

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-2891

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Nathaniel Curtis George

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: March 4, 2025

Filed: March 7, 2025

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, KELLY, STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Nathaniel George appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to receipt of child pornography pursuant to a plea agreement

¹The Honorable Matthew T. Schelp, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

containing an appeal waiver. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, enforceable, and applicable to the issue raised in this appeal. See *United States v. Scott*, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (reviewing de novo the validity and applicability of an appeal waiver); *United States v. Andis*, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (stating that an appeal waiver will be enforced if the appeal falls within the scope of the waiver, the defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into the plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing the waiver would not result in a miscarriage of justice).

Having independently reviewed the record under *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw and dismiss the appeal.
