1		NO. 1	41 ORIGINAL
2			
3			IN THE
4			OF THE UNITED STATES
5		STATI	E OF TEXAS
6			V. NEW MEXICO and
7			OF COLORADO
8	mr		TDAY OCHODED 16 2015
9	Tr	STATUS CO	IDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2015 NFERENCE BEFORE
10			Y GRIMSAL, ESQ. IAL MASTER
11			·
12			
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
14			
15	FOR THE STATE OF	TEXAS:	BY: STUART L. SOMACH, ESQ.
16			500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 Sacramento, California 95814
17			
18	FOR THE STATE OF	NEW MEXICO:	STATE OF NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
19			BY: SARAH A. BOND, ESQ. Post Office Drawer 1508
20			Sante Fe, New Mexico 87501
21	FOR THE STATE OF	COLORADO:	COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
22			BY: CHAD M. WALLACE, ESQ. PRESTON V. HARTMAN, ESQ.
23			1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203
24			·
25			
_ `			

1 2	FOR THE UNITED STATES:	ENVIRONMENTAL & NATURAL RESOURCES	
3		BY: JAMES J. DUBOIS, ESQ. A. LEE LEININGER, ESQ.	
4		999 18th Street South Terrace - Suite 370	
5		Denver, Colorado 80202	
6		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE, ESQ.	
7		501 I Street, Suite 9-700 Sacramento, California 95814	
8		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR	
9		BY: BELLA S. WOLITZ, ESQ. 1849 C Street NW	
10		Washington, DC 20240	
11	FOR EL PASO COUNTY WATER		
12	IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1:	JAMES M. SPEER JR., ESQ. 300 East Main St., Suite 1032	
13		El Paso, Texas 79901	
14		MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK	
15		BY: MARIA O'BRIEN, ESQ. SARAH M. STEVENSON, ESQ.	
16		500 Fourth St. NW, Suite 1000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103	
17			
18			
19	Official Court Reporter:	Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR 500 Poydras Street, B-275	
20		New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776	
21			
22			
23	Proceedings recorded by mecha produced by computer.	nical stenography, transcript	
24			
25			
	1		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (FRIDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2015) (STATUS CONFERENCE) 3 (OPEN COURT.) 13:00:24 13:00:24 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, counsel. How is everybody 13:00:31 7 doing? MR. SPEER: Well, it's getting cold finally in El Paso, 13:00:35 8 13:00:40 9 which we welcome. MS. BOND: It's not even cold, Jim. 13:00:42 10 13:00:44 11 MR. SPEER: Well, less than 100 degrees but not yet 13:00:48 12 32 degrees. 13:00:49 13 MS. BOND: Okay. 13:00:50 14 THE COURT: Counsel, let me get going. We're on the 13:00:53 15 record, this is Greg Grimsal in New Orleans. 13:00:57 16 Let me begin by taking roll to make sure I've got everybody on the line. 13:01:01 17 13:01:02 18 Counsel for Texas, please identify yourself. 13:01:07 19 MR. SOMACH: This is Stuart Somach on behalf of the State 13:01:11 20 of Texas. 13:01:13 21 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Somach. Anybody with you? 13:01:16 22 MR. SOMACH: No, it's just me, your Honor. 13:01:18 23 THE COURT: Okay. State of New Mexico, please. 13:01:22 24 MS. BOND: Your Honor, Sarah Bond for the State of New 13:01:25 25 Mexico, and no one else is on the line with me for New Mexico today.

THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Bond, how are you? 13:01:28 MS. BOND: Great. How are you? 13:01:31 2 13:01:32 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. State of Colorado, please. 13:01:33 4 MR. WALLACE: Yes, your Honor, this is Chad Wallace. 13:01:35 THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Wallace. Is anyone else with you on 13:01:37 6 the line? 13:01:40 7 MR. WALLACE: Yes, Mr. Hartman is with me as well. 13:01:42 8 THE COURT: Would you give us Mr. Hartman's full name for 13:01:46 9 13:01:52 10 the benefit of the court reporter, please. 13:01:54 11 MR. WALLACE: Yes, I'm sorry, your Honor. It's Preston 13:01:58 12 Hartman. 13:01:58 13 THE COURT: H-A-R-T-M-A-N? 13:02:00 14 MR. WALLACE: Yes. 13:02:01 15 THE COURT: Thanks. United States, please. 13:02:06 16 MR. DUBOIS: Jim Dubois for the United States, your Honor. And on the line are Lee Leininger, Stephen MacFarlane, and I believe 13:02:10 17 Bella Wolitz is on for Interior in sort of listening mode. 13:02:17 18 13:02:21 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dubois. 13:02:23 20 El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. 13:02:30 21 MR. SPEER: James Speer, your Honor. 13:02:34 22 MS. O'BRIEN: And, your Honor, Maria O'Brien is also on 13:02:37 23 the line and Sarah Stevenson is with me, also on behalf of El Paso 13:02:41 24 County Water Improvement District No. 1. THE COURT: Ms. Stevenson, how do you spell your last 13:02:41 25

name, please?

13:02:43

13:02:44 2

13:02:47 3

5

13:02:50

13:02:58

13:03:11 6

13:03:12 7

13:03:13 8

13:03:19 9

13:03:27 10

13:03:31 11

13:03:37 12

13:03:42 13

13:03:47 14

13:03:52 15

13:03:58 16

13:04:02 17

13:04:10 18

13:04:14 19

13:04:17 20

13:04:22 21

13:04:27 22

13:04:35 23

13:04:42 24

13:04:47 25

MS. STEVENSON: S-T-E-V-E-N-S-O-N.

THE COURT: Thanks very much. Good afternoon, counsel.

Counsel, as you all are no doubt aware, on October the 5th, the Supreme Court referred to me a motion to intervene, which El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 had filed with the Court.

That motion, as I appreciate it, is fully briefed. We've reviewed that motion and all of the responses to it. I wanted to get you all on the line to inform you that at this time I have decided not to entertain oral argument on that motion. I will make my report and recommendations to the Supreme Court based upon the pleadings. I feel I am familiar with the law governing such interventions, having reviewed all of the cases cited in the pleadings on Elephant Butte Irrigation District's similar motion to intervene, and I entertained oral argument on that motion.

If it turns out that in our deliberations on El Paso

County Water Improvement District No. 1's motion the need, if we

feel the need arises for oral argument, I will certainly contact all

of you to arrange for that. But at this time, I don't really find

it necessary to resolve the motion.

And indeed, I would anticipate including our report on that motion with the report on the Elephant Butte Irrigation

District's motion, which we would wrap together with the motion to dismiss report. So I anticipate wrapping all of that up together.

So I wanted to let you all know, if you were wondering whether I was going to conduct oral argument on that and whether you have to make travel arrangements and calendar arrangements and so forth, I am not planning to entertain oral argument on that motion.

Any other questions or discussions before I move on?

I've got one or two other things I want to talk about.

MR. SPEER: Your Honor, this is James Speer for the El Paso District.

I would make this comment: Being lawyers, you understand we instinctively would like to have the opportunity to present oral argument. On the other hand, we realize you gave the extensive attention to the motion of the Elephant Butte District when you had the hearing in New Orleans, that we attended.

And we also recognize, without blowing smoke, Mr. Grimsal, you're highly competent, experienced person, and there is no one we would rather be resolving the case, if simply on briefs, doing so. We have great confidence in you. On the other hand, if you gave us our choice, we would like to present oral argument.

THE COURT: Mr. Speer, thank you for your kind words. Any other observations or remarks?

MS. BOND: Your Honor, Sarah Bond for the state of New Mexico.

The only comment we had or request would be that we would reiterate our request that the Special Master make a decision on our motion to dismiss first because it was the first matter before him

13:05:09 5
13:05:16 6
13:05:19 7
13:05:26 8
13:05:26 9
13:05:29 10
13:05:34 11
13:05:39 12
13:05:47 13
13:05:51 14
13:05:57 15

1

13:04:50

13:04:57 2

13:05:01 3

13:05:04 4

L3:06:01 16
L3:06:07 17
L3:06:10 18
L3:06:14 19

L3:06:18 20 L3:06:23 21

13:06:25 22

13:06:31 24

13:06:25 23

13:06:36 25

before attending to the matters on the motions to intervene.

13:06:40 1

13:06:43 2

3

5

13:06:50

13:06:57

13:07:03

13:07:08

13:07:10 7

13:07:14 8

13:07:17 9

13:07:21 10

13:07:28 11

13:07:34 12

13:07:39 13

13:07:45 14

13:07:51 15

13:07:56 16

13:07:58 17

13:08:02 18

13:08:07 19

13:08:12 20

13:08:17 21

13:08:22 22

13:08:29 23

13:08:33 24

13:08:37 25

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Bond. Any other comments?

Thank you, Counsel. Let me make it clear, I appreciate the observations made by counsel. As things stand now, we plan to go ahead and deal with Mr. Speer's motion on the papers without entertaining oral argument.

Let me move on, Counsel. I've got another matter I want to discuss.

It has recently come to my attention that other lawyers in my firm are handling a few cases where our clients are adverse to the United States or one of its agencies. None of these cases involve the Bureau of Reclamation, none of these cases involve water law. I am not personally involved in any of these cases. However, I wanted to err on the side of disclosure about these cases and avoid any problem about whether my impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

The situation came to my attention when a client recently contacted one of our oil and gas lawyers to represent it in a proceeding where the Department of Justice seeks to enforce a tax lien against the individual who granted a mineral lease to our client. The Department of Justice has added our client as a defendant and is asking the court how to rank liens and encumbrances. We have not yet accepted that representation, and that lawyer kindly alerted me to the existence of the other matters being handled by our firm at the moment.

Let me walk through them with you. One matter involved the expropriation of a salt dome in Louisiana by the United States where our client engaged us to prepare an expert report on the title to the salt dome for the purpose of ascertaining which entities were entitled to how much compensation. There was no challenge to our report.

In another matter, some of our lawyers negotiated a plea deal with the United States Attorney and have also dealt with the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management and the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement on behalf of the operator of an outer continental shelf oil well that blew out.

In another matter, the court appointed one of our lawyers counsel to represent a federal criminal defendant in a post conviction garnishment proceeding. That is a pro bono matter.

In another matter, our corporate oil and gas client faces financial difficulties and engaged some of our lawyers for advice. Perhaps, but not yet including, advice about the decommissioning of oil and gas properties on the outer continental shelf; which if it comes to that, would involve negotiations with the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management and the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement. It hasn't come to that point in that matter at this juncture.

Moreover, several of our oil and gas attorneys regularly represent clients with offshore regulatory matters before the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, the Bureau of Safety and Environmental

13:08:40 13:08:47 2 13:08:53 3 13:09:01 4 13:09:06 5 13:09:11 6 13:09:11 7 13:09:17 8 13:09:21 9 13:09:27 10 13:09:31 11 13:09:35 12 13:09:39 13 13:09:44 14 13:09:49 15 13:09:54 16

13:10:01 17

13:10:06 18 13:10:10 19

13:10:16 20

13:10:19 21

13:10:23 22

13:10:24 23

13:10:28 24

13:10:35 25

Enforcement, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and other federal agencies. Not the Bureau of Reclamation.

In another matter, our client acquired a chemical plant; we were engaged to negotiate a consent agreement and final order with the Environmental Protection Agency for alleged violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, RCRA, and to pursue an indemnification claim from the corporate entity that sold the plant to the client.

Some of our bankruptcy lawyers represent various bankruptcy debtors and trustees in various bankruptcy proceedings where the Internal Revenue Service is a creditor in those proceedings.

Additionally, our firm represents the Recovery School

District, which we refer to here in New Orleans as the RSD. It's an entity that was created by the State of Louisiana after Hurricane

Katrina to run public schools in Orleans Parish. We call "parish" what everybody else in the country calls "counties." So this entity was created to run the schools in Orleans.

FEMA provides funding for the RSD's construction projects, and our lawyers sometimes negotiate with FEMA on behalf of the RSD to obtain reimbursement for specific construction related expenditures.

This is another matter: One of our transaction lawyers represents multi-family housing facilities for low-income seniors run by the Archdiocese of New Orleans. HUD provides the financing

13:10:39 13:10:43 2 13:10:48 3 13:10:52 4 13:10:56 5 13:11:02 6 13:11:07 7 13:11:11 8 13:11:12 9 13:11:16 10 13:11:22 11 13:11:26 12 13:11:29 13 13:11:35 14 13:11:41 15 13:11:46 16 13:11:51 17 13:11:56 18 13:11:59 19 13:12:06 20 13:12:13 21

13:12:19 22

13:12:21 23

13:12:28 24

13:12:35 25

for those facilities.

Finally, for the sake of thoroughness, I wanted to disclose that Fannie Mae, the Federal National Mortgage Association, which I believe is an independent entity and not an agency of the government, but I wanted to be as thorough and complete as I could in these disclosures, Fannie Mae has engaged our firm to review its standard loan documents as to sufficiency under Louisiana law.

Counsel, what I just reported to you is all I know of these matters. I don't work on any of them. I obtained this information solely for the purpose of this disclosure.

And so what I would like to do is put the question to counsel: In view of these matters, does anybody have any objection to my continuing to serve herein as Special Master?

MR. DUBOIS: This is Jim Dubois. We listened to the list and taking notes, I don't see that it's going to be a problem, but I probably need to verify that with people over my pay grade.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUBOIS: But I don't see a problem.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

MS. BOND: Your Honor, for the state of New Mexico, that's pretty much about what I was going to say. I had a little trouble hearing some of your names, but based on what I heard, I don't see that there's any problem. But I do also need to check with the Attorney General and verify that and get back with you on that, which I should be able to do within a week.

L3:12:49
L3:12:56
L3:13:00
L3:13:04

13:12:41 1

13:12:44 2

3

l3:13:22 9

13:13:12 7

13:13:17 8

13:13:32 11

L3:13:37 12 L3:13:42 13

13:13:55 14

13:13:58 15

13:14:04 16

13:14:10 17

13:14:12 18

L3:14:14 19
L3:14:18 20

13:14:20 21

13:14:25 22

13:14:31 23

13:14:36 24

13:14:38 25

THE COURT: My thought is if people do need to run this up 13:14:41 1 line, may I give you a deadline of let's say a week from today? 13:14:45 2 believe I can also provide the transcript for these proceedings if 3 that would assist you in that task. I believe I should be able to get the transcript to you if not later today then certainly by Monday.

> MS. BOND: Your Honor, for New Mexico, if you could give us a week from the date on which you provide the transcript just to be squeaky clean. I would be very surprised if there will be any problem, but I do have an obligation to run it by the Attorney General first.

> > THE COURT: Ms. Bond, you have yourself a deal.

MS. BOND: Great.

That's fine. THE COURT:

So is that agreeable to all counsel, that you'll let me know within a week of your obtaining a transcript of these proceedings? Let me put it this way, is there any problem with handling the matter in that manner? Great. Okay.

> MR. SPEER: No.

MR. DUBOIS: This is Jim Dubois, no.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dubois.

Counsel, that's all I've got for right now. working -- in fact, Ms. Grabill is not here with me because she is back at the office working hard. So we're working hard on these matters, and I don't have anything else to report to you at this

13:14:52

3:14:57

13:15:03

13:15:07

13:15:10

13:15:13 8

13:15:17 9

13:15:20 10

13:15:25 11

13:15:26 12

13:15:30 13

13:15:31 14

13:15:32 15

13:15:36 16

13:15:40 17

13:15:49 18

13:15:56 19

13:15:57 20

13:15:57 21 13:15:59 22

13:16:03 23

13:16:07 24

13:16:13 25

1 time.

3

5

6

Is there anything else for the good of the order anybody would like to bring up before we sign off?

MR. SOMACH: This is Stuart Somach, your Honor. Yes, I have one kind of off-agenda item.

And that is this: The Texas fiscal year ended the end of August, and I was wondering whether it would be at all possible to get a preliminary invoice or bill through that period so that I can ensure that I have sufficient dollars set aside for the purposes of dealing with the invoice when it is again filed with the Court. don't know if that creates a problem, but it would certainly be beneficial just as a business matter from our perspective.

THE COURT: Mr. Somach, let me tell you what I was planning to do anyway. In the ordinary course of events, I believe the Court wants me to submit a motion for fees and expenses every six months. And so the last one I submitted, which the Court just granted on October the 5th, that motion was filed the beginning of May. So in the ordinary course of events, I would plan on filing another one either at the end of this month or the very beginning of November.

And that motion would include a narrative, plus my firm's time records for the period you're talking about. In other words, it would cover fees incurred and expenses from the beginning of May, from the last motion, to the November motion. So that would include all of that time period, and then some.

13:16:18 13:16:19 2 13:16:22 3:16:26 13:16:30 13:16:32 13:16:40 7 13:16:46 8 13:16:53 9 13:17:00 10 13:17:08 11 13:17:11 12 13:17:14 13

13:17:17 14 13:17:22 15 13:17:28 16

13:17:41 18 13:17:46 19

13:17:34 17

13:17:52 20

13:17:53 21

13:18:01 22 13:18:10 23

13:18:19 24

13:18:24 25

13:18:28 1	First of all, I take it that would cover the material
13:18:32 2	you're interested in. Would that be getting it soon enough for your
13:18:36 3	purpose?
13:18:37 4	MR. SOMACH: Yes, that is actually perfect. I had not
13:18:41 5	counted the months right, so that would work really well and that
13:18:46 6	would take care of the issue.
13:18:47 7	THE COURT: Okay. Great, Mr. Somach. That's kind of what
13:18:50 8	we're planning to do anyway.
13:18:53 9	Any other questions or comments before we sign off?
13:19:00 10	MS. BOND: Not for New Mexico, your Honor.
13:19:02 11	MR. DUBOIS: No, your Honor.
13:19:03 12	THE COURT: Counsel, thanks very much. I hope everybody
13:19:08 13	has a nice weekend. Talk to you soon. Thanks.
13:19:15 14	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)
15	
16	* * * * *
17	
18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
19	I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United
20	States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the
21	best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.
22	r
23	/s/ Karen A. Ibos Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR
24	Official Court Reporter
25	
	l l