

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-1901

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Charles Drust, Jr.

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of South Dakota - Western

Submitted: March 30, 2026

Filed: April 7, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before SHEPHERD, KELLY, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Charles Drust appeals after the district court¹ revoked his supervised release and imposed an above-Guidelines-range sentence of 24 months in prison followed by

¹The Honorable Karen E. Schreier, United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.

10 years of supervised release. Drust argues that the court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence by varying upward after committing a clear error of judgment in weighing the sentencing factors.

Upon careful review, we conclude the sentence was not substantively unreasonable, as there is no indication that the district court overlooked a relevant factor, gave significant weight to an improper or irrelevant factor, or committed a clear error of judgment in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Miller, 557 F.3d 910, 917–18 (8th Cir. 2009) (reviewing substantive reasonableness of revocation sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard); United States v. David, 682 F.3d 1074, 1077 (8th Cir. 2012) (although this court may consider extent of variance in reviewing substantive reasonableness, this court gives due deference to district court’s decision that statutory sentencing factors, on whole, justify extent of variance).

Accordingly, we affirm.
