United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 20-2923

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Lloyd Davenport

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa - Eastern

Submitted: April 9, 2021 Filed: April 14, 2021 [Unpublished]

Before SHEPHERD, GRASZ, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Lloyd Davenport appeals the sentence imposed by the district court¹ after he pleaded guilty to drug offenses. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and

¹The Honorable John A. Jarvey, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse of discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). Further, the court imposed a sentence below the Guidelines range. See United States v. McCauley, 715 F.3d 1119, 1127 (8th Cir. 2013) (noting that when district court has varied below Guidelines range, it is "nearly inconceivable" that court abused its discretion in not varying downward further).

We have also independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.