

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-1586

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Jesus Everardo Medina

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Western

Submitted: August 7, 2025

Filed: August 12, 2025

[Unpublished]

Before SMITH, SHEPHERD, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jesus Medina appeals the within-Guidelines-range sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pled guilty to being a felon in possession of a firearm. His counsel

¹The Honorable Stephanie M. Rose, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that Medina's sentence is substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). Further, the court imposed a sentence within the Guidelines range. See United States v. Miner, 544 F.3d 930, 932 (8th Cir. 2008) (appellate court may presume sentence within properly calculated Guidelines range is reasonable).

Having independently reviewed the record pursuant to Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw, and affirm.
