

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 21-3611

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Jade Daniel Joseph Monahan

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Central

Submitted: March 30, 2022

Filed: April 4, 2022

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, ERICKSON, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jade Monahan appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he was found guilty by a jury of committing a child pornography offense. He argues that the

¹The Honorable Rebecca Goodgame Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence after giving insufficient weight to mitigating factors such as his addictions to alcohol and Adderall, his offense conduct being limited to receipt, and his relatively minor criminal history.

Upon careful review, we conclude that Monahan's sentence was not substantively unreasonable, as there is no indication that the district court overlooked a relevant factor, gave significant weight to an improper or irrelevant factor, or committed a clear error of judgment in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. David, 682 F.3d 1074, 1077 (8th Cir. 2012) (court of appeals reviews reasonableness of sentence for abuse of discretion); United States v. Pickar, 666 F.3d 1167, 1169 (8th Cir. 2012) (district court abuses its discretion when it fails to consider relevant factor that should have received significant weight, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or considers only appropriate factors but commits clear error of judgment in weighing those factors); see also United States v. Callaway, 762 F.3d 754, 760 (8th Cir. 2014) (sentence that falls within Guidelines range is presumed to be reasonable). The court made an individualized assessment based on the facts presented in its consideration of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors. See United States v. Stults, 575 F.3d 834, 849 (8th Cir. 2009) (where district court makes individualized assessment of § 3553(a) factors based on facts presented, sentence is not unreasonable).

Accordingly, we affirm.
