

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-3719

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Shawn Christopher Hatfield

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Missouri - St. Joseph

Submitted: September 5, 2017

Filed: September 8, 2017

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Shawn Hatfield directly appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pled guilty to drug and money-laundering offenses, pursuant to a plea agreement that contained an appeal waiver. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and

¹The Honorable Greg Kays, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), essentially arguing that the district court imposed an unreasonable sentence.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the waiver is valid and enforceable. See *United States v. Scott*, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); *United States v. Andis*, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Having independently reviewed the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.
