## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-2075	

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Ronald Antonio Moorehead

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: November 4, 2024 Filed: November 7, 2024 [Unpublished]

Before SHEPHERD, KELLY, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Ronald Moorehead appeals the within-Guidelines sentence imposed by the district court<sup>1</sup> after he pleaded guilty to a drug offense. His counsel has moved for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors).

We have independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.

\_\_\_\_\_