

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 13-1930

Sandra H. Harp

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Navarre Logistical Services, Inc.; David Ginsberg; Margot McManus; Micki St.
Clair, as agents for Navarre Logistical Services, Inc.

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis

Submitted: January 29, 2014
Filed: February 7, 2014
[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, BYE, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Sandra Harp appeals from the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in her pro se action claiming that her former employer terminated her based on her race, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Upon careful de novo review, see Olsen v. Capital Region Med. Ctr., 713 F.3d 1149, 1153 (8th Cir. 2013), we conclude that the district court properly granted summary judgment because the admissible evidence before the court would not permit a factfinder to conclude that defendants' proffered reason for firing Harp was pretextual. See Twymon v. Wells Fargo & Co., 462 F.3d 925, 935 (8th Cir. 2006) (plaintiff failed to show proffered reason for termination was pretext where she did not assert that employer did not honestly believe she was accountable for violations of policy when it fired her for those violations).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Patrick J. Schiltz, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Franklin L. Noel, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.