

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 03-2034

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Duane Wendall Larson,

Appellant.

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the District
of Minnesota.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: January 20, 2004

Filed: February 6, 2004

Before MORRIS SHEPPARD ARNOLD, BYE, and RILEY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Duane Larson appeals the district court's¹ denial of his petition for a writ of error coram nobis, in which he sought to vacate his drug conviction and sentence (from which he has been released). We agree with the district court that Mr. Larson has not shown he is entitled to coram nobis relief, because he unsuccessfully raised on direct appeal and in prior 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motions the Fourth Amendment and

¹The Honorable Ann D. Montgomery, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claims that he raises here. See Azzone v. United States, 341 F.2d 417, 419-20 (8th Cir.) (per curiam) (coram nobis petitioner not entitled to review of issues that were considered and resolved either on direct appeal or in § 2255 motion), cert. denied, 381 U.S. 943 (1965); cf. United States v. Camacho-Bordes, 94 F.3d 1168, 1172-73 (8th Cir. 1996) (coram nobis relief is “substantially equivalent” to habeas relief, and abuse-of-the-writ principle applies to coram nobis cases (quoted source omitted)).

Accordingly, we affirm.
