

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 20-1193

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Cesar Medel-Vargas

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

Submitted: June 30, 2020

Filed: July 6, 2020

[Unpublished]

Before GRASZ, BEAM, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Cesar Medel-Vargas appeals after he pled guilty to illegal reentry, and the district court¹ imposed a sentence at the bottom of the advisory range under the

¹The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual (“Guidelines”). His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing Medel-Vargas’s sentence is substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence. *See United States v. Feemster*, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (reviewing sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard and discussing substantive reasonableness). In addition, having reviewed the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.
