United States Court of Appeals

	or the Eighth Circuit
	No. 21-3809
]	Damian Durflinger
	Plaintiff - Appellant
	V.
Deputy Andrew Whisenhunt; Deputy Jonathan Glass; Corpor	on County, Arkansas; Deputy Gerardo Cervantes; Deputy David Tonsbeek; Deputy Jordan Myatt; al Dustin Carter; Sergeant James Morse; Corporal Mariah Carrier; Corporal Joel Minor
	Defendants - Appellees
11	m United States District Court Vestern District of Arkansas
Sub	mitted: August 3, 2022

Submitted: August 3, 2022 Filed: August 8, 2022 [Unpublished]

Before BENTON, SHEPHERD, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Damian Durflinger appeals after the district court adopted the report and recommendation of a magistrate judge recommending the adverse grant of summary

judgment in this pro se civil rights action. Following the district court's grant of summary judgment, the court received objections to the report and recommendation from Durflinger, who certified that he timely mailed the objections from the prison in which he was incarcerated. We conclude Durflinger's objections were timely, and remand is appropriate to allow the district court to conduct de novo review of the report and recommendation in light of Durflinger's objections. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating district court judge shall make de novo determination of portions of magistrate judge's report to which objection has been made); *Grinder v. Gammon*, 73 F.3d 793, 795 (8th Cir. 1996). Accordingly, we vacate the judgment of the district court and remand for the district court to conduct the required de novo review.