United States Court of Appeals For the Cighth Circuit

ரு	for the Eighth Circuit	
	No. 18-2000	
Uni	ited States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	V.	
Anth	hony Edward Donovan	
	Defendant - Appellant	
	m United States District Court n District of Missouri - Springfield	
	bmitted: June 21, 2019 Filed: July 3, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before KELLY, BOWMAN, and	d GRASZ, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
•	y appeals after he pleaded guilty in the district	
to drug and firearm offenses, pu	oursuant to a plea agreement containing an a	appeal

¹The Honorable Roseann A. Ketchmark, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

waiver. On appeal, he argues that the district court erred by allowing the government to make an argument that allegedly breached his plea agreement, and he asserts that he should either be resentenced or be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea.

We conclude that the government did not breach the plea agreement, as the record establishes that the government consistently recommended the sentence that it had promised to recommend. See United States v. Raifsnider, 915 F.3d 1186, 1188 (8th Cir. 2019) (per curiam) (if government breaches plea agreement, appeal waiver is unenforceable); see also United States v. Pierre, 912 F.3d 1137, 1142-43 (8th Cir. 2019) (government did not breach plea agreement where it promised to recommend sentence and it consistently did so). We further conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waivers); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver should be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal.

-2-