

United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eighth Circuit

---

No. 26-1539

---

United States of America

*Plaintiff - Appellee*

v.

Jason Jay Hoogland

*Defendant - Appellant*

---

Appeal from United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Iowa - Central

---

Submitted: September 29, 2026

Filed: October 6, 2026

[Unpublished]

---

Before GRASZ, KOBES, and TRAYNOR, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Jason Hoogland appeals the sentence imposed by the district court<sup>1</sup> after he pled guilty to a firearm offense pursuant to a plea agreement containing an appeal

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Leonard T. Strand, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa.

waiver. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the application of a sentencing enhancement as well as the substantive reasonableness of the sentence.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, enforceable, and applicable to the issues raised. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (validity and applicability of appeal waiver is reviewed de novo); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). No miscarriage of justice will result from enforcing the waiver. See Hunter v. United States, 608 U.S. 713, 726-28 (2026) (miscarriage-of-justice exception is “high bar” reserved for “extreme cases”).

Having independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel’s motion to withdraw and dismiss the appeal.

---