

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 26-2206

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Lucian Celestine, also known as Lucian Celestineaeterus, Dillon Joseph Calvetti

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of South Dakota - Western

Submitted: September 30, 2026

Filed: October 5, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, KELLY, and JUSTIN D. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Lucian Celestine appeals after the district court¹ revoked his supervised release and sentenced him to 9 months in prison and 1 year of supervised release. His

¹The Honorable Karen E. Schreier, United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.

counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief challenging the revocation sentence as substantively unreasonable. Celestine has filed a pro se brief arguing he did not violate his supervised release and challenging the revocation sentence.

We reject Celestine's argument that his term of supervised release expired prior to the violations. See United States v. House, 501 F.3d 928, 929–30 (8th Cir. 2007) (concluding that, under 18 U.S.C. § 3624(e), release from federal prison commenced term of supervised release except for any period of imprisonment by state authorities). We also conclude the record supports the district court's finding that the violations were proven by a preponderance of the evidence. See United States v. Miller, 557 F.3d 910, 914 (8th Cir. 2009) (standard of review). Finally, we conclude the court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the applicable statutory factors and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See id. at 917. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw and affirm.
