## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 21-2696

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Hassell E. Myers

Defendant - Appellant

\_\_\_\_

Appeal from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - Cape Girardeau

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: March 18, 2022 Filed: May 24, 2022 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before GRASZ, STRAS, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

After Hassell Myers pleaded guilty to failing to register as a sex offender, the district court<sup>1</sup> sentenced him to 24 months in prison and 20 years of supervised

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Stephen N. Limbaugh, Jr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

release. See 18 U.S.C. § 2250. Although he argues that the supervised-release term is too long, we affirm.

Despite varying upward, the district court neither procedurally erred nor abused its discretion. *See United States v. Feemster*, 572 F.3d 455, 464 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) ("[I]t will be the unusual case when we reverse a district court sentence—whether within, *above*, or below the applicable Guidelines range—as substantively unreasonable." (emphasis added) (citation omitted)); *United States v. James*, 792 F.3d 962, 967 (8th Cir. 2015) (explaining that "[t]he term of supervised release is a part of" the sentence). The record establishes that it carefully considered the statutory sentencing factors, *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(c), and did not rely on an improper factor or commit a clear error of judgment. *See United States v. Larison*, 432 F.3d 921, 923–24 (8th Cir. 2006). Just because Myers would have weighed certain factors differently does not mean the court abused its discretion or otherwise erred. *See James*, 792 F.3d at 968–69.

we accordingly	arrirm the	juagment of	the district	cour