## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-3568

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Carrie Little

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: May 28, 2025 Filed: June 2, 2025 [Unpublished]

Before BENTON, ERICKSON, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Carrie Little appeals the sentence the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed after she pled guilty to a sex offense under a written plea agreement containing an appeal waiver.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable John A. Ross, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

Her counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v.</u> <u>California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence is substantively unreasonable.

We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, enforceable, and applicable to the issue raised in this appeal. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (validity and applicability of an appeal waiver are reviewed de novo); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if the appeal falls within the scope of the waiver, the defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into the plea agreement and the waiver, and enforcing the waiver would not result in a miscarriage of justice).

We have independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal falling outside the scope of the waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and dismiss this appeal.

\_\_\_\_\_