

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-3306

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Anthony Guadalupe Olguin, also known as Chano

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Central

Submitted: July 22, 2026

Filed: July 27, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before ERICKSON, GRASZ, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Anthony Olguin appeals the below-Guidelines-range sentence imposed by the district court¹ after he pled guilty to a drug offense. His counsel has moved to

¹The Honorable Rebecca Goodgame Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the substantive reasonableness of the sentence.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as there is no indication the court failed to consider a relevant factor, gave significant weight to an improper factor, or committed a clear error of judgment in weighing the proper factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (reviewing sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; discussing substantive reasonableness); see also United States v. McCauley, 715 F.3d 1119, 1127 (8th Cir. 2013) (when district court varied below Guidelines range, it is “nearly inconceivable” that the court abused its discretion by not varying further); United States v. Farmer, 647 F.3d 1175, 1179 (8th Cir. 2011) (simply because district court weighed factors more heavily than defendant preferred does not mean the court abused its discretion).

We have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel’s motion to withdraw and affirm the judgment.
