

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 22-2839

United States of America

Plaintiff Appellee

v.

Casey Fontaine Westerfield

Defendant Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Eastern

Submitted: December 27, 2022

Filed: December 30, 2022

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Casey Westerfield appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to a gun offense. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has

¹The Honorable Stephanie M. Rose, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Having reviewed the record under a deferential abuse-of-discretion standard of review, see Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38, 41, 51 (2007), we conclude the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence. The court considered the statutory sentencing factors and did not overlook a relevant factor, give significant weight to an improper or irrelevant factor, or commit a clear error of judgment in weighing relevant factors. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a); United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc); see also United States v. Dunn, 928 F.3d 688, 694 (8th Cir. 2019). We have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.
