

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 23-1480

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Adam Carney Conn, also known as Adam Carney Marshall

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Eastern

Submitted: October 26, 2023

Filed: November 1, 2023

[Unpublished]

Before BENTON, KELLY, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Adam Conn appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to child pornography offenses. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw,

¹The Honorable Stephanie M. Rose, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461–62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). Further, the court imposed a sentence below the Guidelines range. See United States v. McCauley, 715 F.3d 1119, 1127 (8th Cir. 2013).

We have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.
