## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 20-2104

Ana Lissette Lemus-Carpio

Petitioner

v.

Jeffrey A. Rosen, Acting Attorney General of the United States<sup>1</sup>

Respondent

Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted: January 14, 2021 Filed: January 20, 2021 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before LOKEN, BENTON, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

Salvadoran citizen Ana Lissette Lemus-Carpio petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals, which dismissed her appeal from the decision

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Jeffrey A. Rosen has been appointed to serve as Acting Attorney General of the United States and is substituted as respondent pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 43(c).

of an immigration judge denying her asylum and withholding of removal.<sup>2</sup> Having jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252, this court denies the petition.

This court concludes that substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Lemus-Carpio was not eligible for asylum, because she did not establish a well-founded fear of persecution on account of a protected ground. *See Kanagu v. Holder*, 781 F.3d 912, 916 (8th Cir. 2015) (standard of review); *Litvinov v. Holder*, 605 F.3d 548, 553 (8th Cir. 2010) (asylum requirements). Substantial evidence also supports the agency's conclusion that Lemus-Carpio was not eligible for withholding-of-removal relief. *See Guled v. Mukasey*, 515 F.3d 872, 881-82 (8th Cir. 2008) (alien who does not meet standard for asylum cannot meet more rigorous clear probability standard for withholding of removal).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The denial of voluntary departure is not before this panel. *See Chay-Velasquez v. Ashcroft*, 367 F.3d 751, 756 (8th Cir. 2004) (claim not raised in opening brief is waived).