

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-2034

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Phillip Daren Shockey

Defendant - Appellant

No. 25-2035

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Phillip Daren Shockey

Defendant - Appellant

Appeals from United States District Court
for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

Submitted: August 17, 2026
Filed: August 24, 2026
[Unpublished]

Before GRASZ, ERICKSON, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated appeals, Phillip Shockey appeals the sentences the district court¹ imposed after revoking his supervised release in two cases. He argues the revocation sentences are substantively unreasonable.

After careful review of the record and the parties' arguments on appeal, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in imposing the revocation sentences. *See United States v. Miller*, 557 F.3d 910, 917-18 (8th Cir. 2009) (substantive reasonableness of revocation sentence is reviewed under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard). The record reflects the district court considered the factors permitted by 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e); there is no indication the district court overlooked a relevant factor, gave significant weight to an improper or irrelevant factor, or committed a clear error of judgment in weighing the factors, *see United States v. Larison*, 432 F.3d 921, 923 (8th Cir. 2006); and the revocation sentences are within the statutory maximums. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3).

Accordingly, we affirm.

¹The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas.