NO. 141 Original	
------------------	--

In The

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STATE OF TEXAS

v.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF COLORADO

TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 22, 2021, REMOTE HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL MASTER, UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE, 111 SEVENTH AVENUE, SE, CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA 52401, beginning at 11:02 a.m.

1 2	REMOTE APPEARANCES
3	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:
4	Mr. Stuart L. Somach Ms. Theresa C. Barfield
5	Mr. Robert B. Hoffman Mr. Francis Goldsberry II
6	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
7	Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 446-7979
8	ssomach@somachlaw.com tbarfield@somachlaw.com
9	rhoffman@somachlaw.com mgoldsberry@somachlaw.com
10	-and-
11	Ms. Sarah A. Klahn
10	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
12	2701 Lawrence Street, Suite 113
	Denver, Colorado 80205
13	(720) 279-7868
	sklahn@somachlaw.com
14	
	-and-
15	3.13.
	Ms. Priscilla M. Hubenak
16	
10	STATE OF TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
	Post Office Box 12548
17	Austin, Texas 78711
	(512) 463-2012
18	priscilla.hubenak@oag.texas.gov
19	
	FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:
20	
	Mr. Jeffrey Wechsler
21	MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS
	325 Paseo De Peralta
2.2	
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
	(505) 986-2637
23	jwechsler@montand.com
24	-and-
25	

```
1
         Ms. Lisa M. Thompson
         Mr. Michael A. Kopp
 2
         TROUT RALEY
         1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600
 3
         Denver, Colorado 80203
         (303) 861-1963
 4
         lthompson@troutlaw.com
         mkopp@troutlaw.com
5
         -and-
 6
         Ms. Susan Barela
 7
         ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C.
         500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700
 8
         Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
         (505) 242-2228
9
         susan@roblesrael.com
10
         -and-
11
         Mr. John Draper
         DRAPER & DRAPER, LLC
12
         325 Paseo De Peralta
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
13
         (505) 570-4591
         john.draper@draperllc.com
14
         -and-
15
         Ms. Cholla Khoury
16
         Mr. Zachary E. Ogaz
         NEW MEXICO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
17
         Post Office Drawer 1508
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
18
         (505) 329-4672
         ckhoury@nmag.gov
19
         zogaz@nmag.gov
20
     FOR THE STATE OF COLORADO:
21
         Mr. Chad Wallace
22
         Mr. Preston V. Hartman
         COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
23
         1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
         Denver, Colorado 80203
24
         (720) 508-6281
         chad.wallace@coaq.gov
25
         preston.hartman@coag.gov
```

```
1
     FOR THE UNITED STATES:
 2
         Mr. James J. Dubois
         Mr. R. Lee Leininger
 3
         U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
         999 18th Street, Suite 370
 4
         Denver, Colorado 80202
         (303) 844-1375
 5
         james.dubois@usdoj.gov
         lee.leininger@usdoj.gov
 6
         -and-
 7
         Ms. Judith E. Coleman
 8
         Ms. Jennifer A. Najjar
         U.S. Department of Justice
 9
         Post Office Box 7611
         Washington, DC 20044
10
         (202) 514-3553
         judith.coleman@usdoj.gov
11
         jennifer.najjar@usdoj.gov
12
         -and-
13
         Ms. Shelly Randel
         U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
14
         1849 C Street NW
         Washington, DC 20240
15
         (202) 208-5432
         shelly.randel@sol.doi.gov
16
         -and-
17
         Mr. Christopher B. Rich
18
         U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
         125 South State Street, Suite 6201
19
         Salt Lake City, Utah 84138
         (801) 524-5677
20
21
     FOR THE EL PASO COUNTY WATER AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
     NO. 1:
22
         Ms. Maria O'Brien
23
         MODRALL SPERLING ROEHL HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
         500 Fourth Street N.W.
24
         Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
         (505) 848-1800
25
         mobrien@modrall.com
```

```
1
         -and-
 2
         Mr. Renea Hicks
         LAW OFFICE OF MAX RENEA HICKS
 3
         Post Office Box 303187
         Austin, Texas 78703
 4
         (512) 480-8231
         rhicks@renea-hicks.com
 5
 6
     FOR THE ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT:
 7
         Ms. Samantha R. Barncastle
         BARNCASTLE LAW FIRM, LLC
 8
         1100 South Main, Suite 20
         Las Cruces, New Mexico 88005
 9
         (575) 636-2377
         samantha@h2o-legal.com
10
11
     FOR THE ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY
     AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES:
12
         Mr. James C. Brockmann
13
         STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A.
         Post Office Box 2067
14
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
         (505) 983-3880
15
         jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com
16
     FOR THE CITY OF EL PASO:
17
         Mr. Douglas G. Caroom
18
         BICKERSTAFF HEATH DELGADO ACOSTA, LLP
         3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300
19
         Austin, Texas 78746
         (512) 472-8021
20
         dcaroom@bickerstaff.com
21
     FOR THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES:
22
         Mr. Jay F. Stein
23
         STEIN & BROCKMAN, P.A.
         Post Office Box 2067
24
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
         (505) 983-3880
25
         jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com
```

```
1
     FOR THE NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS:
 2
         Ms. Tessa T. Davidson
         DAVIDSON LAW FIRM, LLC
 3
         4206 Corrales Road
         Post Office Box 2240
 4
         Corrales, New Mexico 87048
         (505) 792-3636
 5
         ttd@tessadavidson.com
 6
     FOR THE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY:
 7
         Mr. John W. Utton
 8
         UTTON & KERY, P.A.
         Post Office Box 2386
 9
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
         (505) 699-1445
10
         john@uttonkery.com
11
     FOR HUDSPETH COUNTY CONSERVATION AND RECLAMATION
12
     DISTRICT:
13
         Mr. Andrew S. "Drew" Miller
         KEMP SMITH, LLP
14
         816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1260
         Austin, Texas 78701
15
         (512) 320-5466
         dmiller@kempsmith.com
16
17
     FOR THE SOUTHERN RIO GRANDE DIVERSIFIED CROP FARMERS
     ASSOCIATION AND THE NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS:
18
         Mr. A.J. Olsen
19
         HENNIGHAUSEN OLSEN & MCREA
         604 North Richardson Avenue
20
         Roswell, New Mexico 88202
         (575) 624-2463
21
         ajolsen@h2olawyers.com
22
23
24
25
```

1	COURT REPORTER:
2	Ms. Heather L. Garza
	WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS
3	3000 Weslayan Street, Suite 235
	Houston, Texas 77027
4	(800) 745-1101
	heather_garza@ymail.com
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 JUDGE MELLOY: This is Judge Melloy. 2 Should we get started, everyone? Let me start as we 3 This is the matter of United States usually do. 4 Supreme Court Original No. 141, Texas versus New 5 Mexico and Colorado with United States as intervenor. 6 Let me start by asking for the appearances. 7 Mr. Somach, are you here? 8 Yes, Your Honor. This is MR. SOMACH: 9 Stuart Somach, counsel of record for the State of 10 With me from my office is Theresa Barfield, 11 Sarah Klahn, Francis Goldsberry, Robert Hoffman. 12 the Texas Attorney General's Office, Priscilla 13 Hubenak, and the -- the engineer advisor to the Texas 14 Rio Grande Commissioner, Suzy Valentine. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Mr. Wechsler? 16 MR. WECHSLER: Good morning, Your Honor. 17 Jeff Wechsler from Montgomery & Andrews for the State 18 of New Mexico. We also have Cholla Khoury, Zachary 19 Ogaz from the Office of the Attorney General; Susan 20 Barela from Robles Rael & Anaya; Lisa Thompson and 21 Michael Kopp from Trout Raley; John Draper and Corinne 22 Atton from Draper & Draper; the state engineer and 23 Compact commissioner, John D'Antonio; the ISC 24 director, Rolf Schmidt-Petersen; Greg Ridgley, the 25 general counsel for the OSE; Arianne Singer, general

1 counsel for Interstate Stream Commission; Shelly 2 Dalrymple, and April Ferguson. 3 JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Wechsler, I don't 4 know if it's my setup here or your microphone, but I'm 5 having trouble hearing you very well. The volume is 6 pretty low. I don't know if anybody else is having 7 the same problem, but if you could speak up or maybe 8 get a little closer to the microphone, I appreciate 9 it. 10 Mr. -- Mr. Wallace? 11 MR. WALLACE: Yes, good morning, Your 12 This is Chad Wallace for the State of Honor. 13 Colorado. Also with us this morning from the Colorado 14 Attorney General's Office are Preston Hartman, Scott 15 Steinbrecher, and Danny Rheiner. 16 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. And 17 Mr. Dubois? 18 MR. DUBOIS: Good morning, Your Honor, 19 James Dubois for the United States. Also on from the 20 Department of Justice are Lee Leininger, Judith 21 Coleman, and Jennifer Najjar; from the Solicitor's 22 Office, Chris Rich and Shelly Randel; and Ian Ferguson 23 from Reclamation. 24 JUDGE MELLOY: Albuquerque Bernalillo 25 County Water Authority?

1	MR. BROCKMANN: Good morning, Your
2	Honor. This is Jim Brockmann on behalf of the
3	Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority.
4	JUDGE MELLOY: City of El Paso?
5	MR. CAROOM: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	Doug Caroom for the City of El Paso.
7	JUDGE MELLOY: City of Las Cruces?
8	MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	This is Jay Stein for the City of Las Cruces, and also
10	joining is Delilah Walsh, the director of Las Cruces
11	utilities, and Adrienne Widmer, the deputy director.
12	JUDGE MELLOY: El Paso County Water
13	Improvement District No. 1?
14	MS. O'BRIEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
15	Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water Improvement
16	District No. 1. Renea Hicks is also on, as well as
17	Dr. Al Blair, the district engineer.
18	JUDGE MELLOY: Elephant Butte Irrigation
19	District?
20	MS. BARNCASTLE: Good morning, Your
21	Honor. Samantha Barncastle for the Elephant Butte
22	Irrigation District, and with me today is Dr. Phil
23	King, our consultant.
24	JUDGE MELLOY: Hudspeth County
25	Conservation and Reclamation District No. 1?

1	MR. MILLER: Yes, good morning, Your
2	Honor. This is Drew Miller on behalf of the Hudspeth
3	District.
4	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. New Mexico Pecan
5	Growers?
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	Tessa Davidson on behalf of New Mexico Pecan Growers.
8	JUDGE MELLOY: New Mexico State
9	University?
10	MR. UTTON: Good morning, Your Honor.
11	This is John Utton on behalf of the University.
12	JUDGE MELLOY: Southern Rio Grande
13	Diversified Crop Farmers Association? Mr. Olsen, are
14	you on? You're muted, if you are. I see your name,
15	but I don't see well, I'll assume you're there.
16	All right. Did I miss anyone?
17	(No response.)
18	JUDGE MELLOY: Before we get started, I
19	wanted to ask, Heather, are you doing realtime?
20	THE REPORTER: I'm not currently, no.
21	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. That's why it
22	isn't working. Okay. That's fine. I was just going
23	to if you were, I was going to just see how it
24	works so we'll not worry about that then.
25	All right. Let's talk about some of the

mechanics of the trial that's scheduled to start a week from Monday. I know -- I know some of you have been in contact with Worldwide Reporting so some of what I'm about to say, I think you've already talked about, but I want to just kind of go over what we have talked about with Worldwide, and we have a couple of the Worldwide people, Pete and Brandon, are on so if I misstate anything or we need to ask them any questions, we can get some clarification. talked initially about the fact that we would have two sort of classes of participants. That would be people who would be active participants who would have the ability to be seen and heard, and then we'd also have observers, those for whom Worldwide would not allow the cameras to either be operable or the mics to be operable. I understand, however, that since we've switched to this virtual format, that that is not going to work, and the reason being that the parties would like to have the ability to have breakout rooms, I presume one for Texas, one for United States, and so on, and if we want to have the ability to have breakout rooms, everybody has to be a participant, and so -- so everyone will have the ability to speak and be heard, although it certainly isn't my intention that everybody be heard or -- or speak, and what --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what will happen is when you log on in the morning for the trial, everybody's camera and microphone will be I mean, the microphone will be muted. camera would be off. And only those people who were going to be participating in the trial will then turn their camera and microphone on, and what I am envisioning doing is at the beginning of the proceedings each day, I don't anticipate doing a roll call like we just did. I'm just going to ask for the names of the people for each of the parties who will be participating in that day's proceedings. You know, just like a regular trial, if we are in court, if we have three people at counsel table, normally we have one person designated to do the cross-examination, if you're not the person examining, and also to make objections, and it would be my intention that it would be the same with this proceeding. That is, we won't be tag teaming on objections and -- and so each morning, I will be asking who from the State of Texas, who from the State of New Mexico, so on, will be participating for that day's proceedings, who will be doing the objecting, and who will be doing the cross-examination, and only their cameras will be on. Everybody else will be off. My camera will be on, the witness camera on, and obviously the attorney doing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the examination will be on. It's also my anticipation that everybody will be muted with the exception of the — of the witness and the examining attorney. If you have to make an objection, you're going to have to hit the spacebar or use some other device if you have it on your microphone, but in talking with Heather and the people at Worldwide, I think we all agree that the less background noise, the better, in terms of sound quality. So any question or problems with any of that? Okay. So basically, there ought to be six cameras on, mine, the witness', and the four attorneys' representing the four parties.

The other thing is the Chat function.

It's in the order, and if you're going to use the Chat function -- well, I think we'll actually have two options on the Chat function. One, it will be either you chat with everybody, which may be a substantive issue dealing with the testimony, or you will be able to chat with the host, which will be the Worldwide folks, and that -- that would be related strictly just to technical issues. So if you're having some technical issue and want to have a chat with them, that's fine, but anything else will be the whole group and so there will be no private chatting between attorneys. Obviously, you can use other -- you know,

other e-mail or -- or other programs if you want to chat amongst yourselves, but through this function, that will be the only use of the Chat function.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do envision setting up breakout rooms, and we'll probably have some preassigned, and then, also, if we need them, as the trial goes on, we can add some more or create some special breakout rooms for a specific session. I should add that everything I'm talking about here today is subject to modification. As we go through this, it may turn out that we -- we may have other -- we may have to make other modifications, but this is where we're going to start. As I've indicated in the order, I'm going to leave it up to the attorneys to designate who among their group will have the right to observe the trial. We're going to limit that to other members of their firm, including the paralegals and technical people. Expert witnesses and representatives of client representatives. What I'm going to ask that you do today is after we finish this session, each of you will be receiving an e-mail from Worldwide with a dedicated e-mail address just for this trial, and we're -- I'm asking that you send as soon as possible, preferably today, this afternoon, a list of who you want to be a participant on the proceeding with that

person's e-mail address. That will go to the e-mail -- that will go to the Worldwide e-mail that you'll be receiving this afternoon. Once -- once Worldwide receives that information, they're going to send an invitation to each of those people at the e-mail address you designate, and they'll be asked to register and the registration will be pretty brief. It's going to say basically name, e-mail address, what your role is in the -- in the proceeding, expert witness for Texas, water commissioner for New Mexico, whatever attorney and the party that you're representing or affiliated with, and then finally, 13 they may ask you some technical questions about how you're going to be viewing, because as I understand it, and I'm not going to get in the weeds of the 16 technology, depending on whether you're using an Ethernet connection versus a wireless connection, it may make some difference so they may be asking some technical questions on how you're going to connect. But once they receive that registration back, you will then receive an invitation for the -- for the 22 proceeding. They anticipate that they'll be sending 23 out an invitation every Thursday for the following week, and that the invitation will be good for the whole week, so it will be the same log-in information

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

every day for that week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the witnesses, our concern -we're going to ask that when we start the testimony, that we identify everyone who's in the room with the We're going to ask if the witness has any witness. materials that they're going to be using during the testimony and what those materials are, and we're going to indicate that the only window they can have open is the Zoom window, which will show, of course, the attorney doing the examination. I notice in one of the letters I received in the last couple days, they wanted to make a request that the witness will not have access to the realtime and that the witness would have no access to any messaging apps or text capability. Is there any objection to that as far as the witnesses are concerned? Anybody have a problem with any of that?

(No response.)

JUDGE MELLOY: I think that pretty well covers the issues dealing with how the proceedings are going to work once we get into the trial. I would like to do a -- I guess for want of a better term, a dress rehearsal next week, where we would actually do all this the same as if we were doing the trial, and I would propose --- would a week from today work for

1	that? Is that is that available? Does that date
2	work for everybody?
3	MR. SOMACH: Works for Texas, Your
4	Honor.
5	JUDGE MELLOY: Pardon me?
6	MR. SOMACH: It works for Texas, Your
7	Honor.
8	JUDGE MELLOY: If anybody doesn't work
9	for, speak or that'll be okay. 29th. We'll do it
10	the same time, 11:00 a.m. Central.
11	All right. Okay. Any questions about
12	those logistics? Anything I haven't covered that you
13	have a question about? Anything that Heather has that
14	she thinks we should know about or the people from
15	Worldwide? Anyone?
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE MELLOY: All right. If not, then
18	let's get into some of the more substantive issues
19	that have been raised.
20	THE REPORTER: Judge Melloy, this is
21	Heather.
22	JUDGE MELLOY: Yes.
23	THE REPORTER: I just wanted to pause
24	real quick and say if you'd like me to turn the
25	realtime on, if you want to pause for a quick second,

1	I can do that. I just need my hands free to do it.
2	Otherwise, we can test later if you'd like. It's up
3	to you.
4	JUDGE MELLOY: We can do we'll leave
5	that for next week. We'll do that as part of the
6	dress rehearsal.
7	THE REPORTER: Okay. Sounds good.
8	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Anything else
9	anybody has about any of that?
10	(No response.)
11	JUDGE MELLOY: Let me ask where we are
12	with the witness lists and exhibit list. Now, the
13	witness lists are going to be updated tomorrow; is
14	that correct? Anybody is that everyone's
15	understanding or anybody not understand that that way?
16	Can you hold on just a second? I'm having a technical
17	issue I want to check with somebody on.
18	VIRTUAL MONITOR: Got a couple of people
19	saying they can't un-mute, so let me deal with that,
20	too. That should get it.
21	MR. DUBOIS: Yeah. Looks like Jeff and
22	I are both back on.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. Is my sound better
24	now?
25	VIRTUAL MONITOR: Yes.

MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, I do have just a quick word about the mechanics, if I may.

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: I just want to alert you and Zoom, as well, to how New Mexico intends to be conducting the trial so that you are aware, and then I do have one question. So the way New Mexico is intending to conduct the trial is primarily from my office, so we'll be -- the attorney will primarily be in a conference room so it'll be labeled New Mexico attorney or something similar, and likewise, our witnesses will primarily be in a single conference room by themselves in our office, as well, listed as New Mexico witness. We can, of course, change that with each changing witness, but wanted to give you a head's up that that's how we intend to proceed. for my question, it's how the witnesses themselves will be invited, and part of this comes from confusion that I should have caught in the proposed order that we did. That language eventually got into the order regarding the whole trial protocols, and that is in Section 4B of that order, it says that, "Attorneys should not attempt to share a connection with a witness." But then in Section 4.E.1, it says, "It is the responsibility of the attorney offering the

witness to ensure that the witness has the link to the proceedings," which seemed inconsistent with me, so I just thought I would check with you and Worldwide as to how exactly those witness invitations are going to occur.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, we can -- we can have Worldwide send an invite to the witness.

VIRTUAL MONITOR: Yes. I would suggest the witness register, as well, just like everyone else.

JUDGE MELLOY: But I also assumed, and maybe I shouldn't have assumed this, that the witness would not be in a room all by himself or herself, that usually there's going to be an attorney in the room with them. What's your -- what's your understanding, Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. We actually had a discussion about that as we were developing the protocols. I think, my understanding is primarily, there will not be an attorney in the room with the witness. I think some witnesses will be actually appearing from different cities as the attorney who's presenting them, and so certainly from our perspective at our office, that witness will be in a room by him or herself, and the attorney will be in a separate

room.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, that's fine. I just assumed that in all likelihood, the witness would be at the attorney office in the conference room with at least one other attorney, but that -- that's fine certainly if they're not. And, also, I should add that the invite from Worldwide will be for one person -- for one connection only, so you -- once you make a connection with your invite, no other person can do it. So if you're going to have other staff people, paralegals, technical people who want to make a connection, they're going to have to each have their own invite, and as I understand it, if you switch computers, you're going to have to let Worldwide know that so they can send you a second invite during the day.

Any other questions about that?

(No response.)

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then getting back to the witness list, the witness list should be disclosed tomorrow. What about exhibits? Colorado submitted an updated exhibit list in which they identified all the A exhibits, the B and C exhibits, and as to the B and C the basis for the objection. Are we going to get something similar from the other

parties or where are we on that issue? I guess I'll start with Mr. Somach.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SOMACH: Ms. Barfield can --

JUDGE MELLOY: Ms. Barfield?

MS. BARFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. So in terms of the A, B, C objections, with the exception of authenticity, which the parties have engaged in prior to today, we've encompassed those objections within the -- the lists that are going to be circulated five days before a witness testifies. So the parties have agreed, and I think Your Honor approved this maybe at the last status conference. So five days before, we'll exchange or circulate the list of exhibits proposed to be used for a specific witness, and then there's a process for objections that goes into play, and so the parties will have an opportunity to make those A, B, C objections within a couple of days. We'll meet and confer with the goal to resolve any remaining objections before the witness gets on the stand and only bring to the Court any disputes that are unresolved at that time.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, so you do not anticipate that y'all have any A exhibits at the start of trial like Colorado has?

MS. BARFIELD: That's correct.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And the other party -- go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, I would add, just as a reminder, I think this issue was raised during the July 15th order. New Mexico had requested that we continue doing the A, B, and C objections in advance so that you could have that information ahead At that point, you said you wanted to wait of trial. until you reviewed the motions in limine, and we never revisited that issue. As to the -- the Category A exhibits, I mean, I -- I think that all joint exhibits are exhibits that are being proposed by all of the They certainly fit into that Category A. parties. to Colorado exhibits, I think at this point, given the stipulation, I just don't know that any of the parties have given their non-authenticity objections to any exhibits, other than joint exhibits.

JUDGE MELLOY: I'm sorry. Can you say that again? What are you -- what are you saying about the Colorado exhibits?

MR. WECHSLER: Well, I'm saying that at -- at this point, none of the parties have evaluated exhibits for any objections, other than authenticity, and that includes Colorado exhibits, and so if Colorado is intending to present an exhibit, as Ms.

Barfield indicated, I think it would go through that five-day process, and the day before a witness is presented, you'll be given, by the presenting party, a list of all of the exhibits that one of the parties is intending to or may offer through that witness, along with whether or not there's any objections, and if there are objections, the nature of those objections.

2.4

pudge Melloy: Well, let -- see, I had envisioned that once we started the trial, one of the first orders of business would be admitting all the A exhibits that any -- that either party had, and they would be -- and at that point, they would still be in electronic format, but once admitted -- and this is one of the things I had as a note I wanted to get some clarification on is how we are going to handle exhibits, that once admitted, the exhibit would be uploaded, as I understand it, to this file share service box.com? Is that how you would envision it being -- being done?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. BARFIELD: That is correct.

JUDGE MELLOY: And then there may be some exhibits that are admitted that -- that for one reason or the other aren't necessarily going to be used by a particular witness, and they would not

1 actually be printed and available for use by that 2 I mean, as I understand it, only exhibits 3 that you're actually going to examine the witness 4 about will be printed and made available for that 5 witness. Am I -- am I misunderstanding this? 6 MS. BARFIELD: That is certainly Texas' 7 understanding, Your Honor. Well, do you understand 8 JUDGE MELLOY: 9 that there will be exhibits that may not necessarily 10 be used by a particular witness that may be put in 11 just for record purposes. 12 MS. BARFIELD: I think that some of the 13 -- or perhaps even the entire joint list could be 14 entered in that manner. The parties haven't actually 15 discussed that, whether or not we would jointly 16 propose that the joint list itself be admitted at the 17 beginning of trial in its entirety. We can certainly 18 discuss that, though. 19 JUDGE MELLOY: But do you envision, 20 other than the joint exhibits, that there might be 21 exhibits that you want admitted, again, as I say, for 22 record purposes without necessarily being used by a 23 specific witness? 2.4 MS. BARFIELD: For Texas, Your Honor,

not at this time. We will have -- we will have

witness-specific lists for each of our witnesses that we will use at trial.

2.4

JUDGE MELLOY: So -- so we'll have exhibits that are joint exhibits, and if we can get those admitted at the beginning of the trial, they'll be uploaded immediately to Box.com, right?

MS. BARFIELD: Yes.

JUDGE MELLOY: And then as you get ready to put a witness on, you'll identify exhibits. They will be printed, put in a notebook and identified as A, B, or C, and if they're admitted, then they'll be uploaded to Box.com; is that the way you understand it?

MS. BARFIELD: Exactly. That's how we envision it.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Does anybody understand it differently?

MR. WECHSLER: We understand that that way, as well, Your Honor. I just want to alert you that in order to avoid any potential problems, New Mexico is mailing -- in fact, has already mailed to you a full set of New Mexico exhibits printed, as well as a full set of the joint exhibits so that you have them. I don't want you to get caught off guard because it's many boxes.

1 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Thank you. Then 2 how does one access the Box.com. 3 MR. WECHSLER: I don't know the answer. 4 MS. BARFIELD: I'm going to have 5 Mr. Dubois jump in on that question, Your Honor. We 6 don't have that information yet. Box.com is a 7 platform that typically the United States uses. 8 JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Dubois? 9 MR. DUBOIS: You know, Your Honor, I'd 10 have to defer to my technical people on that, and I --11 I can certainly have Mr. Allison talk about the Box.com, but I hadn't -- I hadn't briefed myself on 12 13 that issue before this -- before this call, so I'm --14 I'm incompetent to comment. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, could 16 you get that information and maybe send us a letter 17 with -- with that information? And, also, I would 18 like to know if there will be a record of who accesses 19 it and what they access. I don't think any of us want 20 to know what the other -- if -- if you're accessing 21 exhibits, I don't want to know who's accessing them, 22 and I don't want you to know what exhibits I'm 23 accessing necessarily, so I want to know if that --2.4 what kind of record is kept of who accesses what.

MR. DUBOIS: We will report back on

1 that, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE MELLOY: Anybody have any other 3 questions about that particular facet of the trial or 4 -- or how that's going to work that you want 5 Mr. Dubois to research before we get back together? 6 (No response.) 7 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Okay. 8 Mexico has requested or has indicated it intends to 9 defer all cross-examination of Dr. King, and is it 10 Dr. Blair or Mr. Blair to the spring testimony. I had 11 kind of assumed you were probably going to do that, 12 Mr. Wechsler. Does anybody object to that? 13 MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, this is Stuart 14 Somach. Texas has no objection to that at all but 15 just wants to make certain, this goes to trial and 16 witness preparation, we want to make sure that, in 17 fact, that's the way it'll be, they'll reserve their 18 cross-examination until the spring, and then we won't 19 deal with cross-examination or even preparation of 20 witnesses for cross-examination this fall, we'll just 21 put it on, and then we'll wait until -- until the 22 spring for -- for cross-examination. 23 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Okay. So I 2.4 think everybody's in agreement on that. 25 All right. What is the status of this

issue concerning the drone footage? Ms. Barfield, are you the one who's going to speak to that or who wants to talk about that?

MS. BARFIELD: That's actually going to be Ms. Klahn for Texas.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. KLAHN: Good morning, Your Honor. The status of the drone video is that the video has been completed -- the work has been completed by the drone group. The videos have been, I guess, kind of clipped together into a 23-minute video, so that the entire several hours of video is not what we're proposing to show the Court, and we have made the videos that we have to date available to the parties. Today, we'll be disclosing the rest of the videos, and the reason there was a break there, I think you may recall on August 27th when we had the pretrial, we reported that the plan was for the drone's pilots to fly the remainder of the Compact area down to Fort Ouitman the following week, but Hurricane Ida hit in New Orleans, and the drone pilot group has -- they all have a day job with the energy company down there in the New Orleans area and so they had a lot of work to do the ten days after the hurricane in order to do their regular job of flying the drones around to see

where -- where the catastrophes were. So -- so what happened was early last week, they did finish. We have the remainder of the video, and as I say, we're going to make that available today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Are you able to address the issues raised by Mr. Wechsler in his letter?

MS. KLAHN: I'd be happy to, Your Honor. The drone video -- just to review, the drone video was the replacement for the flyover. The flyover was going to be videoed and transcribed for that matter. There was going to be a court reporter in the plane. We had an agreed-upon flight plan at the time that the flight was pulled because of COVID, and we provided that flight plan to the drone pilots, and we also provided a diagram from New Mexico Exhibit, I think, 129 maybe. It's a 1998 report. It's just a straight-line diagram of the Rio Grande system -- Rio Grande project so that the drone pilots would be able to identify the structures that are part of the Rio Grande project. So the goal was to simply replicate, to the extent possible, the flyover. So that's the footage that we had, and what has happened is we've created this shorter video that from the 300 -- the 30 videos and the other still photographs that are -that have been made available that has a map next to

each of the drone flight videos. So, for example, when you're at Caballo, there's a little map next to it on the video page, and it shows you where you are in relation to the rest of the system. We think it'll be a very helpful demonstrative exhibit. We have thought that that was the understanding all along, that this would be a demonstrative exhibit, and we anticipate introducing it through several witnesses during the course of our case, just for the purpose of, you know, it's a picture. It's a picture of what's on the ground, and it's taken from the sky. That's the difference, I guess, between the -- the drone footage and the field trip that -- that people The idea that the exhibits are confusing and went on. redundant seems to conflict with selective and not representative. I -- I believe that Mr. Wechsler's objection on the drone footage being selective was related to the fact that he -- he doesn't have -- no one has, except until today, the -- the remaining footage below EP1. So what -- as I just explained, that got flown last week. We will add that into the mix -- it is added into the mix. As far as the drone exhibits being confusing and redundant, we really felt like it was our obligation to disclose everything, and if there's something in there that New Mexico wants to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

use, they can. We're not planning to introduce all of those exhibits, but we wanted to make them available to the parties in the event that someone else wanted to use it.

2.4

The last issue that Mr. Wechsler raises is that the drone footage is not admissible. As I understand his objection, it appears to be that it's too late for this video per the deadlines of Rule 26, but, I mean, the events that occasioned the video didn't even happen until about six weeks ago. So all in all -- well, we've also -- I should also say, this isn't part of his objections, but we've also provided the contact information to New Mexico for the folks who were involved in the video. You know, they're available for depositions if that's something that New Mexico would like to do, and, you know, we look forward to showing this to you.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, let me ask this.

MS. KLAHN: Sure.

JUDGE MELLOY: You have the -- the drone footage, which you say is how long, 18 minutes?

MS. KLAHN: The -- what we did was take -- so each -- each place they flew, they flew multiple places so they had a lot of different footage. What we tried to do was stitch that together into a

1 cohesive, 23-minute movie, I'm going to call it. 2 23. JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. 3 MS. KLAHN: It goes from north to south. 4 JUDGE MELLOY: So that you're planning 5 to use as a demonstrative exhibit, right? 6 MS. KLAHN: Correct. 7 JUDGE MELLOY: And then do you have 8 separate still photos that you want to use as numbered 9 and admitted exhibits? 10 MS. KLAHN: Well, at this point, I don't 11 know that we're going to use any of the still photos, 12 but that's not to say that somebody couldn't decide to 13 For example, the United States might find use them. 14 some of those still photos usable for the Bureau of 15 Reclamation witnesses that they're putting on. 16 JUDGE MELLOY: I guess I'm looking at 17 Mr. Wechsler's Paragraph 2C. There are 330 separate 18 drone exhibits, 30 photographs of Elephant Butte and 19 Caballo, 95 photographs of Fort Quitman gage. 20 of those photographs something that you're intending 21 to admit at this time? 22 MS. KLAHN: Well, I quess -- I quess I'm 23 not sure if we are or not, if we're going to use any 24 of those still photographs. We haven't gotten that 25 far, I guess, with the photographs and the witnesses,

1	Your Honor.
2	JUDGE MELLOY: But you haven't I
3	guess what I'm getting at is at this point, not
4	numbering and proposing to admit 330 separate
5	MS. KLAHN: Not today, no. No.
6	JUDGE MELLOY: So you have from this
7	drone flyover, you have both video that has been now
8	compressed into this 23-minute total video, and then
9	you have a number of separate individual photographs
10	
11	MS. KLAHN: Correct.
12	JUDGE MELLOY: aerial photographs, I
13	assume?
14	MS. KLAHN: Correct.
15	JUDGE MELLOY: And you had disclosed
16	those aerial photographs to everybody, and at this
17	point, no one has made the decision that they're going
18	to use any of them or, if so, which ones; is that
19	MS. KLAHN: Correct.
20	JUDGE MELLOY: Am I correctly
21	understanding it?
22	MS. KLAHN: Yes.
23	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. What's your
24	position on all this, Mr. Wechsler?
25	MR. WECHSLER: Well, Your Honor, that

was very helpful to hear. Frankly, it would have been very helpful to have heard that prior to the time the contractor was contacted. As I understand, we understand there's no actual written contract for what instructions were given. Until today, I didn't understand that those would be demonstrative exhibits, to which we have no objection, as opposed to evidentiary exhibits. It's puzzling to me that the 330 exhibits were listed on the -- the exhibit list rather than simply disclosed as part of a supplemental discovery, and I quess what I would ask if what Texas and the U.S. are intending to do is use some of them from demonstrative exhibits, they should be withdrawn from the exhibit list. Demonstrative exhibits are being dealt with separately, and they can certainly use them that way, dealing with a single 23-minute video or a discrete set of videos and pictures is certainly a lot easier, and I -- I understand Ms. Klahn's point about having a witness testify using that as a demonstrative. Like I said, we have no initial objection to that at all. So I guess those -those are my thoughts. JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I think at this point, there's really not much to do until -- until

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and as I understand it, there's no real objection to the video as a demonstrative exhibit, so until there's an issue I have to rule on, I guess we'll -- we'll leave it at that.

The -- the other point that you had raised in your letter, Mr. Wechsler, was about subpoenas, whether it would be necessary to subpoena Mr. Esslinger and Mr. Reyes.

MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, we can clarify. We're going to put them on as -- as witnesses. They were on, I think, the may-call list, but we're going to put them on the will-call list, along with Mr. Rios, and if -- if that helps at all. You know, we'll put them on, and that should take care of the need to subpoena them.

JUDGE MELLOY: Are there any witnesses that are going to need to be subpoenaed from -- any party think they have a witness they need to subpoena?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Your Honor. So -so we do understand that subpoenas will be necessary
for Mr. Drusina and -- I'm just looking for my list
here -- oh, and -- and for Mr. Chavez from Hudspeth,
who you had the opportunity to meet. So we have
worked out the details of that. Our intention is to
be providing a notice. We have the questions about

1 Mr. Esslinger and Mr. Reyes, and for that matter, 2 Mr. Rios, as well, because Texas and the United States 3 have previously represented they would not be called, 4 and that was the need -- that was why Dr. King and 5 Dr. Blair were necessary. I -- I guess that has 6 changed and so we won't intend to do anything with 7 subpoenas for those. We still have two subpoenas that 8 will be needed, assuming Mr. -- with Mr. Drusina, 9 there's -- there's some question as to whether or not 10 we will call him. That'll depend on the IBWC 11 testimony that we hear from the Texas and U.S. direct 12 case. 13 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. But there's 14 nothing I need to do today on that, as I understand 15 that, Mr. Wechsler? 16 MR. WECHSLER: That's correct, Your 17 Honor. You had indicated in your trial management 18 order that you wanted to be kept apprised. 19 attempting to do that, and we'll simply file a notice 20 as a subpoena is issued, if that's acceptable with 21

JUDGE MELLOY: That's fine. Then you raised the issue, Mr. Wechsler, stipulated facts. You asked if I would accept stipulated facts. In fact, the trial management order referenced stipulated

you.

22

23

24

facts. They were supposed to have been in the pre-trial statement, and it was my understanding you were still working on stipulations. I think we talked about that in one of the status conferences. So where are we on that issue? You -- you indicated you have proposed some stipulated facts, Mr. Wechsler, but it'd gotten no agreement? Is that where we are?

MR. WECHSLER: Well, Your Honor, there

-- we had proposed some. There was some initial reaction. There was a meet and confer amongst the parties, and then we sort of lost the thread. We're hoping to pick that thread back up. Before we do that, we wanted to check with you and ensure because we were aware that that deadline had passed, that you would still allow the parties to do that if we're able to agree to stipulated facts.

JUDGE MELLOY: That's fine. You know, the more stipulations, the better. As far as the deadline is concerned, I'd say by the -- by the hearing we have next Wednesday, get another week to put them together.

Point No. 5, you have authenticity objections. United States hasn't responded. Where are you on that, Mr. Dubois?

MR. DUBOIS: I'll take the -- the mea

1 culpa on that. We sort of lost the thread on that 2 one, Your Honor, I'm afraid. We will get back this 3 week to Mr. Wechsler on the -- the issues that he has 4 raised. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, if I may just ask through you, if Mr. Dubois could also -- I'm just 6 7 wondering, Jim, where we are on the handwriting 8 stipulations. 9 Yeah. MR. DUBOIS: I've got that put 10 together, and I -- unfortunately, I got the signature 11 blocks in it on Monday, and other -- other events have 12 sort of swamped me this week. I will get that out to 13 you today. I'll get that out -- excuse me -- to all 14 the states today. 15 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. 16 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Then going down 17 Mr. Wechsler's list, I think anybody object to his --18 his -- his request that the realtime transcript not be 19 available to the witness? 20 MR. DUBOIS: I think that might be in 21 the actual -- the order already, isn't it, Your Honor? 22 JUDGE MELLOY: I think it's -- I think 23 the order says -- let me look at it. 2.4 MR. WECHSLER: Your Honor, it's in 25 Section 2D, as in dog. If it would be helpful, I can

1 read the section. It says, "D, court reporter. 2 court reporter will provide realtime transcription and 3 make rough drafts available at the end of every trial 4 day. The realtime access code and password will be 5 provided in writing from the court reporter to all 6 counsel from the parties." And so our only 7 clarification is we understand that to be for the 8 parties' use and not the witness, as the witness is 9 testifying. 10 Okay. JUDGE MELLOY: That's fine. 11 We'll have that clarification. Okay. And then I 12 understand 7 is for record purposes only that you have 13 not completed your review of Texas' request for 14 additional exhibits. Whether you'll have any 15 objections, you're just reserving your right, as I 16 understand; is that right, Mr. Wechsler? 17 MR. WECHSLER: It is. 18 JUDGE MELLOY: And then we still have 19 outstanding the objections to the deposition 20 designations. I will get that resolved before next --21 the hearing next week, but where -- what -- which ones 22 do you understand are still at issue, Mr. Wechsler, 23 the ones you've identified in Sub A?

correct, Your Honor. I'll -- I'll -- I'll give you

MR. WECHSLER: Well, I believe that's

2.4

the status, as I understand it, and hope -- ask for 1 2 Ms. Barfield to help me with that. So skipping over A 3 with -- with Barroll, Longworth, Lopez, and Thacker. 4 I understand that for now, those will be withdrawn. 5 Our concern was just the idea that there would be 6 redundant testimony, and Texas has been gracious in 7 trying to address that by withdrawing them for now. 8 JUDGE MELLOY: Excuse me a second. 9 you hold on a second? Which ones did you say, Thacker 10 and which one? 11 I'm sorry. Let me -- let MR. WECHSLER: 12 It should not include Thacker. me go back. Thacker, 13 I think, is done, because as far as I understand, no 14 party is intending to offer Thacker as a witness. 15 that right, Ms. Barfield? 16 MS. BARFIELD: Ms. Thacker is still on 17 our will -- I'm sorry -- may-call witness list. 18 Fair enough. So I don't MR. WECHSLER: 19 -- so, I guess, this would apply to all of those. 20 Dr. Barroll, Mr. Longworth, and Mr. Lopez are on our 21 will-call list. Our concern with them was there would 22 be redundant testimony and so the stipulation applies to all four of these witnesses, and that is the 23 2.4 designations for now will be withdrawn. After the

witness has testified, if that witness testifies, then

1 those -- the designation will be offered by Texas at 2 that time, and if we still have concerns about them 3 being redundant and cumulative, we will raise them at 4 that time. 5 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. 6 MR. WECHSLER: Is that a correct 7 statement, Ms. Barfield? 8 That's accurate. MS. BARFIELD: 9 MR. WECHSLER: Then, Your Honor, for 10 Dr. Kryloff, who is -- was a United States historian, 11 the objection, as I understood it from Texas, was the 12 admission of the entire expert report, which was a 13 deposition designation, and New Mexico and -- and 14 Texas are currently talking about paring that down 15 significantly so the deposition designations can be 16 understood in light of the report and the parts of the 17 reports will be admitted, but we haven't reached 18 agreement on whether that's acceptable and what part, 19 but we propose to you that we continue to have that 20 discussion, and if it needs to be raised in the 21 future, then we will raise it at that time. 22 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Which leaves, I believe, 2.4 the only ones that need to be resolved, Mr. Rios, I 25

understand, is now testifying live, so we'll withdraw

that designation, and so that leaves Mica Heilmann,
Dr. Lombardini, and Mr. Settemeyer. So as a reminder,
Ms. Heilmann and -- and Dr. Lombardini were Texas
designated experts, and Mr. Settemeyer was now retired
formerly the engineer advisor for Texas to the Rio
Grande Compact Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, let me take a look at those, and I will resolve those before the next hearing. Does anybody wish to be heard on any of those three designations?

MS. BARFIELD: Yes, Your Honor, if I could briefly be heard. And -- and the purpose is because this particular issue was raised by New Mexico by way of a request submitted at the same time as the pre-trial statements that they designate these portions of the depositions, so it has not been briefed. It has not been addressed by us in writing in our pre-trial statement within the depo designation section. So in terms of Texas' position, I just want Your Honor to be clear that we do not object to New Mexico calling these witnesses live where those witnesses would be subject to cross-examination by Texas or other parties. Our objection is essentially being forced into submitting testimony by way of the designations. We do request the right to

cross-examine these witnesses and think that we're entitled to cross-examine these witnesses, in particular pursuant to Section 4 of the TMO. Section 4 is premised on the idea that the parties would agree to submit a witness via deposition designation as opposed to live testimony. We request live testimony. Now, whether that's by way of Texas calling these witnesses or by way of New Mexico calling these witnesses, either way, if they're going to testify, it should be live. That's our position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Do you want to respond, Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. My response is, I I mean, first, these are Texas guess, three-fold. witnesses. I mean, they had the opportunity to ask -to present them. They're electing not to do that. They obviously had opportunity to ask questions at the deposition, also chose not to. Secondly, it's simply more efficient. I mean, as you know, there are time constraints that you have placed, and there's a limited amount of testimony for these witnesses, as you, yourself, have encouraged the parties to include deposition designations and to think of alternative ways to present testimony, and that's exactly what we're doing. We're trying to be as efficient as

possible.

2.4

Secondly, we do not read the trial management order, Section 4, to require agreement of the parties. There is a meet-and-confer provision to try and work out disagreements, but I don't think that that is necessary.

And, finally, there's simply no prejudice to Texas and the United States to being allowed to present these deposition designations now. Again, they're their witnesses. If they want to present them, they certainly can.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, let me take a look at it, and we'll get something out before next week.

All right. I know you're getting close to submitting your witness lists for -- and time estimates. What do you think the -- the time requirement will be for this phase of the trial?

Assuming a four-day week, how many weeks.

MR. WECHSLER: So, Your Honor, we -- I unfortunately don't have it in front of me. We did include a build out of that with the four-day schedule, including previous estimates from the parties about the amount of direct and then estimates from New Mexico about the amount of cross, including cross of New Mexico witnesses. Our anticipation is

1 that would last until -- given the week off of October 2 25th and the four-day week, it would last until 3 approximately the second week of November. 4 JUDGE MELLOY: Anybody seriously 5 disagree with that? So that would be roughly five 6 weeks of testimony? 7 MR. WECHSLER: I think that's correct. 8 JUDGE MELLOY: Four weeks in October, 9 including the last week, and then -- okay. All right. 10 Then you asked about a trial date for next spring. 11 suppose we should go ahead and do that so everybody 12 can plan accordingly. I would suggest we look at 13 starting Monday, March 14th. Does anybody have a 14 problem with that? If not, we'll -- that'll -- we'll 15 set that date. 16 All right. Then we have the -- the 17 letter from Mr. Wallace. Do you want to speak to what 18 your request is there, Mr. Wallace, to see if there's 19 any objection? 20 MR. WALLACE: Yes. Thank you, Your 21 The intent of the letter was really to provide 22 clarification to Your Honor and the other parties 23 about Colorado's level of participation. We have 2.4 listed previously in Trial Management Order, witnesses

as may-call, and we're clarifying that we have no

intent to call witnesses. We don't see at present that this case will have any impact on Colorado, but what we want to do is be able to reserve the right to present evidence on rebuttal if after the close of both phases of the case, we find that something does come up that, in fact, could impact Colorado's rights and obligations. So we don't want to jettison our ability to say something to the Court and clarify something or defend our interest, but at this point, we don't think it's necessary to put on a case in chief to do so.

2.4

JUDGE MELLOY: Does anybody have a problem with that?

(No response.)

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Hearing no objection, we'll take it as that's the agreement, Mr. Wallace.

There's one other issue I want to discuss, but I'm -- unless there's any serious objection, I think I prefer to discuss it just with the parties, the named parties, and that's the issue dealing with mediation and a mediator, and I have a couple thoughts, but I think I -- rather than do it in the larger group, if there's no objection to -- and certainly I -- it's not confidential in the sense I'm

going to ask the main parties not to relay my comments to -- to the amici, but I think I'd like to talk to the main parties separately, if that's -- unless there's any serious objection to that. And if -- if that is agreeable, I'd just ask probably the easiest way would be just to ask other people to drop off, and we'll -- we'll talk about that for a few minutes. But before I do that, is there anything else we need to take up this morning?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. BARFIELD: Your Honor, this is Theresa Barfield on behalf of Texas. I do want to raise one additional issue with respect to the exhibit list. Texas did send a letter to Your Honor yesterday requesting leave to file an amended final exhibit list, which is required by the pre-trial order for us to request that leave and be granted that leave. did briefly address, you know, Mr. Wechsler's point about exhibits in his e-mail. That is a separate issue, though, Your Honor, so I didn't want to leave this call today without addressing the letter that we A brief summary of what we're requesting to do is add the additional drone footage that Ms. Klahn discussed earlier to complete the list of -- well, the work that was done with the drones. We need to add approximately ten documents to the final exhibit list

1 that were not included in this CSV file exchange. 2 realized that issue this week. Four of those are for 3 use in the fall trial setting. The rest are related 4 to the spring trial setting, and the four that are for 5 use until the fall trial setting are historical 6 documents for use with the expert historian that the 7 parties do have and will exchange during discovery. 8 These are not new documents. They just need to be 9 formally added to our list by way of an amendment. 10 The other issue is there are items 11 identified in the CSV file exchange that need to be 12 assigned a unique trial number as opposed to waiting 13 until the five-day exchange of the exhibit list. 14 makes sense to do that now. So with Your Honor's 15 permission, we would like to serve that updated list 16 this week. 17 JUDGE MELLOY: What's your position, 18 Mr. Wechsler, or is that what you're still looking at? 19 MR. WECHSLER: I think as Ms. Barfield 20 indicated, we're looking at the ones that were 21 previously disclosed. I -- I didn't fully follow Ms. 22 Barfield. I think the details, though, we can likely 23 work out. I mean, I understand there might be

additional exhibits they're wanting to add. We don't

have a problem with that so long as we preserve our

2.4

right to object to those exhibits and raise issues with Texas, which I'd hope we'd be able to work out.

MS. BARFIELD: And we agree with that.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, I'll grant the leave to file the list subject to any objections on any -- any grounds that New Mexico may have to the admission of the exhibits at the time that Texas moves their admission.

MS. BARFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE MELLOY: Anything else?

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, this is Chad Wallace. I just wanted to make sure that the parties had not missed in the letter, Colorado did also want to intend to reserve the right to cross-examine as necessary. We feel that that might also help put off any need for rebuttal case at all. If -- with witnesses and other parties bring forward bring up an item we might clarify in cross, I think it might be more efficient to do it then rather than wait and perhaps not even need a rebuttal case. I just wanted to clarify that.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Do you or someone from your office, Mr. Wallace, think you'll be participating in the entire trial or will there be witnesses where you may not -- you may just wait to

see what the transcript says?

MR. WALLACE: At this point, especially, Your Honor, because we're doing it remotely, we'll have somebody participate.

my -- and I'll maybe ask Pete at Worldwide to chime in on this, make sure I understand correctly. Pete, as I understand, Worldwide will have a record every day of who joins -- who -- who participates, and that would be available -- or maybe actually -- maybe we'll have it filed, but that'll be available for review; is that correct?

VIRTUAL MONITOR: That's correct.

is not to go through like we did this morning or have done for all the other hearings every single person who's on the call. I'm only going to take the appearances for the parties and the -- and the witness and, of course, I'll be there. So really there will only be the six, and then we'll have a record of -- of who is on the call or who is participating from Worldwide. And I certainly would envision that there may very well be observers who come in late, leave early, find it pretty boring and decide that an hour of this is enough, and they're not necessarily going

to be here for the whole day, but we'll know that from Worldwide, and they'll have those records available if we ever wanted to find out who was observing the trial. Is that -- any question about that?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: And I would add like in the case of, say, El Paso or Elephant Butte Irrigation District, if there's an attorney who's not in the room with the witness who wants to be on video when their witness or their employee testifies, certainly that's something they could ask leave to do for that particular witness. I suspect in some cases, the witness may be in their office, and they may be in the same room, but if they're not, that's -- I'm certainly open to allowing the attorney for the witness, who's a non-party, to be on -- on the video, as well. Well, if there's nothing further, I'd just ask the parties to stay on. Everybody else drop off, and I do want to talk about the mediation just a little bit if I could. While they're doing that, I'm going to mute this.

(Break.)

JUDGE MELLOY: What I wanted to just visit with you for a minute about was this issue of mediation. Have you thought about a mediator any further? Have you made any progress in that regard?

1 You're nodding, Mr. Wechsler. Do you have anything 2 you want to say? 3 MR. WECHSLER: I'll actually allow 4 Ms. Khoury to talk about this, who's really been 5 taking the lead on the mediation for New Mexico. 6 MS. KHOURY: Thank you, Mr. Wechsler. 7 Your Honor, yeah, I believe that I am safe to say that 8 we have made progress on at least agreeing to a 9 Ms. Hubenak and the Texas office of the 10 attorney general and myself have been closely 11 communicating, and it -- it appears that we have 12 agreed to Judge Boylan as our mediator. We have 13 tentatively booked the dates beginning in December 14 with Judge Boylan. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. The reason I bring 16 this up is I really didn't inquire, and in some 17 respect, don't really need to know what your problem 18 was with the prior mediator, but I don't know if you 19 want a different -- if it's a different approach, you 20 want more aggressive, less aggressive, but do you --21 you feel comfortable with Judge Boylan has -- has 22 whatever skills you need or hopefully has the skills 23 you need to address that issue? 24 MS. KHOURY: For New Mexico. And I -- I 25 don't intend to speak for the other parties, Your

Honor, but for New Mexico, we're hopeful that Judge
Boylan will bring a different approach and potentially
be more successful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. I was going to throw out one other option, and if you -- I don't want to confuse the issue any more than already, but when you had raised the issue of did I know of a mediator, one of the things I did was I called a district judge here in Iowa, who was a magistrate judge when I was district judge. We served in the same district. He had done hundreds of mediations very successfully as a magistrate judge. He's now been a district judge for 12, 13 years and has not done a lot of mediation as a district judge. But I called him to see if he knew of some real good people, and in the course of the conversation, indicated that he'd be willing to do it. I -- I don't know if that's something that would interest you if you want to pursue it any further. And he would be available just about any time, obviously be a lot cheaper, and -- I have ran it by the clerk of the Supreme Court. He thinks it'd probably be okay. He wants to kind of think about it a little bit more, but that's an option if you decide you want somebody quicker. I can certainly get you more information, but just to let you know, that is an

```
1
             He does have a good reputation as a
 2
    magistrate judge, but like I say, he hasn't done a lot
 3
     of it the last 12 or 13 years as a district judge.
 4
     But he is available. He's actually going to retire in
 5
    March so he's winding down his caseload in
 6
     anticipation of retirement. He'll probably go into
 7
     full-time mediation in March so if you don't get it
 8
    done by then, you will have to start paying him.
 9
     -- but that would be -- that is an option I just
    wanted to throw out there, if you want to think about
10
11
     it or talk about it and get back to me on that.
12
     if there's nothing further -- I didn't know I didn't
13
    necessarily -- thought it was any reason we couldn't
14
     talk about this with everybody else, but I didn't know
15
     if there was anything about the prior mediator that
16
    would be uncomfortable or whatever with -- with -- for
17
     anybody but -- but any -- anything further on that?
18
                         (No response.)
19
                   JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then if not,
20
                                     Thanks, everyone.
    we'll see everybody next week.
21
                   (The proceedings adjourned at 12:13
22
    p.m.)
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, HEATHER L. GARZA, a Certified 4 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do 5 hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the 6 caption hereto are true; that the foregoing pages 7 comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of 8 the proceedings had at the time of the status hearing. 9 I further certify that I am not, in any 10 capacity, a regular employee of any of the parties in 11 whose behalf this status hearing is taken, nor in the 12 regular employ of any of the attorneys; and I certify 13 that I am not interested in the cause, nor of kin or 14 counsel to any of the parties. 15 16 GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF 17 on this, the 27th day of September, 2021. 18 19 HEATHER L. GARZA, CSR, RPR, CRR 2.0 Certification No.: 8262 Expiration Date: 04-30-22 21 22 23 Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc. Firm Registration No. 223 24 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235 Houston, TX 77027

25

800-745-1101

	<u> </u>	-	-	1 490 30
A	34:9 43:17	Anaya 3:7 8:20	35:13	22:24 23:6,17
A.J 6:18	admitting 25:10	and- 2:10,14,24	assumed 21:11	24:6 27:11
a.m 1:16 18:10	Adrienne 10:11	3:5,10,14 4:6	21:12 22:3	back 16:20
ability 12:13,19	advance 24:7	4:12,16 5:1	29:11	19:22 22:20
12:21,23 48:8	advisor 8:13	Andrew 6:13	assuming 38:8	28:25 29:5
able 14:18 31:5	44:5	Andrews 2:21	46:18	39:12 40:2
31:18 39:15	aerial 35:12,16	8:17	attempt 20:23	42:12 56:11
48:3 51:2	affiliated 16:12	answer 28:3	attempting	background
accept 38:24	afraid 40:2	anticipate 13:8	38:19	14:8
acceptable	afternoon 15:24	16:22 23:23	attempts 36:25	Barela 3:6 8:20
38:20 43:18	16:3	32:8	Atton 8:22	Barfield 2:4
access 17:13,14	aggressive 54:20	anticipation	attorney 2:16	8:10 23:3,4,5
28:2,19 41:4	54:20	14:1 46:25	3:16 8:12,19	23:25 25:1,21
accesses 28:18	ago 33:10	56:6	9:14 13:25	26:6,12,24
28:24	agree 14:7 39:16	anybody 9:6	14:3 16:11	27:7,14 28:4
accessing 28:20	45:4 51:3	17:16 18:8	17:10 20:9,11	30:1,4 42:2,15
28:21,23	agreeable 49:5	19:9,14,15	20:25 21:14,20	42:16 43:7,8
accurate 43:8	agreed 23:11	27:16 29:2,12	21:22,25 22:4	44:11 49:10,11
ACOSTA 5:18	54:12	40:17 44:9	22:5 53:8,15	50:19,22 51:3
active 12:12	agreed-upon	47:4,13 48:12	54:10	51:9
actual 36:4	31:12	56:17	attorneys 14:25	Barncastle 5:7,7
40:21	agreeing 54:8	appearances 8:6	15:14 20:22	10:20,21
add 15:7,8 22:7	agreement 29:24	52:18	57:12	Barroll 42:3,20
24:3 32:21	39:7 43:18	appearing 21:22	attorneys' 14:12	basically 14:10
49:22,24 50:24	46:3 48:16	appears 33:7	August 30:17	16:8
53:6	ahead 20:3 24:2	54:11	Austin 2:17 5:3	basis 22:24
added 32:22	24:7 47:11	applies 42:22	5:19 6:14	beginning 1:16
50:9	ajolsen@h2ol	apply 42:19	authenticity	13:7 26:17
additional 41:14	6:21	appreciate 9:8	23:7 24:23	27:5 54:13
49:12,22 50:24	Al 10:17	apprised 38:18	39:22	behalf 10:2 11:2
address 15:22	Albuquerque	approach 54:19	Authority 5:11	11:7,11 49:11
16:1,6,8 31:5	3:8 4:24 5:11	55:2	9:25 10:3	57:11
42:7 49:17	9:24 10:3	approved 23:11	available 18:1	believe 32:16
54:23	alert 20:4 27:19	approximately	26:1,4 30:14	41:24 43:23
addressed 44:17	Allison 28:11	47:3 49:25	31:4,25 33:2	54:7
addressing	allow 12:14	apps 17:14	33:15 40:19	Bernalillo 5:11
49:20	39:15 54:3	April 9:2	41:3 52:10,11	9:24 10:3
adjourned 56:21	allowed 46:9	area 30:19,23	53:2 55:19	better 14:8
admissible 33:6	allowing 53:15	Arianne 8:25	56:4	17:22 19:23
admission 43:12	alternative	asked 16:6 38:24	Avenue 1:16 3:7	39:18
51:7,8	45:23	47:10	6:14,19	BICKERSTA
admit 34:21	amended 49:14	asking 8:6 13:19	avoid 27:20	5:18
35:4 36:25	amendment	15:23 16:18	aware 20:6	bit 53:19 55:23
admitted 25:13	50:9	assigned 50:12	39:14	Blair 10:17
25:16,23 26:16	amici 49:2	Association 6:17		29:10,10 38:5
26:21 27:5,11	amount 45:21	11:13	<u>B</u>	blocks 40:11
,	46:23,24	assume 11:15	B 2:4 4:17 22:23	booked 54:13

	I		I	
boring 52:24	called 38:3 55:8	change 20:14	3:23 4:4 8:5	confusing 32:14
Box 2:16 4:9 5:3	55:14	changed 38:6	9:13,13 22:21	32:23
5:13,23 6:3,8	calling 44:21	changing 20:15	23:24 24:14,20	confusion 20:18
box.com 25:18	45:7,8	chat 14:13,14,16	24:24,25 48:2	Congress 6:14
27:6,12 28:2,6	camera 13:2,4,6	14:17,19,22	51:13	connect 16:19
28:12	13:24,25	15:2,3	Colorado's	connection
boxes 27:25	cameras 12:15	chatting 14:24	47:23 48:6	16:17,17 20:23
Boylan 54:12,14	13:23 14:11	Chavez 37:22	come 48:6 52:23	22:8,9,12
54:21 55:2	capability 17:15	cheaper 55:20	comes 20:18	Conservation
Brandon 12:7	capacity 57:10	check 19:17 21:3	comfortable	6:11 10:25
break 30:16	Capitol 2:6	39:13	54:21	constraints
53:21	caption 57:6	chief 48:11	comment 28:14	45:20
breakout 12:19	care 37:14	chime 52:6	comments 49:1	consultant 10:23
12:22 15:4,7	Caroom 5:17	Cholla 3:15 8:18	Commission 9:1	contact 12:3
brief 16:7 49:21	10:5,6	chose 45:18	44:6	33:13
briefed 28:12	case 32:9 38:12	Chris 9:22	commissioner	contacted 36:3
44:17	48:2,5,10	Christopher	8:14,23 16:10	continue 24:6
briefly 44:12	51:16,20 53:7	4:17	communicating	43:19
49:17	caseload 56:5	CIRCUIT 1:15	54:11	contract 36:4
bring 23:20	cases 53:12	circulate 23:13	Compact 8:23	contractor 36:3
51:17,17 54:15	catastrophes	circulated 23:9	30:19 44:6	conversation
55:2	31:1	cities 21:22	company 30:22	55:16
Broadway 3:23	Category 24:10	City 4:19 5:11	complete 49:23	Corinne 8:21
BROCKMAN	24:13	5:16,21 10:4,6	57:7	Corrales 6:3,4
5:23	caught 20:19	10:7,9	completed 30:9	correct 19:14
Brockmann	27:24	ckhoury@nm	30:9 41:13	23:25 25:21
5:12,13 10:1,2	cause 57:13	3:18	compressed 35:8	34:6 35:11,14
build 46:21	CEDAR 1:16	clarification	comprise 57:7	35:19 38:16
Building 5:18	Central 18:10	12:9 25:15	computers 22:14	41:25 43:6
Bureau 34:14	certain 29:15	41:7,11 47:22	concern 17:2	47:7 52:12,13
business 25:10	certainly 12:24	clarify 37:9 48:8	42:5,21	57:7
Butte 5:6 10:18	21:23 22:6	51:18,21	concerned 17:16	correctly 35:20
10:21 34:18	24:13 26:6,17	clarifying 47:25	39:19	52:7
53:7	28:11 36:15,18	classes 12:11	concerning 30:1	counsel 8:9,25
	46:11 48:25	clear 44:20	concerns 43:2	9:1 13:13 41:6
$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}^2}$	52:22 53:10,14	clerk 55:21	conduct 20:8	57:14
C 2:1,4 4:14	55:24	client 15:18	conducting 20:6	County 4:21
5:12 22:23,24	CERTIFICATE	clipped 30:11	confer 23:18	5:11 6:11 9:25
23:6,17 24:6	57:1	close 46:14 48:4	39:10	10:3,12,15,24
27:11	Certification	closely 54:10	conference	couple 12:6
Caballo 32:2	57:20	closer 9:8	20:10,12 22:4	17:11 19:18
34:19	Certified 57:3	code 41:4	23:12	23:17 48:23
California 2:6	certify 57:5,9,12	cohesive 34:1	conferences 39:4	course 17:9
call 13:9 28:13	Chad 3:21 9:12	Coleman 4:7	confidential	20:14 32:9
34:1 38:10	51:11	9:21	48:25	52:19 55:15
48:1 49:20	chad.wallace	Colorado 1:11	conflict 32:15	court 1:6 7:1,2
52:17,21	3:24	2:12 3:3,20,22	confuse 55:6	8:4 13:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı	I

	l	l	İ	İ
23:20 30:13	DC 4:9,14	designations	dmiller@kem	16:5,8 49:18
31:11 41:1,2,5	dcaroom@bic	41:20 42:24	6:15	earlier 49:23
48:8 55:21	5:20	43:15 44:10,25	documents	early 31:2 52:24
57:23	De 2:21 3:12	45:23 46:9	49:25 50:6,8	easier 36:18
covered 18:12	deadline 39:14	details 37:24	dog 40:25	easiest 49:5
covers 17:20	39:19	50:22	doing 11:19 13:7	efficient 45:19
COVID 31:13	deadlines 33:8	developing	13:8,22,22,25	45:25 51:19
create 15:7	deal 19:19 29:19	21:18	17:10,24 24:6	either 12:15
created 31:23	dealing 14:18	device 14:5	45:25 52:3	14:16 25:11
Crop 6:17 11:13	17:20 36:16	diagram 31:15	53:20	45:9
cross 46:24,25	48:22	31:17	Doug 10:6	El 4:21 5:16
51:18	dealt 36:15	difference 16:18	Douglas 5:17	10:4,6,12,15
cross-examina	December 54:13	32:12	Dr 10:17,22 29:9	53:7
13:14,23 29:9	decide 34:12	different 21:22	29:10 38:4,5	electing 45:16
29:18,19,20,22	52:24 55:23	33:24 54:19,19	42:20 43:10	electronic 25:13
44:22	decision 35:17	55:2	44:2,3	Elephant 5:6
cross-examine	dedicated 15:22	differently 27:17	drafts 41:3	10:18,21 34:18
45:1,2 51:14	defend 48:9	direct 38:11	Draper 3:11,11	53:7
CRR 57:19	defer 28:10 29:9	46:23	3:11 8:21,22	employ 57:12
Cruces 5:8,11	DELGADO	director 8:24	8:22	employee 53:10
5:21 10:7,9,10	5:18	10:10,11	Drawer 3:17	57:10
CSR 57:19	Delilah 10:10	disagree 47:5	dress 17:23 19:6	encompassed
CSV 50:1,11	demonstrative	disagreements	Drew 6:13 11:2	23:8
culpa 40:1	32:5,7 34:5	46:5	drone 30:1,8,10	encouraged
cumulative 43:3	36:6,13,14,20	disclose 32:24	30:21 31:8,8	45:22
currently 11:20	37:2	disclosed 22:21	31:14,18 32:1	energy 30:22
43:14	Denver 2:12 3:3	35:15 36:10	32:13,17,22	engaged 23:7
	3:23 4:4	50:21	33:6,20 34:18	engineer 8:13,22
<u> </u>	Department	disclosing 30:15	35:7 49:22	10:17 44:5
D 41:1	3:22 4:3,8,13	discovery 36:11	drone's 30:18	ensure 21:1
D'Antonio 8:23	4:18 9:20	50:7	drones 30:25	39:13
Dalrymple 9:2	depend 38:10	discrete 36:17	49:24	entered 26:14
Danny 9:15	depending 16:16	discuss 26:18	drop 49:6 53:18	entire 26:13
date 18:1 30:14	depo 44:18	48:19,20	Drusina 37:21	30:12 43:12
47:10,15 57:20	deposition 41:19	discussed 26:15	38:8	51:24
dates 54:13	43:13,15 45:5	49:23	Dubois 4:2 9:17	entirety 26:17
Davidson 6:2,2	45:18,23 46:9	discussion 21:18	9:18,19 19:21	entitled 45:2
11:6,7	depositions	43:20	28:5,8,9,25	envision 15:4
day 13:8 17:1	33:15 44:16	disputes 23:20	29:5 39:24,25	25:18 26:19
22:16 25:2	deputy 10:11	district 4:21 5:6	40:6,9,20	27:15 52:22
30:22 41:4	designate 15:14	6:12 10:13,16	DUNN 2:5,11	envisioned 25:9
52:8 53:1	16:6 44:15	10:17,19,22,25		envisioning 13:7
57:17	designated	11:3 53:8 55:8	$\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{C}}$	EP1 32:20
day's 13:11,21	13:14 44:4	55:10,10,12,14	E 2:1,1,1,1 3:16	especially 52:2
days 17:11	designation 43:1	56:3	4:7	essentially 44:23
23:10,12,17	43:13 44:1,18	Diversified 6:17	e-mail 15:1,21	Esslinger 37:8
30:24	45:5	11:13	15:22 16:1,1,2	38:1
L	I	ı	ı	ı

	1	1	1	
estimates 46:16	27:4,4,9,22,23	52:24 53:3	free 19:1	29:11 30:2,4
46:22,23	28:21,22 32:14	fine 11:22 14:23	front 46:20	31:4,10,11
Ethernet 16:17	32:23 33:2	22:2,6 38:22	full 27:22,23	34:1,11,23
evaluated 24:22	34:9,18 36:6,8	39:17 41:10	full-time 56:7	35:17 37:10,11
event 33:3	36:9,13,14	finish 15:20 31:2	fully 50:21	37:17 40:16
events 33:9	41:14 49:18	firm 5:7 6:2	function 14:13	45:9 49:1
40:11	50:24 51:1,7	15:17 57:23	14:15,16 15:2	52:17,25 53:20
eventually 20:20	expert 15:18	first 25:10 45:14	15:3	55:4 56:4
everybody 12:22	16:9 43:12	fit 24:13	further 53:17,25	Goldsberry 2:5
12:25 13:24	50:6	five 23:9,12 47:5	55:18 56:12,17	8:11
14:2,17 18:2	experts 44:4	five-day 25:2	57:9	good 8:16 9:11
35:16 47:11	Expiration	50:13	future 43:21	9:18 10:1,5,8
53:18 56:14,20	57:20	flew 33:23,23	G	10:14,20 11:1
everybody's	explained 32:20	flight 31:12,13		11:6,10 16:24
13:2 29:24	Expressway	31:14 32:1	G 5:17	19:7 30:7
everyone's 19:14	5:18	Floor 3:23	gage 34:19 Garza 7:2 57:3	55:15 56:1
evidence 48:4	extent 31:21	flown 32:21	Garza 7:2 57:3 57:19	gotten 34:24
evidentiary 36:8	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	fly 30:19	general 8:19,25	39:7
exactly 21:4	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$ 5:22	flying 30:25	8:25 54:10	gracious 42:6
27:14 45:24	facet 29:3	flyover 31:9,9,21	General's 2:16	Grande 6:17
examination	fact 12:10 27:21	35:7	3:16 8:12 9:14	8:14 11:12
14:1 17:10	29:17 32:18	folks 14:20	getting 22:19	31:17,18,20
examine 26:3	38:24 48:6	33:13	35:3 46:14	44:6
examining 13:15	facts 38:23,24	follow 50:21	give 20:15 41:25	grant 51:5
14:3	39:1,6,16 57:5	following 16:23 30:20	given 24:14,16	granted 49:16
example 32:1 34:13	Fair 42:18	footage 30:1	25:3 36:5 47:1	Greg 8:24 ground 32:11
exception 14:2	fall 29:20 50:3,5	31:22 32:13,17	57:16	grounds 51:6
23:6	far 17:2,15	32:20 33:6,21	go 12:5 15:10	group 14:23
exchange 23:13	32:22 34:25	33:24 49:22	16:1,2 20:3	15:15 30:10,21
50:1,7,11,13	39:18 42:13	forced 44:24	24:2 25:1	48:24
excuse 40:13	Farmers 6:17	foregoing 57:6	42:12 47:11	Growers 6:1,17
42:8	11:13	formally 50:9	52:15 56:6	11:5,7
exhibit 19:12	Fe 2:22 3:12,17	format 12:17	goal 23:18 31:20	guard 27:24
22:22 24:25	5:14,24 6:9	25:13	goes 15:6 23:15	guess 17:22 23:1
25:16 31:15	feel 51:15 54:21	formerly 44:5	29:15 34:3	30:10 32:12
32:5,7 34:5	felt 32:23	Fort 30:19 34:19	going 11:22,23	34:16,22,22,25
36:9,14,25	Ferguson 9:2,22	forward 33:17	12:18 13:5,9	35:3 36:11,21
37:2 49:12,14	field 32:13	51:17	14:4,14 15:12	37:3 38:5
49:25 50:13	file 25:17 38:19	four 14:11,12	15:13,16,19	42:19 45:14
exhibits 22:21	49:14 50:1,11	42:23 47:8	16:4,8,14,15	
22:23,23 23:13	51:5	50:2,4	16:19 17:3,5,6	H
23:23 24:11,11	filed 52:11	four-day 46:18	17:8,21 19:13	HAND 57:16
24:12,14,17,17	final 49:14,25	46:21 47:2	21:4,14 22:10	handle 25:15
24:20,23,24	finally 16:12	Fourth 4:23	22:12,14,25	hands 19:1
25:4,11,16,23	46:7	Francis 2:5 8:11	23:9 25:15,24	handwriting
26:2,9,20,21	find 34:13 48:5	Frankly 36:1	26:3 28:4 29:4	40:7
	I	I	l	ı

happen 13:1	18:7 20:1 23:5	immediately	INTERIOR	Jeff 8:17 19:21
33:10	23:11 24:3	27:6	4:13,18	Jeffrey 2:20
happened 31:2	25:20 26:7,24	impact 48:2,6	Interstate 9:1	Jennifer 4:8
31:22	27:19 28:5,9	Improvement	intervenor 8:5	9:21
happy 31:7	29:1,13 30:7	4:21 10:13,15	introduce 33:1	jennifer.najja
HARRIS 4:23	31:7 35:1,25	include 42:12	introducing	4:11
Hartman 3:22	37:9,19 38:17	45:22 46:21	32:8	jettison 48:7
9:14	39:8 40:2,5,21	included 50:1	invitation 16:5	jfstein@newm
He'll 56:6	40:24 41:25	includes 24:24	16:21,23,24	5:25
head's 20:16	43:9 44:11,20	including 15:17	invitations 21:4	Jim 10:2 40:7
hear 36:1 38:11	46:19 47:21,22	46:22,24 47:9	invite 21:7 22:7	job 30:22,25
heard 12:13,24	49:10,13,19	incompetent	22:9,13,15	John 3:11 6:7
12:25 36:2	51:9,11 52:3	28:14	invited 20:18	8:21,23 11:11
44:9,12	54:7 55:1	inconsistent	involved 33:14	john.draper@
hearing 1:15 9:5	Honor's 50:14	21:2	Iowa 1:16 55:9	3:13
39:20 41:21	HONORABLE	indicate 17:8	Irrigation 5:6	john@uttonk
44:9 48:15	1:15	indicated 15:13	10:18,22 53:7	6:10
57:8,11	hope 42:1 51:2	25:1 29:8	ISC 8:23	joining 10:10
hearings 52:16	hopeful 55:1	38:17 39:5	issue 14:18,22	joins 52:9
HEATH 5:18	hopefully 54:22	50:20 55:16	19:17 23:1	joint 24:11,17
Heather 7:2	hoping 39:12	individual 35:9	24:4,10 28:13	26:13,16,20
11:19 14:6	host 14:19	information	30:1 33:5 37:3	27:4,23
18:13,21 57:3	hour 52:24	16:4,25 24:7	38:23 39:5	jointly 26:15
57:19	hours 30:12	28:6,16,17	41:22 44:13	judge 1:15 8:1,1
heather_garza	Houston 7:3	33:13 55:25	48:18,21 49:12	8:15 9:3,16,24
7:4	57:24	initial 36:21	49:19 50:2,10	10:4,7,12,18
Heilmann 44:1,3	Hubenak 2:15	39:9	53:23 54:23	10:24 11:4,8
help 42:2 51:15	8:13 54:9	initially 12:10	55:6,7	11:12,18,21
helpful 32:5	Hudspeth 6:11	inquire 54:16	issued 38:20	17:19 18:5,8
36:1,2 40:25	10:24 11:2	instructions	issues 14:21	18:17,20,22
helps 37:13	37:22	36:5	17:20 18:18	19:4,8,11 20:3
HENNIGHA	hundreds 55:11	intend 20:16	31:6 40:3 51:1	21:6,11 22:2
6:19	hurricane 30:20	38:6 51:14	it'd 39:6 55:21	22:19 23:4,22
hereto 57:6	30:24	54:25	it'll 20:10 29:17	24:1,18 25:8
Hicks 5:2,2		intending 20:8	32:4	25:22 26:8,19
10:16	I	24:25 25:5	item 51:18	27:3,8,16 28:1
historian 43:10	Ian 9:22	34:20 36:12	items 50:10	28:8,15 29:2,7
50:6	IBWC 38:10	42:14		29:23 30:6
historical 50:5	Ida 30:20	intends 20:5	J	31:5 33:18,20
hit 14:4 30:20	idea 32:14 42:5	29:8	J 4:2	34:2,4,7,16
Hoffman 2:4	45:4	intent 47:21	James 4:2 5:12	35:2,6,12,15
8:11	identified 22:23	48:1 52:14	9:19	35:20,23 36:23
hold 19:16 42:9	27:10 41:23	intention 12:24	james.dubois	37:16 38:13,22
Honor 8:8,16	50:11	13:16 37:24	4:5	39:17 40:16,22
9:12,18 10:2,5	identify 17:4	interest 48:9	Jay 5:22 10:9	41:10,18 42:8
10:8,14,21	27:9 31:19	55:18	jcbrockmann	43:5,22 44:7
11:2,6,10 18:4	II 2:5	interested 57:13	5:15	45:11 46:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 03
47:4,8 48:12	39:17 45:19	Lisa 3:1 8:20	M 2:1,15 3:1	9:24 10:4,7,12
48:15 50:17	46:14 49:17	list 15:24 19:12	magistrate 55:9	10:18,24 11:4
51:4,10,22	53:1 54:17,18	22:20,20,22	55:12 56:2	11:8,12,18,21
52:5,14 53:6	55:7,17,25	23:13 25:4	mailed 27:21	17:19 18:5,8
53:22 54:12,14	56:12,14	26:13,16 36:9	mailing 27:21	18:17,20,22
54:15,21 55:1	Kopp 3:1 8:21	36:14 37:11,12	main 5:8 49:1,3	19:4,8,11 20:3
55:4,8,9,10,12	Kryloff 43:10	37:21 40:17	Mall 2:6	21:6,11 22:2
55:12,14 56:2	Krylon +3.10	42:17,21 49:13	management	22:19 23:4,22
56:3,19	L	49:15,23,25	38:17,25 46:3	24:1,18 25:8
Judith 4:7 9:20	L 2:3 7:2 57:3	50:9,13,15	47:24	25:22 26:8,19
judith.colema	57:19	51:5	manner 26:14	27:3,8,16 28:1
4:10	labeled 20:10	listed 20:13 36:9	map 31:25 32:2	28:8,15 29:2,7
July 24:5	Lake 4:19	47:24	March 47:13	29:23 30:6
jump 28:5	language 20:20	lists 19:12,13	56:5,7	31:5 33:18,20
Justice 4:3,8	larger 48:24	23:9 27:1	Maria 4:22	34:2,4,7,16
9:20	Las 5:8,11,21	46:15	10:15	35:2,6,12,15
jwechsler@m	10:7,9,10	little 9:8 32:2	Marquette 3:7	35:20,23 36:23
2:23	late 33:8 52:23	53:19 55:23	MASTER 1:15	37:16 38:13,22
2.23	LAW 3:22 5:2,7	live 43:25 44:21	materials 17:6,7	39:17 40:16,22
K	6:2	45:6,6,10	matter 8:3 31:10	41:10,18 42:8
KEMP 6:13	Lawrence 2:12	LLC 3:11 5:7	38:1	43:5,22 44:7
kept 28:24 38:18	lead 54:5	6:2	MAX 5:2	45:11 46:12
KERY 6:8	leave 15:14 19:4	LLP 5:18 6:13	may-call 37:11	47:4,8 48:12
Khoury 3:15	37:4 49:14,16	log 13:1	42:17 47:25	48:15 50:17
8:18 54:4,6,24	49:16,19 51:5	log-in 16:25	MCREA 6:19	51:4,10,22
kin 57:13	52:23 53:11	logistics 18:12	mea 39:25	52:5,14 53:6
kind 12:5 28:24	leaves 43:23	Lombardini	mean 13:3 24:11	53:22 54:15
29:11 30:10	44:1	44:2,3	26:2 33:9	55:4 56:19
55:22	Lee 4:2 9:20	long 33:21 50:25	45:14,15,19	members 15:16
King 10:23 29:9	lee.leininger@	Longworth 42:3	50:23	messaging 17:14
38:4	4:5	42:20	mechanics 12:1	Mexico 1:11
Klahn 2:11 8:11		look 33:16 40:23	20:2	2:19,22 3:8,12
30:5,7 31:7	9:20	44:8 46:12	mediation 48:22	3:16,17 4:24
33:19,22 34:3	let's 11:25 18:18	47:12	53:19,24 54:5	5:8,14,24 6:1,4
34:6,10,22	letter 28:16 31:6	looking 34:16	55:13 56:7	6:6,9,17,20 8:5
35:5,11,14,19	37:6 47:17,21	37:21 50:18,20	mediations	8:18 11:4,7,8
35:22 49:22	49:13,20 51:13	Looks 19:21	55:11	13:20 16:10
Klahn's 36:19	letters 17:11	Looks 19:21 Lopez 42:3,20	mediator 48:22	20:5,7,10,14
knew 55:14	level 47:23	lost 39:11 40:1	53:24 54:9,12	24:5 27:21,22
know 9:4,6 12:2	light 43:16	lot 30:23 33:24	53:24 54:9,12	29:8 31:15
12:2 13:11	likelihood 22:3		56:15	32:25 33:13,16
14:25 18:14	likewise 20:11	36:18 55:13,20 56:2	meet 23:18	43:13 44:13,21
22:14 24:15	limine 24:9	low 9:6	37:23 39:10	45:13 44:13,21
28:3,9,18,20	limit 15:16		meet-and-con	
28:21,22,23	limited 45:21	lthompson@t 3:4	46:4	51:6 54:5,24 55:1
32:10 33:14,16	Lincoln 3:2	J. 4	46:4 Melloy 1:15 8:1	
34:11 37:13	link 21:1	M	8:1,15 9:3,16	mgoldsberry 2:9
3 373			0.1,13 9.3,10	<i>2.9</i>

			I	
Mica 44:1	muted 11:14	non-authentic	55:20	options 14:16
Michael 1:15	13:3,3 14:2	24:16	occasioned 33:9	order 14:14
3:1 8:21		non-party 53:16	occur 21:5	15:13 20:19,20
microphone 9:4	N	normally 13:13	October 47:1,8	20:22 24:5
9:8 13:2,3,6	N 2:1	north 6:19 34:3	offer 25:5 42:14	27:20 30:24
14:6	N.W 4:23	note 25:14	offered 43:1	38:18,25 40:21
mics 12:15	Najjar 4:8 9:21	notebook 27:10	offering 20:25	40:23 46:3
Miller 6:13 11:1	name 11:14 16:8	notice 17:10	office 2:16,16	47:24 49:15
11:2	named 48:21	37:25 38:19	3:16,17 4:9 5:2	orders 25:10
mine 14:11	names 13:10	November 47:3	5:3,13,23 6:3,8	Original 1:3 8:4
minute 53:23	nature 25:7	number 35:9	8:10,12,19	Orleans 30:21
minutes 33:21	necessarily	50:12	9:14,22 20:9	30:23
49:7	25:24 26:9,22	numbered 34:8	20:13 21:24	OSE 8:25
missed 51:13	28:23 52:25	numbering 35:4	22:4 51:23	ought 14:10
misstate 12:8	56:13	NW 3:7 4:14	53:13 54:9	outstanding
misunderstan	necessary 37:7		57:16	41:19
26:5	37:20 38:5	0	Ogaz 3:16 8:19	
mix 32:22,22	46:6 48:10	O 2:1	oh 37:22	P
mkopp@trout	51:15	O'Brien 4:22	okay 11:4,21,22	P 2:1,1
3:4	need 12:8 15:6	10:14,15	14:10 18:9,11	P.A 4:23 5:13,23
mobrien@mo	19:1 37:15,17	object 29:12	19:7,8 22:2	6:8
4:25	37:18 38:4,14	40:17 44:20	24:1 27:16	P.C 3:7
modification	43:24 49:8,24	51:1	28:1 29:7,23	p.m 56:22
15:10	50:8,11 51:16	objecting 13:22	30:6 34:2	page 32:3
modifications	51:20 54:17,22	objection 14:4	35:23 40:16	pages 57:6
15:12	54:23	17:15 22:24	41:10,11 43:5	Paragraph
MODRALL	needed 38:8	29:14 32:17	43:22 47:9	34:17
4:23	needs 43:20	33:7 36:7,21	52:14 54:15	paralegals 15:17
Monday 12:2	never 24:9	37:1 43:11	55:4,22	22:11
40:11 47:13	new 1:11 2:19	44:23 47:19	Olsen 6:18,19	Pardon 18:5
MONITOR	2:22 3:8,12,16	48:16,20,24	11:13	paring 43:14
19:18,25 21:8	3:17 4:24 5:8	49:4	once 16:3,3,20	part 19:5 20:18
52:13	5:14,24 6:1,4,6	objections 13:16	17:21 22:8	31:19 33:12
Montgomery	6:9,17,20 8:4	13:18 23:6,8	25:9,13,16	36:10 43:18
2:21 8:17	8:18 11:4,7,8	23:15,17,19	ones 35:18 41:21	participant
MoPac 5:18	13:20 16:10	24:6,16,23	41:23 42:9	12:22 15:25
morning 8:16	20:5,7,10,14	25:6,7,7 33:12	43:24 50:20	participants
9:11,13,18	24:5 27:20,22	39:23 41:15,19	open 17:9 53:15	12:11,12
10:1,5,8,14,20	29:7 30:21,23	51:6	operable 12:15	participate 52:4
11:1,6,10 13:1	31:15 32:25	obligation 32:24	12:16	participates
13:19 30:7	33:13,15 43:13	obligations 48:7	opportunity	52:9
49:9 52:15	44:13,20 45:8	observe 15:15	23:16 37:23	participating
motions 24:9	46:24,25 50:8	observers 12:14	45:15,17	13:5,11,21
moves 51:8	51:6 54:5,24	52:23	opposed 36:7	51:24 52:21
moves 31.0 movie 34:1	55:1	observing 53:3	45:6 50:12	participation
multiple 33:23	nodding 54:1	obviously 13:25	option 55:5,23	47:23
mute 53:20	noise 14:8	14:25 45:17	56:1,9	particular 25:25
111410 33.20] 50.1,7	1

26:10 29:3	21:23	15:5	13:17 15:25	28:5 38:9 53:4
44:13 45:3	Pete 12:7 52:6,7	prefer 48:20	16:9,22	questions 12:9
53:12	phase 46:17	preferably 15:24	proceedings	16:13,19 18:11
parties 12:18	phases 48:5	prejudice 46:8	13:8,11,21	22:17 29:3
13:10 14:12	Phil 10:22	premised 45:4	17:20 21:2	37:25 45:17
23:1,7,10,16	photographs	preparation	56:21 57:8	quick 18:24,25
24:13,15,22	31:24 34:18,19	29:16,19	process 23:15	20:2
25:4 26:14	34:20,24,25	present 24:25	25:2	quicker 55:24
30:14 33:3	35:9,12,16	45:16,24 46:9	programs 15:1	Quitman 30:20
39:11,15 41:6	photos 34:8,11	46:11 48:1,4	progress 53:25	34:19
44:23 45:4,22	34:14	presented 25:3	54:8	
46:4,23 47:22	pick 39:12	presenting	project 31:18,20	R
48:21,21 49:1	picture 32:10,10	21:23 25:3	propose 17:25	R 2:1,1 4:2 5:7
49:3 50:7	pictures 36:17	preserve 50:25	26:16 43:19	Rael 3:7 8:20
51:12,17 52:18	pilot 30:21	Preston 3:22	proposed 20:19	raise 43:3,21
53:17 54:25	pilots 30:18	9:14	23:14 24:12	49:12 51:1
57:10,14	31:14,18	preston.hartm	39:6,9	raised 18:19
parties' 41:8	place 33:23	3:25	proposing 30:13	24:4 31:6 37:6
parts 43:16	placed 45:20	presume 12:20	35:4	38:23 40:4
party 16:11 24:2	places 33:24	pretrial 30:17	protocols 20:21	43:20 44:13
25:3,11 37:18	plan 30:18 31:12	pretty 9:6 16:7	21:19	55:7
42:14	31:14 47:12	17:19 52:24	provide 41:2	raises 33:5
Paseo 2:21 3:12	plane 31:11	previous 46:22	47:21	Raley 3:2 8:21
Paso 4:21 5:16	planning 33:1	previously 38:3	provided 31:13	ran 55:20
10:4,6,12,15	34:4	47:24 50:21	31:15 33:12	Randel 4:13
53:7	platform 28:7	primarily 20:8,9	41:5	9:22
passed 39:14	play 23:15	20:12 21:19	providing 37:25	RAPIDS 1:16
password 41:4	point 24:8,14,22	printed 26:1,4	provision 46:4	reached 43:17
pause 18:23,25	25:12 34:10	27:10,22	pulled 31:13	reaction 39:10
paying 56:8	35:3,17 36:19	prior 23:7 36:2	purpose 32:9	read 41:1 46:2
Pecan 6:1,17	36:24 37:5	54:18 56:15	44:12	ready 27:8 real 18:24 37:1
11:4,7	39:22 48:9	Priscilla 2:15	purposes 26:11	55:15
people 12:7,11	49:17 52:2	8:12	26:22 41:12	realized 50:2
13:4,10,13	portions 44:16	priscilla.hube	pursuant 45:3	really 32:23
14:7 15:17	position 35:24	2:18	pursue 55:18	36:24 47:21
16:5 18:14	44:19 45:10	private 14:24	put 26:10 27:9	52:19 54:4,16
19:18 22:11,11	50:17	probably 15:5	27:10 29:21	54:17
28:10 32:13	possible 15:23	29:11 49:5	37:10,12,14	realtime 11:19
49:6 55:15	31:21 46:1 Post 2:16 2:17	55:22 56:6	39:21 40:9 48:10 51:15	17:13 18:25
Peralta 2:21	Post 2:16 3:17	problem 9:7		40:18 41:2,4
3:12 permission	4:9 5:3,13,23 6:3,8	17:16 47:14 48:13 50:25	putting 34:15 puzzling 36:8	reason 12:18
50:15	potential 27:20	54:17	puzzing 50.0	25:24 30:16
person 13:14,15	potentially 55:2	problems 14:9	Q	54:15 56:13
22:8,9 52:16	pre-trial 39:2	27:20	quality 14:9	rebuttal 48:4
person's 16:1	44:15,18 49:15	proceed 20:16	question 14:9	51:16,20
perspective	preassigned	proceed 20.10 proceeding	18:13 20:7,17	recall 30:17
perspective	Preassigned	proceding		

receive 16:20,21	reporter 7:1	responsibility	22:4 53:8,14	56:20
received 17:11	11:20 18:20,23	20:25	rooms 12:19,22	seen 12:13
receives 16:4	19:7 31:11	rest 30:15 32:4	15:5,8	selective 32:15
receiving 15:21	41:1,2,5 57:4	50:3	Roswell 6:20	32:17
16:3	Reporters 7:2	retire 56:4	rough 41:3	send 15:23 16:4
Reclamation	57:23	retired 44:4	roughly 47:5	21:7 22:15
6:11 9:23	Reporting 12:3	retirement 56:6	RPR 57:19	28:16 49:13
10:25 34:15	reports 43:17	review 31:8	rule 33:8 37:3	sending 16:22
record 8:9 26:11	representative	41:13 52:11		sense 48:25
26:22 28:18,24	32:16	reviewed 24:9	S	50:14
41:12 52:8,20	representatives	revisited 24:10	S 2:1 5:18 6:13	sent 49:21
records 53:2	15:18,19	Reyes 37:8 38:1	Sacramento 2:6	separate 21:25
redundant	represented	Rheiner 9:15	safe 54:7	34:8,17 35:4,9
32:15,23 42:6	38:3	rhicks@renea	Salt 4:19	49:18
42:22 43:3	representing	5:4	Samantha 5:7	separately 36:15
referenced	14:12 16:12	rhoffman@so	10:21	49:3
38:25	reputation 56:1	2:8	samantha@h	September 1:14
regard 53:25	request 17:12	Rich 4:17 9:22	5:9	57:17
regarding 20:21	40:18 41:13	Richardson 6:19	Santa 2:22 3:12	serious 48:19
register 16:7	44:14,25 45:6	Ridgley 8:24	3:17 5:14,24	49:4
21:9	47:18 49:16	right 8:15 9:16	6:9	seriously 47:4
registration 16:7	requested 24:5	11:16,25 15:15	Sarah 2:11 8:11	serve 50:15
16:20 57:23	29:8	18:11,17 22:19	saying 19:19	served 55:10
regular 13:12	requesting	23:22 27:6	24:19,21	service 25:18
30:25 57:10,12	49:14,21	28:15 29:7,23	says 20:22,24	session 15:8,20
rehearsal 17:23	require 46:3	29:25 34:5	40:23 41:1	set 27:22,23
19:6	required 49:15	38:13 41:15,16	52:1	36:17 47:15
related 14:20	requirement	42:15 44:7,25	schedule 46:22	Settemeyer 44:2
32:18 50:3	46:17	46:14 47:9,16	scheduled 12:1	44:4
relation 32:4	research 29:5	48:3,15 51:1,4	Schmidt-Peter	setting 15:4 50:3
relay 49:1	reserve 29:17	51:14,22 56:19	8:24	50:4,5
remainder 30:19	48:3 51:14	rights 48:6	Scott 9:14	setup 9:4
31:3	reserving 41:15	Rio 6:17 8:14	SE 1:16	SEVENTH 1:16
remaining 23:19	resolve 23:18	11:12 31:17,17	SEAL 57:16	share 20:23
32:19	44:8	31:19 44:5	second 18:25	25:17
reminder 24:4	resolved 41:20	Rios 37:13 38:2	19:16 22:15	Shelly 4:13 9:1
44:2	43:24	43:24	42:8,9 47:3	9:22
REMOTE 1:15	respect 49:12	Road 6:3	Secondly 45:18	shelly.randel
remotely 52:3	54:17	Robert 2:4 8:11	46:2	4:15
Renea 5:2,2	respond 45:11	Robles 3:7 8:20	section 20:22,24	shorter 31:23
10:16	responded 39:23	ROEHL 4:23	40:25 41:1	Shorthand 57:4
replacement	response 11:17	role 16:9	44:19 45:3,3	show 17:9 30:13
31:9	17:18 18:16	Rolf 8:24	46:3	showing 33:17
replicate 31:20	19:10 22:18	roll 13:8	see 11:14,15,23	shows 32:3
report 28:25	29:6 45:13	room 17:4 20:10	25:8 30:25 47:18 48:1	signature 40:10
31:16 43:12,16	48:14 53:5	20:13 21:13,14		significantly
reported 30:18	56:18	21:20,24 22:1	52:1 55:14	43:15
	-	-	-	•

	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	
similar 20:11	SPERLING	stitch 33:25	susan@robles	42:25 53:10
22:25	4:23	straight-line	3:9	testify 36:19
SIMMONS 2:5	spring 29:10,18	31:17	suspect 53:12	45:9
2:11	29:22 47:10	Stream 9:1	Suzy 8:14	testifying 41:9
simply 31:20	50:4	Street 2:12 3:2	swamped 40:12	43:25
36:10 38:19	ssomach@so	4:3,14,18,23	switch 22:13	testimony 14:18
45:18 46:7	2:7	7:3	switched 12:17	17:3,7 29:10
Singer 8:25	staff 22:10	strictly 14:20	system 31:17	38:11 42:6,22
single 20:12	stand 23:20	structures 31:19	32:4	44:24 45:6,6
36:16 52:16	start 8:2,6 12:1	Stuart 2:3 8:9		45:21,24 47:6
SISK 4:23	15:13 17:3	29:13	<u>T</u>	Texas 1:9 2:2,16
six 14:10 33:10	23:2,24 56:8	Sub 41:23	T 2:1 6:2	2:17 5:3,19
52:20	started 8:2	subject 15:9	table 13:13	6:14 7:3 8:4,10
skills 54:22,22	11:18 25:9	44:22 51:5	tag 13:18	8:12,13 12:20
skipping 42:2	starting 47:13	submit 45:5	take 33:22 37:14	13:19 16:10
sklahn@soma	state 1:9,11,11	submitted 22:22	39:25 44:8	18:3,6 26:24
2:13	2:2,16,19 3:20	44:14	46:12 48:16	29:14 30:5
sky 32:11	4:18 6:6 8:9,17	submitting	49:9 52:17	36:11 38:2,11
SMITH 6:13	8:22 9:12 11:8	44:24 46:15	taken 32:11	42:6 43:1,11
Solicitor's 9:21	13:19,20 57:4	subpoena 37:7	57:11	43:14 44:3,5
Somach 2:3,5,11	stated 57:5	37:15,18 38:20	talk 11:25 28:11	44:23 45:7,14
8:7,8,9 18:3,6	statement 39:2	subpoenaed	30:3 49:2,7	46:8 49:11,13
23:2,3 29:13	43:7 44:18	37:17	53:19 54:4	51:2,8 54:9
29:14 37:9	statements	subpoenas 37:7	56:11,14	57:4
somebody 19:17	44:15	37:20 38:7,7	talked 12:4,6,10	Texas' 26:6
34:12 36:25	states 1:6,15 4:1	substantive	39:3	41:13 44:19
52:4 55:24	8:3,5 9:19	14:17 18:18	talking 14:6	text 17:14
soon 15:23	12:20 28:7	successful 55:3	15:9 43:14	Thacker 42:3,9
sorry 24:18	34:13 38:2	successfully	tbarfield@so	42:12,12,14,16
42:11,17	39:23 40:14	55:11	2:8	Thank 28:1
sort 12:11 39:11	43:10 46:8	suggest 21:8	teaming 13:18	40:15 47:20
40:1,12	status 23:12	47:12	technical 14:21	51:9 54:6
sound 14:8	29:25 30:8	Suite 2:6,12 3:2	14:22 15:17	Thanks 56:20
19:23	39:4 42:1 57:8	3:7 4:3,18 5:8	16:13,19 19:16	Theresa 2:4 8:10
Sounds 19:7	57:11	5:18 6:14 7:3	22:11 28:10	49:11
south 4:18 5:8	stay 53:18	57:24	technology	thing 14:13
34:3	Stein 5:13,22,23	summary 49:21	16:16	things 25:14
Southern 6:17	10:8,9	supplemental	ten 30:24 49:25	55:8
11:12	Steinbrecher	36:10	tentatively	think 12:4 14:7
spacebar 14:5	9:15	suppose 47:11	54:13	14:15 17:19
speak 9:7 12:23	stipulated 38:23	supposed 39:1	term 17:22	21:19,21 23:11
12:25 18:9	38:24,25 39:6	Supreme 1:6 8:4	terms 14:8 23:6	24:4,11,14
30:2 47:17	39:16	55:21	44:19 Toggo 6:2 11:7	25:1 26:12
54:25	stipulation	sure 29:16 33:19	Tessa 6:2 11:7	28:19 29:24
special 1:15 15:7	24:15 42:22	34:23 51:12	test 19:2	30:16 31:15
specific 15:8	stipulations 39:3	52:7	testified 42:25	32:4 36:23
23:14 26:23	39:18 40:8	Susan 3:6 8:19	testifies 23:10	37:11,18 39:3
	•	•	•	•

40:17,20,22,22	transcription	50:23 52:7,8	33:8,9,14 35:7	wanting 50:24
42:13 45:1,23	41:2	understanding	35:8 36:17	wants 29:15
46:5,16 47:7	trial 12:1 13:2,5	19:15 21:15,19	37:2 53:9,16	30:2 32:25
48:10,20,23	13:12 15:6,15	26:7 32:6	videoed 31:10	53:9 55:22
49:2 50:19,22	15:22 17:21,24	35:21 39:2	videos 30:10,14	Washington 4:9
51:18,23 55:22	20:6,8,21	understood	30:15 31:24	4:14
56:10	23:24 24:8	43:11,16	32:1 36:17	water 4:21 5:11
thinks 18:14	25:9 26:17	unfortunately	viewing 16:14	9:25 10:3,12
55:21	27:2,5 29:3,15	40:10 46:20	virtual 12:17	10:15 16:10
Thompson 3:1	38:17,25 41:3	unique 50:12	19:18,25 21:8	way 19:15 20:7
8:20	46:2,17 47:10	United 1:6,15	52:13	27:12,19 29:17
thought 21:3	47:24 50:3,4,5	4:1 8:3,5 9:19	visit 53:23	36:16 44:14,24
32:6 53:24	50:12 51:24	12:20 28:7	volume 9:5	45:7,8,9 49:6
56:13	53:4	34:13 38:2		50:9
thoughts 36:22	tried 33:25	39:23 43:10	W	ways 45:24
48:23	trip 32:13	46:8	W 6:7	we'll 11:24
thread 39:11,12	trouble 9:5	University 6:6	wait 24:8 29:21	14:15 15:5
40:1	Trout 3:2 8:21	11:9,11	51:19,25	18:9 19:4,5
three 13:13	true 57:6,7	unresolved	waiting 50:12	20:9 23:13,18
44:10	try 46:5	23:21	Wallace 3:21	27:3 29:20,21
three-fold 45:14	trying 42:7	updated 19:13	9:10,11,12	30:15 37:3,3
throw 55:5	45:25	22:22 50:15	47:17,18,20	37:14 38:19
56:10	ttd@tessadavi	uploaded 25:17	48:17 51:11,12	41:11 43:25
Thursday 16:23	6:5	27:6,12	51:23 52:2	46:13 47:14,14
time 18:10 23:21	turn 13:5 15:10	usable 34:14	Walsh 10:10	48:16 49:7,7
26:25 31:12	18:24	use 14:5,14,25	want 12:5,21	52:3,10,20
34:21 36:2	two 12:10 14:15	15:3 26:1 27:2	14:22 15:1,25	53:1 56:20
43:2,4,21	38:7	33:1,4 34:5,8	17:22 18:25	we're 15:12,16
44:14 45:19	TX 57:24	34:11,13,23	19:17 20:4	15:23 17:3,5,7
46:15,16 51:7	typically 28:7	35:18 36:12,16	22:11 26:21	30:12 31:3
55:19 57:8		41:8 50:3,5,6	27:19,24 28:19	33:1 34:11,23
TMO 45:3	U	uses 28:7	28:21,22,23	37:10,11 38:18
today 10:22 15:9	U.S 4:3,8,13,18	usually 8:3	29:4,16 34:8	39:11,15 45:1
15:20,24 17:25	36:12 38:11	21:14	44:19 45:11	45:25,25 47:25
23:8 30:15	un-mute 19:19	Utah 4:19	46:10 47:17	49:21 50:20
31:4 32:19	uncomfortable	utilities 10:11	48:3,7,18	52:3 55:1
35:5 36:5	56:16	Utility 5:11 10:3	49:11,19 51:13	we've 12:16 23:8
38:14 40:13,14	understand	Utton 6:7,8	53:18 54:2,19	31:22 33:11,12
49:20	12:16 16:14	11:10,11	54:20 55:5,18	Wechsler 2:20
tomorrow 19:13	19:15 22:13		55:24 56:10	8:15,16,17 9:3
22:21	25:17 26:2,8	V	wanted 11:19	19:23 20:1,4
total 35:8	27:12,17,18	v 1:10 3:22	17:12 18:23	21:16,17 24:3
transcribed	33:7 36:3,4,6	Valentine 8:14	20:15 24:8	24:21 25:20
31:10	36:18 37:1,20	versus 8:4 16:17	25:14 33:2,3	27:18 28:3
transcript 1:14	38:14 41:7,12	video 30:8,8,11	38:18 39:13	29:12 31:6
40:18 52:1	41:16,22 42:1	30:12 31:3,8,8	51:12,20 53:3	33:5 35:24,25
57:7	42:4,13 43:25	31:23 32:3	53:22 56:10	37:6,19 38:15
				,

		_	_	_
38:16,23 39:6	21:1,4,7,9,12	writing 41:5	1849 4:14	4.E.1 20:24
39:8 40:3,5,15	21:21,24 22:3	44:17	18th 4:3	4206 6:3
40:24 41:16,17	22:20,20 23:10	written 36:4	1998 31:16	446-7979 2:7
41:22,24 42:11	23:14,19 25:2			463-2012 2:17
42:18 43:6,9	25:5,25 26:2,3	X	2	472-8021 5:19
43:23 45:12,13	26:5,10,23		20 5:8	480-8231 5:4
46:19 47:7	27:9 29:16	Y	20044 4:9	4B 20:22
50:18,19 54:1	36:19 37:18	y'all 23:23	202 4:10,15	
54:3,6	40:19 41:8,8	yeah 19:21,23	2021 1:14 57:17	5
Wechsler's	42:14,17,25,25	21:17 40:9	20240 4:14	5 39:22
32:16 34:17	45:5 46:15	45:13 54:7	2067 5:13,23	500 2:6 3:7 4:23
40:17 49:17	52:18 53:9,10	years 55:13 56:3	208-5432 4:15	505 2:22 3:8,13
Wednesday	53:12,13,15	yesterday 49:13	22 1:14	3:18 4:24 5:14
39:20	witness' 14:11	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	223 57:23	5:24 6:4,9
weeds 16:15	witness-specific	Zachary 3:16	2240 6:3	508-6281 3:24
week 12:2 16:24	27:1	8:18	23 34:2	512 2:17 5:4,19
16:25 17:1,23	witnesses 15:18	zogaz@nmag	23-minute 30:11	6:15
17:25 19:5	17:2,16 20:12	zogaz@nmag 3:19	34:1 35:8	514-3553 4:10
30:20 31:2	20:17 21:21	Zoom 17:9 20:5	36:16	524-5677 4:19
32:21 39:20	27:1 29:20	Z 00III 17.9 20.3	235 7:3 57:24	52401 1:16
40:3,12 41:21	32:8 34:15,25	0	2386 6:8	570-4591 3:13
46:13,18 47:1	37:10,16 42:23	04-30-22 57:20	242-2228 3:8	575 5:9 6:20
47:2,3,9 50:2	44:21,22 45:1		25th 47:2	6
50:16 56:20	45:2,8,9,15,21	1	26 33:8	604 6:19
weeks 33:10	46:10,25 47:24	1 4:21 10:13,16	2701 2:12	6201 4:18
46:18 47:6,8	48:1 51:17,25	10:25	279-7868 2:13	624-2463 6:20
went 32:14	wondering 40:7	1000 2:6	27th 30:17 57:17	636-2377 5:9
Weslayan 7:3	word 20:2	11:00 18:10	29th 18:9	699-1445 6:9
57:24	work 12:18	11:02 1:16	2C 34:17	
Widmer 10:11	17:21,25 18:2	1100 5:8	2D 40:25	7
will-call 37:12	18:8 29:4 30:9	111 1:15	3	7 41:12
42:21	30:23 46:5	1120 3:2	30 31:23 34:18	700 3:7
willing 55:16	49:24 50:23	113 2:12	300 5:18 31:23	720 2:13 3:24
winding 56:5	51:2	12 55:13 56:3	3000 7:3 57:24	745-1101 7:4
window 17:8,9	worked 37:24	12:13 56:21	303 3:3 4:4	7611 4:9
wireless 16:17	working 11:22	125 4:18	303187 5:3	77027 7:3 57:24
wish 44:9	39:3	12548 2:16	320-5466 6:15	78701 6:14
withdraw 43:25	works 11:24	1260 6:14	325 2:21 3:12	78703 5:3
withdrawing 42:7	18:3,6 Worldwide 7:2	129 31:16	329-4672 3:18	78711 2:17
withdrawn		13 55:13 56:3	330 34:17 35:4	78746 5:19
36:13 42:4,24	12:3,6,7,14 14:7,19 15:21	1300 3:23	36:9	792-3636 6:4
witness 13:25	16:2,3 18:15	141 1:3 8:4 14th 47:13	370 4:3	7th 3:23
14:3 16:10	21:3,7 22:7,14	1508 3:17	3711 5:18	o
17:5,5,12,13	52:6,8,22 53:2	1508 3:17 15th 24:5		8
19:12,13 20:14	57:23	1600 3:2	4	800 7:4
20:15,24 21:1	worry 11:24	18 33:21	4 45:3,4 46:3	800-745-1101
20.13,27 21.1	wony 11.24	10 33.41		

Page 70

				Page 70
57:25				
801 4:19				
80202 4:4				
80203 3:3,23				
80205 2:12				
816 6:14				
8262 57:20				
84138 4:19				
844-1375 4:4				
848-1800 4:24				
861-1963 3:3				
87048 6:4				
87102 3:8				
87103 4:24				
87501 2:22 3:12				
3:17				
87504 5:14,24				
6:9				
88005 5:8				
88202 6:20				
9				
916 2:7				
95 34:19				
95814 2:6				
983-3880 5:14				
5:24				
986-2637 2:22				
999 4:3				
-				
-				
	·	ı		ı