United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

1	No. 18-2963
United	States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	V.
Ar	ndrew Wolters
	Defendant - Appellant
	nited States District Court strict of Missouri - Springfield
Filed:	ed: October 04, 2019 October 11, 2019 Jnpublished]
Before GRUENDER, BENTON, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.	

Andrew Wolters appeals the district court's¹ order committing him to the custody of the Attorney General for hospitalization and care or treatment under 18 U.S.C. § 4245. Having jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, this court affirms.

Upon careful review of the record, including the psychological evaluations of a mental health professional where Wolters is presently confined for treatment, and of defense counsel's independent psychological examiner, this court concludes that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Wolters a continuance to obtain a second independent psychological evaluation. *See Vasquez v. Colores*, 648 F.3d 648, 652 (8th Cir. 2011) (standard of review). The court 's section 4245 finding was supported by a preponderance of the evidence, and was not clearly erroneous. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 4245(d) (burden of proof); *United States v. Bean*, 373 F.3d 877, 879 (8th Cir. 2004) (standard of review). Wolters's pro se arguments offer no basis for relief. This court notes that Wolters's custodians must prepare annual reports concerning his mental condition and the need for continued commitment. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 4247(e)(1)(B).

The judgment is affirmed, and counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. Wolters's pro se motion to unseal the brief, addendum, and appendix filed in this matter is granted.

¹The Honorable M. Douglas Harpool, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri, adopting the report and recommendation of the Honorable David P. Rush, United States Magistrate Judge for the Western District of Missouri.