

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-3630

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Clayton Key Craddock

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Missouri - Jefferson City

Submitted: May 7, 2025

Filed: May 12, 2025

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, SHEPHERD, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Clayton Key Craddock appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to a drug offense. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw,

¹The Honorable Brian C. Wimes, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the record shows the court considered the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors and did not overlook a relevant factor or err in weighing the factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62, 464 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (this court considers substantive reasonableness of sentence under abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors); United States v. Stults, 575 F.3d 834, 849 (8th Cir. 2009) (where court makes individualized assessment based on facts presented, addressing defendant's proffered information in consideration of § 3553(a) factors, sentence is not unreasonable); see also United States v. St. Claire, 831 F.3d 1039, 1043 (8th Cir. 2016) (within-Guidelines sentence is accorded presumption of substantive reasonableness on appeal). The court has independently reviewed the record under Penon v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and finds no nonfrivolous issues for appeal.

The judgment is affirmed, and counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.
