

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-3627

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Corey Deandra Thomas

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Northern

Submitted: April 23, 2026

Filed: April 28, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, SMITH, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Corey Thomas appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to a firearm offense. He argues the court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence.

After careful review, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in sentencing Thomas, as the record reflects the court considered the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, including the mitigating factors asserted by Thomas, and sentenced him above the Guidelines range based on an individualized assessment of the nature of the offense and his history and characteristics. See United States v. David, 682 F.3d 1074, 1076-77 (8th Cir. 2012) (standard of review; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor that should have received significant weight, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors); United States v. Hubbs, 18 F.4th 570, 572 (8th Cir. 2021) (district court has wide latitude to weigh § 3553(a) factors in each case and assign some greater weight than others to determine appropriate sentence); United States v. Mangum, 625 F.3d 466, 470 (8th Cir. 2010) (upward variance reasonable where court made individualized assessment based on facts presented).

Accordingly, we affirm.

¹The Honorable Lee P. Rudofsky, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.