

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-3230

Elizabeth J. Martin

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel of Missouri; Chief Judge Mary R. Russell

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: August 5, 2026

Filed: August 10, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, SHEPHERD, and JUSTIN D. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Elizabeth Martin appeals the district court's¹ dismissal of her pro se action alleging civil rights violations due to the Missouri Supreme Court's suspension of her

¹The Honorable Rodney W. Sippel, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

law license. Upon de novo review, we affirm. See Sutter & Gillham PLLC v. Henry, 146 F.4th 699, 701-02 (8th Cir. 2025) (standard of review). We agree with the district court that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over Martin’s claims under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, as those claims alleged injury arising from a state-court judgment and sought to overturn that judgment. See D.C. Ct. of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 486-87 (1983); Rooker v. Fid. Tr. Co., 263 U.S. 413, 415-16 (1923); Sutter & Gillham, 146 F.4th at 702 (Rooker-Feldman bars claim if legal wrong asserted is state-court judgment itself, with rejection of that judgment as remedy). The district court properly declined to consider the new claims Martin attempted to raise in her opposition to defendants’ motion to dismiss, and we do not consider those claims on appeal. See Richardson v. Omaha Sch. Dist., 957 F.3d 869, 877-78 (8th Cir. 2020).

The judgment is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
