35:19,24 37:13 38:5,8 44:1 46:15 51:1,7 52:7 54:22			

26:18,25 29:7 unconstrained 49:24 underlying 61:6 understand 14:2 14:14 20:16 21:5 22:2 29:3 35:6 38:18 43:13 44:21 45:3 46:8,11 52:2,15,17 56:20 59:12,25 59:25 60:3 61:10,17 62:2 62:23 understanding 15:2 33:3,22 35:25 53:13 54:6 59:23 understood 13:24 14:9 15:12 37:12 42:9 49:22 unequivocally 46:10 Unfortunately 11:12 union 31:12 United 1:6,15 4:1 8:4 9:15 13:16 17:7,7 21:7 35:14 48:1 49:12 50:1,13 51:9 59:1 universe 54:25 56:18 University 6:6 12:4 unrealistic 62:5 unreasonable 56:20 unresolved 60:8 unusual 56:1 update 12:23 urge 18:23,24 19:4,17 39:11	use 13:20 28:16 28:25 40:1 users 29:9 35:14 Utah 4:18 utilities 10:12 54:3 Utility 5:10 9:25 10:4 Utton 6:7,8 12:5 12:6 <hr/> V v 1:10 3:22 vacation 16:18 values 61:7 variety 54:16 various 12:18 versus 8:3 51:15 video 10:25 view 16:12 19:21 21:11 23:8 32:11,17 32:24 violence 49:17 violent 51:18 vision 34:21 <hr/> W W 6:7 wait 16:17 17:12 waiting 17:22 34:14 walk 19:11 51:16 Wallace 3:21 9:8 9:9,10 26:11 29:11,15 31:4 31:6,13 32:5 37:23 walls 31:17 Walsh 10:11 54:4 want 15:10 24:23 28:4,7 32:1 39:5,8,9 42:3,4,5,8,14 43:3,6,10,23	46:2 48:11,14 50:23 52:25 55:8 56:13,23 59:16 61:16,22 62:5,21 63:10 63:18,24 wanted 35:4 52:13 wanting 49:3 wars 51:19 Washington 4:8 4:13 water 4:20 5:10 9:25 10:4,14 10:17 11:9,14 28:16,16,25 29:9,17 34:25 35:14 38:5,7 43:8 44:13,15 44:16 48:22,25 51:18 52:12,19 53:14 54:3 waters 13:9 way 23:22 29:19 34:9,13 46:22 48:1 ways 34:16 we'll 8:9 15:3 17:9,12 18:1 18:10 21:23 41:24 42:15,18 42:19 52:16 57:3,5,25 62:17 63:16 we're 11:16 13:20 15:8,8 17:4,25,25,25 18:21,22 19:8 19:9 22:24 23:14,25 24:5 24:14,17,18,21 25:8,8 26:2 29:6,13 31:13 31:14,17,17,20 32:18 36:8 41:15 42:3,7,7 42:10,10,19,21	42:23,24,25 47:17,22,22 52:14,15 57:23 58:15 59:7,7 59:18 63:23 we've 13:4,5,7 16:5 17:5 23:22 24:6 28:13,14 29:4 45:6,19,20,20 52:24 54:15 59:3 weather 11:1,6 Wechsler 2:21 8:20,21 17:1 21:12 23:7,9 26:17 27:13,20 28:12 29:2 41:15 46:24 47:3 48:5,9 61:13,15 62:4 62:10 week 13:15 14:24 15:4 16:25 17:3,22 22:24 23:5 25:9 40:20,24 41:17,21 56:23 58:9,9,22 59:21 62:9,15 62:15,25 weeks 13:6 17:22 19:2 22:25 27:2,4 31:2 39:22 42:24 56:13 57:5 59:4 63:15 weigh 39:5 43:3 48:25 weren't 35:3 Weslayan 7:3 65:24 wide 34:22 widely 57:21 widespread 35:2 Widmer 10:12	54:4 willing 17:10 Wilson 54:2 wish 33:7 witnesses 50:17 word 47:14 words 44:4 45:24 work 25:6 30:1 30:2 45:2 46:9 49:24 52:16 53:8,19 54:18 60:1,2 61:21 62:16 worked 25:5 61:24 working 14:23 20:5 24:18 31:14 61:5 works 35:16 Worldwide 7:2 65:23 worry 49:19 worrying 50:4 wrench 35:15 writing 23:4 written 27:25 37:22 wrong 39:15,15 52:9 <hr/> X <hr/> Y <hr/> yeah 23:9 61:15 63:2,7 year 30:19 36:24 50:11 years 20:5 28:18 yesterday 32:22 <hr/> Z <hr/> Zach 8:23 Zachary 3:16 zogaz@nmag.... 3:19 Zoom 40:13
--	--	--	---	---

41:7	18:21 19:8,9	6:15	87504 5:13,23
<hr/>	19:10,10,22	514-3553 4:9	6:9
0	24:22 30:17	524-5677 4:18	88005 5:7
04-30-24 65:20	32:7 39:12	52401 1:16	88202 6:20
<hr/>	40:7,8,21 41:1	570-4591 3:13	8th 16:16
1	42:4,11,13,14	575 5:8 6:20	<hr/>
1 4:20 10:15,18	48:2,4 56:17	<hr/>	9
11:21,24 43:9	24 1:14	6	916 2:7
1's 49:9	242-2228 3:8	604 6:19	95814 2:6
100-page 52:25	24th 17:21	6201 4:17	98 56:17
1000 2:6	26th 41:23	624-2463 6:20	983-3880 5:13
10th 16:18,22	2701 2:12	636-2377 5:8	5:23
11:02 1:16	279-7868 2:13	699-1445 6:9	986-2637 2:23
1100 5:7	27th 41:22,24	<hr/>	999 4:3
111 1:15	42:6,15,18	7	
1120 3:2	63:17,20	700 3:7	
113 2:12	28th 41:22	720 2:13 3:24	
12:24 64:8	<hr/>	745-1101 7:4	
125 4:17	3	75- 52:25	
12548 2:17	30- 52:24	7611 4:8	
1260 6:14	300 5:17	77027 7:3 65:24	
1300 3:23	3000 7:3 65:24	78701 6:14	
141 1:3 8:3	303 3:3 4:4	78703 5:2	
1508 3:17	303187 5:2	78711 2:17	
1600 3:2	320-5466 6:15	78746 5:18	
1849 4:13	325 2:22 3:12	792-3636 6:4	
18th 4:3	329-4672 3:18	7th 3:23 65:17	
1938 31:19	370 4:3	<hr/>	
<hr/>	3711 5:17	8	
2	38 31:22	800 7:4	
<hr/>	<hr/>	800-745-1101	
20 5:7	4	65:25	
20044 4:8	408 20:22	801 4:18	
202 4:9,14	4206 6:3	80202 4:3	
2022 1:14 65:17	446-7979 2:7	80203 3:3,23	
2023 18:10	463-2012 2:18	80205 2:12	
34:14	472-8021 5:18	816 6:14	
20240 4:13	480-8231 5:3	8262 65:20	
2067 5:12,22	<hr/>	84138 4:18	
208-5432 4:14	5	844-1375 4:4	
223 65:23	50- 52:25	848-1800 4:23	
2240 6:3	500 2:6 3:7 4:22	861-1963 3:3	
23 13:10 15:19	505 2:23 3:8,13	87048 6:4	
26:14	3:18 4:23 5:13	87102 3:8	
235 7:3 65:24	5:23 6:4,9	87103 4:23	
2386 6:8	508-6281 3:24	87501 2:23 3:12	
23rd 17:13,21	512 2:18 5:3,18	3:17	
17:24 18:6,6			