## United States Court of Appeals

For	the	Eighth	Circuit	

No. 25-1600	

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Nicholas Carl Thomas

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa - Western

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: September 18, 2025 Filed: September 26, 2025 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before SMITH, KELLY, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Nicholas Thomas appeals the below-Guidelines-range sentence the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed after he pleaded guilty to a drug offense. His counsel has moved to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Stephen H. Locher, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (reviewing substantive reasonableness of sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors).

We have independently reviewed the record pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw, and affirm.

-2-