

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-1337

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Robert Allen Joshua

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Central

Submitted: March 16, 2026

Filed: May 21, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before COLLOTON, Chief Judge, GRUENDER and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Robert Joshua appeals after pleading guilty to possession of a firearm as an unlawful user of a controlled substance, 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(3) and 924(a)(8). He argues that the district court¹ erred by denying his motion to dismiss the indictment

¹The Honorable Rebecca Goodgame Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

on the grounds that § 922(g)(3) is facially unconstitutional under New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass’n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1 (2022), and United States v. Rahimi, 602 U.S. 680 (2024). He acknowledges that this argument is foreclosed by our precedent, see United States v. Veasley, 98 F.4th 906, 908 (8th Cir. 2024), cert. denied, 145 S. Ct. 304 (2024); see also United States v. Ledvina, 166 F.4th 716, 721 (8th Cir. 2026) (collecting further cases), but asks that we overrule those decisions. This we cannot do. Mader v. United States, 654 F.3d 794, 800 (8th Cir. 2011) (en banc) (“It is a cardinal rule in our circuit that one panel is bound by the decision of a prior panel.” (citation omitted)).

Affirmed.
