

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-3507

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Deanthony R. Ramey

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Missouri - Kansas City

Submitted: July 1, 2026

Filed: July 7, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, KELLY, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Deanthony Ramey appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to drug and firearm offenses pursuant to a written plea agreement

¹The Honorable Roseann A. Ketchmark, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

containing an appeal waiver. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the application of a Guidelines enhancement.

Upon careful review, we conclude the appeal waiver is valid, enforceable, and applicable to the issues raised in this appeal. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (reviewing de novo validity and applicability of appeal waiver); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice).

Having independently reviewed the record under Penon v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and dismiss the appeal.
