United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit
No. 24-1232
Jay Nygard
Plaintiff - Appellant
v.
City of Orono, A Minnesota Municipality; Dennis Sabourin Walsh, Orono Mayor, in his individual and official capacities, Orono resident; Correy Farniok, Orono Police Chief, in his individual and official capacities; Soren Mattick, Orono Attorney, in his individual and official capacities; Jared D. Shepherd, Orono Attorney, in his individual and official capacities; Campbell Knutson, P.A.
Defendants - Appellees
Appeal from United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
Submitted: September 11, 2024 Filed: September 16, 2024 [Unpublished]
Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jay Nygard appeals the district court's¹ dismissal of his pro se complaint as barred by the statute of limitations, the <u>Rooker-Feldman</u>² doctrine, and the <u>Younger</u>³ abstention doctrine. After careful de novo review of the record and the parties' arguments on appeal, we find no basis for reversal. <u>See Humphrey v. Eureka Gardens Pub. Facility Bd.</u>, 891 F.3d 1079, 1081 (8th Cir. 2018) (de novo review of whether statute of limitations bars claims); <u>Kvalvog v. Park Christian Sch., Inc.</u>, 66 F.4th 1147, 1151-52 (8th Cir. 2023) (de novo review of district court's lack of jurisdiction due to <u>Rooker-Feldman</u> doctrine); <u>Minn. Living Assistance, Inc. v. Peterson</u>, 899 F.3d 548, 551 (8th Cir. 2018) (abuse of discretion review of district court's decision to abstain under Younger).

Accordingly, we affirm.	<u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B.	

¹The Honorable Donovan W. Frank, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

²See Rooker v. Fid. Tr. Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923); D.C. Ct. of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983).

³See Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971).